Exhibit 9

	Page 1			
1				
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
3	DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY			
4				
5	IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM MDL No.			
6	POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, 16-2738			
7	SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS (MAS)(RLS)			
8	LIABILITY LITIGATION			
9				
10	This Document Relates to:			
11	Gallardo v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.,			
12	3:18-CV-10840			
13				
14	June 7, 2024			
15				
16	Deposition of KEVIN HOLCOMB, M.D.,			
17	held at One Manhattan West, New York,			
18	New York, commencing at 9:00 a.m. EDT,			
19	on the above date, before Marie Foley,			
20	a Registered Merit Reporter, Certified			
21	Realtime Reporter and Notary Public.			
22				
23	GOLKOW, a Veritext Division			
24	877.370.3377 ph 917.591.5672 fax			

	Page 2		Page 4
1		1	
2	APPEARANCES:	2	
3		3	TRANSCRIPT INDEX
4	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF ANNA GALLARDO:	4	PAGE
5	BEASLEY ALLEN LAW FIRM	5	APPEARANCES 2 - 3
6	BY: MARGARET M. THOMPSON, ESQUIRE, of counsel	6	INDEX OF EXHIBITS 5 - 12
7	P. LEIGH O'DELL, ESQUIRE	7	EXAMINATION OF KEVIN HOLCOMB, M.D.:
8	218 Commerce Street	8	BY: MS. THOMPSON14
9	P.O. Box 4160	9	BY: MS. DAVIDSON 435
10	Montgomery, Alabama 36103-4160	10	AFTERNOON SESSION 232
11	PHONE: 800.898.2034	11	SIGNATURE PAGE438
12	EMAIL: Margaret.thompson@beasleyallen.com	12	ERRATA 439
13	leigh.odell@beasleyallen.com	13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 440
14	length odern c beasteyanem.com	14	THE STATE OF THE S
15		15	EXHIBITS WITH ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT
16	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT JOHNSON & JOHNSON:	16	
17	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	17	
	BY: JESSICA DAVIDSON, ESQUIRE	18	
19	One Manhattan West	19	
20	New York, New York 10001-8602	20	
21	PHONE: 212.735.3000	21	
22	EMAIL: Jessica.davidson@skadden.com	22	
23	ENTITE: Jessica.davidson@skadden.com	23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1		1	
2		2	
3	ALSO PRESENT VIA ZOOM:	3	EXHIBITS
4		4	
5	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF STEERING COMMITTEE	5	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
6	AND THE MDL:	6	Holcomb Expert Report of Kevin 18
7	ASHCRAFT & GEREL	7	Exhibit 1 Holcomb, M.D., FACOG -
8	BY: MICHELLE A. PARFITT, ESQUIRE	8	May 28, 2024
9	1825 K Street NW, Suite 700	9	
10	Washington, DC 20006	10	Holcomb Redline version of Expert 18
11	PHONE: 202.783.6400	11	Exhibit 2 Report of Kevin Holcomb, M.D.,
12		12	FACOG - May 28, 2024
13		13	•
14		14	Holcomb Notice of Oral Deposition of 19
15	ON BEHALF OF PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS		Exhibit 3 Kevin Holcomb, M.D., FACOG
16	COUNCIL:	16	and Duces Tecum
17	REILLY, McDEVITT & HENRICH, P.C.	17	
18	BY: GINO P. MECOLI, ESQUIRE	18	Holcomb Materials Reviewed and 23
19	3 Executive Campus	19	Exhibit 4 Considered
20	Suite 310	20	
21	Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002	21	Holcomb Kevin Holcomb, M.D. CV - 24
22	PHONE: 856.317.7188	22	Exhibit 5 July 19, 2023
23	EMAIL: Gmecoli@rmh-law.com	23	•
24		24	Holcomb Website printout "The Facts 27
25		25	Exhibit 6 On Talcum Powder Safety"
		1	•

Page 6	Page 8
1 2	1 2
3 EXHIBITS	3 EXHIBITS
4	4
5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
6 Holcomb Guide to Learning in 73	6 Holcomb NIH PDQ 192
7 Exhibit 7 Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G	7 Exhibit 16
8 4/2018	8
9	9 Holcomb Figure 1: Meta-Analyses and 193
10 Holcomb Burke article - 2023 79	10 Exhibit 17 Pooled Analyses
11 Exhibit 8	11
12	12 Holcomb Figure 2: Case-Control and 193
13 Holcomb Second Amended Rule 26 116	13 Exhibit 18 Cohort Studies
14 Exhibit 9 Expert Report of Judith	14
15 Wolf, MD - May 28, 2024	15 Holcomb Woolen article - 2022 210
16	16 Exhibit 19
17 Holcomb Level of Evidence Provided 153	17
18 Exhibit 10 By U.S. Preventative	18 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2020 216
19 Services Task Force	19 Exhibit 20
20	20
21 Holcomb Harris article - 2001 155	21 Holcomb Woolen article tables 216
22 Exhibit 11	22 Exhibit 21
23	23
24	24
25	25
Page 7	Page 9
1	1
1 2	1 2
1 2 3 EXHIBITS	1 2 3 EXHIBITS
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4
1 2 3 E X H I B I T S 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22.
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18,	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019	1 2
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22: 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170 13 Exhibit 13 Preliminary Recommendations	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22: 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170 13 Exhibit 13 Preliminary Recommendations 14 on Testing Methods For	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22: 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170 13 Exhibit 13 Preliminary Recommendations 14 on Testing Methods For 15 Asbestos in Talc and	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170 13 Exhibit 13 Preliminary Recommendations 14 on Testing Methods For 15 Asbestos in Talc and 16 Consumer Products Containing	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22: 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170 13 Exhibit 13 Preliminary Recommendations 14 on Testing Methods For 15 Asbestos in Talc and 16 Consumer Products Containing 17 Talc - January 6, 2020	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277 17 Exhibit 25
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170 13 Exhibit 13 Preliminary Recommendations 14 on Testing Methods For 15 Asbestos in Talc and 16 Consumer Products Containing 17 Talc - January 6, 2020 18	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277 17 Exhibit 25 18
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170 13 Exhibit 13 Preliminary Recommendations 14 on Testing Methods For 15 Asbestos in Talc and 16 Consumer Products Containing 17 Talc - January 6, 2020 18 19 Holcomb FDA document "Talc" - April 177	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277 17 Exhibit 25 18 19 Holcomb ASCO press release May 15, 304
1 2	1 2 3 E X H I B I T S 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277 17 Exhibit 25 18 19 Holcomb ASCO press release May 15, 304 20 Exhibit 26 2024
1 2	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277 17 Exhibit 25 18 19 Holcomb ASCO press release May 15, 304 20 Exhibit 26 2024 21
1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb FDA news release "Baby 166 7 Exhibit 12 powder manufacturer 8 voluntarily recalls products 9 for asbestos" - October 18, 10 2019 11 12 Holcomb Executive Summary 170 13 Exhibit 13 Preliminary Recommendations 14 on Testing Methods For 15 Asbestos in Talc and 16 Consumer Products Containing 17 Talc - January 6, 2020 18 19 Holcomb FDA document "Talc" - April 177 20 Exhibit 14 5, 2024 21 22 Holcomb Slomovitz article - 2020 190	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22: 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277 17 Exhibit 25 18 19 Holcomb ASCO press release May 15, 304 20 Exhibit 26 2024 21 22 Holcomb ACOG Talc Use and Ovarian 320
1 2	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 222 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277 17 Exhibit 25 18 19 Holcomb ASCO press release May 15, 304 20 Exhibit 26 2024 21 22 Holcomb ACOG Talc Use and Ovarian 320 23 Exhibit 27 Cancer - September 11, 2017
1 2	1 2 3 EXHIBITS 4 5 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 6 Holcomb Comment & Response Genital 22: 7 Exhibit 22 Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer 8 JAMA May 26, 2020 9 10 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2021 224 11 Exhibit 23 12 13 Holcomb O'Brien article - 2024 269 14 Exhibit 24 15 16 Holcomb Harris article - 2024 277 17 Exhibit 25 18 19 Holcomb ASCO press release May 15, 304 20 Exhibit 26 2024 21 22 Holcomb ACOG Talc Use and Ovarian 320

	Page 14		Page 16
1	I uge 14	1	Tage 10
2		2	A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the
3	8:46 a.m.	3	name of the lawyer I first spoke with.
4	New York, New York	4	Q. A Johnson & Johnson lawyer?
5		5	A. I believe they worked with an
6	THE STENOGRAPHER: If I could	6	outside firm like I don't remember
7	ask you to raise your right hand,	7	which firm.
8	please.	8	Q. Okay.
9	Do you swear or affirm the	9	And do you remember what you
10	testimony you give will be the truth,	10	were asked to do?
11	the whole truth, and nothing but the	11	A. Yes, I was asked to review the
12	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	truth today?	12	literature on talc and its relationship to
13	THE WITNESS: I do.	13	ovarian cancer and offer an opinion as to
14	THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.	14	whether I felt it was causative or could
15		15	contribute to someone developing ovarian
16	KEVIN HOLCOMB, M.D., the Witness herein,		cancer.
17	having been first duly sworn by a	17	Q. Did you have an opinion on that
18	Notary Public in and of the State of		issue prior to being contacted by the
19	New York, was examined and testified	19	lawyer representing Johnson & Johnson?
20	as follows:	20	A. Yes, I had a general feeling,
21	EXAMINATION BY	21	based on my training and up until that
22	MS. THOMPSON:	22	point, that it was not a causative agent.
23	Q. Good morning, Dr. Holcomb.	23	Q. And do you know where or how the
24	A. Good morning.	24	lawyer that contacted you would have
25	Q. My name is Margaret Thompson,	25	gotten your name?
1	Page 15	1	Page 17
$\frac{1}{2}$	and I'll be asking you questions to day	1	A Vas I da namamban It was
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	and I'll be asking you questions today.	2	A. Yes, I do remember. It was
	You've previously testified in	3	it was a a lawyer who actually was a
4	deposition trial in this litigation,	4	neighbor of mine.
5	correct?	5	Q. Okay.
6	A. Correct.	6	A. Who at a Christmas party came up
7	Q. So you know what the process is	7	that I was a gynecologic oncologist. So I
8	here, right?	8	guess they assumed I would have an opinion
9	A. Correct.	9	on this.
10	Q. This is my opportunity to	10	Q. Did you discuss at the cocktail
11	understand the opinions that you will be	11	party what your opinions would be?
12	providing at trial as far as how you	12	A. No.
13	arrived at those opinions.	13	Q. Just that you were a
14	Fair enough?	14	gynecologist and he or she might be more
15	A. Fair enough.	15	interested in talking to you more?
16	Q. When were you first asked to	16	A. Yes.
17	give opinions in this litigation?	17	Q. Okay.
18	A. In this specific case?	18	You initially submitted a report
19	Q. In the Johnson & Johnson Talcum	19	in this case in 2019, correct?
20	Powder first.	20	A. Correct.
21	A. I think it was I think it was	21	Q. And then you submitted a amended
22	around 2019. I'm sorry, I don't remember	22	report just recently dated February May
23	the exact date.	23	28th, 2024. Is that right?
24	Q. And who contacted you, do you	24	A. Correct.
25	remember?	25	MS. THOMPSON: I'll mark as

1	Page 18	1	Page 20
2	Exhibit 1 the current report.	2	submitted any invoices yet, but
3	(Holcomb Exhibit 1, Expert	3	THE WITNESS: No, I haven't.
4	Report of Kevin Holcomb, MD, FACOG -	4	MS. DAVIDSON: Dr. Holcomb is
5	May 28, 2024, was marked for	5	derelict. Please put that in the
6	identification, as of this date.)	6	record. He's derelict in sending us
7	MS. THOMPSON: We've redlined	7	invoices. We have not gotten an
8	the previous report in case we need to	8	invoice yet, and that would have been
9	refer to any changes that have been	9	the one responsive document, and we
10	made since the first report, and that	10	don't have an invoice yet.
11	will be Exhibit 2.	11	BY MS. THOMPSON:
12	(Holcomb Exhibit 2, redline	12	Q. From counsel, will you admit
13	version of Expert Report of Kevin	13	that you're derelict with your invoices?
14	Holcomb, MD, FACOG - May 28, 2024, was	14	A. I stand as accused, yes.
15	marked for identification, as of this	15	Q. So you have not submitted any
16	date.)	16	invoices at least since the last time we
17	BY MS. THOMPSON:	17	met with you for deposition?
18	Q. And this report submitted the	18	A. That's true.
19	end of May contains your general opinions	19	Q. Can you estimate how many hours
20	regarding the relationship between talc	20	you have spent let's start with the
21	and ovarian cancer, correct?	21	Johnson & Johnson litigation as a whole?
22	A. Correct.	22	A. You mean including the last case
23	Q. As well as your opinions	23	and this?
24	relating to the plaintiff Anna Gallardo,	24	Q. Yes.
25	correct?	25	A. I don't remember exactly how
	Page 19		Page 21
1		1	
2	A. Correct.	2	many hours the last time.
3	(Holcomb Exhibit 3, Notice of	3	Up until this point, I'd say
4	Oral Deposition of Kevin Holcomb, MD,	4	it's probably in the area of 50 hours all
5	FACOG and Duces Tecum, was marked for	5	together.
6	identification, as of this date.)	6	Q. In the entire litigation?
7	BY MS. THOMPSON:	7	A. No, I don't remember, to be
8	Q. Have you seen this document,	8	honest, how much from the last time.
9	which will be Exhibit 3, the Notice of	9	Q. So from between 2019 and today,
10	Deposition?	10	you approximate 50 hours?
11	A. I don't believe so.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. The Notice of Deposition asked	12	Q. And that would include updating
13	you to bring documents with you to address	13	your expert report, correct?
14	certain questions.	14	A. That would.
15	Did you bring anything with you	15	Q. And that would include reviewing
16	today?	16	Ms. Gallardo's medical records, correct?
17	MS. DAVIDSON: So, we did check	17	A. That would.
18	to make sure that there are no	18	Q. And that would include reviewing
19	responsive documents. I just want to	19	new literature, correct?
20	make that clear. He's not the lawyer.	20	A. That would, yes.
21	It's all legalese, that's why.	21	Q. What are you currently charging
22	There was nothing responsive	22	per hour?
172	besides his report.	23	A. \$1,000 per hour.
23	•	~ .	0 11 11 2 2
23 24 25	And I think there was a follow-up question asking if he had	24 25	Q. How did you prepare for the deposition today?

1	Page 22	1	Page 24
$\frac{1}{2}$	A I other them doing the things	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	O Did was assissa and Jahasan &
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. I other than doing the things	2	Q. Did you review any Johnson &
3	that you mentioned, I also reviewed the	3	Johnson documents, company documents?
4	deposition of Ms. Gallardo, her husband's	4	A. No.
5	deposition, Dr. Mutch's deposition. I	5	Q. Did you ask to review any
6	re-read my own report numerous times.	6	Johnson & Johnson's company documents?
7	Other than updating on the newer	7	A. No.
8	literature, I had to go back and refresh	8	MS. THOMPSON: Exhibit 5 is your
9	my memory on the existing literature.	9	CV.
10	Q. And would that be included in	10	(Holcomb Exhibit 5, Kevin
11	the 50 hours as well?	11	Holcomb, MD CV - July 19, 2023, was
12	A. Yes.	12	marked for identification, as of this
13	Q. Did you meet with the attorneys	13	date.)
14	in preparation for the deposition?	14	BY MS. THOMPSON:
15	A. I did.	15	Q. And you have had some changes in
16	Q. And when was that?	16	your CV since 2019, correct?
17	A. One time earlier this week and	17	A. Correct.
18	one time maybe about a week prior to that.	18	Q. And this is an updated CV?
19	Q. And how much time did you spend	19	A. Yes, it is.
20	with them over those two meetings?	20	Q. And your position professionally
21	A. About three hours all together.	21	has changed, correct?
22	Q. Did you meet in person?	22	A. Correct.
23	A. No. It was Zoom.	23	Q. What's your current position job
24	Q. Zoom on both meetings?	24	title?
25	A. Both meetings.	25	A. So, my academic title is I'm
1	Page 23	1	Page 25
1 2		1 2	
2	Q. Have you been disclosed in any	2	professor of clinical obstetrics and
2 3	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and	2 3	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics
2 3 4	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL?	2 3 4	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical
2 3 4 5	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No.	2 3	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology
2 3 4	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL?A. No.Q. Have your opinions changed at	2 3 4 5 6	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were 	2 3 4 5 6 7	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Who prepared this list?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Who prepared this list? A. The actual preparation of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice, correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Who prepared this list? A. The actual preparation of this is the lawyers. I didn't prepare the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still seeing new GYN
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Who prepared this list? A. The actual preparation of this is the lawyers. I didn't prepare the list.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still seeing new GYN cancer patients?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Who prepared this list? A. The actual preparation of this is the lawyers. I didn't prepare the list. Q. And who is responsible for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still seeing new GYN cancer patients? A. I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Who prepared this list? A. The actual preparation of this is the lawyers. I didn't prepare the list. Q. And who is responsible for finding the articles or documents on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still seeing new GYN cancer patients? A. I am. Q. When was the last time you saw a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Who prepared this list? A. The actual preparation of this is the lawyers. I didn't prepare the list. Q. And who is responsible for finding the articles or documents on this list?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still seeing new GYN cancer patients? A. I am. Q. When was the last time you saw a new ovarian cancer patient?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Have you been disclosed in any other cases other than Ms. Gallardo and the MDL? A. No. Q. Have your opinions changed at all since the first time you were contacted in approximately 2019? A. Not substantially, no. MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to mark what is titled "Materials Reviewed And Considered." That would be Exhibit 4. (Holcomb Exhibit 4, Materials Reviewed and Considered, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Who prepared this list? A. The actual preparation of this is the lawyers. I didn't prepare the list. Q. And who is responsible for finding the articles or documents on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	professor of clinical obstetrics and gynecology in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Weill Cornell Medical Center. I am now vice-chair of gynecology in the department, and I also serve as associate dean of admissions for the medical college. Q. So I assume that takes up a fair amount of your time in administrative duties. Would that be correct? A. That's correct. Q. And I believe that's the reason that you amended your report to say much of your time rather than the majority of your time is spent in clinical practice, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Are you still seeing new GYN cancer patients? A. I am. Q. When was the last time you saw a

1	Page 26	1	Page 28
1	overion concer currently	1	things that abanged in their expert
2 3	ovarian cancer surgery? A. Maybe three weeks ago.	2 3	things that changed in their expert
Ι.	•		reports. This has nothing to do MS. THOMPSON: Well, this is new
4	Q. Have you ever published any articles on talc and ovarian cancer?	4 5	•
5	A. No.		since he was deposed last time. MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, I'm
6 7		6 7	not done.
	Q. Have you ever published any	8	
8	articles relating to risk factors of ovarian cancer?		MS. THOMPSON: I'm sorry.
9		9	MS. DAVIDSON: This has nothing
10	A. No.	10	to do with anything in his expert
11	Q. Have you ever written any	11	report. He did not read Johnson &
12	articles on asbestos?	12	Johnson documents. He is an expert on
13	A. No.	13	GYN oncology, not on company websites.
14	Q. Are you familiar with the	14	He's not testifying about the company
15	Johnson & Johnson website?	15	website. This has absolutely nothing
16	A. No.	16	to do with amendments to his report.
17	Q. Never visited it?	17	And Leigh would never have let me ask
18	A. I don't believe so, no.	18	questions about something like this
19	Q. Are you familiar with the "Facts	19	when we were questioning witnesses.
20	About Talc" portion of Johnson & Johnson's	20	So I'm going to object to any
21	website?	21	line of questioning on this.
22	A. No.	22	MS. THOMPSON: Okay, that's
23	Q. Never visited?	23	fine.
24	A. No.	24	MS. DAVIDSON: So, I mean
25	MS. THOMPSON: Exhibit 6 is a	25	MS. THOMPSON: This is related
1	Page 27	1	Page 29
2	webpage from Johnson & Johnson's	2	to his reliance materials.
3	website on facts about this is the	3	You're not instructing him not
4	"Facts About Talc."	4	to
5	(Holcomb Exhibit 6, website	5	MS. DAVIDSON: His new reliance
6	printout "The Facts on Talcum Powder	6	materials or his old reliance
7	Safety", was marked for	7	materials?
8	identification, as of this date.)	8	MS. THOMPSON: Old and new.
9	BY MS. THOMPSON:	9	New.
10	Q. This is a portion of it called	10	MS. DAVIDSON: What new reliance
11	"The Facts on Talcum Powder Safety."	11	materials is this related to?
12	You've never seen this before?	12	MS. THOMPSON: I'm going to show
13	A. No.	13	that he has not looked at the evidence
14	Q. Would this be relevant to your	14	that Johnson & Johnson says will
15	opinions?	15	inform his opinions.
16	A. No.	16	MS. DAVIDSON: Well
17	Q. Look through this a minute,	17	MS. O'DELL: He's never been
18	since you've never seen it. I'm going to	18	examined on this topic and he
19	ask you some questions.	19	MS. DAVIDSON: That doesn't
20	A. I don't need to read the whole	20	matter to me. You said anything that
21	thing.	21	they could have been examined only,
22	MS. DAVIDSON: I don't know	$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	Leigh.
23	where you're going with this, but	23	MS. O'DELL: That's not
24	Leigh was very strong with our experts	24	accurate.
25	that they were only to be deposed on	25	MS. DAVIDSON: I have it in my
23	mai mey were only to be deposed on	23	MB. DAVIDSON. I Have It III IIIy

	Page 30		Page 32
1	1 agc 50	1	1 agc 32
2	head that you said that at the	2	BY MS. THOMPSON:
3	depositions I took.	3	Q. No, you answer.
4	MS. O'DELL: I don't believe	4	A. I do.
5	that.	5	Q. Do you agree with the statement
6	MS. THOMPSON: We can look at	6	under "Talc is Safe": Research, clinical
7	the depositions.	7	evidence, and nearly 40 years of studies
8	MS. O'DELL: This website has	8	by independent medical experts around the
9	been materially changed since he was	9	world continue to support the safety of
10	deposed in 2019 which was	10	talc.
11	MS. DAVIDSON: But this website	11	Do you agree with that
12	has nothing to do with his opinions.	12	statement?
13	MS. O'DELL: That's up to us.	13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
14	MS. DAVIDSON: No, it's up to	14	A. I do.
15	you.	15	Q. Do you agree with the statement:
16	You specifically said they can	16	Talc does not cause cancer?
17	only be deposed on changes made to	17	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
18	their report.	18	A. I do.
19	MS. O'DELL: This is new.	19	Q. Do you agree with the statement:
20	MS. THOMPSON: Jessica, you can	20	The National Cancer Institute's Physician
21	object. Your objection is to form,	21	Data Query Editorial Board concluded that
22	object to form.	22	the weight of evidence does not support an
23	MS. DAVIDSON: No, I will listen	23	association between perineal talc exposure
24	to I'll give you two more questions	24	and increased risk of ovarian cancer?
25	on this, but I am going to shut it	25	A. I agree.
	Page 31		Page 33
1	Tage 31		1 age 33
		1	
2	down because	1 2	MS. DAVIDSON: That relates to
2 3	down because MS. THOMPSON: You're going to	1 2 3	MS. DAVIDSON: That relates to the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about
1	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to	2	MS. DAVIDSON: That relates to the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time.
3		2 3	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time.
3 4	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what	2 3 4	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about
3 4 5	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions?	2 3 4 5	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica.
3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're
3 4 5 6	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the	2 3 4 5 6	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since 2019.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30. MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, that's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since 2019. So, please proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30. MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, that's not what Leigh did. Go back and read
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since 2019. So, please proceed. BY MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30. MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, that's not what Leigh did. Go back and read Leigh's objections, Leigh's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since 2019. So, please proceed. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On the third page of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30. MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, that's not what Leigh did. Go back and read Leigh's objections, Leigh's paragraph-long objections when my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since 2019. So, please proceed. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On the third page of this document titled "Talc is Safe."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30. MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, that's not what Leigh did. Go back and read Leigh's objections, Leigh's paragraph-long objections when my witnesses when I was taking
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since 2019. So, please proceed. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On the third page of this document titled "Talc is Safe." Do you agree with Johnson &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30. MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, that's not what Leigh did. Go back and read Leigh's objections, Leigh's paragraph-long objections when my witnesses when I was taking depositions, Leigh had paragraph-long
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since 2019. So, please proceed. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On the third page of this document titled "Talc is Safe." Do you agree with Johnson & Johnson that talc is safe?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30. MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, that's not what Leigh did. Go back and read Leigh's objections, Leigh's paragraph-long objections when my witnesses when I was taking depositions, Leigh had paragraph-long objections about questions I asked,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to tell him not to answer the questions? MS. DAVIDSON: Well, that's what you guys did. MS. O'DELL: Let me make the record clear. That is not what those objections were. Our experts had been deposed numerous times. Dr. Holcomb, you know, has not been deposed since 2019, and we're entitled to ask him anything about new materials since 2019. So, please proceed. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On the third page of this document titled "Talc is Safe." Do you agree with Johnson & Johnson that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the NCI PDQ which he was deposed about the first time. MS. THOMPSON: I'm talking about the website, Jessica. MS. DAVIDSON: No, you're talking about MS. THOMPSON: Are you instructing him not to? MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Then you can object to form and let me continue or we're not going to be out by 5:30. MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, that's not what Leigh did. Go back and read Leigh's objections, Leigh's paragraph-long objections when my witnesses when I was taking depositions, Leigh had paragraph-long objections about questions I asked, that were actually legitimate, saying

	Page 34		Page 36
1		1	
2	So please be careful and tailor	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
3	your questions to the revised report	3	BY MS. THOMPSON:
4	and the changes	4	Q. Do you know any
5	MS. THOMPSON: And new material.	5	MS. DAVIDSON: Whoa, whoa, whoa,
6	MS. DAVIDSON: since he	6	whoa, whoa. You got to give me time
7	changed his report.	7	to object.
8	The NCI PDQ is not new material.	8	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
9	MS. THOMPSON: Do you want to	9	MS. DAVIDSON: That is outside
10	look at the changes in his report?	10	the scope of your opinions. And
11	Which are extensive, much more	11	you're not giving me time to object.
	The state of the s	12	• • •
12	extensive than any of our experts on	l .	She's asking the questions at
13	almost every topic.	13	you fast and furiously. You're
14	MS. O'DELL: Let me just say	14	answering fast and furiously. There
15	this. As you know, the PDQ has been	15	is no chance for me to object.
16	changed multiple times since 2019.	16	MS. O'DELL: Please don't coach
17	He's going to be asked about it today,	17	your witness.
18	so let's just you know, if you're	18	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm not.
19	going instruct him not to answer	19	MS. O'DELL: Yes, you are.
20	questions about the PDQ	20	MS. DAVIDSON: How did I coach
21	MS. DAVIDSON: That's fine.	21	my witness?
22	MS. O'DELL: then we're going	22	MS. O'DELL: Let's proceed.
23	to get the judge on the phone.	23	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. I
24	So let's continue.	24	have been interrupted already six
25	MS. THOMPSON: We're trying to	25	times in this deposition.
1	Page 35	1	Page 37
2	get out by 5:30, Dr. Holcomb.	2	Please make sure that I have
3	BY MS. THOMPSON:	3	time to object. Thank you.
4	Q. On the next page: We continue	4	BY MS. THOMPSON:
	to use talc in our products because	5	Q. Is the presence of asbestos in
5	decades of science have reaffirmed its		-
6		6	talcum powder products part of your
7	safety.	7	opinions?
8	Do you agree with that	8	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. Again
9	statement?	9	this goes back to questions that he
10	A. I do.	10	could have been asked, and I believe
11	Q. Do you agree with: The weight	11	was asked, in 2019. You are literally
12	of the science does not support any claim	12	doing the very thing
13	that our talc products cause cancer?	13	MS. THOMPSON: He has new
14	A. I do.	14	opinions on asbestos in his report.
15	Q. The next paragraph: Thousands	15	MS. DAVIDSON: You are literally
16	of tests repeatedly confirm that our	16	doing the thing that Leigh criticized
17	consumer talc products do not contain	17	me for doing.
18	asbestos?	18	MS. O'DELL: That's incorrect.
19	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	19	MS. DAVIDSON: And I am going to
20	That's outside the	20	object.
20	BY MS. THOMPSON:	21	Go ahead and answer it, Dr.
21	O. Do you know anything about	22	Holcomb.
21 22	Q. Do you know anything about thousands of tests that confirm that the	22 23	Holcomb. MS. THOMPSON: If you could just
21	Q. Do you know anything about thousands of tests that confirm that the products do not contain asbestos?	22 23 24	MS. THOMPSON: If you could just object and we can move on, that would

	Page 38		Page 40
1	1 age 30	1	1 age 40
2	A. If I can just clarify something.	2	chance to answer your question.
3	You mentioned thousands of	3	Q. If you answer the question. Not
4	tests. I have to be	4	if you don't.
5	Q. There's not a question on the	5	A. I want to answer the question.
6	table, Dr. Holcomb.	6	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me.
7	A. Well, I want to go back and	7	Please, Margaret.
8	clarify something you asked me before.	8	A. You're asking me about what is
9	You asked me about thousands of	9	in talcum powder, and I my
10	tests, was I aware of asbestos testing,	10	understanding is that I was asked to give
11	and I have to be honest with you, I am	11	an opinion as to whether Johnson &
12	aware that there was recent testing of		Johnson's product is associated with an
13	talcum products saying that there was no	1	increased risk of cancer, that it causes
14	asbestos in them, the most recent testing.	14	or contributes to cancer. So I just want
15	So when you said "thousands," I don't know	15	to say generally, we can go along this
16	how many were done in that. I just know	16	line of questioning, but my opinion is
17	it has been tested recently and not been	17	whatever is in that bottle that has been
18	show to contain asbestos.	18	subjected to decades of testing does not
19	Q. Okay. Well, we're actually	19	increase the risk of ovarian cancer.
20	going to get to the testing recently, and	20	So I'm happy to go back and
21	I think you're actually incorrect in that	21	forth about what is in the bottle, what's
22	statement, but we're going to get to that	22	on the website. It's it's not a
23	later.	23	it's not impacting my opinions.
24	Have you seen any tests that are	24	Q. Okay.
25	positive for asbestos from Johnson &	25	A. My opinions is based on the
	Page 39		Page 41
1		1	
2	Johnson's documents?	2	literature.
3	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. He		O Olean Dut Ilm calving man
1 1	•	3	Q. Okay. But I'm asking you
4	said he's never looked at a Johnson &	4	questions about the Johnson & Johnson
5	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document.	4 5	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm
5 6	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can	4 5 6	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the
5 6 7	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any.	4 5	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to
5 6 7 8	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any.	4 5 6 7 8	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that.
5 6 7 8 9	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is	4 5 6 7 8 9	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website
5 6 7 8 9 10	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On this website it states:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his opinions as a GYN oncologist.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On this website it states: Johnson's uses only pure pharmaceutical	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his opinions as a GYN oncologist. MS. THOMPSON: It is not.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On this website it states: Johnson's uses only pure pharmaceutical grade talc.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his opinions as a GYN oncologist. MS. THOMPSON: It is not. MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, it is. This
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On this website it states: Johnson's uses only pure pharmaceutical grade talc. Do you know what pure	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his opinions as a GYN oncologist. MS. THOMPSON: It is not. MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, it is. This has nothing to do with his opinions.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On this website it states: Johnson's uses only pure pharmaceutical grade talc. Do you know what pure pharmaceutical grade talc is?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his opinions as a GYN oncologist. MS. THOMPSON: It is not. MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, it is. This has nothing to do with his opinions. This has nothing to do with his
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On this website it states: Johnson's uses only pure pharmaceutical grade talc. Do you know what pure pharmaceutical grade talc is? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his opinions as a GYN oncologist. MS. THOMPSON: It is not. MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, it is. This has nothing to do with his opinions. This has nothing to do with his report. And this could have been
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On this website it states: Johnson's uses only pure pharmaceutical grade talc. Do you know what pure pharmaceutical grade talc is? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. You know, I I just have to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his opinions as a GYN oncologist. MS. THOMPSON: It is not. MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, it is. This has nothing to do with his opinions. This has nothing to do with his report. And this could have been asked of him in 2019.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said he's never looked at a Johnson & Johnson document. MS. THOMPSON: And so he can answer it no, he's not aware of any. A. I'm not aware of any. MS. DAVIDSON: This is completely outside the scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: If you'll just let me object. Object. Asbestos is part of his opinions. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. On this website it states: Johnson's uses only pure pharmaceutical grade talc. Do you know what pure pharmaceutical grade talc is? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions about the Johnson & Johnson website right now, all right. And I'm going to be asking you questions about the literature too, so we're not going to neglect that. In the blue box on this website I think on the next page it says: Don't take our word for it. Explore the facts and make up your own mind. Do you see that? A. I do see that. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This is so outside the scope of his opinions as a GYN oncologist. MS. THOMPSON: It is not. MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, it is. This has nothing to do with his opinions. This has nothing to do with his report. And this could have been

ocumen	r aanat-t
PageID	: 196764

	Page 42		Page 44
1	1 480 72	1	1 ugc
2	MS. THOMPSON: This wasn't	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me.
3	MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. Forgive	3	You've now interrupted me too.
4	me, Margaret.	4	You need to let each of us
5	MS. DAVIDSON: First of all,	5	finish a sentence. A sentence
6	you're quite correct, this isn't your	6	finishes when there's a period, not in
7	deposition.	7	the middle of the sentence.
8	BY MS. THOMPSON:	8	Dr. Holcomb has been interrupted
9	Q. Did you	9	now five or six times. It is
10	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, I'm	10	disrespectful to him. And he was in
11	still talking.	11	the middle of answering a question.
12	MS. THOMPSON: Well, I didn't	12	It's also harder for the court
13	know you were still talking. I	13	reporter.
14	thought you were just objecting.	14	Were you done? Do you even know
15	MS. DAVIDSON: These are not	15	what you were saying at this point?
16	appropriate questions, Margaret.	16	A. No, I I was saying that I
17	Why don't you ask him about his	17	already stated that I've not visited the
18	report, about gynecologic oncology,	18	website, so there would be no way that I
19	and about the science?	19	would note how many papers are in this tab
20	MS. THOMPSON: I'm asking him	20	that leads somewhere else.
21	about the Johnson & Johnson website to	21	And so I do feel like this line
22	see if he agrees with what is on the	22	of questioning is sort of going in
23	Johnson & Johnson website. I think	23	circles. I've not visited this website.
24	it's totally appropriate and his	24	Q. It's very simple to just answer
25	opinions relate to it.	25	a question yes or no, and we can move on
		23	
1	Page 43	1	Page 45
2	BY MS. THOMPSON:	2	very quickly.
3	Q. Do you know how many documents	3	So, you have not seen any of the
4	are in "Explore the Facts"?	4	5,000 documents that are on the Johnson &
5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	5	Johnson website that says explore the
6	A. I have not seen this website	6	facts and make up your mind, correct?
7	before, so I would not	7	A. If I don't know what
8	Q. Then say "I don't know." Okay.	8	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me.
9	There are 5,000	9	Objection; asked and answered.
10	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me.	10	You got to let me object.
11	Excuse me.	11	THE WITNESS: Sure.
12	You just interrupted him in the	12	MS. DAVIDSON: And he just
13	middle of a sentence. This is getting	13	answered that question, Margaret. So
14	out of control.	14	I don't know why you're asking it
15	MS. THOMPSON: We will not	15	again. You asked that very question.
16	finish today, Jessica.	16	He just answered it. If you want the
17	MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, you	17	same answer again, go ahead.
18	need to take a deep breath and you	18	BY MS. THOMPSON:
19	need to let this witness finish	19	Q. You can answer.
20	MS. THOMPSON: I think you need	20	A. Because I don't know what
21	to take a deep breath.	21	I've not been to this website. I don't
22	MS. DAVIDSON: You need to let	22	know where it's leading, so I can't tell
23	this witness	23	you if I've seen any of the papers because
24	MS. THOMPSON: I will let the	24	I've given you my reliance list and you
25	witness answer my questions.	25	know what papers I've read that impact my
10			rar rar rar and minput my

	D 46		D 40
1	Page 46	1	Page 48
$\frac{1}{2}$	opinions. Whether that's attached to	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Do you have an
$\frac{2}{3}$	something I've never seen before, you know	3	extra copy?
4	that I don't know what's attached. I've	4	THE STENOGRAPHER: (Handing to
5	already answered that question.	5	counsel.)
6	Q. Let's go to your report.	6	MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you very
7	Who wrote this report?	7	much.
8	A. I did.	8	BY MS. THOMPSON:
9	Q. Who wrote the amendments to this	9	Q. In your previous report, in this
10	report?	10	one you state on page 2 that you "do not
11	A. Amendments?	11	inquire and have never inquired about
12	Q. The statements in your amended	12	prior talc use, nor do I recommend against
13	report.	13	it for my ovarian cancer patients."
14	A. I did.	14	Is that still the case?
15	Q. And every word of the report	15	A. That's still the case.
16	A. Every word.	16	Q. And "I know of no one in my
17	Q are yours?	17	division or specialty who does so."
18	A. Yes.	18	Is that still the case?
19	Q. Tell me the process that you	19	A. That is.
20	used when you were writing this report.	20	Q. You know no one in the GYN
21	A. Could you be a little bit more	21	oncology specialty that inquires about
22	clear what you mean "process"?	22	talc use or recommends their patients
23	Q. Did you do it by hand? Did you	23	don't use it?
24	do it by word processing? How did you	24	A. Outside of this litigation and
25	find the literature that how did you	25	reading expert reports, I had never met
	Page 47		Page 49
1		1	
2	decide what to include?	2	anybody in GYN oncology who did this
3	Just a short narrative on your	3	through all my years of training.
4	process in writing this report.	4	Q. Well, we'll be looking at a lot
5	A. So, a big chunk of the	5	of literature today with a lot of authors,
6	literature I was already familiar with	6	and I'll be asking you that question as we
7	from my last report, and then I did	17	go through the literature.
8	literature searches to see what was new.	8	A. I'm okay with that.
9	The lawyers had provided a list of things	9	Q. Because that is a very bold
10	that were new. In reading some of those	10	statement, you would agree?
11	papers, I also went into bibliographies	11	A. I would agree.
12	and if anything that was new there. And I	12	Q. And do you have any way of
13	did not write it by hand. I typed it into	13	knowing that there's no one in your
14	Microsoft Word as I was going along. And	14	specialty that inquires or recommends
15	just reading, I was updating things from	15	against using talc?
16	my old report that have changed. Like you	16 17	A. It is my statement that
17 18	mentioned one thing about my role in the medical college.	18	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A I don't know of anyone or
19	Q. On page 2 of your current	19	it's a statement that there is no one?
20	report.	20	Q. Well, it's "I know of no one."
21	MS. DAVIDSON: Are you looking	21	Do you have any way of
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	at the clean or the redline?	22	knowing
23	MS. THOMPSON: We'll just use	23	A. That I don't know of anyone?
24	the non-redlined report unless I say	24	I'm pretty sure that I would
25	otherwise.	25	know who I know.
120	Guier Wibe.	25	MION WIIO I MION.

	Page 50		Page 52
1	1 age 50	1	1 age 32
2	Q. Okay.	2	American College of Obstetrics and
3	And you also say that this is in	3	Gynecology and the Society of GYN
4	keeping with the recommendations of SGO	4	Oncology, if they felt that the deadliest
5	and ACOG that offer practice guidelines	5	GYN malignancy was caused by something
6	for the specialty.	6	that could easily be recommended against
7	Is that still your opinion?	7	would rush to clearly make a statement to
8	A. Yes, it is.	8	advise practicing GYN oncologists like
9	Q. Is it your opinion that SGO and	9	myself to speak to our patients about
10	ACOG offer practice guidelines regarding	10	their use of talc and to recommend against
11	talc use?	11	
12	A. ACOG offers guidelines about	12	And if your question is why
13	early detection or prevention of ovarian	13	didn't they do that and you want me to
14	cancer, and I was and so it's not	14	speculate why they didn't do it, yes, I
15	specific to talc. It's on the whole care	15	feel pretty confident to speculate that
16	of a woman with ovarian cancer.	16	they didn't do that because they're not
17	Q. But you will agree that neither	17	concerned because I think they are
18	SGO or ACOG have issued a practice	18	concerned about women's lives.
19	guideline on talc use?	19	Q. Does Johnson & Johnson
20	A. Not specifically on talc use.	20	contribute financially to ACOG and SGO?
21	My opinion of what ACOG and SGO	21	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
22	are saying comes from other sources, which	22	A. I I assume that they probably
23	are in my reliance list. We can get to	23	do.
24	them, I'm sure.	24	Q. Could that be a reason that ACOG
25	Q. And both ACOG and SGO do have	25	and SGO are reluctant to publish a
	Page 51		Page 53
1		1	
2	procedures, clinical review committees,	2	statement regarding talc use and ovarian
3	that issue public statements on clinical	3	cancer?
4	issues of interest, correct?	4	A. I think that insinuation is
5	A. Yes.	5	extremely insulting, and I'll tell you
6	Q. And neither of them have issued	6	why. Because before this litigation
7	any statement of that to that effect on	7	around this area began, folks like myself,
8	tale?	8	the leadership of SGO, the leadership of
9	A. That's true. And I take that as	9	ACOG, we've been taking care of women with
10	proof that they're not concerned about the	10	ovarian cancer for years, and for you to
11	cause of talc because where they have	11	insinuate that financial contributions
12	issues with things that cause cancer, they	12	have caused ACOG and SGO to sell out the
13	very clearly state their opinion.	13	women of America I find quite insulting.
14	Q. Is it your opinion that the	14	Q. Could there be any political
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15	reasons that SGO and ACOG would be
15	reason that SGO and ACOG have not made a	1 /	the drawage multiple and the second
15 16	specific statement as to risks of talc is	16	hesitant to publish a statement about talc
15 16 17	specific statement as to risks of talc is because they don't think it is?	17	use and ovarian cancer?
15 16 17 18	specific statement as to risks of talc is because they don't think it is? A. Yes, that's my opinion.	17 18	use and ovarian cancer? A. I'm just as insulted by that
15 16 17 18 19	specific statement as to risks of talc is because they don't think it is? A. Yes, that's my opinion. Q. Are you aware of any other	17 18 19	use and ovarian cancer? A. I'm just as insulted by that question for all the same reasons.
15 16 17 18 19 20	specific statement as to risks of talc is because they don't think it is? A. Yes, that's my opinion. Q. Are you aware of any other reasons that they could not that they	17 18 19 20	use and ovarian cancer? A. I'm just as insulted by that question for all the same reasons. And on top of it, no, I can't
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	specific statement as to risks of talc is because they don't think it is? A. Yes, that's my opinion. Q. Are you aware of any other reasons that they could not that they would not make that kind of statement?	17 18 19 20 21	use and ovarian cancer? A. I'm just as insulted by that question for all the same reasons. And on top of it, no, I can't think of any.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	specific statement as to risks of talc is because they don't think it is? A. Yes, that's my opinion. Q. Are you aware of any other reasons that they could not that they would not make that kind of statement? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; calls	17 18 19 20 21 22	use and ovarian cancer? A. I'm just as insulted by that question for all the same reasons. And on top of it, no, I can't think of any. Q. Would there be any fear of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	specific statement as to risks of talc is because they don't think it is? A. Yes, that's my opinion. Q. Are you aware of any other reasons that they could not that they would not make that kind of statement? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; calls for speculation.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	use and ovarian cancer? A. I'm just as insulted by that question for all the same reasons. And on top of it, no, I can't think of any. Q. Would there be any fear of repercussions or litigation from Johnson &
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	specific statement as to risks of talc is because they don't think it is? A. Yes, that's my opinion. Q. Are you aware of any other reasons that they could not that they would not make that kind of statement? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; calls	17 18 19 20 21 22	use and ovarian cancer? A. I'm just as insulted by that question for all the same reasons. And on top of it, no, I can't think of any. Q. Would there be any fear of

	D 51		D 56
1	Page 54	1	Page 56
2	A. ACOG and SGO have clearly said	2	issue any advice against the use of this
3	that they do not feel that the evidence	3	thing. And so I think it's very common
4	after 40 years supports that ovarian	4	sense to say that these organizations feel
5	cancer is caused by talc.	5	it's safe because if they didn't, they
6	Q. Where do they say that?	6	would issue advice against its use, and
7	A. It says that the evidence is	7	they would recommend that we ask patients
8	inconclusive that it is not it's not an	8	about its use and recommend against it.
9	established risk factor because the weight	9	So yes, I think it's I think
10	of the evidence does not support that.	10	they're saying it's safe by all those
11	Q. Where do they say that?	11	things.
12	A. Well, it's in William Burke's	12	Q. Does the ACOG actually say talc
13	statement that the paper, the white paper	13	is safe?
14	that was written which was both SGO and	14	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
15	ACOG members taking part in it.	15	A. I'm explaining already
16	Q. The Burke paper has one sentence	16	Q. That's a yes/no question.
17	about talc, doesn't it?	17	Does ACOG say talc is safe?
18	A. I'd have to go back through and	18	A. ACOG doesn't make a statement
19	see how many sentences are about it, but	19	that it's safe or not safe.
20	I	20	Q. Does ACOG say that there is no
21	Q. Does it say anything about talc	21	evidence that talc is associated with
22	is safe?	22	ovarian cancer?
23	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. He	23	A. No. They would not say that
24	was in the middle of a sentence.	24	because there's a weight of evidence, over
25	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. I'm sorry,	25	40 years there's a number of studies, and
	Page 55		Page 57
1		1	
2	sometimes I think he's finished and	l .	to say that there is no evidence suggests
3	he's still going. I'm intentionally	3	that no study has ever shown this. But
4	interrupting him.	4	what they're saying is when we weigh all
5	MS. DAVIDSON: This is not an	5	of the evidence, we say that it does not
6 7	argument. This is a deposition.	6	lead to a conclusion. You cannot conclude
	MS. O'DELL: Please.		from this evidence that ovarian cancer is
8 9	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Go ahead.	8 9	caused by talc.
10	Q. Go ahead.A. I think the way I look at this	10	Q. And I understand that Dr. Holcomb is saying that.
11	topic, and any topic of a potential	11	A. No, no, I'm saying this is
		12	what
/	cubetance that's been studied with	1 /.	vv = -
12	substance that's been studied with	l .	
13	relationship to cancer, you can look at it	13	Q. No, let me finish.
13 14	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are	13 14	Q. No, let me finish.A. Okay.
13 14 15	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can	13 14 15	Q. No, let me finish.A. Okay.Q. I didn't have a question.
13 14 15 16	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe	13 14 15 16	Q. No, let me finish.A. Okay.Q. I didn't have a question.A. Okay.
13 14 15 16 17	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe until they're proven to increase the risk	13 14 15 16 17	Q. No, let me finish.A. Okay.Q. I didn't have a question.A. Okay.Q. I'm asking does ACOG say there's
13 14 15 16 17 18	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe until they're proven to increase the risk of a cancer, to be associated with or have	13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. No, let me finish. A. Okay. Q. I didn't have a question. A. Okay. Q. I'm asking does ACOG say there's decades of literature and we do not think
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe until they're proven to increase the risk of a cancer, to be associated with or have some evidence or causality.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. No, let me finish. A. Okay. Q. I didn't have a question. A. Okay. Q. I'm asking does ACOG say there's decades of literature and we do not think there's a connection between talcum powder
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe until they're proven to increase the risk of a cancer, to be associated with or have some evidence or causality. So whenever a group, whether	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. No, let me finish. A. Okay. Q. I didn't have a question. A. Okay. Q. I'm asking does ACOG say there's decades of literature and we do not think there's a connection between talcum powder use and talc? Anything other than leaving
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe until they're proven to increase the risk of a cancer, to be associated with or have some evidence or causality. So whenever a group, whether it's the NCI, ACOG, SGO, says that the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. No, let me finish. A. Okay. Q. I didn't have a question. A. Okay. Q. I'm asking does ACOG say there's decades of literature and we do not think there's a connection between talcum powder use and talc? Anything other than leaving it off a list?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe until they're proven to increase the risk of a cancer, to be associated with or have some evidence or causality. So whenever a group, whether it's the NCI, ACOG, SGO, says that the evidence does not support an association	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. No, let me finish. A. Okay. Q. I didn't have a question. A. Okay. Q. I'm asking does ACOG say there's decades of literature and we do not think there's a connection between talcum powder use and talc? Anything other than leaving it off a list? A. I'd have to go back and read the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe until they're proven to increase the risk of a cancer, to be associated with or have some evidence or causality. So whenever a group, whether it's the NCI, ACOG, SGO, says that the evidence does not support an association between talc use and ovarian cancer, my	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. No, let me finish. A. Okay. Q. I didn't have a question. A. Okay. Q. I'm asking does ACOG say there's decades of literature and we do not think there's a connection between talcum powder use and talc? Anything other than leaving it off a list?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	relationship to cancer, you can look at it as if all of these substances are dangerous until proven safe, or you can look at it that things are considered safe until they're proven to increase the risk of a cancer, to be associated with or have some evidence or causality. So whenever a group, whether it's the NCI, ACOG, SGO, says that the evidence does not support an association	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. No, let me finish. A. Okay. Q. I didn't have a question. A. Okay. Q. I'm asking does ACOG say there's decades of literature and we do not think there's a connection between talcum powder use and talc? Anything other than leaving it off a list? A. I'd have to go back and read the ACOG statement.

1	Page 58	1	Page 60
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Do we have it in the records?	2	of ACOC over making a statement?
$\frac{2}{3}$		$\frac{2}{3}$	of ACOG ever making a statement? MS. THOMPSON: That their 40
4	Q. Jessica can ask you that. You don't know off the top of	4	year decades of safety information?
	*	5	
5	your head whether ACOG says there's no association of talc with ovarian cancer or	6	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm pretty sure he cited an ACOG statement in his
6	talc is safe, either of those statements?	7	materials reviewed.
8		8	BY MS. THOMPSON:
1	A. If it's okay for me to	-	
9	paraphrase, since I don't have the exact	9	Q. Okay. Let's go to your
10	paper in front of me and I don't have a	10	materials reviewed, Dr. Holcomb.
11	photographic memory, my memory is that	11	Can you find the statement from
12	they say the weight of the evidence does	12	ACOG
13	not support a connection between ovarian	13	A. One second, I don't have it yet.
14	cancer and talc use.	14	Q that you're referring to?
15	If you give me the report, I can	15	A. One second. I don't have it
16	show you what's I'm basing that.	16	yet.
17	Q. Your report?	17	Q. And I'll ask the same for ACOG
18	A. No, the you're asking me	18	if you want to look at the same time for
19	specifically what is said by ACOG.	19	that statement.
20	Q. Well, I can't show it to you	20	MS. THOMPSON: Just while he's
21	'cause I don't they don't say that. So	21	looking, he can look for the
22	how am I going to show that to you?	22	statements from both SGO and ACOG that
23	A. You're asking me about my	23	he's referred to on his reliance list.
24	opinion, my interpretation of what ACOG is	24	A. The first thing I will point to
25	saying, and I'm telling you I don't have	25	is the paper by Burke that you mentioned
1	Page 59	1	Page 61
2	it in front of me so I can't say exactly	2	earlier which has both ACOG and SGO
3	the wording.	3	members participating on that white paper
4	My interpretation of what	4	where they say the evidence is
5	they're saying is that there's 40 years of	5	inconclusive that it
6	literature on this topic and it's not	6	Q. Okay. Well, we have Burke, and
7	strong enough to say that talc is unsafe,	7	that's not what it says. But we'll get to
8	that it is associated with ovarian cancer.	8	that.
9	And that's all that we're talking about.	9	A. Sure.
10	So if it's not associated with ovarian	10	Q. We can get to Burke.
11	cancer, then that's whether you're saying	11	A. And then I'm going to tell you
12	it's safe or not.	12	where ACOG is, one second.
13	Q. I'm just asking what you're	13	(Pause.)
14	A. I think I've	14	You asked me about SGO as well
15	Q referring to to give that you	15	or just ACOG?
16	impression.	16	Q. Both.
17	A. I just answered the question.	17	A. So 133 SGO ovarian cancer risk
18	MS. DAVIDSON: He told you he's	18	factors.
19	referring to ACOG statements. He	19	Q. And you're aware that ovarian
20	doesn't have it in front of him.	20	cancer risk factors just does not
21	If you'd like to put in front of	21	MS. DAVIDSON: He's in the
22	him ACOG statements	22	middle of answering.
23	MS. THOMPSON: I don't know if	23	BY MS. THOMPSON:
24	there's ACOG statements.	24	Q. Were you in the middle of
25	MS. DAVIDSON: You're not aware	25	answering, Dr. Holcomb?
23	1915. Dr. v 1D5O14. I ou le not awaie		answering, Dr. Holcolliu:

1	Page 62	1	Page 64
$\frac{1}{2}$	MC DAVIDCON, V.	1	
2 3	MS. DAVIDSON: Yes.	2 3	some of those later today. A. Sure.
l .	MS. THOMPSON: He can speak for	4	
5	himself, Jessica. BY MS. THOMPSON:	5	So, but we're speaking about SGO and ACOG, and I'm saying SGO, ACOG,
_			National Cancer Institute, all of them
6 7	Q. Were you in the middle of answering that question?	6 7	Q. Okay.
8	A. Yes, I was saying that the SGO	8	A say that there is not
9	risk factors does not include talc as a	9	conclusive evidence, that there's
10	risk factor.	10	insufficient evidence to consider tale as
11	Q. It does not include talc as a	11	something that contributes to or causes
12	risk factor.	12	ovarian cancer, and it is my
13	Does it say that there are	13	interpretation that if it is not thought
14	decades of information and there's no	14	to contribute to or cause ovarian cancer
15	evidence to show that talc is a risk	15	that it is safe.
1	factor for ovarian cancer?	16	Q. All right. If you can really
17	A. It says that SGO does not	17	try to answer the question, okay.
18	consider tale a risk factor for ovarian	18	A. I thought I was.
19	cancer.	19	Q. Just listen to the question and
20	Q. It doesn't include it on the	20	answer it.
21	list, right?	21	A. Sure, I'll listen again.
22	A. So you agree that they well,	22	Q. Is there an affirmative
23	I'm being asked the question.	23	statement on ACOG or SGO that there are
24	Q. I	24	decades of literature and articles on talc
25	A. So yes, I assume that it	25	and we have determined that the evidence
	Page 63		Page 65
1		1	
2	means they do not consider	2	is inconclusive or we have determined that
3	MS. DAVIDSON: He's testifying.	3	it is not a risk factor, an affirmative
4	BY MS. THOMPSON:	4	statement to that effect?
5	Q. But is there any statement as to	5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked
6	the safety of talc?	6	and answered.
7	A. I think we're going around in	7	A. Yeah, I've already answered the
8	circles a bit about this because	8	question.
9	Q. Just answer the question.	9	Q. What is your answer?
10	A. I'm trying to give you my	10	A. That they're saying it is not a
11	explanation.	11	risk factor so
12	If something is not a risk	12	Q. Is that an affirmative statement
13	factor, if it's not considered a risk	13	if it's left off the list?
14	factor for this disease, then it's safe.	14	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry,
15	So you're asking me do they specifically	15	Margaret, you really have to
16	say it's safe, but they're saying	16	MS. THOMPSON: I just want him
17	they're not saying it's a risk factor. So	17	to answer my question.
18	if they're saying that it's safe. If it's not a risk factor, it's safe.	18 19	MS. DAVIDSON: Margaret, you've
19		20	now interrupted the witness and you
20	Q. And you're aware of dozens of	20	interrupted MS. THOMPSON: You've
21 22	other places where talc is listed as a risk factor, correct?	21 22	
23		23	interrupted me. MS. DAVIDSON: No, I didn't
24	A. No, I'm not aware of dozens of other places.	24	interrupt you. You interrupted me.
25	Q. Okay. Well, we'll go through	25	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I
	Z. Okuj. Won go unough		TILL WITHLIBB. Tour, 1

Page 66 1	
*	Page 68
2 MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. 2 MS. DAVIDSON:	Objection: asked
THE WITNESS: Sorry. 3 and answered.	objection, asked
4 MS. THOMPSON: You interrupted 4 A. Again I interpret	that statement
5 your witness. 5 as an affirmative stateme	
6 MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. 6 asking so in my opinio	
7 Leigh, you are the most polite 7 they're not going to list e	
8 plaintiff's lawyer. You never 8 they don't think causes or	•
9 interrupt. 9 They're not going to say	
10 Can you please ask your 10 don't cause ovarian cancer	
co-counsel to stop interrupting the 11 going to say that hair dye	-
12 witness? 12 ovarian cancer. If they the	
13 I think we need to have a reset 13 causes ovarian cancer, th	_
14 here. Everybody needs to take a deep 14 say it.	icy ic going to
breath and stop interrupting. 15 And I know you're	asking me and
16 Dr. Holcomb was in the middle of 16 I know what you want to	•
answering. If you're frustrated with 17 telling you that if they do	
18 his answer, just write down your 18 a risk factor, I think I i	
19 frustration until the sentence is 19 as a affirmative statemen	
20 finished. 20 Its absence, in my interpretation of the second of the s	•
21 MS. O'DELL: All right. That's 21 affirmative statement of a	
22 not helpful. Please. 22 They don't say roll	
23 MS. DAVIDSON: Now you're 23 associated with ovarian c	
24 interrupting me, Leigh, and you never 24 that they think roller-skat	
25 do that. 25 Q. Are there 50 study	_
Page 67	Page 69
	č
2 MS. O'DELL: Let's take a break. 2 roller skating and ovarian	n cancer?
3 MS. DAVIDSON: You want to take 3 A. Even and that is	s an even
4 a five-minute break? 4 stronger point. The fact	that there's all
5 MS. O'DELL: Just two minutes. 5 this data on talc and they	still don't
6 We'll walk to the bathroom and come 6 mention talc, because you	u're right,
7 back. 7 there's more studies on ta	alc than roller
8 MS. DAVIDSON: Okay, great. 8 skating and ovarian cance	er. And talc is
9 Because this is really getting off the 9 not listed. So I interpret	that as an
10 rails. 10 affirmative statement.	
11 MS. O'DELL: It is not, 11 Q. With the public at	ttention, would
12 actually. You keep saying that, 12 it be helpful to doctors ar	nd patients for
13 Jessica. It's not off the rails. 13 ACOG to make a statement	
14 We're certainly not being 14 safe?	
disrespectful. So don't suggest that 15 MS. DAVIDSON:	Objection.
16 it was. 16 A. I don't think of	
17 (Recess taken.) 17 think medical societies as	nd doctors
18 BY MS. THOMPSON: 18 outside of this world of li	itigation play
19 Q. Dr. Holcomb, listen to my 19 in the realm that you all p	
20 question and try to answer it. I'm not 20 make statements based or	n literature and
21 asking for an interpretation. I'm just 21 and, you know, when you	u hear a doctor
22 asking a basic question. 22 making justifications for	
Does SGO have an affirmative 23 doing based on litigation	, sort of like
24 statement on their website that says talc 24 the introduction for Penn	inkilampi. We're
25 is safe? 25 going to get to the literation	ure later. But

	Page 70		Page 72
1	•	1	•
2	when you see people bringing up litigation	2	And you were very involved with
3	as their reasoning for how they take care	3	the fellowship program at your
4	of patients, I think there's something	4	institution, correct?
5	wrong with that.	5	A. Correct.
6	So no, I don't think that they	6	Q. And I am sure you are familiar
7	should feel pressured in this environment	7	with the Guide to Learning in Gynecologic
8	to make statements to play in this realm	8	Oncology published by ABO+G?
9	of litigation.	9	A. I'm aware of it, yes.
10	Q. Is there an affirmative	10	Q. Do you have your fellows follow
11	statement from ACOG that talc is safe?	11	the guidelines in the Guide to Learning so
12	Not your interpretation. Is there an	12	they're prepared for their board
13	affirmative statement on ACOG's website	13	examination?
14	that talc is safe?	14	A. I I'd have to look at it to
15	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to	l .	tell you whether you know, I'd have to
16	object. This has been asked and		see it in front of me. It's not on my
17	answered multiple times.	17	reliance list, so I can't say I know
18	A. I've answered yes, that, in my	18	everything that's in it.
19	interpretation, that is an affirmative	19	Q. Do you know that tale and
20	statement.	20	asbestos are considered environmental
21	Q. And that goes for both ACOG and	21	contaminants and there's a relationship
22	SGO, you think there's an affirmative	22	with ovarian cancer?
23	statement on their websites that talc is	23	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
24	safe?	24	A. No, I'm not aware. I don't have
25	A. I've explained this, yes.	25	the the paper in front of me, and I'm
23		23	
1	Page 71	1	Page 73
2	And I'm sorry that I just looked	2	not sure what it says.
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
-	at my reliance list, and I didn't add	l .	-
4	at my reliance list, and I didn't add ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer.	3	Would you like me to read it?
4 5	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer,	3 4	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to
5	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through	3 4 5	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology -
_	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that	3 4 5 6	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for
5 6 7	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement	3 4 5 6 7	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.)
5 6 7 8	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal	3 4 5 6 7 8	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8 9	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under
5 6 7 8 9 10	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding).
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's nothing on your materials reviewed and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I just gave you?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's nothing on your materials reviewed and considered that has a statement from ACOG	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I just gave you? A. It says "Guide to Learning in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's nothing on your materials reviewed and considered that has a statement from ACOG or SGO that talc is safe, correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I just gave you? A. It says "Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology" from ABO+G.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's nothing on your materials reviewed and considered that has a statement from ACOG or SGO that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I just gave you? A. It says "Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology" from ABO+G. Q. Have you ever seen this before?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's nothing on your materials reviewed and considered that has a statement from ACOG or SGO that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've already answered this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I just gave you? A. It says "Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology" from ABO+G. Q. Have you ever seen this before? A. I'm aware of the Guide to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's nothing on your materials reviewed and considered that has a statement from ACOG or SGO that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've already answered this question.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I just gave you? A. It says "Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology" from ABO+G. Q. Have you ever seen this before? A. I'm aware of the Guide to Learning. I'm not sure of this specific
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's nothing on your materials reviewed and considered that has a statement from ACOG or SGO that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've already answered this question. Q. I don't believe you have, but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I just gave you? A. It says "Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology" from ABO+G. Q. Have you ever seen this before? A. I'm aware of the Guide to Learning. I'm not sure of this specific version. This is my first time
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ACOG's 2017 statement on ovarian cancer, which I should have. I looked through this list and I don't see it. But in that statement Q. Are you talking about the Hal Lawrence statement A. No. Q. What 2017 statement on MS. DAVIDSON: We'll do an amended reliance list. I don't know why it's not on there. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. But you will agree that there's nothing on your materials reviewed and considered that has a statement from ACOG or SGO that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've already answered this question.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Would you like me to read it? (Holcomb Exhibit 7, Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology - ABO+G 4/2018, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. If you'll turn to page 13 under the heading MS. DAVIDSON: Can I get one? MS. O'DELL: Sure, sorry (hamding). MS. DAVIDSON: Thanks, Leigh. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What is this document that I just gave you? A. It says "Guide to Learning in Gynecologic Oncology" from ABO+G. Q. Have you ever seen this before? A. I'm aware of the Guide to Learning. I'm not sure of this specific

ocume	:I I L	330 1	OT-T
Page	ID:	196	772

	Page 74		Page 76
1		1	
2	Q in the last ten years?	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
3	A. Yes, I have.	3	A. Yes, I have. I have I had to
4	Q. If you'll turn to page 13.	4	think about it. I give a lecture on
5	A. Yes.	5	ovarian cancer and I do mention, A, that
6	Q. "Carcinogenesis Invasion	6	I'm involved as a expert; and B, I discuss
7	Metastasis" is the title, correct?	7	my interpretation of the literature. But
8	A. Yes.	8	I always encourage them in all the areas
9	Q. And the terminal objective is	9	that I speak on to do their own
10	that fellows should understand the current	10	-
11	theories of carcinogenesis including the	11	Q. But you're quite confident that
12	effects of environment, family history,		none of them ever ask their patients about
13	and viral factors, correct?	13	talc use or discuss that there may be a
14	A. Yes.	14	relationship?
15	Q. And under B(e): Environmental	15	A. I've never seen it mentioned in
	contaminants such as the relationship of	16	a note. I've never seen it I've never
17	talc and asbestos to ovarian and other	17	seen it mentioned in a progress note nor
18	malignancies and smoking to lower genital	18	anybody do it in front of me. So I have
19	tract cancer.	19	no reason to believe that is occurring.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. Were you finished? I'm sorry.
21		21	A. I am.
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	Q. Is it your opinion that ABO+G	21 22	
1	put this in there so that the fellows		Q. And you said that Burke provides
23	would be taught that there's no	23 24	a statement that talc use is safe,
24	relationship between talc and asbestos to		correct?
25	ovarian cancers?	25	A. I said Burke
1	Page 75	1	Page 77
1 2		1 2	
2	A. No. I think that ABO+G is	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection;
2 3	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar	2 3	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony.
2 3 4	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of	2 3 4	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one
2 3 4 5	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship	2 3 4 5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance.
2 3 4 5 6	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting you to know about the literature, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting you to know about the literature, but there's actually no relationship?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting you to know about the literature, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting you to know about the literature, but there's actually no relationship?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. MS. THOMPSON: I believe that's what he's been telling me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting you to know about the literature, but there's actually no relationship? A. They have herpes here. I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. MS. THOMPSON: I believe that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting you to know about the literature, but there's actually no relationship? A. They have herpes here. I'm not sure which GYN malignancy herpes causes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. MS. THOMPSON: I believe that's what he's been telling me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting you to know about the literature, but there's actually no relationship? A. They have herpes here. I'm not sure which GYN malignancy herpes causes. Q. We'll move on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. MS. THOMPSON: I believe that's what he's been telling me. BY MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. I think that ABO+G is saying that fellows should be familiar with the literature, the body of literature examining the relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. Q. Is there anything else on these risk factors list that is not something that's related? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. I don't understand your question. A. Yeah, I'm a little confused by the question. Q. Are there other things that you would consider in the same category as talc and asbestos, they're just wanting you to know about the literature, but there's actually no relationship? A. They have herpes here. I'm not sure which GYN malignancy herpes causes. Q. We'll move on. Did you teach your fellows to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. You got to give me that one little chance. THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: I know everybody's excited to answer questions and get out of here today. A. Again, I said that Burke made a statement saying that evidence is insufficient to conclude that talc causes ovarian cancer or contributes to it. Q. And from that statement, you're saying that Burke is saying that there's no association and talc is safe because of that, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. MS. THOMPSON: I believe that's what he's been telling me. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Is that what you've been telling

	Page 78		Page 80
1		1	Ç
2	to the conclusion that weighing the	2	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, was
3	totality of the evidence, that there is	3	that a question?
4	not conclusive evidence that talc causes	4	MS. THOMPSON: Yeah.
5	ovarian cancer or contributes to it.	5	BY MS. THOMPSON:
6	Q. And if the evidence to Burke is	6	Q. Why did you put Burke on your
7	not conclusive, to you, you're	7	additional reliance list?
8	interpreting that as there's no	8	A. I think it's an important paper.
9	association and talc is safe?	9	It's a statement that was I think it's
10	A. Until there's an proven	10	an important piece of literature and that
11	association, yes, it is my interpretation	11	it is, you know, an assessment of the
12	that talc is safe.	12	totality of the literature on the topic.
13	Q. So with a cosmetic, is it your	13	Q. All right. We'll mark Burke and
14	opinion that it's assumed safe until	14	just read what Burke says about talc.
15	proven otherwise?	15	If you'll turn to page 183. And
16	A. If there is a body of literature	16	the statement is: Our review found
17	around the area that's already been	17	heterogeneity in the studies
	examined and someone takes that body of	18	A. I'm not sure where we are.
19	literature and then says, after looking at	19	Q. Page 183.
20	all this literature, "I don't find conclusive evidence that this causes	20 21	A. Can you tell me what side?
21 22		21	Q. The last paragraph the first
23	ovarian cancer," I interpret that as them saying as of today in 2024, this is safe.	23	paragraph, last sentence before "hormonal."
24	That based on 40 years of literature, this	24	(Reading) Our review found
25	is not a proven risk factor for ovarian	25	heterogeneity in the studies on the use of
23		23	
1	Page 79	1	Page 81
2	cancer.	2	talcum powder and ovarian cancer risk.
3	Q. So 40 years of literature that's	3	And there's a parentheses with
4	inconclusive, in your mind, means talc is	4	Appendix 3.
5	safe?	5	A. Right.
6	A. No. I think that for them to	6	Q. Is that the statement you're
7	say that they're not including this is	7	referring to that Burke concludes that
8	more than just saying and thank you for	8	talc is safe because of the 40 years of
9	clarifying because it's actually a	9	evidence?
10	stronger statement than just saying it's	10	A. No. It's when you go into that
11	inconclusive. They're saying that it is	11	appendix.
12	not a risk factor, established risk factor	12	Q. Did you look in the appendix?
13	for ovarian cancer.	13	A. Yes.
14	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Let's	14	Q. Is there anything about talc in
15	mark	15	the appendix?
16	A. Because there's no evidence to	16	A. Yes.
17	do that.	17	Q. You'll have to show that to me,
18	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark Burke	18	because there is not
19	and just see what Burke says.	19	A. Yeah, I want
20	(Holcomb Exhibit 8, Burke	20	Q with the copy that I pulled.
21	article - 2023, was marked for	21	A. Do you have a copy of the
22	identification, as of this date.)	22	appendix?
23	BY MS. THOMPSON:	23	Q. I'm not sure, but I I don't.
24	Q. Why did you put Burke on your additional reliance list?	24 25	MS. O'DELL: We'll look for the appendix.
25	additional reliance list?		

ocun	иени	. 331	JU.	L-J	LJ
Pag	elD	: 196	₃₇	74	

1	Page 82		Page 84
1	1 age 02	1	1 age 64
2	THE WITNESS: Please do.	2	ovarian cancer on a commercial about a
3	MS. THOMPSON: And you can give	3	lawsuit. That is the summary of my
4	me the appendix when Jessica questions	4	feeling on the topic.
5	you since that was on the additional	5	I think that this woman, after
6	reliance.	6	being in the hands of people who tried to
7	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm not planning	7	save her life, and apparently did so
8	to question him. I want him to get on	8	successfully, no one mentioned to her
9	his boat.	9	about talc and so she heard about a legal
10	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Well, I	10	case.
11	will represent with the appendix that	11	MS. THOMPSON: I'll object to
12	were included with the article, there	12	that entire answer as being
13	was no mention of talc in the	13	non-responsive.
14	appendices. And I could be proven	14	Q. Please try to listen to the
15	wrong.	15	question and answer it. We are going to
16	A. So you think that after the	16	talk about Ms. Gallardo, and I want you to
17	statement about talc they say see the	17	answer the questions about Ms. Gallardo
18	appendix and you say the appendix has	18	too, but that's the purpose of today, for
19	nothing to do with talc?	19	me to ask questions and for you to answer
20	Q. It does not. It may have things	20	the question.
21	to do with the rest of the paragraph, but	21	Okay?
22	not about talc. And that's the only	22	A. You asked me about how I see the
23	mention of talc in the Burke article.	23	relationship between litigation and talc,
24	A. Okay.	24	and I used Ms. Gallardo as an example, and
25	THE WITNESS: She's completely	25	you're telling me that I shouldn't do
	Page 83		Page 85
$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	4
2	wrong about that.	2	that.
3	BY MS. THOMPSON:	3	Q. No, I asked you do you state in
4	Q. You say this question about talc	4	your report that this litigation is about
5	and its relationship to ovarian cancer is	5	product liability.
6	about product liability and not patient	6	A. This litigation is about product
7	safety.	7	liability? Isn't this litigation about
8 9	Is that your opinion?		mmo durat liability 9
	· -	8	product liability?
	A. No.	9	Q. Is the science about product
10	A. No.Q. I think those are your exact	9 10	Q. Is the science about product liability?
10 11	A. No.Q. I think those are your exact words in your report.	9 10 11	Q. Is the science about product liability?A. Can you show me where I say
10 11 12	A. No.Q. I think those are your exact words in your report.So it's not about product	9 10 11 12	Q. Is the science about product liability?A. Can you show me where I say that?
10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability?	9 10 11 12 13	Q. Is the science about product liability?A. Can you show me where I say that?Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on
10 11 12 13 14	 A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of 	9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the
10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. What I'm saying is that I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability. A. Much of the debate remains in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. What I'm saying is that I mean, if we're going to get into Ms.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability. A. Much of the debate remains in this realm. I would say there's more
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. What I'm saying is that I mean, if we're going to get into Ms. Gallardo's story later, but I just want	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability. A. Much of the debate remains in this realm. I would say there's more being discussed about talc and ovarian
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. What I'm saying is that I mean, if we're going to get into Ms. Gallardo's story later, but I just want to, if I may, what I'm saying is that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability. A. Much of the debate remains in this realm. I would say there's more being discussed about talc and ovarian cancer in the realm of litigation than
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. What I'm saying is that I mean, if we're going to get into Ms. Gallardo's story later, but I just want to, if I may, what I'm saying is that after receiving excellent medical care in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability. A. Much of the debate remains in this realm. I would say there's more being discussed about talc and ovarian cancer in the realm of litigation than there is in the realm of science and
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. What I'm saying is that I mean, if we're going to get into Ms. Gallardo's story later, but I just want to, if I may, what I'm saying is that after receiving excellent medical care in the hands of Dr. Mutch, a leader in GYN	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability. A. Much of the debate remains in this realm. I would say there's more being discussed about talc and ovarian cancer in the realm of litigation than there is in the realm of science and training and taking care of patients.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. What I'm saying is that I mean, if we're going to get into Ms. Gallardo's story later, but I just want to, if I may, what I'm saying is that after receiving excellent medical care in the hands of Dr. Mutch, a leader in GYN oncology, no one told this woman to stop	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability. A. Much of the debate remains in this realm. I would say there's more being discussed about talc and ovarian cancer in the realm of litigation than there is in the realm of science and training and taking care of patients. Q. When was the first lawsuit filed
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. I think those are your exact words in your report. So it's not about product liability? A. I don't impugn the integrity of the doctors who have examined the association of talc and ovarian cancer. What I'm saying is that I mean, if we're going to get into Ms. Gallardo's story later, but I just want to, if I may, what I'm saying is that after receiving excellent medical care in the hands of Dr. Mutch, a leader in GYN	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Is the science about product liability? A. Can you show me where I say that? Q. (Reading) Much of the debate on the role of genital talc use in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer remains in the realm of product liability. A. Much of the debate remains in this realm. I would say there's more being discussed about talc and ovarian cancer in the realm of litigation than there is in the realm of science and training and taking care of patients.

	Page 86		Page	. 88
1	Tage 00	1	1 ugc	00
2	Q. 2014, would that sound right to	2	plenty of studies before 2014 regarding	
3	you?	3	talc and ovarian cancer?	
4	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. He	4	A. Yes.	
5	just said he doesn't know.	5	Q. Whose responsibility is it to	
6	A. I don't I don't know.	6	ensure that a cosmetic product is safe?	
7	Q. Okay. I'll give you a	7	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	
8	hypothetical that it was 2014.	8	I don't really he's a GYN	
9	You would agree with me that	9	oncologist. He's here to testify.	
10	there was literature and studies prior to	10	That's outside the scope.	
11	2014 beginning in the 1960s and '70s,	11	I'm actually going to tell him	
12		12		
1	right?	13	not to answer that.	
13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	l	MS. THOMPSON: Well, he says	
14	A. Now that you mention 2014, you	14	there's no public program dedicated to	
15	have perked my memory, and I do remember	15	the eradication of genital talc use.	
16	reading the Schildkraut paper that they	16	BY MS. THOMPSON:	
17	show that 2014 was an important point.	17	Q. Are public health programs	
18	That there were more cases associated with	18	responsible for	
19	•	19	MS. DAVIDSON: That's just	
20	think, sort of, proves my point that the	20	outside the scope of his knowledge or	
21	litigation has impacted this whole	21	experience.	
22	discussion about talc.	22	MS. O'DELL: She wasn't finished	
23	But the statement that there's	23	with her question.	
24	more activity in the realm of litigation	24	MS. DAVIDSON: Yeah, but I	
25	than there is in the realm of training and	25	wasn't finished with my objection.	
1	Page 87	1	Page	89
$\frac{1}{2}$. 1	1	MG OIDELL 141: 1	
2	taking care of women with ovarian cancer,	2	MS. O'DELL: I think you were.	
3	I stand by that statement.	3	MS. THOMPSON: I believe you	
4	Q. And you do know that Schildkraut	4	interrupted me, Jessica.	
5	found that a statistically significant	5	BY MS. THOMPSON:	
6	increased risk before and after 2014,	6	Q. You state in your report: There	
7	correct?	7	is no public health program dedicated to	
8	MS. DAVIDSON: This is literally	8	the eradication of genital talc use.	
9	Leigh was very	9	Are public health programs	
10	MS. THOMPSON: He brought it up,	10	responsible for ensuring safety of a	
	not me.	11	cosmetic product?	
11	MC DAVIDONI I 1 1	12	A. Public health programs aren't	
12	MS. DAVIDSON: It doesn't	l		
12 13	matter. This is what Leigh was very	13	responsible. Public health programs are	
12	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask	13 14	responsible. Public health programs are in response to into improving the	
12 13	matter. This is what Leigh was very	l		
12 13 14	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask	14	in response to into improving the	
12 13 14 15	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before.	14 15	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are	
12 13 14 15 16	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before. Also, I know you asked a	14 15 16	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are recognized threats to public health, there	
12 13 14 15 16 17	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before. Also, I know you asked a hypothetical about whether the	14 15 16 17	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are recognized threats to public health, there are often in response to that public	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before. Also, I know you asked a hypothetical about whether the litigation started before 2014, but	14 15 16 17 18	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are recognized threats to public health, there are often in response to that public health programs. And I guess since you're	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before. Also, I know you asked a hypothetical about whether the litigation started before 2014, but there were talc cases before 2014. So I don't think it's fair to ask	14 15 16 17 18 19	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are recognized threats to public health, there are often in response to that public health programs. And I guess since you're bringing up my statement there, what I was	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before. Also, I know you asked a hypothetical about whether the litigation started before 2014, but there were talc cases before 2014. So I don't think it's fair to ask hypotheticals to a witness, who's not	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are recognized threats to public health, there are often in response to that public health programs. And I guess since you're bringing up my statement there, what I was saying was that when cigarette smoking is	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before. Also, I know you asked a hypothetical about whether the litigation started before 2014, but there were talc cases before 2014. So I don't think it's fair to ask hypotheticals to a witness, who's not a lawyer, about litigation that are	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are recognized threats to public health, there are often in response to that public health programs. And I guess since you're bringing up my statement there, what I was saying was that when cigarette smoking is identified as a risk for lung cancer,	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before. Also, I know you asked a hypothetical about whether the litigation started before 2014, but there were talc cases before 2014. So I don't think it's fair to ask hypotheticals to a witness, who's not a lawyer, about litigation that are false.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are recognized threats to public health, there are often in response to that public health programs. And I guess since you're bringing up my statement there, what I was saying was that when cigarette smoking is identified as a risk for lung cancer, there are efforts to make Americans stop	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	matter. This is what Leigh was very careful to say that we could not ask questions about studies before. Also, I know you asked a hypothetical about whether the litigation started before 2014, but there were talc cases before 2014. So I don't think it's fair to ask hypotheticals to a witness, who's not a lawyer, about litigation that are	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in response to into improving the health of the public. And when there are recognized threats to public health, there are often in response to that public health programs. And I guess since you're bringing up my statement there, what I was saying was that when cigarette smoking is identified as a risk for lung cancer,	

	Page 90		Page 92
1		1	
1	health efforts to decrease obesity.	2	to your first question, which he
3	I'm not aware of any public	3	hasn't even answered yet and now
4	health programs dedicated to the	4	you've just asked a second question.
5	eradication of talc use.	5	BY MS. THOMPSON:
6	MS. THOMPSON: That was all	6	Q. Did you answer my question that
7	non-responsive to my question.	7	you had? And I'm going to ask you another
8	Q. Who is responsible for the	8	question.
9	safety of a cosmetic product, or you don't	9	MS. DAVIDSON: You said the
10	know?	10	answer to your question is simply "I
11	A. I was instructed not to answer	11	don't know, right?" And then I'm
12	that question.	12	objecting to that question because
13	Q. I don't believe so. I believe	13	that's not what he said. He said,
14	there's an objection, but you can still	14	"That's not within my expertise."
15	answer.	15	MS. THOMPSON: He could testify
16	MS. DAVIDSON: I mean, I think	16	for himself, Jessica.
17	it's outside the scope of the of a	17	BY MS. THOMPSON:
18	GYN oncologist. He is a doctor. His	18	Q. Do you know from a regulatory
19	expertise is in treating women with	19	standpoint who is responsible for ensuring
20	cancer. It's not even so much that	20	the safety of a cosmetic product?
21	I'm instructing him. It's just that	21	A. That is outside my expertise.
22	it's not within the scope of his	22	Q. Okay. So that's very simple, a
23	opinions. I don't even know how he	23	simple answer to the question. Thank you.
24	could answer that question.	24	A. You're welcome.
25		25	Q. So you know nothing well,
1	Page 91	1	Page 93
$\frac{1}{2}$	BY MS. THOMPSON:		I'll ask it this way.
$\frac{2}{3}$	Q. Can you answer that question?	3	Is asbestos allowed in any
4	A. No, I can't.	4	product in the United States?
5	Q. But you're the dean of a medical	5	A. I'm really not an expert on
6	school. You're familiar with regulatory	6	products and what's in the that
7	agencies, correct?		question is also outside my expertise.
8	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This	8	Q. And so your answer would be "I
9	is just	9	don't know"?
1	A. I'm an associate dean of	10	A. It's outside my expertise, is my
10	11. I III all apportate dealf of		7 7
10	admissions to be clear	1 1	answer
11	admissions, to be clear. And no, that is not part of what	11 12	answer. O Doesn't that mean you don't
11 12	And no, that is not part of what	12	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't
11 12 13	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of	12 13	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know?
11 12 13 14	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating	12 13 14	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
11 12 13 14 15	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I	12 13 14 15	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer
11 12 13 14 15 16	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct?	12 13 14 15 16	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct? MS. DAVIDSON: No.	12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words. THE WITNESS: I understand.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct? MS. DAVIDSON: No. Q. Who is responsible	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words. THE WITNESS: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON:
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct? MS. DAVIDSON: No. Q. Who is responsible MS. DAVIDSON: Please do not put	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words. THE WITNESS: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. It's out of your expertise
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct? MS. DAVIDSON: No. Q. Who is responsible MS. DAVIDSON: Please do not put words I'm objecting.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words. THE WITNESS: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. It's out of your expertise whether asbestos is allowed in U.S.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct? MS. DAVIDSON: No. Q. Who is responsible MS. DAVIDSON: Please do not put words I'm objecting. MS. THOMPSON: Wait.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words. THE WITNESS: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. It's out of your expertise whether asbestos is allowed in U.S. products?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct? MS. DAVIDSON: No. Q. Who is responsible MS. DAVIDSON: Please do not put words I'm objecting. MS. THOMPSON: Wait. MS. DAVIDSON: You asked a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words. THE WITNESS: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. It's out of your expertise whether asbestos is allowed in U.S. products? A. My answer is I wouldn't be I
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct? MS. DAVIDSON: No. Q. Who is responsible MS. DAVIDSON: Please do not put words I'm objecting. MS. THOMPSON: Wait. MS. DAVIDSON: You asked a question. Now you're asking a second	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words. THE WITNESS: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. It's out of your expertise whether asbestos is allowed in U.S. products? A. My answer is I wouldn't be I shouldn't be expected to know. It's
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And no, that is not part of what I do on a daily basis. Taking care of patients and educating Q. So the answer is just simply "I don't know" and that's fine. Correct? MS. DAVIDSON: No. Q. Who is responsible MS. DAVIDSON: Please do not put words I'm objecting. MS. THOMPSON: Wait. MS. DAVIDSON: You asked a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Doesn't that mean you don't know? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You do not have to answer questions with Ms. Thompson's words. THE WITNESS: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. It's out of your expertise whether asbestos is allowed in U.S. products? A. My answer is I wouldn't be I

	Page 94		Page 96
1		1	
2	products?	2	that literature does not specify which
3	A. It's outside my expertise.	3	product.
4	Q. Do you know if a cosmetic	4	So, you know, that was my
5	product is adulterated if it contains	5	hesitation in answering because it's
6	asbestos?	6	impossible for me to say in most of these
7	A. That's outside of my expertise.	7	studies what products people were using.
8	Q. All right. Let's go to your	8	It was only Perren in 2016 that specified.
9	report, page 3 Summary of Opinions.	9	Q. Fair enough.
10	And I really do want to hone in	10	And you in your report say
11	on your opinions because that's what we're	11	"talc" and I say "talc," but we're
12	here today about. This is a relatively	12	referring to talcum powder products.
13	short paragraph that discusses lots of	13	A. But you just asked me to
14	things, but I want to glean from it your	14	stipulate that when I say "talc" in my
15	actual opinions.	15	report that I'm only speaking about
16	So, when you say, "The best	16	Johnson & Johnson.
17	science indicates that genital talc use is	17	Q. Well, in this litigation,
18	not associated with, much less does it	18	Johnson & Johnson is the only talcum
19	cause, an increased risk of ovarian	19	powder product that we're addressing,
20	cancer," are you saying that talc use is	20	correct?
	safe?	21	
21		22	A. Right. But in my report
22	And before you answer that	l .	MS. DAVIDSON: So, I'm a little
23	question, can we just between us stipulate	23	bit confused because I think you're
24	that if I say "talc" we're talking about	24	making this very complicated,
25	talcum powder products used in the genital	25	Margaret, because you're saying, "Can
1	Page 95	1	Page 97
2	area, and in this case those talcum powder	2	we stipulate that when I say talc
3	products are Johnson & Johnson's Baby	3	we're talking about Johnson's baby
4	Powder and Shower to Shower and we're	4	powder," but I don't know how we can
5	talking about epithelial ovarian cancer,	5	stipulate to that in this deposition
6	no other kinds of cancer? Fair enough?	6	because I assume we're talking about
7	A. Yes.	7	the literature, and in the literature
8	MS. DAVIDSON: So when you say	8	we have no idea what women used. So I
9	"talc" you mean cosmetic talc?	9	don't think that stipulation going to
10	MS. THOMPSON: When I say	10	work.
11	"talc," I mean talcum powder products.	11	
12	MS. DAVIDSON: Cosmetic talcum	12	MS. THOMPSON: All right. So in
14	powder products.	13	every instance we'll say "talcum powder products including Johnson's
	1 11 134/1 PT 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13	
13		1.4	Daby Dowdon and Charranta Charranta 1
13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic	14	Baby Powder and Shower to Shower used
13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But	15	in the genital area and causing
13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products	15 16	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay.
13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products manufactured and sold by Johnson &	15 16 17	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay. That's fine too.
13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products manufactured and sold by Johnson & Johnson.	15 16 17 18	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay. That's fine too. There are just lots of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products manufactured and sold by Johnson & Johnson. BY MS. THOMPSON:	15 16 17 18 19	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay. That's fine too. There are just lots of references where he just says "talc,"
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products manufactured and sold by Johnson & Johnson. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Fair enough?	15 16 17 18 19 20	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay. That's fine too. There are just lots of references where he just says "talc," and I think it's easier to say he's
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products manufactured and sold by Johnson & Johnson. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Fair enough? A. Fair enough.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay. That's fine too. There are just lots of references where he just says "talc," and I think it's easier to say he's talking about talcum powder products
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products manufactured and sold by Johnson & Johnson. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Fair enough? A. Fair enough. Q. You knew what I meant, right?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay. That's fine too. There are just lots of references where he just says "talc," and I think it's easier to say he's talking about talcum powder products used genitally than going through all
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products manufactured and sold by Johnson & Johnson. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Fair enough? A. Fair enough. Q. You knew what I meant, right? A. I think the the my opinion	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay. That's fine too. There are just lots of references where he just says "talc," and I think it's easier to say he's talking about talcum powder products used genitally than going through all that.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Well, cosmetic talc could be a different word. But the talcum powder products manufactured and sold by Johnson & Johnson. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Fair enough? A. Fair enough. Q. You knew what I meant, right?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the genital area and causing epithelial ovarian cancer," okay. That's fine too. There are just lots of references where he just says "talc," and I think it's easier to say he's talking about talcum powder products used genitally than going through all

	Page 98		Page 100
1		1	
2	is safe. I was just trying to shorten	2	the genital area. So I just want to make
3	that process.	3	sure when you're saying we're only going
4	BY MS. THOMPSON:	4	to talk about dusting of the genital area.
5	Q. But if there's any question, we	5	Q. No, I'm talking about the
6	can be more specific about what we're	6	using we'll just try to be specific in
7	talking about. And for sure if we're	7	every instance.
8	talking about something other than	8	A. Okay.
9	Johnson's talcum powder products and used	9	Q. So, when you make the statement
10	genitally and causing ovarian cancer, we	10	about talc use is not associated, much
11	can specify for sure.	11	less it causes, you're talking about
12	MS. DAVIDSON: So I don't	12	genital talc use and you're talking about
13	understand what you just said.	13	epithelial ovarian cancer, right?
14	MS. O'DELL: Why don't you just	14	A. Yes.
15	ask a question?	15	Q. And based on what you're saying
16	MS. DAVIDSON: I don't	16	this morning, you and I can interpret that
17	understand.	17	statement as your opinion that tale is
18	BY MS. THOMPSON:	18	safe, right?
19	Q. Dr. Holcomb, do you understand	19	A. Yes.
20	what I'm saying?	20	Q. And then you go on to say that:
21	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me.	21	The plaintiff's experts' hypotheses
22	A. Not completely.	22	regarding biological plausibility ignore a
23	Q. So, when you say "talc is safe,"	23	host of contradictory studies.
24	what are you talking about?	24	Well, it's not just plaintiff's
25	A. I can I propose a	25	experts' hypotheses, is it?
1	Page 99	1	Page 101
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	stipulation?	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. My statement was just about the
3	Q. No.	3	plaintiffs.
4	A. No, okay.	4	Q. Okay.
5	What I'm talking about are the	5	A. I'm not sure of other folks. I
6	products that we use in the body of	6	reviewed the plaintiffs' deposition, the
7	literature in the last 40 years.	7	experts' deposition.
8	So when I say "talc," my opinion	8	Q. And you reviewed the literature
9	on this is based on the results of the	9	as well?
10	epidemiology. And so that's what I'm	10	A. Yes.
11	referring to when I say "talc."	11	Q. And you will agree that that is
12	Q. So we can say talcum powder	12	provided as a possible biologic mechanism
13	products without specifying Johnson &	13	in numerous settings, right?
14	Johnson?	14	A. I'm saying let me just read
15	A. You could.	15	my statement again.
16	Q. Okay.	16	Can you point me to where you're
17	And when we "the use of talc,"	17	speaking?
18	can we say that's the genital use of talc?	18	Q. Top of page 4.
19	We're not talking about diapering or men	19	And what we're doing here is
20	using talc or anything other than women	20	just me trying to get your opinions down.
21	using talc in the genital area, correct?	21	A. (Witness reads document.)
22	A. When you say "genital area,"	22	No, no, that's it's very
23	because my report goes through many uses	23	clear what I'm saying. I say their
24	of talc, I refer to, you know, diaphragms,	24	hypotheses ignore a host of contradictory
25	I refer to condoms, I refer to dusting of	25	studies.

	Page 102		Page 104
1		1	
2	You had a question about that	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Hey, hey, the
3	statement?	3	court reporter and I, I'm speaking on
4	Q. Yeah. I said there are many	4	your behalf, I hope that's okay, both
5	other sources besides just plaintiff	5	beseech you not to speak over each
6	experts that also provide a biologic	6	other.
7	plausibility statement consistent with the	7	MS. THOMPSON: We'll do our
8	plaintiff's experts, right?	8	best.
9	A. If that's the case, then they	9	MS. DAVIDSON: I hope this isn't
10	would also be ignoring contradictory	10	your best.
11	studies as well. I'm only speaking about	11	BY MS. THOMPSON:
12	the plaintiff's.	12	Q. So my question to you is what,
13	Q. Okay.	13	first of all, what is your definition of
14	And you'll agree with me that	14	"plausibility" that you used in this
15	almost every epidemiological study gives	15	sentence?
16	the same biologic plausibility argument	16	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
17	that plaintiff's experts do, wouldn't you?	17	A. A biologic plausibility is, to
18	A. I'm sorry, repeat the question.	18	me it's just the from the statement
19	Q. Doesn't almost every	19	from the standpoint of, like, a Bradford
20	epidemiological study mention the	20	Hill standpoint. It's just you have to
21	possibility at least of talc migrating to	21	have an explanation that at least is
22	the ovaries and creating inflammation and	22	possible.
23	that being a mechanism for the	23	Q. Okay.
24	carcinogenesis of talc?	24	And when I looked it up, I came
25	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	25	up with those same. It's believable.
	Page 103		Page 105
1		1	
2	A. I don't know if everyone does.	2	Would you agree?
3	Q. I said many do.	3	A. No.
4	A. Many do.	4	Q. Is that a synonym for plausible
5	Q. Okay. All right.	5	is believable?
6	So it's not just the plaintiff's	6	A. So you can propose a hypothesis
7	experts that came up with that. That's my	7	and then you can study it. If you still
8	question.	l .	believe it after you studied it when the
9	A. When you say "came up with	9	evidence says that's not the case, then
10	that," what do you mean? 'Cause there's	10	that's not plausible anymore. If you
11	theories and there's proven theories.	11	propose a hypothesis with no data, anybody
12	So if you're saying are you	12	can do that, but once you have studied it
13	saying that there are many people who have	13	and you still hold this belief, that's
14	proven the theory that talc causes	14	where I'm disagreeing. I'm saying that's
15	inflammation that causes ovarian cancer?	15	not plausible to me anymore.
16	I would disagree with that statement.	16	Q. Okay.
17	Q. I just said nothing about that.	17	So is it your opinion, and again
18	A. So maybe I misheard you.	18	I'm just trying to get your opinions
19	Q. Okay.	19	clear
20	My question was there are others	20	A. I think they're pretty clear,
21	besides plaintiff experts that have that	21	but I want to clarify it.
22	hypothesis, correct?	22	Q. Okay.
23	A. A hypothesis is a theory, yes.	23	So, is your opinion that the
101	Q. I am using the word	24	mechanism by which talc could cause
24 25	"hypothesis."	25	ovarian cancer is not plausible?

	Page 106		Page 108
1	rage 100	1	rage 108
2	A. That's that's my opinion.	2	plausible and proven.
3	Q. Okay. That's those are just	3	The fact that talc could get
4	what I'm trying to pin down.	4	there you can say is plausible and then
5	A. Yes.	5	you do studies on it, and I'm saying after
6	Q. Okay. So we don't need you to	6	the study's done, because you want to know
7	repeat. You gave me what your opinion	7	about my opinions, my opinions are not
8	was. Because when you say that	8	based on theories. They're based on the
9	plaintiff's expert's hypothesis, I want to	9	literature that address those theories.
10	know Dr. Holcomb's opinion.	10	And I'm saying that there's inconclusive
11	A. Well, I want to clarify again.	11	evidence in the literature that talc has
12	Are you saying the hypothesis is	12	the ability to reach the ovaries. There's
13	not plausible? Because any hypothesis is	13	some studies that have shown in animal
14	plausible. Any question is worth looking	14	studies that it can, some studies in
15	at.	15	humans. But in under normal situations
16	I'm saying a belief that the	16	where we're talking about dusting, which
17	literature supports that this is the	17	is the most common use, that talc is able
18	cause, that's what I'm disagreeing with.	18	to reach the ovaries, I'm not convinced of
19	3 1	19	that based on the literature.
20		20	Q. Okay. But I'm asking you not
21	Is this your opinion: The	21	that you're convinced, but after reviewing
22	mechanism by which talcum powder use could	22	the literature, is the mechanism of
23	cause ovarian cancer is not plausible?	23	migration of talc particles to the tubes,
24	A. You know, I think I should	24	ovaries, and peritoneal cavity plausible?
25	clarify because one of the things I'm	25	A. So again, something is
1	Page 107	1	Page 109
$\frac{1}{2}$	learning chart this whole process is being	1	plansible by anything is plansible but
3	learning about this whole process is being really, really clear about what I'm saying	2	plausible, by anything is plausible, but is there sufficient evidence to suggest
4	here. And you use the term "hypothesis"	4	
5	and maybe that's not the most clear thing.	5	see, I you're asking me questions, but
6	What I'm really trying to get	6	I'm trying to be very, very clear about my
7	across is that when I read the plaintiff's	7	opinions, but I don't think my opinions
8	expert's opinions that tale causing	8	are acceptable to you. So you keep asking
9	ovarian cancer by inflammation, I don't	9	me in different ways, and I'm saying that
10		10	plausible as a theory, anything is
11	used the term "hypothesis" here because	11	plausible. But when you look at the body
12	that's just a theory. Anybody can propose	12	of the literature where my opinions are
13	a theory.	13	based, there isn't good evidence, there
14	I'm saying holding on to that	14	isn't strong evidence that this happens.
			And we can I'm sure we're
15		15	And we can I in suit we it
15 16	theory and believing it after you've done	15 16	
1	theory and believing it after you've done the literature and don't have proof of it		going to get into the different studies,
16	theory and believing it after you've done the literature and don't have proof of it and then saying "I look at this literature	16	going to get into the different studies, whether we're talking about monkeys or
16 17	theory and believing it after you've done the literature and don't have proof of it and then saying "I look at this literature	16 17	going to get into the different studies,
16 17 18	theory and believing it after you've done the literature and don't have proof of it and then saying "I look at this literature and say this is proven," that's that's what I disagree with. And maybe I wasn't	16 17 18	going to get into the different studies, whether we're talking about monkeys or rats or women, and we can go through each one of those studies, but I'm saying as a
16 17 18 19	theory and believing it after you've done the literature and don't have proof of it and then saying "I look at this literature and say this is proven," that's that's	16 17 18 19	going to get into the different studies, whether we're talking about monkeys or rats or women, and we can go through each
16 17 18 19 20	theory and believing it after you've done the literature and don't have proof of it and then saying "I look at this literature and say this is proven," that's that's what I disagree with. And maybe I wasn't as clear in my writing there. Q. Is it your opinion that	16 17 18 19 20	going to get into the different studies, whether we're talking about monkeys or rats or women, and we can go through each one of those studies, but I'm saying as a whole, when you take all of that into
16 17 18 19 20 21	theory and believing it after you've done the literature and don't have proof of it and then saying "I look at this literature and say this is proven," that's that's what I disagree with. And maybe I wasn't as clear in my writing there. Q. Is it your opinion that	16 17 18 19 20 21	going to get into the different studies, whether we're talking about monkeys or rats or women, and we can go through each one of those studies, but I'm saying as a whole, when you take all of that into account, I don't think that there is good
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	theory and believing it after you've done the literature and don't have proof of it and then saying "I look at this literature and say this is proven," that's that's what I disagree with. And maybe I wasn't as clear in my writing there. Q. Is it your opinion that ascension of talc particles applied to the perineum reaching the tubes, ovaries, and	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to get into the different studies, whether we're talking about monkeys or rats or women, and we can go through each one of those studies, but I'm saying as a whole, when you take all of that into account, I don't think that there is good evidence that talc is able to get from

	Page 110		Page 112
1	rage 110	1	rage 112
2	not talking about convincing Dr. Holcomb.	2	because you're trying to get a statement
3	I'm not talking about studies that say	3	about what I thought before I read this
4	different things.	4	thing. I went into it with an open mind,
5	What I'm asking you in your	5	and I said it is plausible. And then I
6	definition of plausible, which you gave me	6	read the literature and I said I don't
7	meant possible, is the ability of talc	7	think that's what happens.
8	particles to reach, applied to the	8	Q. Okay. Let me clarify then.
9	perineum, the ability to reach the tubes,	9	Every question I ask you today I want to
10	ovaries, and peritoneum, is that	10	know what your opinion is today after
11	plausible? Is it possible?	11	you've read all the literature and
12	A. Again, anything is possible.	12	considered it carefully. I do not want to
13	Once it's been studied, you have a	13	hear any opinions that you had before you
14	difference of opinion.	14	wrote your report.
15	So you're asking me to say can	15	Is that clear?
16	you give me your opinion before you read	16	A. Okay.
17	any studies on this. Because no, that's	17	Q. So let me just and I'm not
18	what you're asking. You're saying is it	18	trying to get a certain answer from you.
19	plausible. And anything is plausible, but	19	I want what your opinion is. That's
20	what's more important, I thought I was	20	what's important to me.
21	here to give you my opinion based on the	21	A. Yes.
22	literature. Do I think that talc applied	22	Q. Is that clear?
23	to the perineum gets to the ovary, and	23	A. Yes.
24	that's where I'm saying no, I don't have	24	Q. So, my question is is it
25	evidence to suggest that's the case. But	25	possible, after looking at all the
	Page 111		Page 113
1		1	
2	you're asking me to say well, how did you	2	literature, for talc applied to the
3	feel before you read anything on this	3	perineum for the particles to reach the
4	topic. Because to be perfectly honest,	4	tubes, ovaries, and peritoneum?
5	this is I read all this literature in	5	A. Can I
6	preparation for this. This is not part of	6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked
7	normal training for GYN oncology. We've		
0		7	and answered.
8	already covered that.	8	A. Yeah, it I've already
9	already covered that. So I'm a little confused.		A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around
	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question	8	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do
9 10 11	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your	8 9 10 11	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because
9 10	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this	8 9 10 11 12	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the
9 10 11 12 13	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with	8 9 10 11	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt
9 10 11 12 13 14	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and	8 9 10 11 12	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened, and I and I walked away saying no, I	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible. That means there is no situation that I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened, and I and I walked away saying no, I don't see evidence, after all these	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible. That means there is no situation that I would read the literature and come out
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened, and I and I walked away saying no, I don't see evidence, after all these studies and animal models and human	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible. That means there is no situation that I would read the literature and come out with the idea that says it is impossible
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened, and I and I walked away saying no, I don't see evidence, after all these studies and animal models and human models, to say that this is I think	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible. That means there is no situation that I would read the literature and come out with the idea that says it is impossible for talc to get to the ovaries. That's
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened, and I and I walked away saying no, I don't see evidence, after all these studies and animal models and human models, to say that this is I think this is what's going on, I think that talc	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible. That means there is no situation that I would read the literature and come out with the idea that says it is impossible for talc to get to the ovaries. That's what you're asking. And there's no read
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened, and I and I walked away saying no, I don't see evidence, after all these studies and animal models and human models, to say that this is I think this is what's going on, I think that talc is going and ascending.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible. That means there is no situation that I would read the literature and come out with the idea that says it is impossible for talc to get to the ovaries. That's what you're asking. And there's no read of literature, you can never have enough
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened, and I and I walked away saying no, I don't see evidence, after all these studies and animal models and human models, to say that this is I think this is what's going on, I think that talc is going and ascending. So I hope I'm I'm not trying	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible. That means there is no situation that I would read the literature and come out with the idea that says it is impossible for talc to get to the ovaries. That's what you're asking. And there's no read of literature, you can never have enough literature that should would make you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	already covered that. So I'm a little confused. You're asking me to answer that question before I almost like what was your opinion before you read any of this literature. I read this literature with an open mind. I said it is possible, and then I read the literature and then I left with do you think this is what happened, and I and I walked away saying no, I don't see evidence, after all these studies and animal models and human models, to say that this is I think this is what's going on, I think that talc is going and ascending.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah, it I've already answered this. We're sort of going around in circles here because I think, and I do think you want a certain answer, because what you want is that after reviewing the literature, you can say without a doubt this is impossible, this cannot happen, and and so because that's when you're saying plausible you're saying possible. That means there is no situation that I would read the literature and come out with the idea that says it is impossible for talc to get to the ovaries. That's what you're asking. And there's no read of literature, you can never have enough

1	Page 114	1	Page 116
2	reasonable degree of medical certainty.	_	reach the tubes, ovaries, or peritoneal
3	That's what all your opinions are based	3	cavity ever published?
4	on, correct?	4	A. I know of no study that's ever
5	A. I'm more comfortable there.	5	been set up to ever come to that
6	Q. Okay. We'll make it easier.	6	conclusion. So it's an impossible
7	To a reasonable degree of	7	conclusion for a study to reach.
8	medical certainty, is it your opinion that	8	Q. Okay.
9	particles applied to the perineum do not	9	Well, let's go to your criticism
10	reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneum?	10	of Dr. Wolf's discussion of migration.
11	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked	11	And you discuss actual migration.
12	and answered.	12	MS. THOMPSON: This is Dr.
13	A. Yes, to a degree of medical	13	Wolf's amended report we'll mark as
14	certainty, yes.	14	Exhibit 9.
15	Q. Okay. And we can, with all your	15	(Holcomb Exhibit 9, Second
16	answers, assume that you're talking to a	16	Amended Rule 26 Expert Report of
17	reasonable degree of medical certainty.	17	Judith Wolf, MD - May 28, 2024, was
18	We're not talking about proof here.	18	marked for identification, as of this
19	Okay?	19	date.)
20	A. Okay.	20	BY MS. THOMPSON:
21	Q. Have there been any studies	21	Q. You discuss Dr. Wolf's opinions
22	since 2019 that state that talc applied to	22	on migration on page 19 of your report, if
23	the peritoneum cannot reach the tubes,	23	you want to go there.
24	ovaries, and peritoneal cavity that you're	24	A. Yes.
25	aware of?	25	Q. And you state that Dr. Wolf
	Page 115		Page 117
1		1	
2	A. You said peritoneum?	2	has a complete section on page 13 of her
3	Q. Yes.	3	report about migration and transport of
4	A. You mean perineum. I shouldn't	4	talc through the genital tract, correct?
5	tell you what you mean, but	5	A. Can I get to it?
6	Q. No, I said applied to the	6	Q. On page 13.
7	perineum, can we	7	A. Okay.
8	A. You said peritoneum.	8	Q. Is there anything in that
9	Q. Okay. Just for your	9	discussion that you think is inaccurate in
10	information, I'm a gynecologist as well.	10	the description of the studies?
11	A. I know, but it's being recorded,	11	MS. DAVIDSON: Can you
12	so I want it to be accurate.	12	A. You're saying anything in?
13	Q. I apologize if I said	13	Q. Page 14.
14	peritoneum. I think it would be clear	14	Have you identified anything in
15	that we're talking, and I want to just say	15	Dr. Wolf's discussion that's inaccurate?
16	talc, but we're going to say applied to	16	MS. DAVIDSON: Just page 14?
17	the perineum.	17	MS. THOMPSON: Page 13, 14, and
18	So, have there been any studies	18	15 in her discussion on migration and
19	since 2019 that talc applied to the	19	transport.
20	perineum cannot reach the tubes, ovaries,	20	A. I'd have to read it.
21	and peritoneal cavity, that you're aware	21	You want me to read the whole
22	of?	22	thing and I'll get back to you? Or let me
23	A. No.	23	read it again and I'll answer that
24	Ara vou avera of any study that	7)/	quartion for you
24 25	Q. Are you aware of any study that says talc applied to the perineum does not	24 25	question for you. Q. Sure. I mean, I believe you

1	Page 118		Page 120
1	rage 110	1	rage 120
2	read that when you wrote your report.	2	the inaccuracies, then you can ask
3	A. I don't have a photographic	3	follow-up questions.
4	memory, so you	4	MS. THOMPSON: No, I'm going to
5	Q. Yeah, you can look at it.	5	ask about the first inaccuracy he
6	A. Okay.	6	identified. I can do that.
7	(Witness reads document.)	7	MS. DAVIDSON: No, no, wait a
8	I will change, in the first	8	minute. That wasn't your question.
9	paragraph I already found an issue. It	9	Your question was, "Tell me all
10	says, she's talking about the open system:	10	the inaccuracies."
11	As such, it is universally accepted in the	11	MS. THOMPSON: I said, "Can you
12	gynecologic community that substance	12	identify inaccuracies?"
13	migrate and/or transported in both	13	Okay. Withdraw it.
14	directions.	14	MS. DAVIDSON: He's going to go
15	And I would say "can be" only	15	through 13 to 15, identify everything.
16	because this idea of a open system, yes,	16	Because otherwise we're going to have
17	it is open, almost like plumbing, from the	17	another interruption fest.
18	perineum from the vagina to the	18	THE WITNESS: (Witness reads
19	peritoneal cavity, but there are natural	19	document.)
20	barriers. So I would say that it is	20	A. So, her first statement is that
21	generally accepted that substances can	21	evidence to support the migration of talc
22	migrate or not that anything placed in	22	particles is includes, and she goes
23	the vagina migrates up. Because that's	23	from one to seven, and I would say that it
24	what I took it as.	24	is an open system, so because one thing
25	Q. Anything else?	25	can go through is not proof that all
1	Page 119	1	Page 121
1	A I at ma finish I'm just gatting	1	things can as through Co I don't think
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Let me finish. I'm just getting	$\frac{2}{3}$	things can go through. So I don't think
	started. (Witness reads document.)	-	that supports. This these 1 to 7
4 5	,	4	support that it's an open system, which I
5	Q. And I'm looking for inaccuracies		don't disagraa with
6		5	don't disagree with.
6	on the reporting of the studies.	6	So the only thing that I think
7	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the	6 7	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether
7 8	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while.	6 7 8	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just
7 8 9	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as	6 7 8 9	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that.
7 8 9 10	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport	6 7 8 9 10	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question
7 8 9 10 11	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm	6 7 8 9 10 11	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then.
7 8 9 10 11 12	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an example of the proof that talc could go	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I mentioned 7. They're all interesting
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an example of the proof that talc could go up, I would disagree with that.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I mentioned 7. They're all interesting things, but they don't really talk about
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an example of the proof that talc could go up, I would disagree with that. Q. Did you read what she actually	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I mentioned 7. They're all interesting things, but they don't really talk about talc.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an example of the proof that talc could go up, I would disagree with that. Q. Did you read what she actually says? Did she saying about	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I mentioned 7. They're all interesting things, but they don't really talk about talc. (Witness reads document.)
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an example of the proof that talc could go up, I would disagree with that. Q. Did you read what she actually says? Did she saying about A. She said	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I mentioned 7. They're all interesting things, but they don't really talk about talc. (Witness reads document.) Q. And again I'm looking for
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an example of the proof that talc could go up, I would disagree with that. Q. Did you read what she actually says? Did she saying about A. She said MS. DAVIDSON: Hold on. You	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I mentioned 7. They're all interesting things, but they don't really talk about talc. (Witness reads document.) Q. And again I'm looking for inaccuracies in her reporting, not whether
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an example of the proof that talc could go up, I would disagree with that. Q. Did you read what she actually says? Did she saying about A. She said MS. DAVIDSON: Hold on. You asked him a question. You asked him	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I mentioned 7. They're all interesting things, but they don't really talk about talc. (Witness reads document.) Q. And again I'm looking for inaccuracies in her reporting, not whether you agree or disagree.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on the reporting of the studies. A. Yeah, I would agree with the first this is going to take a while. She uses motile sperm as evidence to support migration or transport of talc particles and fibers, and sperm have a flagellum, they have a tail. So I'm not sure why you would take that as proof. We already know it's an open system. So something that has a tail that's using energy to move upward as an example of the proof that talc could go up, I would disagree with that. Q. Did you read what she actually says? Did she saying about A. She said MS. DAVIDSON: Hold on. You	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So the only thing that I think she gets into that would support whether talc can do it starts at 8. So I just want to say that. If you want to ask me a question about that, I'm going to read 8 then. Should I read 8 to tell you what I thought? Q. Yes. I thought you were finished. A. No, I just wanted to make sure I mentioned 7. They're all interesting things, but they don't really talk about talc. (Witness reads document.) Q. And again I'm looking for inaccuracies in her reporting, not whether

	Page 122		Page 124
1	1 uge 122	1	1 ugo 12 1
2	Q. Okay.	2	Q. You would agree with the
3	MS. DAVIDSON: Guys, I don't	3	statements you just made are Dr. Wolf's
4	know how many more times I can ask	4	opinions, correct?
5	that we have a proper record where you	5	A. I was reviewing this to see what
6	let him finish his answers.	6	I disagreed with her opinions, no?
7	I feel like I'm dealing with	7	Q. No. My question was
8	children here.	8	inaccuracies in the report of the studies.
9	MS. THOMPSON: And that is	9	A. Yeah, she's saying there's
10	disrespectful, Jessica.	10	robust evidence in reporting the studies.
11	MS. O'DELL: That's not	11	She's not citing any studies. She's
12	necessary. It's not going to help	12	saying there's robust evidence, and I'm
13	this going forward.	13	saying I disagree with that.
14	He's responding to the	14	Q. Well, if she's not reciting a
15	questions. She's going to ask the	15	study, we're assuming that's Dr. Wolf's
16	questions. Let's keep it moving.		opinion, okay?
17	THE WITNESS: (Witness reads	17	A. I was assuming that Dr. Wolf's
18	document.)	18	opinions are based on literature, like
19	A. She makes a statement: The	19	mine are. Is that the case?
20	migration of particles, including	20	Q. Well, the citation on that is
21	constituents of talcum powder products	21	from the FDA that states: The potential
22	from the perineum to the upper genital	22	for particulates to migrate from the
23	tract, tubes and ovaries is a key element	23	perineum and the vagina to the peritoneal
24	in the mechanism by which talcum powder	24	cavity is indisputable.
25	products cause ovarian cancer. And then	25	A. Again, just because one thing
	Page 123		Page 125
1		1	
2	she goes on to say: The evidence	2	can make it through does not mean that
3	supporting this process is robust. And	3	talc does.
4	and I would disagree with that statement.	4	Q. Okay. I want to go to your
5	And: Universally accepted by	5	report on page 26, and you say: The
6	the medical community. Because is she	6	reliance on studies showing migration
7	saying both transport is generally	7	of
8	accepted that it happens and that talc	8	A. I've got to go back to 6.
9	causes ovarian cancer, she gives a	9	Q. Okay. 26 of your report.
10	citation which I'm not sure what the	10	A. 26, all right.
11	citation is, but the two statements she	11	Q. Middle paragraph: Reliance on
12	made before that I don't think that the	12	studies showing migration of motile sperm
13	evidence is robust, nor do I think this is	13	and bacteria is misplaced because the
14	generally accepted by the medical	14	movement of these substances is obviously
		15	and starkly different from any purported
15	community.		
16	Q. You would agree with me that	16	mobility of talc.
16 17	Q. You would agree with me that those are Dr. Wolf's opinions?	17	Does Dr. Wolf or any of the
16 17 18	Q. You would agree with me that those are Dr. Wolf's opinions? Are you finished with your	17 18	Does Dr. Wolf or any of the plaintiff experts say that, state that
16 17 18 19	Q. You would agree with me that those are Dr. Wolf's opinions? Are you finished with your answer?	17 18 19	Does Dr. Wolf or any of the plaintiff experts say that, state that migration of motile sperm and bacteria is
16 17 18 19 20	Q. You would agree with me that those are Dr. Wolf's opinions? Are you finished with your answer? A. Yeah.	17 18 19 20	Does Dr. Wolf or any of the plaintiff experts say that, state that migration of motile sperm and bacteria is evidence for migration of talc particles?
16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. You would agree with me that those are Dr. Wolf's opinions? Are you finished with your answer? A. Yeah. Q. Thank you. 	17 18 19 20 21	Does Dr. Wolf or any of the plaintiff experts say that, state that migration of motile sperm and bacteria is evidence for migration of talc particles? A. Yes.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. You would agree with me that those are Dr. Wolf's opinions? Are you finished with your answer? A. Yeah. Q. Thank you. MS. DAVIDSON: You're finished? 	17 18 19 20 21 22	Does Dr. Wolf or any of the plaintiff experts say that, state that migration of motile sperm and bacteria is evidence for migration of talc particles? A. Yes. Q. Where?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. You would agree with me that those are Dr. Wolf's opinions? Are you finished with your answer? A. Yeah. Q. Thank you. MS. DAVIDSON: You're finished? You're done with the whole	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Does Dr. Wolf or any of the plaintiff experts say that, state that migration of motile sperm and bacteria is evidence for migration of talc particles? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. Dr. Wolf mentions that sperm is
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. You would agree with me that those are Dr. Wolf's opinions? Are you finished with your answer? A. Yeah. Q. Thank you. MS. DAVIDSON: You're finished? 	17 18 19 20 21 22	Does Dr. Wolf or any of the plaintiff experts say that, state that migration of motile sperm and bacteria is evidence for migration of talc particles? A. Yes. Q. Where?

	Page 126		Page 120
1	Page 126	1	Page 128
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	your question is does she mention that	2	to even say that, being able to reach is
3	sperm, alive motile sperm being able to	3	evidence that talc can reach.
4	ascend is evidence, yes, that is the first	4	Q. Yes. And she
5	statement she makes.	5	A. And I disagree with that.
6	Q. Well, let's read her sentence:	6	Q. You can disagree. That's Dr.
7	Sperm move more quickly through the	7	Wolf's opinion, and I'm not trying to get
8	genital tract than would be predicted from	8	you to agree with Dr. Wolf.
9	innate motility indicating a transport	9	A. I just want to clarify.
10	mechanism.	10	Q. I just want to claim.
11	Is that what she says?	11	you say in your report is accurate.
12	A. Yes.	12	And does Dr. Wolf mention
13	Q. All right. That's different	13	anything at all about bacteria?
14	from saying that because motile sperm can	14	A. I'd have to go back to that.
	get to the ovaries, talc particles can,	15	•
15 16	isn't it?	16	Q. In the what you just read, is there any mention of bacteria?
17	A. That is.	17	A. I don't remember seeing that.
18		18	Can you remind me of the page
19	Q. Okay. And when she discusses dead	19	number?
20	sperm, dead sperm don't have a motile	20	MS. O'DELL: 14.
21	flagellae, do they?	21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	A. No.	22	(Witness reads document.)
23		23	A. No, I don't see it.
24	Q. And talc particle sorry, sperm particles like a sperm head without	24	
25	a tail, that doesn't have innate motility,	25	Q. So what we've been trying to do is hone in on your opinions, and let me
23	·	23	
1	Page 127	1	Page 129
1 2		1	
2	does it?	2	know if these are correct.
3			know if these are correct. Talc is safe to use on the
-	A. No. In general, any proof that	2 3	Talc is safe to use on the
3		2	
3 4	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die	2 3 4	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes.
3 4 5	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries,	2 3 4 5	Talc is safe to use on the perineum.
3 4 5	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does.	2 3 4 5 6	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian
3 4 5 6 7	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her	2 3 4 5 6 7	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to. And I don't take that as support that talc	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct. Or asbestos.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to. And I don't take that as support that talc does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct. Or asbestos. A. I don't believe I made a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to. And I don't take that as support that talc does. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct. Or asbestos. A. I don't believe I made a statement on asbestos.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to. And I don't take that as support that talc does. Q. Okay. A. I think that should be limited	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct. Or asbestos. A. I don't believe I made a statement on asbestos. Q. Okay. Let me ask you about
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to. And I don't take that as support that talc does. Q. Okay. A. I think that should be limited to the literature on talc in specific.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct. Or asbestos. A. I don't believe I made a statement on asbestos. Q. Okay. Let me ask you about asbestos.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to. And I don't take that as support that talc does. Q. Okay. A. I think that should be limited to the literature on talc in specific. Q. But you agree that that's not what Dr. Wolf is stating?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct. Or asbestos. A. I don't believe I made a statement on asbestos. Q. Okay. Let me ask you about asbestos. A. I don't remember reading any literature on asbestos transport.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to. And I don't take that as support that talc does. Q. Okay. A. I think that should be limited to the literature on talc in specific. Q. But you agree that that's not what Dr. Wolf is stating?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct. Or asbestos. A. I don't believe I made a statement on asbestos. Q. Okay. Let me ask you about asbestos. A. I don't remember reading any literature on asbestos transport.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. In general, any proof that sperm can get to the tubes and ovaries, which we all know it does or we would die out as a people, does not prove that talc does. But if your point is that her argument is based on dead sperm, motile sperm and this and this, you're right. And my report just said motile sperm. Q. Okay, but A. But sperm in general, dead, alive, blind, can see, them being able to ascend is not proof that talc is able to. And I don't take that as support that talc does. Q. Okay. A. I think that should be limited to the literature on talc in specific. Q. But you agree that that's not what Dr. Wolf is stating? A. No, she's stating that sperm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Talc is safe to use on the perineum. A. Yes. Q. It does not cause ovarian cancer. A. Yes. Q. After your review of the medical literature, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, you do not think that particles applied to the perineum reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity. A. I don't believe talc does. Q. Talc particles, correct. Or asbestos. A. I don't believe I made a statement on asbestos. Q. Okay. Let me ask you about asbestos. A. I don't remember reading any literature on asbestos transport. Q. Do you have an opinion about

1 -	Page 130		Page 132
1		1	
2	literature I've read. So I don't have an	2	chronic inflammation in the same category
3	opinion outside of literature.	3	as migration, that after your review, it's
4	Q. Have you seen literature that	4	not plausible, to a reasonable degree of
5	indicates that asbestos fibers can reach	5	medical certainty?
6	ovaries?	6	A. I would say it's unproven.
7	A. I haven't.	7	It's the the literature I've looked
8	Q. You haven't looked at articles	8	at has not shown the ability of talc to
9	that have demonstrated talc in tissue of	9	cause chronic inflammation and that
10	ovaries I'm sorry, asbestos in the	10	chronic inflammation to cause
11	tissue of ovaries?	11	carcinogenesis.
12	A. No, I haven't	12	Q. Does it have to be proven to be
13	Q. Or lymph nodes?	13	plausible?
14	A. My opinion is based on the	14	A. I think you have to have some
15	literature of talc products, including	15	evidence.
16	Johnson & Johnson as we said earlier.	16	No. When you say proven meaning
17	Q. So you would have no opinion as	17	do you have to have a study that showed
18	to whether asbestos fibers or particles	18	malignant transformation, that would be
19	can reach the ovaries without seeing the	19	that would be, you know, the best. That
20	literature?	20	would be the strongest evidence. But if
21	A. No.	21	you're saying that, you know, talcum
22	Q. Okay.	22	powder increases CA-125 levels and this is
23	And is it also your opinion that	23	evidence that it causes cancer or things
24	the mechanism of a chronic inflammatory	24	that I've seen in some of the studies
25	response in the ovaries leading to the	25	offered as proof, I don't think that's
	Page 131		Page 133
1		1	
2	development of cancer is not, to a	2	plausible. I don't think that that's a
3	reasonable degree of medical certainty,	3	an understanding of what you've shown in
4	possible?	4	that study.
5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	5	Q. Can you point if you'd look
6	A. I want to clarify	6	at your materials relied upon, can you
7	MS. DAVIDSON: Asked and	7	point me to any article that gives those
1 O	answered and confusing.		
8	E .	8	same opinions that you've given me today?
9	BY MS. THOMPSON:	9	One article.
9 10	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own	9 10	One article. A. That says what?
9 10 11	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words.	9 10 11	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that
9 10 11 12	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in	9 10 11 12	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not
9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway.	9 10 11 12 13	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal
9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory	9 10 11 12 13 14	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the concept that, I'm not convinced, I have	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a theory.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the concept that, I'm not convinced, I have not seen any studies showing that chronic	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a theory. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the concept that, I'm not convinced, I have not seen any studies showing that chronic inflammation from talc, if it exists, is	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a theory. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Again, my opinion is based on
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the concept that, I'm not convinced, I have not seen any studies showing that chronic inflammation from talc, if it exists, is genotoxic, causes mutations, causes	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a theory. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Again, my opinion is based on the totality of the you're asking me to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the concept that, I'm not convinced, I have not seen any studies showing that chronic inflammation from talc, if it exists, is genotoxic, causes mutations, causes malignant transformation. I don't have	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a theory. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Again, my opinion is based on the totality of the you're asking me to cherry-pick. I've spent hours reviewing
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the concept that, I'm not convinced, I have not seen any studies showing that chronic inflammation from talc, if it exists, is genotoxic, causes mutations, causes malignant transformation. I don't have that opinion, no.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a theory. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Again, my opinion is based on the totality of the you're asking me to cherry-pick. I've spent hours reviewing this totality of the evidence, and you're
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the concept that, I'm not convinced, I have not seen any studies showing that chronic inflammation from talc, if it exists, is genotoxic, causes mutations, causes malignant transformation. I don't have that opinion, no. Q. Is asbestos genotoxic?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a theory. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Again, my opinion is based on the totality of the you're asking me to cherry-pick. I've spent hours reviewing this totality of the evidence, and you're asking me is there any single paper that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. State it in your own words. I'd rather have your opinion in your own words anyway. A. I don't believe that the theory of chronic inflammation, to the degree that it's been studied, supports the concept that, I'm not convinced, I have not seen any studies showing that chronic inflammation from talc, if it exists, is genotoxic, causes mutations, causes malignant transformation. I don't have that opinion, no.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	One article. A. That says what? Q. That says talc is safe, that talc particles more likely than not do not reach the tubes, ovaries, and peritoneal cavity, and the theory of chronic inflammation is there's not enough evidence for you to accept that as a theory. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Again, my opinion is based on the totality of the you're asking me to cherry-pick. I've spent hours reviewing this totality of the evidence, and you're

	D 124		D 126
1	Page 134	1	Page 136
2	My opinions are based on the	2	question, or any of these complex
3	totality. I'm looking at all of these	3	questions. And we don't change practice,
4	topics. So in migration, there's, you	4	we don't change our beliefs on a single
5	know, there's at least ten studies that	5	study. And if you do that, you're a bad
6	I've looked at in animals and humans, and	6	doctor. If you change if you read one
7	this is what what is basing my opinion.	7	study and you said, I believe this first
8	And if it was so easy that there was a	8	and I read one study and now I believe
9	single study that I could point to and say	9	that, you change your opinions too
10	this is the one study that definitively	10	quickly. You need to read the whole body
11	says this can or cannot happen, it would	11	of literature.
12	take a lot less time to prepare for	12	And so, I cannot point to a
13	something like this.	13	single thing on my reliance list and say
14	Q. I understand. There are a	14	that is the thing that I relied solely on.
15	hundred studies.	15	Q. Is there anything on your
16	A. But you keep asking me for a	16	reliance list let's break it down.
17	singular thing. Point to a study is just	17	Is there anything on your
18	what you just asked me to do.		reliance list that says talc is safe,
19	Q. Let me finish my question.	19	affirmative statement "talc is safe"?
20	A. Yes.	20	A. You know, I I feel like we've
21	Q. There are a hundred studies.	21	been down this road before.
22	I'm asking is there one of them that you	22	I don't know how to make it more
23	read and said, "This states my opinions"?	23	clear of my feelings about it.
24	A. How is that different from what	24	If a if a paper, even a
	I just said?	25	position paper where they've looked at,
	Page 135		Page 137
1		1	
2	I just said that after the	2	and I know you say Burke doesn't do this,
3	totality of looking at this literature,	3	but you're going to check that appendix
4	you're asking me to point to the	4	and you're going to see that they do.
5	material the reliance list and point to	5	Q. I believe you're going to check
6	a single study that says a certain thing.	6	the appendix.
7	And then when I say that's impossible, you	7	A. And you'll see because I just
8	turn around and ask me to do it again.	8	looked at it. And they come to the
9	Q. Okay. Let me just make sure my	9	conclusion that talc is not a risk factor
10	question is clear.	10	for ovarian cancer. And you say to me
11	Is there a study that you could	11	does that mean it's safe, and I answered
12	point to out of the hundred that would,	12	yes, in my interpretation that means it's
13	whether it's a review article, a study,	13	safe. That's going to be the case at
14	anything, that would provide the opinions	14	9 a.m.; it's going to be the case at
15	that you've given me this morning? I'm	15	9:30 a.m.; it's going to be the case at
16	not asking you for only one. If there are	16	10:30 a.m. No matter how many times you
17	15, show me the 15.	17	ask me, it's the case because it's what I
18	I'm just asking you out of those	18	believe. I'm not going to change that
19	hundred, is there a study that you said, I	19	answer.
20	read that and this is just exactly what I	20	Q. I do not want you to change any
21	believe? And if there's not, that's fine	21	answers.
22	to say, "I don't remember one or"	22	A. Then why do you keep asking me?
23	A. It's not that I don't remember	23	Q. I just want you to answer my
24	one. It's that you're asking for a single	24	questions instead of going off on
25	study that could answer this complex	25	tangents.

	D 120		D 140
1	Page 138	1	Page 140
2	But let's move on. Let's go to	2	BY MS. THOMPSON:
3	your report page 8 where you have the	3	Q. Did you go to the website to
4	pyramid of evidence.	4	MS. DAVIDSON: Hold on. Hold
5	And I notice from your footnote	5	on. Hold on.
6	that you visited this on May 13, 2024 in	6	MS. THOMPSON: He accessed the
7	preparation of your report, correct?	7	website.
8	A. That's correct.	8	MS. DAVIDSON: So what? That's
9	Q. And this pyramid that you	9	absurd.
10	included is from the Center For	10	MS. O'DELL: Hang on.
11	Evidence-Based Management, correct?	11	MS. DAVIDSON: No, no, no.
12	A. Yes.	12	Leigh, you did not let your witnesses
13	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait, was this	13	answer questions about stuff that was
14	pyramid in his original report?	14	there before.
15	MS. THOMPSON: He updated it.	15	MS. O'DELL: Just let me
16	MS. DAVIDSON: What do you mean	16	MS. DAVIDSON: No, let's look
17	by "he updated it"?	17	and see if this changed. I'm looking
18	BY MS. THOMPSON:	18	to see if this changed.
19	Q. And you say	19	So this is it? It's the same
20	MS. O'DELL: He put a new one	20	thing?
21	in.	21	What changed? I'm trying to see
22	MS. DAVIDSON: It's a different	22	what changed.
23	pyramid?	23	All that changed was the date.
24	THE WITNESS: No, it's the same.	24	BY MS. THOMPSON:
25	MS. THOMPSON: But he updated	25	Q. You visited the Center For
	Page 139		Page 141
1		1	
2	the footnote on it. So I'm allowed to	2	Evidence-Based Management on May 13, 2024
3	ask him about why he did that.	3	correct?
4	MS. DAVIDSON: Why he changed	4	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute.
5	the footnote?	5	Wait a minute. Wait a minute.
6	BY MS. THOMPSON:	6	No, no, no, no. He is not being
7	Q. Doctor	7	questioned on this. Absolutely not.
8	MS. DAVIDSON: Is that your	8	MS. THOMPSON: You're going to
9	question, why he changed the footnote?	9	instruct him not to answer what he
10	MS. THOMPSON: I'm asking the	10	found on the website that he accessed
11	questions, Jessica.	11	May 13th, 2024 on the Center For
12	MS. DAVIDSON: No, Margaret.	12	Evidence-Based Management?
13	I'm sorry, Leigh was really, really	13	MS. DAVIDSON: So, this is a
14	very conservative about what could be	14	site-checking fix to a footnote
15	asked. And if all that changed was	15	because it was updated to make sure
16	the footnote because the reference was	16	this was still on the website. That
17	clarified, you cannot ask about this	17	is a site-checking fix.
18	hierarchy of evidence, no.	18	MS. O'DELL: He can testify to
19	And yes, I am going to tell him	19	that if that's what it was.
20	not to answer. If all that was	20	MS. DAVIDSON: There is
21	changed was footnote to clarify where	21	absolutely no basis to be questioning
22	this came from.	22	him.
23	Is that the only change? Let's	23	MS. O'DELL: You can't testify.
24 25	look at the redline.	24	MS. DAVIDSON: I am not
1 /5		25	testifying, Leigh, but you were so

	Page 142		Page 144
1	1.150 1.12	1	1 110
2	dogged about, and I can pull up your	2	MS. THOMPSON: No.
3	long paragraphs that said, no, no, no.	3	MS. DAVIDSON: No, I'd like to
4	You're going to let me finish	4	go off the record for a moment.
5	because I let you finish when you went	5	MS. O'DELL: No, we're still in
6	on about this for paragraphs where you	6	this query.
7	said you had ample opportunity in 2019	7	MS. THOMPSON: We're in the
8	to question Dr. Clarke-Pearson on this	8	middle of a question.
9	topic.	9	MS. O'DELL: Exactly.
10	And I'm not going to let you	10	MS. DAVIDSON: Go ahead. I just
11	question him about it again. You were	11	want to make sure that he gives an
12	*	12	_
13	so dogged about it.	13	accurate response.
	And he is not answering		And I am honestly not sure
14	questions about this, period.	14	because Dr. Holcomb wrote his report,
15	MS. O'DELL: Listen, the	15	but we did have a paralegal site check
16	objections I made were based on	16	the footnotes, and I don't know, and I
17	previously published articles that	17	don't know if he knows, whether he put
18	were not updated in any way. And if	18	that in there or that was something
19	he's got a new citation in there	19	when I had a paralegal site check the
20	MS. DAVIDSON: It is not a new	20	footnotes. That is the one thing that
21	citation. It is a site check fix	21	we did have a paralegal site check the
22	because	22	footnotes and I don't want to put him
23	MS. O'DELL: She can ask him if	23	in a position where he doesn't know if
24	he went to the website in 2024.	24	that was something the paralegal did.
25	That's not going back, Jessica. That	25	So I am just telling you that.
1	Page 143	1	Page 145
2	is not the same as what my objection	2	We had a paralegal when he was done
3	was.	3	with his report site check the
4	And we're entitled to inquire	4	footnotes. So that's why I want to be
5	anything that he's done since 2019.	5	very frank about that and clear about
6	That's clearly what the rules are.	6	that.
7	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay.	7	And so, to me the last visited
8	So, Dr. Holcomb	8	thing strikes me as a Google thing,
9	MS. O'DELL: Let's see this,	9	and that's why I don't think this is a
10		10	· ·
11	Jessica. Margaret will confine her	11	fair question.
	questions to what's happened since	1	So I don't know if you checked
12	2019. Those are the rules, we	12	that or if we had a paralegal check
13	understand that. And let's just see	13	that that was still there.
14	if we can move on.	14	MS. O'DELL: He can answer the
15	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay.	15	question.
16	BY MS. THOMPSON:	16	MS. DAVIDSON: All right. Go
17	Q. Did you go to the Center For	17	ahead.
18	Evidence-Based Management on May 13th,	18	A. Actually, I don't remember the
19	2024?	19	exact date, but I do remember looking back
20	MS. DAVIDSON: So, I'm going	20	at this website. I just don't remember
21	to no, no, no. I want to make	21	Q. In the preparation of your
22	something clear. And I think I am	22	report?
23	correct about this.	23	A. Yeah.
24	Let's go off the record for a	24	Q. Did you read what was on the
25	moment.	25	website in addition to just looking at the

1	Page 146	1	Page 148
2	pyramid?	_	research has been carried out involving
3	A. I I did read I I read		issues relevant to management practice.
4	it again, yeah.		Topics include downsizing, motivating
5	Q. Okay. So I'm going to ask you		employees, setting goals, encouraging
_	about what was on that website when you	l	
6	•	6	
7	reviewed it in preparation of this report,	/	financial incentives, conducting
8	okay?	8	management training, improving
9	MS. DAVIDSON: Was that already	9	performance, and selecting and evaluating
10	on the website in 2019?	10	employees.
11	MS. THOMPSON: I don't know. I	11	Do you remember seeing that
12	looked at it currently to see what	12	A. It sounds familiar.
13	MS. DAVIDSON: Unless it was	13	Q on that website?
14	added to the website, no. Because if	14	A. It sounds familiar.
15	an expert re-read Penninkilampi in	15	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
16	preparation of his report, you didn't	16	If you want to show him that.
17	let me ask new questions.	17	MS. THOMPSON: He said it sounds
18	MS. O'DELL: But this is a 2024	18	familiar.
19	website five years after he originally	19	BY MS. THOMPSON:
20	cited it.	20	Q. Would you like to see that just
21	MS. DAVIDSON: But that makes no	21	so you can
22	difference.	22	A. Is that your only question?
23	MS. O'DELL: Excuse me, I'm not	23	Q. Yeah, I just asked if you
24	finished.	24	remembered seeing that.
25	That's materially from the	25	And when you went to the Center
	Page 147		Page 149
1	ahiastiana I mada whan yay haya a	1	Ear Evidence Deced Management CEDMA di
2	objections I made when you have a	2	For Evidence-Based Management, CEBMA, di
3	publication that was published in 2018	3	you also search the Center For Evidence-Based Medicine?
4	and had not changed at all.	4	
5	So it's a living site, I'm	5	A. No.
6	assuming, and he looked at it	6	Q. Rather than Management.
7	recently.	7	A. No.
8	MS. DAVIDSON: It is the exact	8	Q. Why did you choose Management
9	same thing. The fact that it's on a	9	instead of the Center For Evidence-Based
10	website as opposed to in an article,	10	Medicine which is at Oxford and
11	it is exact same thing.	11	world-renowned and respected?
12	BY MS. THOMPSON:	12	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
13	Q. Do you remember reading	13	A. I don't recall how I got to this
14	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me.	14	specific website. I probably was looking
15	You could have asked about this	15	for a graphic on the levels of evidence
16	in 2019 if this was on the website in	16	and Google'd "levels of evidence."
17	2019. Unless this was added to the	17	To be honest, I didn't pick one
18	website since 2019, this is an	18	over the other. This is the one that came
19	inappropriate question.	19	in 2019, that's the one I included.
20	BY MS. THOMPSON:	20	Q. Did you pick it because it has
21	Q. Do you remember reading, when	21	case studies listed below cohort studies?
22	you went back to this website, the	22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
23	statement from the Center For	23	Wait a minute. Wait a minute.
		l .	
		l .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24 25	Evidence-Based Management: In recent decades a great deal of scientific	24 25	No. This is exactly what I said you can't question about. This was

	Page 150		Page 152
1		1	
2	what was in 2019. He was asked about	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Anything in the
3	that, this hierarchy of evidence.	3	last five years you can answer.
4	Even Leigh just admitted you can't ask	4	A. No.
5	about that. No.	5	Q. So that was not something you
6	MS. THOMPSON: I'll withdraw the	6	used in considering evidence provided in
7	question.	7	the studies that you reviewed on talc in
8	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay, great.	8	the last five years?
9	BY MS. THOMPSON:	9	A. If I haven't reviewed it, I
10	Q. You're familiar with the U.S.	10	didn't use it, no.
11	Preventative Services Task Force, correct?	11	Q. Well, you may have known about
12	A. I'm familiar with the		it.
13	organization, yes.	13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
14	Q. Are you familiar with the levels	14	BY MS. THOMPSON:
15	of evidence?	15	Q. Did you
16	MS. DAVIDSON: This is	16	A. I did not see
17	exhausting. We did the levels of	17	Q. Do you know what the Levels of
18	evidence	18	Evidence are by the U.S. Preventative
19	BY MS. THOMPSON:	19	Services Task Force so that you could
20	Q. Have you reviewed in the past	20	apply them to the literature in the last
21	five years the U.S. Preventative Task	21	five years on talc?
22	Force Levels of Evidence for publications	22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
23	or otherwise?	23	A. I there are multiple
24	A. Not that I recall.	24	different versions of this levels of
25	Q. Would you know that most	25	evidence, and I'm waiting to get into
	Page 151		Page 153
1		1	
2	journals consider the Levels of Evidence	2	whatever you're heading to.
3	as furnished by the U.S. Preventative Task	3	So, there's U.S. Preventative
4	Force in evaluating the quality of	4	Task Force has one. Clearly there's a
5	studies?	5	Center For Evidence-Based Medicine has
6	MS. DAVIDSON: So I'm going to	6	one. Evidence-Based Management has one.
7	object to any questions about the	7	They all but in general, they list
8	Levels of Evidence that could have	8	evidence based on their risk of bias.
9	been asked in 2019, which is exactly	9	And so, I'm assuming you're
10	what plaintiffs did when I was	10	heading somewhere, and we can get to the
		11	avect questions you would like to get to
11	deposing plaintiff's experts.		exact questions you would like to get to.
11 12	And I don't mean to be a pain in	12	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this
11 12 13	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was	12 13	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10.
11 12 13 14	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question	12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of
11 12 13 14 15	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very	12 13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative
11 12 13 14 15 16	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions,	12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions, but the rules of the road have to be	12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for identification, as of this date.)
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions, but the rules of the road have to be the same for the goose and the gander.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON:
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions, but the rules of the road have to be the same for the goose and the gander. BY MS. THOMPSON:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Is this the Levels of Evidence
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions, but the rules of the road have to be the same for the goose and the gander. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Have you seen the Levels of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Is this the Levels of Evidence used by ACOG when they do their clinical
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions, but the rules of the road have to be the same for the goose and the gander. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Have you seen the Levels of Evidence from the United States	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Is this the Levels of Evidence used by ACOG when they do their clinical practice guidelines?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions, but the rules of the road have to be the same for the goose and the gander. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Have you seen the Levels of Evidence from the United States Preventative Services Task Force in	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Is this the Levels of Evidence used by ACOG when they do their clinical practice guidelines? MS. DAVIDSON: So, I'm going to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions, but the rules of the road have to be the same for the goose and the gander. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Have you seen the Levels of Evidence from the United States Preventative Services Task Force in literature relating to talc in the last	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Is this the Levels of Evidence used by ACOG when they do their clinical practice guidelines? MS. DAVIDSON: So, I'm going to object to this exhibit because oh,
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And I don't mean to be a pain in the neck, but this is exactly what was done to me and every single question was objected to, and I found it very difficult to conduct the depositions, but the rules of the road have to be the same for the goose and the gander. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Have you seen the Levels of Evidence from the United States Preventative Services Task Force in	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 10. (Holcomb Exhibit 10, Level of Evidence Provided By U.S. Preventative Services Task Force, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Is this the Levels of Evidence used by ACOG when they do their clinical practice guidelines? MS. DAVIDSON: So, I'm going to

	Page 154		Page 156
1	1 100 10 1	1	1 100 100
2	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute.	2	Q. What do you call your head of
3	So is this Exhibit 10?	3	GYN oncology?
4	And this taken from an article,	4	A. Why don't we just move on to the
5	or what's it from?	5	question?
6	MS. THOMPSON: I can give you	6	Q. Well, I want to say it
7	the article too.	7	correctly.
8	MS. DAVIDSON: 'Cause it's just,	8	A. I was the director of a division
9	like, you can't see what this is.	9	of GYN oncology.
10	MS. THOMPSON: Well, I'm happy	10	Q. I'll correct that to division
11	to give the article.	11	director of GYN oncology involved with
12	BY MS. THOMPSON:		fellowship training.
13	Q. But my question was is that the	13	You stated in your report that
14	Levels of Evidence chart that's used by	14	you were very involved in the research
15	ACOG and SGO in their clinical guideline	15	coming out of your department, correct?
16	reviews?	16	A. Yes.
17	MS. DAVIDSON: Hold on,	17	Q. But you've never seen this
18	Margaret.	18	before?
19	Are we marking as Exhibit 11 the	19	A. I wouldn't say I've never seen
20	"Current Methods of the U.S.		it before.
21	Preventative Services Task Force" by	21	I don't know if I've this is
22	Russell Harris?	22	not part of my reliance. So, you know,
23	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.	23	you're asking me have I ever seen this.
24	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. We're not	24	Quite possibly. This is what SGO uses. I
25	getting a clear record here.	25	read GYN Oncology which is the journal of
1	Page 155	1	Page 157
$\frac{1}{2}$	So that's going to be	1	SCO it's probably been mentioned there
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	So that's going to be Exhibit 11.	$\frac{2}{3}$	SGO, it's probably been mentioned there.
4			But, you know, this is not what I reviewed for this purpose.
5	(Holcomb Exhibit 11, Harris article - 2001, was marked for	5	MS. DAVIDSON: I don't
6	identification, as of this date.)	6	understand something. I asked you,
7	MS. DAVIDSON: Do you need a	7	Margaret, where this comes from and
8	minute to look at both of these?	8	you said it comes from this, but this
9	A. Your question is what was	9	has a cite that says Berge and this
10	your question?	10	has a cite that says Berge and this has a cite that says Harris, and I
11	Q. Is this the Level of Evidence	11	don't understand what the two have
12	chart that's used by SGO and ACOG, and	12	anything to do with each other.
13	many journals for that matter, when	13	This this graphic is not in this
14	evaluating evidence?	14	article.
15	A. I wouldn't know. I don't know	15	This article has a discussion of
16	if they use this one specifically.	16	criteria for grading case-control
17	This is my first time seeing	17	studies on page 27, but it doesn't
18	this paper.	18	have this (indicating).
19	Q. So as chairman of GYN	19	So I don't know what you're
1	oncology	20	talking about.
1 70	Oncorogy		MS. THOMPSON: It's on page 26,
20		7 I	
21	A. I'm not chairman of GYN	21	
21 22	A. I'm not chairman of GYN oncology.	22	Hierarchy of Research Design,
21 22 23	A. I'm not chairman of GYN oncology.Q. You were in the past, correct?	22 23	Hierarchy of Research Design, published by the U.S. Preventative
21 22	A. I'm not chairman of GYN oncology.	22	Hierarchy of Research Design,

1	Page 158	1	Page 160
$\frac{1}{2}$	1 11 1 0 0 0	1	
2	have this cite on this page? That's	2	Is it your opinion that studies
3	not the cite from there.	3	that may show a positive association but
4	MS. THOMPSON: Because that came	4	are not statistically significant are
5	from the U.S. Preventative Services	5	negative studies?
6	Task Force directly.	6	A. Yes.
7	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, so	7	Q. Are you an epidemiologist, Dr.
8	what's Berge Allan?	8	Holcomb?
9	MS. O'DELL: Listen, I think	9	A. No.
10	that she's finished with the inquiry.	10	Q. Do you know that that opinion is
11	Let's move on.	11	contrary to what is accepted in the field
12	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute.	12	of epidemiology?
13	We have an incorrect record.	13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
14	MS. O'DELL: No, we don't. You	14	A. This sounds very familiar to the
15	can follow up if you think the record	15	2019, but I went down this line of
16	is unclear.	16	questioning then. And since 2019 'til
17	MS. THOMPSON: You said I'm not	17	now, I've yet to see a journal who would
18	accurate.	18	accept a paper with no statistical
19	MS. DAVIDSON: Well, something's	19	analysis, where you did not set a priority
20	strange here.	20	what you consider statistical
21	MS. THOMPSON: You can ask about	21	significance.
22	it if you want to clarify.	22	So I remember in 2019 being told
23	MS. DAVIDSON: Well, I need to	23	_
24	object to the exhibit because it's	24	
25	this exhibit says that this comes from	25	who disagreed with this concept of
	Page 159		Page 161
1	_	1	•
2	a paper called Berge and this paper's	2	statistical significance, and I just want
3	called Harris.	3	to let you know in 2024, that concept is
4	MS. THOMPSON: Okay.	4	alive, it's well, it's in every journal.
5	BY MS. THOMPSON:	5	Q. I don't think I asked you a
6	Q. I've given you two documents.	6	question that
7	One is a chart of the U.S. Preventative	7	MS. DAVIDSON: Dr. Holcomb,
8	Services Task Force Levels of Evidence,	8	thank you for reminding me, but he's
9	and I've also given you an article from	9	making a very good point, which is
10	the United States Preventative Services	10	you're asking him the same questions
11	Task Force that gives much more detail on	11	in 2019, which is exactly what Leigh
12	the levels of evidence and how they	12	did not let me do with plaintiff's
13	establish them and how they use them to	13	experts, and I know it's probably so
14	evaluate studies.	14	super annoying to have an objection to
15	You can use either chart or	15	every question. Welcome to my life
16	both, but I think you said you're not	16	because that's what happened to me.
17	really familiar with that Level of	17	BY MS. THOMPSON:
18	Evidence chart, correct?	18	Q. Doctor
19	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection;	19	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, I'm
20	misstates his testimony.	20	still talking.
21	BY MS. THOMPSON:	21	MS. THOMPSON: I know you are.
22	Q. Have I misstated your testimony,	22	You may continue.
23	Dr. Holcomb?	23	MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you. Thank
24	A. I said I have not reviewed this.	24	you for the permission.
	A. I Said I Have Hot Ieviewed Hils.	4 4	you for the permission.
25	Q. Okay, thank you.	25	So please, you can't ask him

	D 160		D 164
1	Page 162	1	Page 164
2	questions like that. The way I had to	2	number of things that have been pulled off
3	do it in the depositions was I had to	3	the market because of evidence that it
4	make sure that every single question	4	wasn't safe.
5	was limited to the last five years,	5	Q. You can buy Johnson's Baby
6	was about the last five years, or was	6	Powder with talc at the store?
7	about specific revisions to the	7	A. Not because FDA pulled it off.
8	expert's reports, and I did do that.	8	Q. FDA pulled Johnson's Baby Powder
9	I listened to Leigh's objections and I	9	off the shelves?
10	tailored my depositions to those	10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
11	limitations.	11	That's not what he said.
12	BY MS. THOMPSON:	12	A. I said not because. You're
13	Q. Dr. Holcomb, you reviewed new	13	asking me what
14	literature from 2019 that you included in	14	Q. If I misunderstood you, I just
15	your 2024 report, correct?	15	need clarification.
16	A. Correct.	16	A. No problem.
17	Q. Is it still your opinion that	17	Q. So I'm confused now.
18	any study that showed an association but	18	You said you can buy talcum
19	was not statistically significant,	19	powder off the shelf.
20	according to a confidence interval or	20	A. I'm saying the FDA has never
21	p-value, is it still your opinion that	21	made a move to remove talcum powder from
22	that would be considered a negative study	22	the shelves because it was deemed not to
23	by yourself?	23	be safe.
24	A. That's still my opinion, yes.	24	Q. Does FDA regulate cosmetics?
25	Q. Thank you.	25	A. I already stated that's outside
	5 100		
	Page 163		Page 165
1		1	
2	Is it your opinion that FDA has	2	of my
2 3	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc	2 3	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA
2 3 4	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe?	2 3 4	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves?
2 3 4 5	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA	2 3 4 5 6	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the	2 3 4 5 6 7	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my opinion that FDA considers talc safe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning of my summary statements and opinions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my opinion that FDA considers talc safe. Q. Okay. And that is true in 2024,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning of my summary statements and opinions, whatever is in that bottle that people are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my opinion that FDA considers talc safe. Q. Okay. And that is true in 2024, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning of my summary statements and opinions, whatever is in that bottle that people are using that has led to the literature over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my opinion that FDA considers talc safe. Q. Okay. And that is true in 2024, correct? A. It's true in 2024 because I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning of my summary statements and opinions, whatever is in that bottle that people are using that has led to the literature over the last four decades, you can argue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my opinion that FDA considers talc safe. Q. Okay. And that is true in 2024, correct? A. It's true in 2024 because I can go to a store and buy talc. And so if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning of my summary statements and opinions, whatever is in that bottle that people are using that has led to the literature over the last four decades, you can argue whether it's asbestos laden, whether it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my opinion that FDA considers talc safe. Q. Okay. And that is true in 2024, correct? A. It's true in 2024 because I can go to a store and buy talc. And so if the FDA felt that this was an unsafe product,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning of my summary statements and opinions, whatever is in that bottle that people are using that has led to the literature over the last four decades, you can argue whether it's asbestos laden, whether it's not, it's it's I'm just saying that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my opinion that FDA considers talc safe. Q. Okay. And that is true in 2024, correct? A. It's true in 2024 because I can go to a store and buy talc. And so if the FDA felt that this was an unsafe product, there would be a move against being able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning of my summary statements and opinions, whatever is in that bottle that people are using that has led to the literature over the last four decades, you can argue whether it's asbestos laden, whether it's not, it's it's I'm just saying that whatever's in that bottle I don't believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is it your opinion that FDA has concluded in the last five years that talc is safe? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm going to go back to the FDA statement because my memory is that the FDA basically says the same thing that SGO and all the others say. They're saying basically there's not enough evidence to consider it a risk factor or a cause of ovarian cancer. And we've been around this multiple times whether that is a statement of safety, and I've already said I consider that a statement of safety. So yes, I would say that is my opinion that FDA considers talc safe. Q. Okay. And that is true in 2024, correct? A. It's true in 2024 because I can go to a store and buy talc. And so if the FDA felt that this was an unsafe product,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of my Q. So you don't know whether FDA could remove baby powder from shelves? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Do you know that FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. Q. Is it your belief that FDA did not find asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder in 2019? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. It's my belief that it doesn't matter. My going back to the beginning of my summary statements and opinions, whatever is in that bottle that people are using that has led to the literature over the last four decades, you can argue whether it's asbestos laden, whether it's not, it's it's I'm just saying that

1	Page 166		Page 168
1	rage 100	1	rage 100
$\frac{1}{2}$	line of questioning.	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
3	Q. And so it does not matter	$\frac{2}{3}$	A. Again, I was asked to review the
		4	literature to come up with an opinion of
4	whether there's asbestos in the product or		
5	not in forming your opinions?	5	whether talc products, including Johnson &
6	A. No.	6	Johnson products, increase the risk of
7	Q. Do you know that there was a	7	ovarian cancer.
8	recall on baby powder, because FDA found	8	So no, my opinions are based on,
9	asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder?	9	again, the 40 years of epidemiologic
10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	10	literature on that topic.
11	A. I was not aware of that.	11	Q. Assuming with me that baby
12	MS. THOMPSON: Are we up to	12	powder can contain asbestos, does that
13	Exhibit 12?	13	change your opinions as to the
14	(Holcomb Exhibit 12, FDA news	14	plausibility of mechanism
15	release "Baby powder manufacturer	15	A. Not really.
16	voluntarily recalls products for	16	Q if it reaches the ovaries?
17	asbestos" - October 18, 2019, was	17	A. No.
18	marked for identification, as of this	18	Q. Why not?
19	date.)	19	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
20	BY MS. THOMPSON:	20	A. Because while I I know that
21	Q. So, Dr. Holcomb, you've been	21	some may consider heavy occupational
22	working on this litigation for several	22	exposure to asbestos a risk factor for
23	years, correct?	23	ovarian cancer, and IARC definitely does,
24	A. Mm-hm.	24	although, you know, there's real questions
25	Q. But you were not aware that FDA	25	about the ability to distinguish
	Page 167		Page 169
1		1	
2	found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder	2	mesothelioma from ovarian cancer at that
3	and Johnson recalled those lots?	3	time, I don't know of any evidence, and I
4	A. No, I was not aware.	4	did review that literature based on
5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. You	5	whether or not environmental exposure to
6	misstate the evidence. It's one lot.	6	asbestos has increased the risk of ovarian
7	You said lots, plural. This	7	cancer.
	-		
8	says lot, singular.	8	So, vou know, I I'm not
8 9	says lot, singular. BY MS. THOMPSON:	8 9	So, you know, I I'm not surprised that Johnson & Johnson would
9	BY MS. THOMPSON:	9	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would
9 10	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found	9 10	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos
9 10 11	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that?	9 10 11	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that
9 10 11 12	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No.	9 10 11 12	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its
9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson	9 10 11 12 13	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because
9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that	9 10 11 12 13 14	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My conversations with Dr. Holcomb are	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades. Q. Okay. My question was does
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My conversations with Dr. Holcomb are privileged.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades. Q. Okay. My question was does influence your opinion as to the possible
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My conversations with Dr. Holcomb are privileged. BY MS. THOMPSON:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades. Q. Okay. My question was does influence your opinion as to the possible mechanism if there is asbestos in baby
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My conversations with Dr. Holcomb are privileged. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. You don't have to answer	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades. Q. Okay. My question was does influence your opinion as to the possible mechanism if there is asbestos in baby powder for causing cancer?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My conversations with Dr. Holcomb are privileged. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. You don't have to answer that.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades. Q. Okay. My question was does influence your opinion as to the possible mechanism if there is asbestos in baby powder for causing cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My conversations with Dr. Holcomb are privileged. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. You don't have to answer that. Did you do any research yourself	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades. Q. Okay. My question was does influence your opinion as to the possible mechanism if there is asbestos in baby powder for causing cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My conversations with Dr. Holcomb are privileged. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. You don't have to answer that. Did you do any research yourself to see whether FDA had tested any baby	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades. Q. Okay. My question was does influence your opinion as to the possible mechanism if there is asbestos in baby powder for causing cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered. BY MS. THOMPSON:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. In that lot in which FDA found asbestos, you were not aware of that? A. No. Q. The lawyers representing Johnson & Johnson did not tell you that information? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. My conversations with Dr. Holcomb are privileged. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Okay. You don't have to answer that. Did you do any research yourself	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	surprised that Johnson & Johnson would pull a lot that has found to have asbestos if they believe that to be true, but that doesn't inform my opinion as far as its risk of causing ovarian cancer because that opinion is based on, again, the body of literature of epidemiologic studies spanning decades. Q. Okay. My question was does influence your opinion as to the possible mechanism if there is asbestos in baby powder for causing cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered.

	Page 170		Page 172
1	1 age 170	1	1 age 1/2
2	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	2	A. But your question was very
3	Sorry, I can't tell when the	3	broad, and I don't consider myself an
4	question ends.	4	expert overall.
5	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	5	Q. Is it your intention to not
6	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm objecting	6	testify as to whether asbestos can cause
7	asked and answered. I don't know if	7	ovarian cancer?
8	the question was done, but you	8	A. It would be if asked at a
9	answered, so maybe the question was	9	trial does asbestos cause ovarian cancer,
10	done.	-	I would say there's been evidence that
11	BY MS. THOMPSON:		
		l .	heavy occupational exposure. So if I had
12	Q. Have you seen the interagency	12	a patient who told me she was making gas
13	working group white paper on asbestos	13	masks made of asbestos, if I had a patient
14	testing?		tell me she was working in an asbestos
15	A. No, I don't believe so.	l .	cement factory, I would tell them to stop
16	Q. Published in 2020?	l .	working in those places.
17	MS. THOMPSON: We'll mark this	17	Q. Is there evidence of
18	Exhibit 13.	18	environmental, community, or perineal talc
19	(Holcomb Exhibit 13, Executive	19	exposure with asbestos causing ovarian
20	Summary Preliminary Recommendations on	20	cancer?
21	Testing Methods For Asbestos in Talc	21	A. I don't know I've already
22	and Consumer Products Containing	22	shared my general opinion that talc
23	Talc - January 6, 2020, was marked for	23	doesn't cause ovarian cancer.
24	identification, as of this date.)	24	Q. Okay.
25		25	A. So I would I would say no.
	Page 171		Page 173
1		1	1 age 173
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	BY MS. THOMPSON:	1 2	
2	BY MS. THOMPSON: O. Have you seen this? This is the	2	If you're saying there's
2 3	Q. Have you seen this? This is the	2 3	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that
2 3 4	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white	2 3 4	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause
2 3 4 5	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper.	2 3 4 5	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this	2 3 4 5 6 7	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. He was questioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. He was questioned about IARC 2012 a hundred times.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. MS. DAVIDSON: I don't even know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. He was questioned about IARC 2012 a hundred times. MS. THOMPSON: Well, he just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. MS. DAVIDSON: I don't even know what that means.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. He was questioned about IARC 2012 a hundred times. MS. THOMPSON: Well, he just gave an inaccurate opinion about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. MS. DAVIDSON: I don't even know what that means. BY MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. He was questioned about IARC 2012 a hundred times. MS. THOMPSON: Well, he just gave an inaccurate opinion about what it says. I can't ask him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. MS. DAVIDSON: I don't even know what that means. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. You don't have yourself a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. He was questioned about IARC 2012 a hundred times. MS. THOMPSON: Well, he just gave an inaccurate opinion about what it says. I can't ask him MS. DAVIDSON: You cannot ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. MS. DAVIDSON: I don't even know what that means. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. You don't have yourself a A. In general? With regard to GYN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. He was questioned about IARC 2012 a hundred times. MS. THOMPSON: Well, he just gave an inaccurate opinion about what it says. I can't ask him MS. DAVIDSON: You cannot ask him about IARC 2012.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Have you seen this? This is the executive summary of a much longer white paper. MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to any questioning on this topic. Dr. Holcomb is not an expert in asbestos testing. This is totally outside of scope of his opinions. MS. THOMPSON: I don't intend to ask him about the testing. I intend to ask him about the health hazards. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Are you an expert in health hazards from environmental substances? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. No. MS. DAVIDSON: I don't even know what that means. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. You don't have yourself a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If you're saying there's asbestos in talc, then I would say that "Apparently that's not enough to cause ovarian cancer because the body of literature doesn't support that that causes ovarian cancer. Q. You're familiar with IARC 2012? A. Yes. Q. You reviewed it? A. Yes. Q. Did you review it again for this deposition? A. No. Q. Is it your opinion MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. He was questioned about IARC 2012 a hundred times. MS. THOMPSON: Well, he just gave an inaccurate opinion about what it says. I can't ask him MS. DAVIDSON: You cannot ask

	Page 174		Page 176
1	Page 174	1	Page 176
2	BY MS. THOMPSON:	2	no, I did not spend a lot of time studying
3	Q. Okay. Is it your opinion	3	asbestos. I figured whatever's in that
4	MS. DAVIDSON: I just said no,	4	bottle that people are using, that's what
5	you cannot ask him about that.	5	I'm studying. And there's been studies
6	MS. THOMPSON: You didn't even	6	since my last deposition on that topic of
7	hear my question, Jessica?	7	talc, and that's what I focused on.
8	MS. DAVIDSON: It doesn't	8	Q. Okay. I am going to ask you if
9	matter, if it's about IARC 2012, it's	9	you looked at these other papers. I know
10	out.	10	you said you didn't look at anything, but
11	MS. THOMPSON: How do you know	11	you did look at Slomovich, so I'm
12	it's about IARC 2012?	12	MS. DAVIDSON: Is Slomovitz on
13	MS. DAVIDSON: It's not? Great.	13	his reliance list?
14	BY MS. THOMPSON:	14	MS. THOMPSON: Yes. It's in his
15	Q. Is it your opinion that all the	15	report.
16	literature, including multiple studies	16	MS. DAVIDSON: Are these other
17	since 2019, only address heavy	17	papers on his reliance list?
18	occupational exposure of asbestos as	18	MS. THOMPSON: They're not, but
19	causative of ovarian cancer?	19	I'm going to see if he reviewed the
20	A. Can you repeat the question?	20	other papers on asbestos.
21	Q. Is it your opinion that studies	21	MS. DAVIDSON: That aren't on
22	published since 2019 on the topic of	22	his reliance list?
23	asbestos and ovarian cancer only address	23	MS. THOMPSON: No, because they
24	heavy occupational exposure?	24	don't say what he wants them to say,
25	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	25	but they're still fair game.
	Page 175		Page 177
1		1	
2	A. I didn't review the literature	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay.
3	on asbestos.	3	Is this a good time for a break?
4	Q. You did not review any	4	We've been going like an
5	literature since 2019 on asbestos and	5	hour-and-a-half, I think.
6	ovarian cancer?	6	MS. THOMPSON: That's fine.
7	A. No.	7	(Recess taken.)
8	Q. You did review Slomovich paper,	8	BY MS. THOMPSON:
9	right?	9	Q. Dr. Holcomb, before the break,
10	A. Yes.	10	we were talking about FDA and statements
11	Q. Why did you pick Slomovich	11	they've made about talc. We're going to
12	specifically?	12	go back to that, if that's okay with you.
		13	If you'll return, well, we're
13	A. To be honest, it's largely		¥
14	because I came across the paper because	14	going to regardless. If you'll turn to
14 15	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to	14 15	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last
14 15 16	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting	14 15 16	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page
14 15 16 17	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting that he was writing on this topic.	14 15 16 17	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page that: The FDA had performed a non-biased
14 15 16 17 18	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting that he was writing on this topic. Q. But that didn't prompt you to	14 15 16 17 18	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page that: The FDA had performed a non-biased review of the epidemiology with the
14 15 16 17 18 19	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting that he was writing on this topic. Q. But that didn't prompt you to look and see if there's other literature	14 15 16 17 18 19	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page that: The FDA had performed a non-biased review of the epidemiology with the conclusions.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting that he was writing on this topic. Q. But that didn't prompt you to look and see if there's other literature on the same topic other than Dr.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page that: The FDA had performed a non-biased review of the epidemiology with the conclusions. Is this the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting that he was writing on this topic. Q. But that didn't prompt you to look and see if there's other literature on the same topic other than Dr. Slomovich?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page that: The FDA had performed a non-biased review of the epidemiology with the conclusions. Is this the MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting that he was writing on this topic. Q. But that didn't prompt you to look and see if there's other literature on the same topic other than Dr. Slomovich? A. Again I put in lots of hours	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page that: The FDA had performed a non-biased review of the epidemiology with the conclusions. Is this the MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 14.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting that he was writing on this topic. Q. But that didn't prompt you to look and see if there's other literature on the same topic other than Dr. Slomovich? A. Again I put in lots of hours preparing for this deposition to give my	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page that: The FDA had performed a non-biased review of the epidemiology with the conclusions. Is this the MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 14. (Holcomb Exhibit 14, FDA
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because I came across the paper because I I know him personally. I used to work with him. So I found it interesting that he was writing on this topic. Q. But that didn't prompt you to look and see if there's other literature on the same topic other than Dr. Slomovich? A. Again I put in lots of hours	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to regardless. If you'll turn to page 9 of your report. You added the last part of the second paragraph on that page that: The FDA had performed a non-biased review of the epidemiology with the conclusions. Is this the MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this as Exhibit 14.

	Page 178		Page 190
1	rage 1/o	1	Page 180
2	date.)	2	So we know that you did not look
3	BY MS. THOMPSON:	3	at the 2019 testing finding asbestos and
4	Q. Is this the document that you're	4	the recall, correct?
5	referring to?	5	A. Right.
6	That's what I came up with	6	Q. And we've previously marked the
7	A. I reviewed it online, so I'm	7	Executive Summary from the working group,
'			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
8	just trying to make sure it's the same	8	if that's Exhibit 13, if you could pull
9	thing. It looks like a little different.	9	that up.
10	Q when I went to your site.	10	A. Sure.
11	MS. DAVIDSON: What did you ask?	11	Q. And you have not seen this,
12	MS. THOMPSON: Is this the	12	correct?
13	document that's citing on page 9 of	13	A. No.
14	his report in footnote 37 updated	14	Q. This is a document from FDA who
15	April 5th, 2024, FDA talc.	15	performed a working group on asbestos in
16	MS. DAVIDSON: Footnote 57?	16	consumer products with representatives
17	MS. THOMPSON: 37.	17	from eight federal agencies.
18	A. I'm not sure because I don't see	18	You see that?
19	the statement that I mention that I had a	19	A. Yes.
20	quotation.	20	Q. And if you look at footnote 2,
21	Q. I believe it's in there.	21	the eight federal agencies are listed.
22	That's not what I'm going to ask	22	What are those?
23	you about.	23	A. The FDA, the NIOSH, the NIH, the
24	A. That's the only reason why I'm	24	NIEHS, OSHA. Want me to read them all?
25	questioning if it's the same.	25	Q. Yeah, go ahead and read them
	Page 179		Page 181
1	1.000 1/7	1	1 100 101
2	Q. It's on the second page.	2	all.
3	A. On the second page, let me see.	3	A. EPA, CPSC, NIST, USGS.
4	Q. But when you say that, I'm just	4	Q. And the IWGACP, in the first
5	asking you if this was the document that	5	paragraph was formed in response to
6	you obtained online from FDA titled "Talc"	6	reports that the presence of asbestos in
7	updated April 5th, 2024.	7	talc-containing cosmetic products with
8	A. Yes, I believe so.	8	talc being the presumptive source of
9	Q. Did you review this whole	9	asbestos.
10	document?	10	Did I read that correctly, that
10	document.	10	Dia i icaa mat concerty, mat
11	Λ Vec	11	that's the reason IWGACD was formed?
11	A. Yes.	11	that's the reason IWGACP was formed?
12	Q. And this is the document that	12	A. Give me one second 'cause I
12 13	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded	12 13	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before.
12 13 14	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct?	12 13 14	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.)
12 13 14 15	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	12 13 14 15	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes.
12 13 14 15 16	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was	12 13 14 15 16	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state
12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was saying.	12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state in the introductory paragraph that since
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was saying. Q. Okay.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state in the introductory paragraph that since 2017, there have been several voluntary
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was saying. Q. Okay. A. I pulled it directly from them.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state in the introductory paragraph that since 2017, there have been several voluntary recalls of cosmetic products by retailers
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was saying. Q. Okay. A. I pulled it directly from them. Q. All right.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state in the introductory paragraph that since 2017, there have been several voluntary recalls of cosmetic products by retailers in the U.S. and globally, Canada,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was saying. Q. Okay. A. I pulled it directly from them. Q. All right. Did you look at anything else	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state in the introductory paragraph that since 2017, there have been several voluntary recalls of cosmetic products by retailers in the U.S. and globally, Canada, Netherlands, Taiwan, due to the presence
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was saying. Q. Okay. A. I pulled it directly from them. Q. All right. Did you look at anything else from FDA?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state in the introductory paragraph that since 2017, there have been several voluntary recalls of cosmetic products by retailers in the U.S. and globally, Canada, Netherlands, Taiwan, due to the presence of asbestos.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was saying. Q. Okay. A. I pulled it directly from them. Q. All right. Did you look at anything else from FDA? A. Unless I quoted FDA again, I	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state in the introductory paragraph that since 2017, there have been several voluntary recalls of cosmetic products by retailers in the U.S. and globally, Canada, Netherlands, Taiwan, due to the presence of asbestos. That's what this document
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And this is the document that led you to believe that FDA had concluded that talc is safe, correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I quoted what I thought FDA was saying. Q. Okay. A. I pulled it directly from them. Q. All right. Did you look at anything else from FDA?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Give me one second 'cause I haven't seen this before. (Witness reads document.) Yes. Q. And it further goes on to state in the introductory paragraph that since 2017, there have been several voluntary recalls of cosmetic products by retailers in the U.S. and globally, Canada, Netherlands, Taiwan, due to the presence of asbestos.

	D 400		5 404
1	Page 182	1	Page 184
2	Q. And also the U.S., we learned,	2	MS. THOMPSON: Well, if you
3	recalled had a recall of Johnson's Baby	3	would please just object to form, it
4	Powder in 2019 because of asbestos	4	will go much faster. And it is my
5	finding, correct?	5	deposition.
6	A. The U.S., you mean Johnson &	6	MS. DAVIDSON: Your
7	Johnson pulled because of that lot, that's	7	co-counsel
8	what you mean by the U.S.?	8	BY MS. THOMPSON:
9	Q. Yes.	9	Q. In the third
10	A. Yes.	10	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, I'm
11	Q. In the United States there was a	11	responding to that.
12	recall by Johnson & Johnson of a of	12	Your co-counsel didn't object to
13	their baby powder that was found to	13	form.
14	contain asbestos.	14	BY MS. THOMPSON:
15	A. I assume that lot was in the	15	Q. In the third paragraph it
16	U.S. I don't I don't I don't know.	16	states: The health hazards associated
17	Q. And it goes on to say that raw	17	with asbestos are well-documented.
18	material, in the connected paragraph, raw	18	Do you agree with that?
19	material talc is obtained from mines that	19	MS. DAVIDSON: Again I have
20	may also contain asbestos and related	20	said
21	minerals.	21	MS. THOMPSON: Object to form.
22	Do you have any understanding of	22	MS. DAVIDSON: this is
23	the raw material talc also containing	23	outside the scope
24	asbestos and related materials?	24	MS. THOMPSON: Health hazards of
25	A. I'm not really a an expert on	25	asbestos are outside his areas
	Page 183		Page 185
1	C	1	
2	mining.	2	that's fine. If you want to say the
3	Q. Okay. And the removal of it	3	health effects of asbestos are outside
4	states: The removal of asbestos by	4	of your
5	purification of talc ores is extremely	5	MS. DAVIDSON: He
6	difficult.	6	MS. THOMPSON: Let me finish,
7	And would you say the same thing	7	Jessica.
8	about that statement?	8	MS. DAVIDSON: No, because you
9	MS. DAVIDSON: Again I'm going	9	didn't let me finish my objection. I
10	to object.	10	don't think it counts as me
11	I don't know why you're	11	interrupting you when I'm interrupting
12	questioning him about this. He's a	12	your interruption of me.
13	GYN oncologist. I just think you're	13	He has offered an expert opinion
14	so far afield from his areas of	14	about talc and allegations that talc
15	expertise or his opinions. I mean, if	15	can cause ovarian cancer with Ms.
16	you want to keep asking him questions	16	Gallardo.
17	that he keep objecting to and he keeps	17	Dr. Holcomb is a GYN oncologist.
18	telling you are outside his area of	18	He has tremendous expertise on GYN
19	expertise and that's how you want to	19	oncology.
20	use your seven hours, I mean, I guess	20	You are asking him questions
21	go ahead, but I'm going to object over	21	that are outside his area of expertise
	and over that you're just not asking	22	on a document you haven't even given
22			
23	questions that are anywhere within the	23	him a chance to read. So yes, I am
	questions that are anywhere within the scope of his opinions or his expertise.	23 24 25	him a chance to read. So yes, I am going to object. BY MS. THOMPSON:

	Page 186		Page 188
1		1	
2	Q. Dr. Holcomb, is the statement:	2	BY MS. THOMPSON:
3	The health hazards associated with	3	Q. Dr. Holcomb, do you want me to
4	asbestos are well-documented, is that out	4	read that statement again?
5	of your area of expertise?	5	A. Sure.
6	A. Yeah, I would have to say it is	6	Q. There is general agreement among
7	because yeah, it is.	7	U.S. federal agencies, most developed
8	Q. Okay.	8	nations, and the World Health
9	The second sentence in that:	9	Organization, that there is no safe level
10	There is general agreement among U.S.	10	of asbestos exposure.
11	federal agencies, most developed nations,	11	Do you agree with that
12	and the World Health Organization that	12	•
13	there is no safe level of asbestos	13	A. I don't really have an opinion.
14	exposure.	14	It's outside my expertise.
15	Do you agree with that	15	Q. That's a perfectly appropriate
16	statement?	16	answer.
17	MS. DAVIDSON: Again, you	17	A. I think
18	have there are asbestos	18	Q. And if you look further into the
19	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	19	executive summary of the white paper, it
20	MS. DAVIDSON: Leigh, you never	20	does go into testing methodology,
	did that. Not one time.		
21		21	definitions of asbestos, reportable
22	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, she did. I	22	measurements.
23	was there.	23	Would you say that you are not
24	MS. DAVIDSON: You were not	24	an expert in any of those areas?
25	there. You were not at a single	25	A. I would.
1	Page 187	1	Page 189
2	deposition I took.	2	Q. Did you understand my question?
$\frac{2}{3}$	MS. THOMPSON: I have been.	3	A. I did.
4	MS. DAVIDSON: You were not at a	4	Q. We talked about the Slomovich
1		5	
5	single deposition I have taken in the		paper that you read because you know Dr. Slomovitch and it was of interest, I
6	last year.	6	
7	MS. THOMPSON: I was at CP.		believe you said.
8	MS. O'DELL: That's not	8	A. Slomovitz, just, it's T-Z.
9	relevant.	9	Q. Thank you, Slomovitz.
10	Let's please move on.	10	A. Yeah.
11	MS. DAVIDSON: No, excuse me.	11	Q. If there were other papers on
12	MS. O'DELL: It's not relevant.	12	the topic of asbestos and ovarian cancer
13	State your objection.	13	published since 2019 that are not on your
14	MS. DAVIDSON: You have asbestos	14	reliance list, may I assume that you did
15	experts in this litigation. He is not	15	not review those papers?
16	designated as an expert on asbestos,	16	A. You can assume that.
17	and this is outside the scope of his	17	Q. Did you understand my question?
18	opinions.	18	A. I did.
19	Also as Leigh would have said,	19	Q. And are you aware that the
20	you could have asked him about	20	authors of the Slomovitz paper are
21	asbestos in 2019 and probably did.	21	consultants for Johnson & Johnson?
22	MS. THOMPSON: I'm asking him	22	A. No.
23	about the executive summary of the	23	Q. Would it surprise you?
24	inter-agency working group on consumer	24	A. No.
25		25	MS. THOMPSON: Let's go ahead
	products dated January 6, 2020.		

ocume	:111	3300	/Т-Т
Page	ID:	1968	301

	Page 190		Page 192
1		1	
2	and mark the Slomovitz paper	2	You refer frequently to the NCI
3	Exhibit 15.	3	PDQ, correct?
4	(Holcomb Exhibit 15, Slomovitz	4	A. Yes.
5	article - 2020, was marked for	5	Q. And the PDQ was updated in March
6	identification, as of this date.)	6	of 2024, correct? I think it's the
7	BY MS. THOMPSON:	7	version that you referred to in your
8	Q. In the first sentence of the	8	report. So hopefully
9	Slomovitz paper says: Asbestos recently	9	A. Yes.
10	returned to the spotlight when Johnson &	10	Q we won't have any objections
11	Johnson halted sales of baby powder due to	11	to using that updated PDQ.
12	lawsuits claiming that the talc in baby	12	MS. THOMPSON: We'll mark the
13	powder may have been contaminated with	13	updated PDQ dated March 3, 2024
14	asbestos which has been linked to the risk	14	Exhibit 16.
15	of ovarian cancer development.	15	(Holcomb Exhibit 16, NIH PDQ,
16	Would you agree that that	16	was marked for identification, as of
17	opening statement would lead you to	17	this date.)
18	believe that the paper was written in	18	MS. THOMPSON: And we're going
19	response to the litigation with talcum	19	to talk about the PDQ and the
20 21	1	20	literature cited in the PDQ, but I'd
		21 22	also like to mark Forrest plots that
22 23	part of the motivation for writing this,	23	have been updated and validated at
23	that it was in public discourse because of	24	trial for easy reference.
25	that, yes. Q. Sure.	25	This Forrest plot is the Meta-Analysis and Pooled Analysis as
23		23	
1	Page 191	1	Page 193
2	Does this paper, in your	2	Exhibit 17.
3	opinion, conclude that talc-containing	3	(Holcomb Exhibit 17, Figure 1:
4	asbestos is safe?	4	Meta-Analyses and Pooled Analyses, was
5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	5	marked for identification, as of this
6	A. I don't think that's what the	6	date.)
7	study was about. No, there's no way that	7	MS. THOMPSON: And this one is
8	this study could conclude that.	8	the Case-Control as Exhibit 18.
9	Q. So you're not saying that this	9	(Holcomb Exhibit 18, Figure 2:
10	would this paper would support your	10	Case-Control and Cohort Studies, was
11	opinions that talc is safe?	11	marked for identification, as of this
12	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	12	date.)
13	That's a different	13	MS. DAVIDSON: Did you identify
14	A. This	14	the sources for these?
15	MS. DAVIDSON: Mischaracterizes	15	MS. THOMPSON: The Forrest plots
16	his testimony.	16	have been used by both plaintiffs and
17	BY MS. THOMPSON:	17	defendants in trial.
1 10	Q. I'm trying to understand your	18	MS. DAVIDSON: I understand. I
18	The state of the s		just want for the record for Figure 1
19	testimony, Dr. Holcomb. I don't want to	19	· ·
19 20	testimony, Dr. Holcomb. I don't want to misstate.	20	and Figure 2 this is just a bigger
19 20 21	testimony, Dr. Holcomb. I don't want to misstate. A. This study could not refute more	20 21	and Figure 2 this is just a bigger version, for those of us with bad
19 20 21 22	testimony, Dr. Holcomb. I don't want to misstate. A. This study could not refute more support my feeling about talc.	20 21 22	and Figure 2 this is just a bigger version, for those of us with bad eyes. Thank you for that. I
19 20 21 22 23	testimony, Dr. Holcomb. I don't want to misstate. A. This study could not refute more support my feeling about talc. Q. Okay. So it does not refute,	20 21 22 23	and Figure 2 this is just a bigger version, for those of us with bad eyes. Thank you for that. I appreciate that. Although they have
19 20 21 22	testimony, Dr. Holcomb. I don't want to misstate. A. This study could not refute more support my feeling about talc.	20 21 22	and Figure 2 this is just a bigger version, for those of us with bad eyes. Thank you for that. I

1 2 can you just identify what papers they 3 come from? 4 MS. THOMPSON: The Forrest plots 4 S. THOMPSON: The Forrest plots 5 are in all of our experts' reports, 6 and they were prepared by Dr. McTernan. 7 MS. DAVIDSON: So this is 113 7 accurate and if you can later prove 8 and this is 10. These are from expert 9 reports; they're not from published 10 papers. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you of 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're all 12 that.	Page 196
2 can you just identify what papers they 3 come from? 4 MS. THOMPSON: The Forrest plots 5 are in all of our experts' reports, 6 and they were prepared by Dr. McTernan. 7 MS. DAVIDSON: So this is 113 8 and this is 10. These are from expert 9 reports; they're not from published 10 papers. 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 12 impression I got. 3 BY MS. THOMPSON: 4 Q. Let's just assume these are 5 accurate, okay. 6 Are you okay assuming they 7 accurate and if you can later prove 8 they're not? 9 A. Why not. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you of 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're all	
3 come from? 4 MS. THOMPSON: The Forrest plots 5 are in all of our experts' reports, 6 and they were prepared by Dr. McTernan. 7 MS. DAVIDSON: So this is 113 8 and this is 10. These are from expert 9 reports; they're not from published 10 papers. 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 12 BY MS. THOMPSON: 4 Q. Let's just assume these are 5 accurate, okay. 6 Are you okay assuming they 7 accurate and if you can later prove 8 they're not? 9 A. Why not. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you of 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're all	
4 MS. THOMPSON: The Forrest plots 5 are in all of our experts' reports, 6 and they were prepared by Dr. McTernan. 7 MS. DAVIDSON: So this is 113 8 and this is 10. These are from expert 9 reports; they're not from published 10 papers. 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 12 Q. Let's just assume these are 5 accurate, okay. 6 Are you okay assuming they 7 accurate and if you can later prove 8 they're not? 9 A. Why not. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you of 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're all	
5 are in all of our experts' reports, 6 and they were prepared by Dr. McTernan. 7 MS. DAVIDSON: So this is 113 8 and this is 10. These are from expert 9 reports; they're not from published 10 papers. 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 15 accurate, okay. 6 Are you okay assuming they 7 accurate and if you can later prove 8 they're not? 9 A. Why not. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you of 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're all	
and they were prepared by Dr. McTernan. MS. DAVIDSON: So this is 113 and this is 10. These are from expert reports; they're not from published papers. MS. THOMPSON: They're not from Are you okay assuming they accurate and if you can later prove they're not? Are you okay assuming they accurate and if you can later prove they're not? A. Why not. Q. I'm just trying to get you of they're all MS. DAVIDSON: We're all	
7 MS. DAVIDSON: So this is 113 8 and this is 10. These are from expert 9 reports; they're not from published 10 papers. 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 12 Accurate and if you can later prove 8 they're not? 9 A. Why not. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you or 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're al	ı'ra
8 and this is 10. These are from expert 9 reports; they're not from published 10 papers. 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 12 8 they're not? 9 A. Why not. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you or 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're all	
9 reports; they're not from published 9 A. Why not. 10 papers. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you or 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're al	
10 papers. 10 Q. I'm just trying to get you or 11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're al	
11 MS. THOMPSON: They're not from 11 MS. DAVIDSON: We're al	n+
published papers, but they are correct 12 that.	1 101
12 DV MC THOMPSON	
and they have been used by both 13 BY MS. THOMPSON:	1 T
plaintiffs and defendants. 14 Q. Let's turn to the PDQ. And	
MS. DAVIDSON: Is this from a 15 understand that the section that yo	
published paper or an expert report, 16 most interested in begins on page 2	21 of 2/
17 Figure 1? 17 "Perineal Talc Exposure."	
MS. O'DELL: It's from an expert 18 If you want to turn to that.	
report, just like Margaret said. 19 A. Yes.	
MS. DAVIDSON: No, no, no, she 20 Q. Do you know who the auth	or of
21 was talking about this (indicating). 21 this section of the PDQ is?	
MS. THOMPSON: All of those 22 A. I don't remember. I do	
23 Forrest plots were from expert 23 Q. Is it listed in the after or	
24 reports. 24 with the section?	
25 MS. DAVIDSON: So Figure 1 and 25 A. Actually, it's not listed here	е.
Page 195	Page 197
1 2 Figure 2 are from plaintiff expert 1 2 Q. Do you know if there was a	on.
	-
	i on the
5 MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. I just 5 A. No.	: .
6 want to understand. Thank you. 6 Q. You would agree that this i	
7 MS. O'DELL: Dr. Wolf's report, 7 a comprehensive review, wouldn't	
8 first report. 8 MS. DAVIDSON: Objection	on.
9 BY MS. THOMPSON: 9 A. What's your definition of	
10 Q. And you've seen these, Dr. 10 "comprehensive"?	
11 Holcomb, in Dr. Wolf's report, correct? 11 Q. What's your definition of	
12 A. Correct. 12 "comprehensive"?	
Q. Do you have any reason to 13 A. Well, this is a it seems	
14 question the accuracy of these Forrest 14 pretty comprehensive.	
15 plots? 15 Q. Well, it's about one-page lo	ong,
MS. DAVIDSON: I mean, I'm going 16 right?	
to object to that question. 17 A. It's not the length of it; it's	
MS. THOMPSON: Okay. 18 how many papers are being considered to the control of th	
MS. DAVIDSON: Unless he sits 19 Q. And there are seven papers	3
down with the papers, he has no way to 20 considered?	
21 know that. That's a silly question. 21 A. Because they're, sort of,	
MC THOMPCON, Wall was soom to 122inline beautifue at the materials	lysis.
MS. THOMPSON: Well, you seem to 22 weighing heavily on the meta-anal	
be suggesting that because they were 23 Q. I didn't ask you that.	
	d,

	Page 198		Page 200
1	1 ugo 1/0	1	1.60.200
2	A. Including, you know, thousands	2	A. 25, I'm sorry. Give me one
3	of I mean, thousands of women in	3	second.
4	multiple studies, yes. But I your	4	Q. At the bottom.
5	question to me does this look	5	And it states: This summary is
6	comprehensive, I'd have to look through it	6	reviewed regularly and updated as
7	again, but it seems pretty comprehensive.	7	necessary by the PDQ Screening and
8	Q. It's certainly not as	8	Prevention Editorial Board which is
9	comprehensive as your expert report, is	9	editorially independent of the NCI.
10	it?	10	Does it state that?
11		11	A. Yes.
	A. This is not addressing my	12	
12	expert report went through my experience	l	Q. (Reading) And the summary
13	and it went through the epidemiology and	l	reflects an independent review of the
14	it went through this is just addressing	14	literature and does not represent a policy
15	one aspect of my report, so.	15	statement of the NCI or National
16	Q. Okay. That's fine.	16	Institutes of Health.
17	You believe this is a	17	That's at least what it states.
18	comprehensive report?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. It seems fairly comprehensive,	19	Q. Is there anywhere that it states
20	yeah.	20	"contained in the PDQ are the opinions of
21	Q. I just want your answers.	21	the NIH or NCI"?
22	A. I'm just offering them.	22	A. No. The NIH doesn't the NIH
23	Q. Okay, good.	23	doesn't can't speak as a organization
24	You would agree that it's not	24	for everybody in the NIH, or the NCI.
25	peer-reviewed, correct?	25	They put together editorial boards that
1	Page 199	1	Page 201
1	A Lyould agree	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	so I believe this editorial board was
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	A. I would agree.		
١.	Q. And you would agree that there's	3	convened by the NIH just like the last
4	a specific statement that this that the	4	thing you showed me on talc was convened
5	PDQ does not represent the policy of the	5	of those eight agencies, you know. That
6	NIH and NCI, correct?	6	agency is not making the statement. They
7	A. A policy?	7	convene a board in the same way. This is
8	Q. I'm using their words, but I can	8	common.
9	point you to that.	9	Q. Understand.
10	A. I wouldn't take this review of	10	And just above that "Reviewers
11	the literature to represent a policy, no.	11	and Update" it also states that it does
12	They're not suggesting any action or thing	12	not provide formal guidelines or
13	that would be outlined in a policy. So I	13	recommendations for making healthcare
14	wouldn't assume that.	14	decisions.
15	Q. Okay.	15	That's what it states, correct?
16	Do you think this is a statement	16	A. Yes.
17	of the opinions of NIH and NCI?	17	Q. On the next page, 26, it says:
18	A. I would think so, yes.	18	Some of the reference citations in this
19	Q. So the statement that says: The	19	summary are accompanied by a level of
20	summary reflects an independent review of	20	evidence designation.
21	the literature and does not represent a	21	Do you know what designation
22	policy statement of the NCI or the	22	system NCI uses?
23	National Institutes of Health	23	A. No, I'm not exactly sure which
24	A. Can you show me where that is?	24	one they use.
25	Q. Page 25.	25	Q. (Reading) These designations are
25	Q. Page 25.	25	Q. (Reading) These designations are

	Page 202		Page 204
1	rage 202	1	Page 204
$\frac{1}{2}$	intended to help readers assess the	$\frac{1}{2}$	who wrote the updated.
3	strength of the evidence supporting the	$\frac{2}{3}$	BY MS. THOMPSON:
4	use of specific interventions or	4	Q. But continue, Dr. Holcomb.
5	approaches.	5	A. To be fair, I you said that
6	A. Sure.	6	they only mentioned a few studies, and I
7		7	think if they convened an editorial board
8	Q. (Reading) The PDQ Screening and Prevention Editorial Board uses a formal	8	
9		_	to review this topic and these are the
-	evidence ranking system in developing its	9	studies that they chose, yeah, I'm going
10	level of evidence designations.	10	to assume that they considered the highest
11	Was there a level of evidence	11	level of evidence. I don't think that
12	designation attributed to the seven	12	they would choose lower evidence studies
13	citations accompanying the "Perineal Talc	13	and leave off the high evidence studies to
14	Exposure"?	14	reach their conclusion.
15	MS. DAVIDSON: So, I'm going to	15	Q. Okay.
16	object to this	16	So you would assume that the
17	MS. O'DELL: She's not finished	17	seven studies that they decided to include
18	with her question.	18	were high level studies?
19	MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, she was.	19	A. I think that they you know, I
20	She's done.	20	think what a lot of times these
21	MS. O'DELL: I think you cut her	21	organizations do, and and Burke did it
22	off at the end.	22	as well you'll see when you get to that
23	MS. THOMPSON: You did.	23	appendix, they they will look at pooled
24	MS. DAVIDSON: I did not cut her	24	analysis and meta-analysis in the I
25	off. Her question was done.	25	think in the essence of time, they're
1	Page 203	1	Page 205
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	I'm going to object to these	$\frac{1}{2}$	looking at these pooled studies to come up
3	questions for the same reason Leigh	3	with a with a recommendation. But I
4	objected when I asked questions just	4	don't see a specific attribution of a
5	like about 2019 because this was a	5	level of evidence.
6	question that could have been asked in	6	Q. Okay. That was just my
7	2019.	7	question.
8	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. I was	8	Have you ever been on the NCI
9	trying to give Dr. Holcomb a	9	board?
10	background for the question I have	10	A. No.
11	about the updated PDQ.	11	
12	MS. DAVIDSON: That wasn't	12	Q. Have you had a leadership position in SGO or ACOG since 2019?
13	background. That was a question.	13	A. Yes.
	MS. THOMPSON: It was, as to the		
14	whether the level of evidence was	14	Q. What position?
1 5	whether the level of evidence was	15	A. I was the chair of the Coding and Reimbursement Task Force at SGO.
15		1/	and Keimniirgement Lack Horce at N. d.)
16	applied to the seven references in the	16	
16 17	applied to the seven references in the March '24 updated PDQ.	17	Q. Was that on your CV?
16 17 18	applied to the seven references in the March '24 updated PDQ. MS. DAVIDSON: That question	17 18	Q. Was that on your CV?A. Yeah.
16 17 18 19	applied to the seven references in the March '24 updated PDQ. MS. DAVIDSON: That question about levels of evidence could have	17 18 19	Q. Was that on your CV?A. Yeah.Q. Missed it.
16 17 18 19 20	applied to the seven references in the March '24 updated PDQ. MS. DAVIDSON: That question about levels of evidence could have been asked in 2019.	17 18 19 20	Q. Was that on your CV?A. Yeah.Q. Missed it.If there was a member of the
16 17 18 19 20 21	applied to the seven references in the March '24 updated PDQ. MS. DAVIDSON: That question about levels of evidence could have been asked in 2019. MS. THOMPSON: But I'm asking if	17 18 19 20 21	Q. Was that on your CV?A. Yeah.Q. Missed it.If there was a member of the editorial board that has knowledge of how
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	applied to the seven references in the March '24 updated PDQ. MS. DAVIDSON: That question about levels of evidence could have been asked in 2019. MS. THOMPSON: But I'm asking if these were applied to the the	17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Was that on your CV? A. Yeah. Q. Missed it. If there was a member of the editorial board that has knowledge of how these topics are assigned and stated that
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	applied to the seven references in the March '24 updated PDQ. MS. DAVIDSON: That question about levels of evidence could have been asked in 2019. MS. THOMPSON: But I'm asking if these were applied to the the levels of evidence. I assume that he	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Was that on your CV? A. Yeah. Q. Missed it. If there was a member of the editorial board that has knowledge of how these topics are assigned and stated that there's one person assigned to write this,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	applied to the seven references in the March '24 updated PDQ. MS. DAVIDSON: That question about levels of evidence could have been asked in 2019. MS. THOMPSON: But I'm asking if these were applied to the the	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Was that on your CV? A. Yeah. Q. Missed it. If there was a member of the editorial board that has knowledge of how these topics are assigned and stated that

Document 33001-13	Filed 07/23/24	Page 5	
PageID: 196805			

1	Page 206		Page 208
1		1	
2	A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the	2	last few months.
3	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	3	So you can ask about Woolen.
4	A question?	4	Have at it.
5	Q. Yeah, it was confusing.	5	And I think maybe the
6	If there was a member of the	6	published
7	editorial board that has knowledge of how	7	MS. THOMPSON: O'Brien is on
8	these topics are assigned and that person	8	there as well.
9	states that they're assigned to one person	9	MS. DAVIDSON: The published
10	to write, would you have reason to	10	Tarer. Wasn't the published Tarer
11	question that knowledge?	11	after 2019? I think it was.
12	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	12	MS. O'DELL: So, there are
13	A. I I really don't understand.	13	several studies that are after 2019,
14	Would I have reason to question	14	as you know. So not just Woolen.
15	who they assign to write this?	15	MS. DAVIDSON: Great.
16	Q. That one person wrote it, not	16	MS. O'DELL: But I think on here
17	that the editorial board convened and	17	it's Woolen.
18	writing it collectively.	18	No, it's not.
19	A. I'm sure the editorial board	19	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay, great.
20	reviewed it together, but if one person is	20	MS. O'DELL: And the difference
21	assigned to actually write the draft, no,	21	in the situation is Dr. Holcomb, as
22	that makes sense. That seems like the	22	you know, was not deposed in 2021. He
23	more efficient way to do it.	23	was not deposed
24	Q. Okay. That's only my question.	24	MS. DAVIDSON: That's what I'm
25	A. I'm just answering your	25	saying, anything after 2019.
1	Page 207	1	Page 209
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	question	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	MS O'DELL: So I'm just saying
3	question. MS. THOMPSON: Jessica, you	3	MS. O'DELL: So I'm just saying your recitation is incorrect.
$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$	really don't have to laugh when you	4	MS. DAVIDSON: It's not a
5	object. I think that's disrespectful.	5	different situation. You also had
6	BY MS. THOMPSON:	6	somebody who wasn't deposed since
7		7	2019.
8	Q. Okay. Let's go through the papers that the editorial board actually	8	Was Clarke-Pearson since 2019?
9	cites.	9	MS. O'DELL: No.
10	MS. DAVIDSON: We're only going	10	MS. THOMPSON: No. He had two
11	• • •	11	whole days in 2020.
	to go through namers after 2010	1.1	WHOIC days III 2020.
1	to go through papers after 2019.	12	
12	MS. THOMPSON: We're going	12	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed
12 13	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the	13	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times.
12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI.	13 14	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody
12 13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only	13 14 15	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else.
12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after	13 14 15 16	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also
12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after 2019. That was the rule that we went	13 14 15 16 17	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also hasn't been deposed since 2019.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after 2019. That was the rule that we went by when I was deposing plaintiff's	13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also hasn't been deposed since 2019. BY MS. THOMPSON:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after 2019. That was the rule that we went by when I was deposing plaintiff's experts.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also hasn't been deposed since 2019. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Let's look at the O'Brien paper
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after 2019. That was the rule that we went by when I was deposing plaintiff's experts. MS. THOMPSON: You are saying	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also hasn't been deposed since 2019. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Let's look at the O'Brien paper in 2020, Dr. Holcomb, is since you were
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after 2019. That was the rule that we went by when I was deposing plaintiff's experts. MS. THOMPSON: You are saying that	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also hasn't been deposed since 2019. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Let's look at the O'Brien paper in 2020, Dr. Holcomb, is since you were deposed the last time, correct?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after 2019. That was the rule that we went by when I was deposing plaintiff's experts. MS. THOMPSON: You are saying that MS. DAVIDSON: Yep, that's what	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also hasn't been deposed since 2019. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Let's look at the O'Brien paper in 2020, Dr. Holcomb, is since you were deposed the last time, correct? A. Which paper?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after 2019. That was the rule that we went by when I was deposing plaintiff's experts. MS. THOMPSON: You are saying that MS. DAVIDSON: Yep, that's what I was limited to. 100 percent I was	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also hasn't been deposed since 2019. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Let's look at the O'Brien paper in 2020, Dr. Holcomb, is since you were deposed the last time, correct? A. Which paper? Q. The O'Brien to Roger Harris in
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: We're going through the ones that are cited by the updated NCI. MS. DAVIDSON: No, we're only going to go through the ones after 2019. That was the rule that we went by when I was deposing plaintiff's experts. MS. THOMPSON: You are saying that MS. DAVIDSON: Yep, that's what	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: He's been deposed multiple times. MS. DAVIDSON: It was somebody else. Mormon. It was Mormon who also hasn't been deposed since 2019. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Let's look at the O'Brien paper in 2020, Dr. Holcomb, is since you were deposed the last time, correct? A. Which paper?

	Page 210		Page 212
1	•	1	
2	MS. THOMPSON: But let's talk	2	the authors' conclusion right now.
3	about the Woolen paper.	3	A. That was their conclusion.
4	(Holcomb Exhibit 19, Woolen	4	Q. And the authors at least stated
5	article - 2022, was marked for	5	that the results support women avoiding
6	identification, as of this date.)	6	the frequent use of talcum powder in the
7	BY MS. THOMPSON:	7	perineal area, the authors' conclusions,
8	Q. The Woolen paper was published	8	correct?
9	in the Journal of Internal Medicine,	9	MS. DAVIDSON: Are you pointing
10	correct?	10	to specific language?
11	A. Correct.	11	A. I don't have the exact do you
12	Q. That's a peer-reviewed journal,	12	have it?
13	correct?	13	Q. You should have it.
14	A. It is.	14	MS. O'DELL: It was just marked.
15	Q. Do you know of Dr. Smith-Bindman?	15	A. This is it. Thank you.
16	A. No.	16	Q. And the last sentence: These
17	Q. Have you read any of her other	17	results support women avoiding the
18	studies, that you're aware of?	18	A. This suggests.
19	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.	19	Q. I'm just reading.
20	Q. Are you aware of the paper that	20	A. And your statement was where?
21	concluded that	21	Q. I'm looking at the Conclusions
22	A. We're not talking about Woolen	22	and Implications, the last sentence.
23	anymore? We're talking about a different	23	A. Conclusions and Implications.
24	paper?	24	Q. And my question is these authors
25	Q. I'm talking about Dr.	25	concluded that these results support women
	Page 211		
		1	Page 213
1	. ugc 211	1	Page 213
1 2	Smith-Bindman.	1 2	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder
		1	•
2	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped.	2	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area.
2 3	Smith-Bindman.	2 3	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder
2 3 4	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that	2 3 4	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I
2 3 4 5	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine	2 3 4 5	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from.
2 3 4 5 6	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian	2 3 4 5 6	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're
2 3 4 5 6 7	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes. Q. And it included both cohort and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies and a single cohort study, yes, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes. Q. And it included both cohort and case-control studies, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies and a single cohort study, yes, they reached that conclusion based on that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes. Q. And it included both cohort and case-control studies, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies and a single cohort study, yes, they reached that conclusion based on that, right. Q. That's my only question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes. Q. And it included both cohort and case-control studies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the paper concluded that there was an increased risk of ovarian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies and a single cohort study, yes, they reached that conclusion based on that, right. Q. That's my only question. And you would disagree with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes. Q. And it included both cohort and case-control studies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the paper concluded that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies and a single cohort study, yes, they reached that conclusion based on that, right. Q. That's my only question. And you would disagree with that policy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes. Q. And it included both cohort and case-control studies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the paper concluded that there was an increased risk of ovarian cancer with women who used talcum powder, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies and a single cohort study, yes, they reached that conclusion based on that, right. Q. That's my only question. And you would disagree with that policy? A. Well, I I have a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes. Q. And it included both cohort and case-control studies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the paper concluded that there was an increased risk of ovarian cancer with women who used talcum powder, correct? A. Yes. By their definition of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies and a single cohort study, yes, they reached that conclusion based on that, right. Q. That's my only question. And you would disagree with that policy? A. Well, I I have a lot of problems with the paper itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Smith-Bindman. A. Okay. You jumped. Q. Are you aware of the paper that established that ultrasound was, routine ultrasound was not indicated in ovarian cancer? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I'm not familiar with the paper you're describing. Q. Okay. We'll move on. A. Okay. Q. So, the Woolen paper looked at frequent users of talcum powder, correct? A. By their definition, yes. Q. And it included both cohort and case-control studies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the paper concluded that there was an increased risk of ovarian cancer with women who used talcum powder, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	avoiding the frequent use of talcum powder in the perineal area. A. I'm just looking for that. I don't I'm looking for where you're getting that from. MS. DAVIDSON: On the very last page. THE WITNESS: Last page of the paper. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Of the paper. A. I'm sorry. Right. In this analysis of pooled data from ten case-control studies and a single cohort study, yes, they reached that conclusion based on that, right. Q. That's my only question. And you would disagree with that policy? A. Well, I I have a lot of

	Page 214		Page 216
1		1	
2	A. You just asked me	2	MS. DAVIDSON: So, I'm not his
3	MS. DAVIDSON: Hold on. He was	3	counsel. I'm counsel for J&J.
4	in the middle of a sentence and you	4	And I never said that. But we
5	interrupted him. You just can't do	5	can continue.
6	that. And Leigh wouldn't ever, ever	6	MS. THOMPSON: Counsel sitting
7	let me do that when your witnesses	7	beside you.
8	were testifying. And I got exercised	8	We'll mark the O'Brien 2020
9	just like you did.	9	paper.
10	So let's let Dr. Holcomb finish	10	(Holcomb Exhibit 20, O'Brien
11	answering the question.	11	article - 2020, was marked for
12	You were saying you have a lot	12	identification, as of this date.)
13	of problems. Finish your answer.	13	MS. THOMPSON: And we'll mark
14	A. Yeah, whether or not these	14	the tables accompanying the O'Brien
15	conclusions are valid is based on this	15	2020 paper as Exhibit 21.
16	study I would disagree with the	16	(Holcomb Exhibit 21, Woolen
17	conclusions because of all the falsehood	17	article tables, was marked for
18	that I have with the study.	18	identification, as of this date.)
19	Q. And I'll strike that answer	19	BY MS. THOMPSON:
20	because my question was did the authors	20	Q. If you look at the authors of
21	conclude?	21	this paper, there are ten authors. Katie
22	A. The authors did conclude that.	$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	O'Brien is the lead author.
23	Q. And you would disagree with that	23	Where does Katie O'Brien work,
24	conclusion, correct?	24	do you know?
25	A. For all the reasons I just	25	A. It says she's the epidemiology
23	*	23	
1	Page 215	1	Page 217
2	stated.	2	branch of the National Institute of
3	Q. Okay.	3	Environmental Health Sciences, Research
4	All right. Let's go to the	4	Triangle Park, North Carolina.
5	O'Brien studies.	5	Q. And is the NIEHS an agency under
6	And you did review the O'Brien	6	the Health and Human Services umbrella?
7	paper in 2020, correct?	7	A. I I'm not sure.
8	A. Correct.	8	Q. Was NIEHS part of the
9	Q. And the O'Brien paper in 2024?	9	interagency working group that we just
10	A. Correct.	10	looked at sponsored by FDA?
11	Q. And so those are both since	11	A. They were.
12	you've been deposed, correct?	12	Q. And do you recognize other names
13	A. Correct.	13	as authors on this paper?
	Q. And those are both included in	14	A. When you say "recognize," as?
14		1.7	
14		15	O Do you know these other authors
15	your updated report, correct?	15	Q. Do you know these other authors
15 16	your updated report, correct? A. Correct.	16	or recognize their names?
15 16 17	your updated report, correct? A. Correct. Can I put these away, or do I	16 17	or recognize their names? A. No.
15 16 17 18	your updated report, correct? A. Correct. Can I put these away, or do I still need these?	16 17 18	or recognize their names? A. No. Q. Do you know that Britton Trabert
15 16 17 18 19	your updated report, correct? A. Correct. Can I put these away, or do I still need these? Q. Well, your counsel said we	16 17 18 19	or recognize their names? A. No. Q. Do you know that Britton Trabert is at NIH?
15 16 17 18 19 20	your updated report, correct? A. Correct. Can I put these away, or do I still need these? Q. Well, your counsel said we cannot use the information from them	16 17 18 19 20	or recognize their names? A. No. Q. Do you know that Britton Trabert is at NIH? A. I don't know the name, so I
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your updated report, correct? A. Correct. Can I put these away, or do I still need these? Q. Well, your counsel said we cannot use the information from them unless it's	16 17 18 19 20 21	or recognize their names? A. No. Q. Do you know that Britton Trabert is at NIH? A. I don't know the name, so I wouldn't know where they worked.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your updated report, correct? A. Correct. Can I put these away, or do I still need these? Q. Well, your counsel said we cannot use the information from them unless it's A. Okay.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or recognize their names? A. No. Q. Do you know that Britton Trabert is at NIH? A. I don't know the name, so I wouldn't know where they worked. Q. And you do recognize that NIH,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your updated report, correct? A. Correct. Can I put these away, or do I still need these? Q. Well, your counsel said we cannot use the information from them unless it's A. Okay. Q. I thought it would be a fast way	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or recognize their names? A. No. Q. Do you know that Britton Trabert is at NIH? A. I don't know the name, so I wouldn't know where they worked. Q. And you do recognize that NIH, NCI, and other government agencies do have
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your updated report, correct? A. Correct. Can I put these away, or do I still need these? Q. Well, your counsel said we cannot use the information from them unless it's A. Okay.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or recognize their names? A. No. Q. Do you know that Britton Trabert is at NIH? A. I don't know the name, so I wouldn't know where they worked. Q. And you do recognize that NIH,

	Page 218		Page 220
1		1	
2	A. Yes.	2	issue when you have a condition that
3	Q. And they do studies and publish	3	you're looking at like ovarian cancer,
4	papers, correct?	4	which you describe as a relatively rare
5	A. Yes.	5	disease, right?
6	Q. And what is the O'Brien study?	6	A. Yes.
7	How would you describe it?	7	Q. So let's go ahead and look at
8	A. I would disguise describe it	8	the tables.
9	as a pooled analysis from four large	9	Supplementary table 1 is
10	U.Sbased cohorts, the Nurses' Health	10	Exhibit 21.
11	Study, the Nurses' Health Study 2, the	11	A. I'm sorry?
12	Sister Study, and the Women's Health	12	Q. Underneath you.
13	Initiative Observational Study Enrollment	13	A. Yes.
14	that looked at ever, long-term, and	14	Q. And I didn't intentionally
15	frequent use of powder in the genital area	15	highlight it, but it is.
16	to look at the association of powder use	16	And it looks at all women. And
17	in the genital area with the risk of	17	the hazard ratio with daily users is 1.27.
18	ovarian cancer.	18	That's statistically
19	Q. And why is it important to pool	19	significant, correct?
20	cohort studies?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. It increases the number of of	21	Q. Was Ms. Gallardo a daily user?
22	observations and, sort of, strengthens the	22	A. I have to go back to my report.
23	study by increasing the numbers.	23	I know she said about
24	Q. Would you agree that cohort	24	Q. We can do that when we talk
25	studies, particularly with a rare disease	25	about her specifically if you don't
	Page 219		Page 221
1		1	
2	like ovarian cancer, are frequently	2	remember.
3	underpowered?	3	A. I don't specifically remember.
4	A. Frequently underpowered.	4	Q. And women with patent fallopian
5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	5	tubes daily users, what was the adjusted
6	A. Yeah, I would say power is a	6	hazard ratio?
7	concern of cohort studies. I don't know	7	A. It's 1.4.
8	if I would say they're frequently	8	Q. Statistically significant,
9	underpowered. I would say power is a	9	correct?
10	concern of cohort studies because they	10	A. Correct.
11	tend to be smaller.	11	Q. Dr. Holcomb, that's of interest
12	Q. Okay. I'll rephrase the	12	to you, correct?
13	question. That's a good point.	13	A. Yes.
14	Is power sometimes a concern	14	Q. Did Ms. Gallardo have patent
15	with cohort studies?	15	fallopian tubes, or do you remember?
13		10	A. She did.
16	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	16	
1	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Yes.	17	And, yes, this is from the first
16	*	l	And, yes, this is from the first Nurses' Health Study, yes.
16 17	A. Yes.	17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18	A. Yes.Q. And did you understand my	17 18	Nurses' Health Study, yes.
16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. And did you understand my question?	17 18 19	Nurses' Health Study, yes. MS. THOMPSON: I'd like for you
16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes.Q. And did you understand my question?A. I did.	17 18 19 20	Nurses' Health Study, yes. MS. THOMPSON: I'd like for you to look at Exhibit 22.
16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.Q. And did you understand my question?A. I did.Q. That was better than the	17 18 19 20 21	Nurses' Health Study, yes. MS. THOMPSON: I'd like for you to look at Exhibit 22. (Holcomb Exhibit 22,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes.Q. And did you understand my question?A. I did.Q. That was better than the previous one?	17 18 19 20 21 22	Nurses' Health Study, yes. MS. THOMPSON: I'd like for you to look at Exhibit 22. (Holcomb Exhibit 22, Comment & Response Genital Powder Use

	Page 222		Page 224
1	Page 222	1	Page 224
2	BY MS. THOMPSON:	2	we can make the most use of our time
3	Q. Have you seen these	3	today?
4	A. No.	4	MS. O'DELL: I don't know that
5	Q Comment and Response to the	5	that's a good idea, but if you would
6	O'Brien 2020 paper?	6	read that during lunch.
7	A. No.	7	THE WITNESS: Certainly.
8	Q. Do you want to take a minute to	8	MS. THOMPSON: Exhibit 23.
9	look at those?	9	(Holcomb Exhibit 23, O'Brien
10	A. Do you want me to look at the	10	article - 2021, was marked for
11	whole thing, or is there a specific	11	identification, as of this date.)
12	area	12	BY MS. THOMPSON:
13	Q. I'll ask you questions, but	13	Q. You were aware that Dr. O'Brien
14	since you have not seen this before, if	14	and her team, in addition to looking at
15	you'd like to look at it first, I think	15	ovarian cancer, looked at uterine cancer
16	that would be appropriate.	16	<i>'</i>
17	A. Sure.	17	A. I'm not aware of this study.
18	(Witness reads document.)	18	Q. Did you know that
19	Q. Are you ready?	19	A. I did. I was aware that only
20	MS. DAVIDSON: Of course not.	20	from the O'Brien study we'll get to later
21	A. You want me to read this,	21	because they included analysis on uterine
22	correct?	22	J
23 24	I have no idea what you're going to ask me.	23	were at least looking at uterine cancer.
25		24 25	Q. And this one was published in 2020?
23	MS. THOMPSON: Then let's go off	23	
1	Page 223	1	Page 225
2	the record, please, Marie.	2	A. I'm not I haven't read this
3	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute. I	3	paper.
4	think the rule is that you go off the	4	Q. Let's just read the abstract on
5	record if he's reading something from	5	this one.
6	his reliance list, but not you go off	6	So you did not know that O'Brien
7	the record if he's reading something		
8		7	and her colleagues looked at other
	not on his reliance list.	8	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in
9	MS. O'DELL: That's not	8 9	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study?
9 10	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've	8 9 10	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That
9 10 11	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it.	8 9 10 11	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he
9 10 11 12	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule	8 9 10 11 12	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it.
9 10 11 12 13	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of.	8 9 10 11 12 13	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON:
9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better idea. Why don't you question him on	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study. A. No, that's true. I did not know
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better idea. Why don't you question him on something else, and he'll read this on	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study. A. No, that's true. I did not know at the time in 2020 that they looked at
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better idea. Why don't you question him on something else, and he'll read this on lunch so we can use this six minutes.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study. A. No, that's true. I did not know at the time in 2020 that they looked at this.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better idea. Why don't you question him on something else, and he'll read this on lunch so we can use this six minutes. MS. THOMPSON: It won't take me	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study. A. No, that's true. I did not know at the time in 2020 that they looked at this. Q. Okay.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better idea. Why don't you question him on something else, and he'll read this on lunch so we can use this six minutes. MS. THOMPSON: It won't take me six minutes, but I'd hate to move to a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study. A. No, that's true. I did not know at the time in 2020 that they looked at this. Q. Okay. So just so we're clear on that,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better idea. Why don't you question him on something else, and he'll read this on lunch so we can use this six minutes. MS. THOMPSON: It won't take me six minutes, but I'd hate to move to a whole 'nother topic.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study. A. No, that's true. I did not know at the time in 2020 that they looked at this. Q. Okay. So just so we're clear on that, you did not know that Dr. O'Brien and her
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better idea. Why don't you question him on something else, and he'll read this on lunch so we can use this six minutes. MS. THOMPSON: It won't take me six minutes, but I'd hate to move to a whole 'nother topic. MS. DAVIDSON: Can you question	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study. A. No, that's true. I did not know at the time in 2020 that they looked at this. Q. Okay. So just so we're clear on that, you did not know that Dr. O'Brien and her co-authors looked at uterine cancer and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: That's not MS. DAVIDSON: That's how we've always followed it. MS. O'DELL: That's not a rule I'm aware of. It's 12:23. I know you have a call at 12:30. Do you want to MS. DAVIDSON: I have a better idea. Why don't you question him on something else, and he'll read this on lunch so we can use this six minutes. MS. THOMPSON: It won't take me six minutes, but I'd hate to move to a whole 'nother topic.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	gynecologic cancers as well as ovarian in the pooled cohort study? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That misstates his testimony. He said he knew they did, but he didn't read it. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I thought you said you didn't know in 2020. You only knew in 2024, the Sister Study. A. No, that's true. I did not know at the time in 2020 that they looked at this. Q. Okay. So just so we're clear on that, you did not know that Dr. O'Brien and her

	D 227		P. 220
1	Page 226	1	Page 228
2	ovarian?	2	A. That's clear?
3	A. I was asked to review the	3	Q. I want to make sure I am clear
4	literature to offer an opinion on ovarian	4	on this because I think this is important
5	cancer. So this would have been outside	5	to you.
6	that opinion.	6	So when they say: When powder
7	So no, I was not aware.	7	is applied to the genital area, it has the
8	Q. And that's fine. I'm not	8	potential to reach internal reproductive
9	suggesting you should have. I'm just	9	organs and promote carcinogenesis by
10	asking if you had.	10	irritating and inflaming exposed tissue.
11	But if you read the abstract,	11	That's what they say, correct?
12	and I don't think you need to read this	12	A. Again they're saying the
13	entire paper, but the abstract says: When	13	potential. That is
14	powder is applied to the genital area, it	14	Q. I'm just asking if they say
15	has the potential to reach internal	15	that.
16	reproductive organs and promote	16	A. You're reading it and of course
17	carcinogenesis by irritating and inflaming	17	that's exactly what they wrote.
18	exposed tissue.	18	Q. I know. I'm going to ask you
19	So at least these authors don't	19	follow-up questions.
20	propose that as a hypothesis; they propose	20	A. Sure. Please do.
21	it as something that happens, correct?	21	Q. I just want the record to
22	A. No, no, that's completely	22	reflect what the authors state.
23	misunderstanding of what they're saying.	23	Would it be your opinion that
24	Which gives me a chance to clarify I just		that would indicate it's possible?
25	want to say that is not what they're	25	A. In the yes, they're saying
1	Page 227	1	Page 229
1 2		1 2	_
2	saying. That is your interpretation of	2	that it is possible. It has the potential
2 3	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between	2 3	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system.
2 3 4	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm	2 3 4	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your
2 3 4 5	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized	2 3 4 5	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one
2 3 4 5 6	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this,	2 3 4 5 6	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another.
2 3 4 5 6 7	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That	2 3 4 5 6 7	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because
2 3 4 5 6	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things.	2 3 4 5 6 7	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the potential, once you have an open system, anything has the potential of getting there. Whether it's plausible is based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what they wrote. So it's not unreasonable for me to believe that there's certain things that you want me to say because you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the potential, once you have an open system, anything has the potential of getting there. Whether it's plausible is based on the data. So you can say talc has the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what they wrote. So it's not unreasonable for me to believe that there's certain things that you want me to say because you started off so far out there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the potential, once you have an open system, anything has the potential of getting there. Whether it's plausible is based on the data. So you can say talc has the possibility of getting to the ovaries, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what they wrote. So it's not unreasonable for me to believe that there's certain things that you want me to say because you started off so far out there. Q. Well, it's the first sentence in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the potential, once you have an open system, anything has the potential of getting there. Whether it's plausible is based on the data. So you can say talc has the possibility of getting to the ovaries, the possibility of causing inflammation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what they wrote. So it's not unreasonable for me to believe that there's certain things that you want me to say because you started off so far out there. Q. Well, it's the first sentence in the abstract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the potential, once you have an open system, anything has the potential of getting there. Whether it's plausible is based on the data. So you can say talc has the possibility of getting to the ovaries, the possibility of causing inflammation, possibility of causing ovarian cancer, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what they wrote. So it's not unreasonable for me to believe that there's certain things that you want me to say because you started off so far out there. Q. Well, it's the first sentence in the abstract. A. But you read it completely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the potential, once you have an open system, anything has the potential of getting there. Whether it's plausible is based on the data. So you can say talc has the possibility of getting to the ovaries, the possibility of causing inflammation, possibility of causing ovarian cancer, and then you do studies and determine whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what they wrote. So it's not unreasonable for me to believe that there's certain things that you want me to say because you started off so far out there. Q. Well, it's the first sentence in the abstract. A. But you read it completely wrong. So I got to believe anyway, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the potential, once you have an open system, anything has the potential of getting there. Whether it's plausible is based on the data. So you can say talc has the possibility of getting to the ovaries, the possibility of causing inflammation, possibility of causing ovarian cancer, and then you do studies and determine whether that's plausible. So they're only talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what they wrote. So it's not unreasonable for me to believe that there's certain things that you want me to say because you started off so far out there. Q. Well, it's the first sentence in the abstract. A. But you read it completely wrong. So I got to believe anyway, we should move on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying. That is your interpretation of this. There is a difference between possibility and plausibility. And I'm glad this came up because I I realized earlier when we were speaking about this, they're saying it has the potential. That means it's possible to do these things. Earlier you asked me about things that are plausible and I said anything's plausible, and I misspoke because anything's possible, but plausible comes with proof. So when they said it has the potential, once you have an open system, anything has the potential of getting there. Whether it's plausible is based on the data. So you can say talc has the possibility of getting to the ovaries, the possibility of causing inflammation, possibility of causing ovarian cancer, and then you do studies and determine whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that it is possible. It has the potential because it's an open system. Q. And I'm just wanting your answer. I'm not wanting you to say one thing or another. Do you understand that? Because I feel like you feel like I'm arguing with you. A. No, no, you have to acknowledge the fact that you started off by wanting me to agree with you that these authors believe that this happened. I don't know how you came to that conclusion from what they wrote. So it's not unreasonable for me to believe that there's certain things that you want me to say because you started off so far out there. Q. Well, it's the first sentence in the abstract. A. But you read it completely wrong. So I got to believe anyway, we

			T
1	Page 230	1	Page 232
2	But it is the first sentence in	2	abstract, and this is all we're going to
3	the abstract, so I would interpret that as	3	do, they found that there was no
4	the authors believing that it can happen.	4	association between ever genital area
5	A. If they believed they're	5	powder use and a uterine cancer, correct?
6	saying potential.	6	It's a ratio of 1.01 and not statistically
7	Q. Okay.	7	significant?
8	A. Potential, yes.	8	A. That's true.
9	Q. That's what the authors say.	9	Q. And so you would agree that
10	A. I was just I don't want to	10	there's no association from their uterine
11	speak over one another, but I just want to	11	cancer paper, correct?
12	again say the difference between possible	12	A. I would agree.
13	and plausible, I don't think I was very	13	MS. THOMPSON: All right.
14	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	MS. DAVIDSON: Lunch?
15	opportunity to say I believe that yes,	15	(Luncheon recess taken.)
	they're saying it's possible. I don't	16	
17	think that they're saying from that	17	AFTERNOON SESSION
18	statement it's plausible.	18	
19	Q. Okay. And I was going to ask	19	MS. THOMPSON: I want to correct
20	you that exact question.	20	one thing for the record. Exhibit 21
21	So in Dr. Holcomb's mind, you	21	I believe I said those were O'Brien
22	would say that statement means it's	22	tables. I just want to correct that
23	possible, but not plausible?	23	those are actually tables from Woolen.
24	A. They're not saying whether it's	24	THE WITNESS: I see.
	plausible. They're just saying it's	25	MS. THOMPSON: And I don't need
	Page 231		Page 233
1		1	
2	possible.	2	to ask any additional questions. I
3	Q. Okay.	3	think it's just the data, but I did
4	A. It's my opinion that the	4	want to correct that.
5	literature does not support that it's	5	BY MS. THOMPSON:
6	plausible.	6	Q. When we left off, I believe we
7	Q. Okay.	7	were going to talk about the letters in
8	So they're saying it's possible,	8	reply on the O'Brien 2020 paper.
9	but you do not think they would say it was	9	If recall bias existed, why was
10	plausible, or you're just not saying it's	10	the association stronger for particular
11	plausible?	11	histologic types of ovarian cancer and no
12	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	12	association seen with cornstarch use in
13	A. They're not saying either way	13	one case-control study?
14	whether they consider it plausible. I'm	14	A. So, I'm not familiar with the
15	telling you that it's my opinion that it's	15	one
16	not.	16	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
17	Q. Okay. It's your opinion that	17	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
18	it's not plausible?	18	MS. DAVIDSON: Go ahead.
19	A. They're not offering an opinion	19	A. I'm not familiar with the one
20	on whether it's plausible. They don't use	20	case-control study. But my review of the
21	the word "plausible." They say	21	literature, the case-control studies have
22	"potential."	22	come to different conclusions about what
23	Q. Okay. We'll just leave it at	23	histologic types are at increased risk.
24	what they say.	24	There's been studies that said mainly
25	And then if you go down in the	25	serous. There's been studies that said

	Page 234		Page 236
1	1 age 254	1	1 age 250
2	it's mainly a study at least saying	2	Cramer and Gertig believe serous. Harlow
3	mucinous or endometrioid. So it hasn't	3	identified endometrioid tumors as being
4	been consistent as far as the cell type	4	the largest association, and I believe
5	that's consistent with talc.	5	we're going to talk about Harlow in a bit.
6	Q. Have you seen any articles that	6	And then Mills said mucinous. So I'm
7	show a statistically significant increase	7	saying it isn't consistent.
8	with mucinous ovarian cancer?	8	Q. I said if there was a finding.
9	A. Yes. I mention in my report.	9	Listen to the question.
10	Let me go back to it. I've got to go find	10	I said if there was a finding,
11	it.	11	if there were differences between
12	(Pause.)	12	histologic types, then recall bias would
13	Yeah, there's Mills 2004.	13	not be
14	Q. Any others that you're aware of?	14	A. So you
15	A. No.	15	Q. You could not blame recall bias
16	Q. Any other meta-analyses?	16	for the case-control increased risk.
17	A. Meta-analysis on mucinous?	17	A. I just want to make sure I'm
18	Q. Meta-analyses that found an	18	clear. You'd like me to say in a world
19	increased risk of mucinous cancer.	-	where the literature doesn't have what the
20	A. No. That's just the one I	l .	literature has, where there are different
21	noticed. I don't know there are others.	21	studies saying different histologic types,
22	That's the one I cited.	22	you could not explain it completely with
23	Q. Wouldn't you agree that if	23	recall bias.
	recall bias was responsible for the	24	So you're saying in that
25	increased risk, that it would not you	25	universe where that was the case? Yes.
	Page 235		Page 237
1		1	Ma B Milbaon Oli di
2	would not be able to distinguish between	2	MIS III VIII SCINI: Objection:
1 2		l .	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection;
3	different types of cancers, right?	3	incomplete hypothetical.
4	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	3 4	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON:
4 5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you
4 5 6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian	3 4 5 6	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything.
4 5 6 7	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer.	3 4 5 6 7	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer
4 5 6 7 8	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall	3 4 5 6 7 8	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir.
4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible A. No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the data that it isn't clear-cut. You're
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible A. No. Q for the case-control findings	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the data that it isn't clear-cut. You're saying that you want me to hypothesize
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible A. No. Q for the case-control findings if there's a clear-cut difference between	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the data that it isn't clear-cut. You're saying that you want me to hypothesize that in that situation, recall bias
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible A. No. Q for the case-control findings if there's a clear-cut difference between histologic types, right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the data that it isn't clear-cut. You're saying that you want me to hypothesize that in that situation, recall bias couldn't explain it, and I'm just
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible A. No. Q for the case-control findings if there's a clear-cut difference between histologic types, right? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the data that it isn't clear-cut. You're saying that you want me to hypothesize that in that situation, recall bias couldn't explain it, and I'm just wondering why you're asking the question
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible A. No. Q for the case-control findings if there's a clear-cut difference between histologic types, right? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Yeah, I want to go back to that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the data that it isn't clear-cut. You're saying that you want me to hypothesize that in that situation, recall bias couldn't explain it, and I'm just wondering why you're asking the question because I just said in the real world that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible A. No. Q for the case-control findings if there's a clear-cut difference between histologic types, right? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Yeah, I want to go back to that clear-cut difference between histologic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the data that it isn't clear-cut. You're saying that you want me to hypothesize that in that situation, recall bias couldn't explain it, and I'm just wondering why you're asking the question because I just said in the real world that we live based on the data, and I've cited
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Different types of ovarian cancer. A. No, the there could be recall bias in addition to covariants that could be impacting, and how those could interact I don't know. So, you know, I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. Q. I think if I didn't say I meant to say recall can't be totally responsible A. No. Q for the case-control findings if there's a clear-cut difference between histologic types, right? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Yeah, I want to go back to that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	incomplete hypothetical. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. First of all, I don't want you to say anything. A. No, no, you want me to answer MS. O'DELL: Please, sir. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I want you to give your opinions and answer the questions. A. But you're asking me to make an assumption. You said if there is a clear-cut difference in histologic types and I've already showed you through the data that it isn't clear-cut. You're saying that you want me to hypothesize that in that situation, recall bias couldn't explain it, and I'm just wondering why you're asking the question because I just said in the real world that

Page 238 Page 240 1 1 2 that you're aware of, given an opinion powder use with a vulvar cancer? 3 3 that talcum powder can cause mucinous A. I'm saying I don't know if it's cancer, ovarian cancer? 4 been studied. Q. Is there any evidence of genital 5 A. Has anybody? 5 Q. In this litigation, any expert, 6 talcum powder use and vaginal cancer? 6 plaintiff expert. 7 A. I don't know if it's been 8 A. I wouldn't know. 8 studied. 9 My reliance list and -- and who 9 Q. Is there any evidence of an 10 association with talcum powder use and 10 I'm quoting, I quoted a study that did. 11 Are you questioning whether that exists? 11 cervical cancer? 12 Q. I would have to go back on 12 A. Not that I've seen. 13 Mills. I know that none of the 13 Q. We just saw O'Brien with uterine 14 meta-analyses or the cohort, Schildkraut, 14 cancer. 15 all the case controls in the last 20 years A. That's why I answered that one 15 16 have not shown an increased risk with 16 differently than the others because I have 17 mucinous. seen data on that. 17 18 A. But I'm saying that someone did 18 Q. And the data that you've seen on 19 a case-control study and came to the 19 uterine is that there is no association? 20 conclusion that the increased risk was 20 A. The data I've seen, yes. 21 most notable for mucinous. Other people 21 Q. And with vulvar, vaginal, and 22 cervical cancer, you don't know that it's 22 did case-control studies and said it's 23 most notable for endometrioid, and other 23 been studied; you have not seen any 24 people did studies that said it's 24 studies? 25 high-grade serous, other people said it's 25 Α. That's not what I said. Page 239 Page 241 1 1 2 2 low-grade serous. O. It's not? 3 A. No. 3 I mentioned in my report that 4 there are distinct histologic gene 4 I said I've not seen any data on vulvar and vaginal. But you just showed 5 mutations that define these different 6 types. And so what -- and that was part me a paper before lunch that had cervix 7 7 of the reason why I just want to, on the included. 8 basis of science, had doubts about one 8 Q. All right. So, so far no data that you've 9 toxic, if you want to say, exposure could 9 10 cause all these different types because we seen on vulvar and vaginal? 10 11 now understand the heterogeneous nature of A. As far as I know, it's not been 11 12 epithelial ovarian cancer. 12 studied. 13 Q. Are you finished? 13 Q. Yeah, that's all I meant. 14 A. Yes, I am. 14 That's all I'm asking you. I'm not Q. I don't believe that answered my 15 looking for an answer. I'm looking for an 16 question, but we'll go ahead and move on. 16 answer, but I'm not looking for a Is there any evidence of 17 17 particular answer. 18 association of genital talcum powder use 18 Cervix and uterine, the data 19 with vulvar cancer? 19 that you have seen shows no association. 20 A. I don't know of any studies on 20 Would that be fair? 21 the topic. I don't know if it's been 21 That's fair. 22 studied. 22 Q. Are you aware of any studies 23 that have looked at genital talc use and 23 Q. So you don't know whether 24 there's evidence, or would you say there's 24 bladder cancer? 25 no evidence of an association with talcum 25 A. I'm not aware of any.

	Page 242		Page 244
1		1	
2	Q. Are you aware of any studies	2	Dr. Cramer knows exactly where the risk
3	that have looked at genital talcum powder	3	would lie as far as frequency and, you
4	use and colorectal cancer?	4	know and so, yeah, it's probably more
5	A. I'm not aware of any.	5	likely somebody with lesser frequent use
6	Q. Have you looked at any have	6	might forget, but I think the the
7	you seen any studies that have looked at	7	existence of recall bias is not made up.
8	an association with genital talcum powder	8	I think it I mentioned Schildkraut
9	use and breast cancer?	9	earlier. Yeah, and I think it's been
10	A. Yes.	10	well-studied, exactly the things that
11	Q. And what study is that?	11	actually impact recall bias have been
12	A. O'Brien '24 included breast as	12	clearly studied and established.
13	well.	13	So I hear what Dr. Cramer's
14	Q. And what did O'Brien 2024 find	14	saying, but I don't know if he's trying to
15	as far as breast cancer?	15	discount to saying recall bias doesn't
16	A. They saw no association.	16	really exist, or if he's saying recall
17	Q. And 2024 saw no association with	17	bias couldn't play a role in this disease.
18	uterine cancer as well, correct?	18	Q. And it may be either one.
19	A. Correct.	19	But you'd agree recall bias
20	Q. Have you seen any study showing	20	would be more apt to occur in women who
21	a relationship with genital talcum powder	21	are answering an ever-use question than a
22 23	use and non-epithelial ovarian cancer?	22 23	daily-use question?
23	A. I'm not aware of any data. I		A. What people are using daily I
25	have not read any studies on that. Q. Are you aware of any studies on	24 25	think could be impacted. A woman's using cornstarch daily who then remembers it
23	<u> </u>	23	<u> </u>
1	Page 243	1	Page 245
2	any other types of gynecological or cancer	2	being a talcum a talc-containing
3	associated with genital talcum powder use?	3	product, I could see that easily being
4	A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the	4	made depending on, you know, the
5	question?	5	importance of what the gain was from
6	Q. Yeah, sure.	6	that's been one of the things that have
7	Are you aware of any studies	7	been shown to impact the likelihood of
8	that show an association with genital	8	there being recall bias.
9	talcum powder use and gynecological	9	So I still think recall bias can
10	cancers other than epithelial ovarian	10	explain some of the findings in
11	cancer or fallopian cancer and primary	11	case-control studies.
12	perineal cancer?	12	Q. Wouldn't the use of cornstarch
13		13	product reduce the incidence of ovarian
	A. No.	٠. د ا	_
14	Q. I'm asking you questions from	14	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall
14 15	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's	15	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question?
14 15 16	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would	15 16	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian
14 15 16 17	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would either a case or a control participant	15 16 17	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian cancer and then says, you know, "I used
14 15 16 17 18	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would either a case or a control participant forget daily use of talc for decades, the	15 16 17 18	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian cancer and then says, you know, "I used talcum" she used cornstarch, but she
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would either a case or a control participant forget daily use of talc for decades, the time period of exposure in which the risk	15 16 17 18 19	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian cancer and then says, you know, "I used talcum" she used cornstarch, but she says later "I used talcum powder," that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would either a case or a control participant forget daily use of talc for decades, the time period of exposure in which the risk lies?	15 16 17 18 19 20	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian cancer and then says, you know, "I used talcum" she used cornstarch, but she says later "I used talcum powder," that may have nothing to do with her cancer,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would either a case or a control participant forget daily use of talc for decades, the time period of exposure in which the risk lies? Do you have an answer to Dr.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian cancer and then says, you know, "I used talcum" she used cornstarch, but she says later "I used talcum powder," that may have nothing to do with her cancer, but she then answers "I was using talcum
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would either a case or a control participant forget daily use of talc for decades, the time period of exposure in which the risk lies? Do you have an answer to Dr. Cramer?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian cancer and then says, you know, "I used talcum" she used cornstarch, but she says later "I used talcum powder," that may have nothing to do with her cancer, but she then answers "I was using talcum powder that time," then it would go down
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would either a case or a control participant forget daily use of talc for decades, the time period of exposure in which the risk lies? Do you have an answer to Dr. Cramer? A. Well, I don't think it's likely	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian cancer and then says, you know, "I used talcum" she used cornstarch, but she says later "I used talcum powder," that may have nothing to do with her cancer, but she then answers "I was using talcum powder that time," then it would go down as an exposed case.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I'm asking you questions from Dr. Cramer's comment to Dr. O'Brien's paper, and Dr. Cramer asks how would either a case or a control participant forget daily use of talc for decades, the time period of exposure in which the risk lies? Do you have an answer to Dr. Cramer?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cancer, not increase, if there's a recall bias question? A. But if a woman has ovarian cancer and then says, you know, "I used talcum" she used cornstarch, but she says later "I used talcum powder," that may have nothing to do with her cancer, but she then answers "I was using talcum powder that time," then it would go down

	P 246		D 240
1	Page 246	1	Page 248
2	Rothman's letter to the editor. And they	2	singular study that says these things,
3	argue do you know who Dr. Rothman is in	3	because no singular study should be
4	particular?	4	definitive. But if you have repeated
5	A. Rothman, no.	5	studies that don't reach that point, it
6	I believe Harlow, is he an a	6	makes you less confident that that's a
7	plaintiff's expert?	7	real effect. If you have repeated studies
8	Q. Both of them are plaintiff	8	that are positive in this association but
9	experts, but	9	don't reach this level of confidence that
10	A. I'm not familiar with them.	10	you set in your paper, I didn't tell them
11	Q. More than plaintiff expert, Dr.	11	to set it, they set it, and it's not
12	Harlow did publish one of the papers on	12	reached repeatedly, that makes me lose
13	talc.	13	confidence in the finding.
14	A. Yeah.	14	Q. Okay.
15	Q. Are you aware of that?	15	Well, I can't show you those
16	A. Yeah.	16	Forrest plots, but wouldn't you also
17	Q. And Dr. Rothman has written the	17	expect half of the studies to show a
18	textbook on epidemiology and his name is	18	decreased risk and half show an increased
19	well-recognized.	19	risk if you have 40 studies?
20	A. Yeah, I just mentioned that	20	A. Not if you have recall bias. If
21	Harlow is one of those that said a	21	recall bias is biased towards finding a
22	case-control study that showed	22	difference and it's playing a role in, to
23	endometrioid adenocarcinoma was more	23	some degree, in a number of studies, you
24	common as far as being associated with	24	would expect to see similar, unless some
25	talc. So I'm aware of Dr. Harlow.	25	populations have more, you know, a
	Page 247		Page 249
1		1	
2	Q. And they actually question the	2	susceptibility, I guess, to recall bias.
3	interpretation that a 13 percent increased	3	I don't know much about the data
4	risk of ovarian cancer among women with	4	on that.
5	intact genital tracts who use powder	5	Q. So you would disagree then with Dr. Harlow, Murray, and Rothman that a 13
6	should be they contend that a 13	0	The Harlow Militray and Rothman that a 13 - 1
7		7	· ·
0	percent increased risk in a pooled cohort	7	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer
8	study should be taken as evidence of an	8	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts
9	study should be taken as evidence of an effect.	8 9	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect?
9 10	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that?	8 9 10	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one
9 10 11	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you	8 9 10 11	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context.
9 10 11 12	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95	8 9 10 11 12	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the
9 10 11 12 13	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say	8 9 10 11 12 13	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their
9 10 11 12 13 14	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with saying this is an effect when there's a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed systems, there was no difference between
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with saying this is an effect when there's a less than 5 percent or less chance that	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed systems, there was no difference between the two, and no statistically significant
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with saying this is an effect when there's a less than 5 percent or less chance that this is just due by chance. That's when I	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed systems, there was no difference between the two, and no statistically significant difference between the two. And I think
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with saying this is an effect when there's a less than 5 percent or less chance that this is just due by chance. That's when I consider it an effect.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed systems, there was no difference between the two, and no statistically significant difference between the two. And I think that's why Dr. Harlow is saying this is
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with saying this is an effect when there's a less than 5 percent or less chance that this is just due by chance. That's when I consider it an effect. Can you discount everything?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed systems, there was no difference between the two, and no statistically significant difference between the two. And I think that's why Dr. Harlow is saying this is definitely an impact.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with saying this is an effect when there's a less than 5 percent or less chance that this is just due by chance. That's when I consider it an effect. Can you discount everything? No.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed systems, there was no difference between the two, and no statistically significant difference between the two. And I think that's why Dr. Harlow is saying this is definitely an impact. But then when you look at other
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with saying this is an effect when there's a less than 5 percent or less chance that this is just due by chance. That's when I consider it an effect. Can you discount everything? No. But, and again this is one of	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed systems, there was no difference between the two, and no statistically significant difference between the two. And I think that's why Dr. Harlow is saying this is definitely an impact. But then when you look at other findings, you have to say well, did
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	study should be taken as evidence of an effect. Do you disagree with that? A. I think that a priority when you set a a limit, and this is the 95 percent confidence interval limit, to say I want to consider something an effect when I've ruled out this finding by chance down to 5 percent. I'm comfortable with saying this is an effect when there's a less than 5 percent or less chance that this is just due by chance. That's when I consider it an effect. Can you discount everything? No.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer among women with intact genital tracts should be taken as evidence of an effect? A. I think the you're taking one bit of their argument out of the context. What the reason why I think the the authors question the significance of their finding is, as you know, well, in the test of heterogeneity, when they looked at the risk of women with intact and closed systems, there was no difference between the two, and no statistically significant difference between the two. And I think that's why Dr. Harlow is saying this is definitely an impact. But then when you look at other

	Page 250		Page 252
1	Ç	1	Ç
2	your confidence in the finding or did it	2	And so this whole idea of the
3	decrease the confidence in the findings.	3	patent track versus non-patent tracks,
4	I would think it decreases your confidence	4	it's an interesting thing, and I think
5	in the findings.	5	it's something we should include in our
6	Q. Okay. Well, let's look at what	6	studies, but it's problematic. You don't
7	Dr. O'Brien and Dr. Wentzensen, Dr.	7	know when these interruptions of the
8	Wentzensen is with NIH NCI, correct, and	8	person's tract came and how it impacted
9	Dr. O'Brien is with NIEHS, a division of	9	exposure.
10	the federal government HSS Services.	10	Q. And that entire answer was
11	And Dr. O'Brien says: We	11	non-responsive, but I let you finish
12	completely agree with Dr. Harlow and	12	A. Thank you.
13	colleagues that our results, particularly	13	Q because I didn't ask anything
14	the analyses limited to women with intact	14	about that.
15	reproductive tracts, should not be	15	You think there's a difference
16	discounted because of lack of statistical	16	of opinion when Dr. O'Brien says: We
17	significance.	17	completely agree with Dr. Harlow.
18	So you're disagreeing with not	18	That represents a difference
19	only Drs. Harlow, Rothman, but you're	19	much opinion, in your view?
20	disagreeing with Dr. O'Brien too, correct?	20	A. Well, keep reading, it should
21	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	21	not be discounted solely on the lack of
22	A. I think what she's saying is you	22	statistically significant.
23	shouldn't be discounted solely for a lack	23	Q. Is the word "solely" there?
24	of significance.	24	A. Are they saying it shouldn't be
25	This is a post-hoc analysis of	25	discounted on anything else?
	Page 251		Dog 252
1		1	Page 253
1		1	·
2	intact versus patent systems, but they can	2	Let me go back to the wording.
2 3	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of	2 3	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again.
2 3 4	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be	2 3 4	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't
2 3 4 5	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have	2 3 4 5	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow
2 3 4 5 6	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when	2 3 4 5 6	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along?
2 3 4 5 6 7	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have	2 3 4 5 6 7	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's not amended. It's not changed. She	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else. Q. So you're saying that what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's not amended. It's not changed. She clearly stands by the findings of her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else. Q. So you're saying that what they're saying is it should be discounted,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's not amended. It's not changed. She clearly stands by the findings of her paper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else. Q. So you're saying that what they're saying is it should be discounted, just not for a lack of statistical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's not amended. It's not changed. She clearly stands by the findings of her paper. And, you know, they hand out a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else. Q. So you're saying that what they're saying is it should be discounted, just not for a lack of statistical significance, for some other reason?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's not amended. It's not changed. She clearly stands by the findings of her paper. And, you know, they hand out a difference of opinion, and I don't know if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else. Q. So you're saying that what they're saying is it should be discounted, just not for a lack of statistical significance, for some other reason? A. I think they're saying you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's not amended. It's not changed. She clearly stands by the findings of her paper. And, you know, they hand out a difference of opinion, and I don't know if it's related to the fact that they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else. Q. So you're saying that what they're saying is it should be discounted, just not for a lack of statistical significance, for some other reason? A. I think they're saying you're right, a 13 percent change, and it's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's not amended. It's not changed. She clearly stands by the findings of her paper. And, you know, they hand out a difference of opinion, and I don't know if it's related to the fact that they're plaintiff's experts. But, I don't know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else. Q. So you're saying that what they're saying is it should be discounted, just not for a lack of statistical significance, for some other reason? A. I think they're saying you're right, a 13 percent change, and it's not statistically significant, in this one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	intact versus patent systems, but they can be discounted for other reasons outside of just the lack of significance. It can be discounted because in those women who have non-patent systems, you don't know when the systems became non-patent. You have no idea, in an exposure, when that happened. Did that just happen; did she have a hysterectomy after 25 years exposure? So you can discount it. And I think that Dr. O'Brien's being very polite to Dr. Cramer and Dr. Harlow, but I'll acknowledge that Dr. O'Brien didn't go back and change a word of the paper. It's not retracted. It's not amended. It's not changed. She clearly stands by the findings of her paper. And, you know, they hand out a difference of opinion, and I don't know if it's related to the fact that they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Let me go back to the wording. Q. Let me read it again. MS. DAVIDSON: Well, why don't you just point him so he can follow along? MS. THOMPSON: I think he knows where it is. A. Yes, should not be discounted because of a lack of statistical significance. That's all they're saying. They're saying that is not a reason alone. That's the only thing they mention here. You can say they don't mention the word "alone," but they don't mention anything else. Q. So you're saying that what they're saying is it should be discounted, just not for a lack of statistical significance, for some other reason? A. I think they're saying you're right, a 13 percent change, and it's not

	Page 254		Page 256
1	1 age 254	1	1 age 230
2	inconsistent with what I said earlier.	2	that there was an increased risk among
3	One study should not change your mind	3	women with patent tracks and that the
4	completely about a topic. There's got to	4	difference between the the risk between
5	be repeated studies on the topic.	5	those with patent tracks and those with
6	There's I mean, we're going	6	non-patent tracks who didn't have an
7	to get to Bradford Hill at some point, but	7	increased risk was the same. So yes, and
8	this is what the consistently part of	8	you may say well, that's contradictory;
9	Bradford Hill is about. And she's saying,	9	how can those two be the same. And I'm
10	and being very polite to say, I'm not	10	saying that that pokes holes in my
11	saying to discount this based on the lack	11	confidence of the finding because those
12	• •	12	are two contradictory findings.
13	another study of, you know, heterogeneity,	13	Q. And you are aware that in all
14	and that pokes holes in her level of	14	women, there was an increased risk that
15	confidence, I guess, in this topic.	15	was 0.99 on the confidence interval, but
16	Q. Okay. Well, let's go to the	16	you're still saying that was a negative
17	next paragraph see if you still believe	17	result?
18	that.	18	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
19	In the last sentence: We agree	19	A. You said 0.99 is a increased
20	that the positive association among women	20	risk?
21	with patent reproductive tracts is	21	Q. No. The increased risk was
22	consistent with the hypothesis that there	22	I'd have to pull that out, but it was very
23	is an association between genital use and	23	close to statistically significant and the
24	talcum powder sorry, genital powder use	24	risk was increased.
25	and ovarian cancer.	25	A. Can you point to that? I want
	Page 255		Page 257
1		1	
2	A. But that's just stating the	2	to you're asking me to agree with the
3	obvious, right. The hypothesis is a	3	statement.
4	theory. Are we I don't know if you	4	Q. I want to move on, but let's
5	agree with a hypothesis is a theory.	5	look.
6	It's not proven. It's a theory.	6	MS. O'DELL: Exhibit 20, I
7	She's saying that if can show an	7	believe.
8	increased risk with patent, it would	8	BY MS. THOMPSON:
9	support, because the whole the whole	10	Q. The estimated risk of 1.08 with
10	theory of talc is based on this ability to	10	a 95 percent confidence interval of 0.99
11	get to the ovaries and then cause cancer and all those things, and now we're back	11	to 0.117. And then with patent A. Where are we now? I'm confused.
12	AND AN THORSE THINKS STATEMENT WATER TO THE	12	
		1 1 2	MC O'DELL: I think it's
13	into possible versus plausible.	13	MS. O'DELL: I think it's
13 14	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious	14	Exhibit 20.
13 14 15	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said.	14 15	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20.
13 14 15 16	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into	14 15 16	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers.
13 14 15 16 17	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into possible/plausible. You may be.	14 15 16 17	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers. Q. The 2020 O'Brien paper.
13 14 15 16 17 18	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into possible/plausible. You may be. A. I want to then.	14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers. Q. The 2020 O'Brien paper. A. Thank you.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into possible/plausible. You may be. A. I want to then. Q. But let's move on to O'Brien '24	14 15 16 17 18 19	 Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers. Q. The 2020 O'Brien paper. A. Thank you. Q. And we can just look at the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into possible/plausible. You may be. A. I want to then. Q. But let's move on to O'Brien '24 if you don't believe that.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers. Q. The 2020 O'Brien paper. A. Thank you. Q. And we can just look at the abstract because both of the data points
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into possible/plausible. You may be. A. I want to then. Q. But let's move on to O'Brien '24 if you don't believe that. So it's your opinion that	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers. Q. The 2020 O'Brien paper. A. Thank you. Q. And we can just look at the abstract because both of the data points are there.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into possible/plausible. You may be. A. I want to then. Q. But let's move on to O'Brien '24 if you don't believe that. So it's your opinion that O'Brien 2020, the pooled study of cohorts,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers. Q. The 2020 O'Brien paper. A. Thank you. Q. And we can just look at the abstract because both of the data points are there. (Reading) The hazard ratio ever
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into possible/plausible. You may be. A. I want to then. Q. But let's move on to O'Brien '24 if you don't believe that. So it's your opinion that O'Brien 2020, the pooled study of cohorts, does not indicate an increased risk among	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers. Q. The 2020 O'Brien paper. A. Thank you. Q. And we can just look at the abstract because both of the data points are there. (Reading) The hazard ratio ever users and never users among all cases was
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	into possible versus plausible. But, yeah, that's a obvious statement what she just said. Q. Okay. I'm not back into possible/plausible. You may be. A. I want to then. Q. But let's move on to O'Brien '24 if you don't believe that. So it's your opinion that O'Brien 2020, the pooled study of cohorts,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 20. Q. Exhibit 20. MS. O'DELL: The O'Brien papers. Q. The 2020 O'Brien paper. A. Thank you. Q. And we can just look at the abstract because both of the data points are there. (Reading) The hazard ratio ever

	Page 258		Page 260
1	•	1	_
2	A. Right.	2	A. Because I just read the
3	And and	3	conclusions and the relevance. This is
4	Q. And use with there's no	4	the authors saying this is our conclusion
5	question yet.	5	and this is the relevance of all this work
6	A. Sorry.	6	we just did, and if that was an important
7	Q. And the use with women with a	7	finding, I'm assuming they would say that
8	patent reproductive tract was 1.13 with a	8	that was a relevant finding and they would
9	confidence interval 1.01 to 1.26.	9	add it in the relevance statement.
10	Are you saying that the	10	Q. And then you would just ignore
11	difference between those pokes holes in	11	the rest of the paper and
12	your confidence of the study?	12	A. They didn't mention it.
13	A. I'm saying when they did a test	13	Q. I didn't finish.
14	for interaction comparing women with and	14	A. Sorry.
15	without patent I guess the question I	15	Q. And O'Brien's reply that it was
16	would that I ask myself, 'cause I'm not	16	statistically significant?
17	a statistician, we've already established	17	A. Again you're focusing on this
18	that. These authors went to an extra step	18	one test and not looking at the follow
19	to do a test of interaction, and you have	19	tests. And I would pose the same question
20	to ask yourself why did they do that extra	20	why do you think they did not mention it
21	step? If they were so convinced with this	21	in their conclusions and relevance, and
22	finding, why did they go that extra step	22	why did they do the test for
23	to look at interaction? Because they	23	heterogeneity? To look at interaction.
24	thought there's some chance that the	24	They did the extra test because they're
25	finding that they're seeing may not be as	25	good scientists and they want to make sure
1	Page 259	1	Page 261
1	significant as it appears on the surface	1	that one test result is explored
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	significant as it appears on the surface. And so they take this extra step, and they	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	that one test result is explored. And this is what people do.
4	say the p-value for the interaction	4	They don't just take one answer. They
5	comparing women with and without	5	want consistency. They want to ask that
6	reproductive tracts was 0.15, which was	6	question from different angles and see
7	not statistically significant. And I I	7	· ·
8			
0	iust I I assume they did that for a		that they get the same answer. If you ask
9	just I I assume they did that for a	8	the question coming from the right and you
9	reason. I don't think they added that	8 9	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from
10	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper.	8 9 10	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is
10 11	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien	8 9 10 11	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment.
10 11 12	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not	8 9 10 11 12	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no
10 11 12 13	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with	8 9 10 11 12 13	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't
10 11 12 13 14	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and
10 11 12 13	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and	8 9 10 11 12 13	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and relevance.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and relevance, they don't even mention it. So	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and
10 11 12 13 14 15	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and relevance. So when you ask me did they
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and relevance, they don't even mention it. So I have to believe yes, that they don't believe that. Because that would be a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and relevance. So when you ask me did they think that this was real, it's not mentioned in the conclusion.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and relevance, they don't even mention it. So I have to believe yes, that they don't believe that. Because that would be a really important thing to mention after	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and relevance. So when you ask me did they think that this was real, it's not mentioned in the conclusion. Q. And they don't mention in the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and relevance, they don't even mention it. So I have to believe yes, that they don't believe that. Because that would be a really important thing to mention after you did all this work and then you get to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and relevance. So when you ask me did they think that this was real, it's not mentioned in the conclusion. Q. And they don't mention in the conclusion that it's not statistically
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and relevance, they don't even mention it. So I have to believe yes, that they don't believe that. Because that would be a really important thing to mention after	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and relevance. So when you ask me did they think that this was real, it's not mentioned in the conclusion. Q. And they don't mention in the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and relevance, they don't even mention it. So I have to believe yes, that they don't believe that. Because that would be a really important thing to mention after you did all this work and then you get to your conclusion and you don't even mention	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and relevance. So when you ask me did they think that this was real, it's not mentioned in the conclusion. Q. And they don't mention in the conclusion that it's not statistically significant in the total population
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reason. I don't think they added that just to take up more space in the paper. Q. Is it your opinion that O'Brien and the authors of the 2020 paper do not think there's an increased risk with patent reproductive tract? A. When I go to conclusions and relevance, they don't even mention it. So I have to believe yes, that they don't believe that. Because that would be a really important thing to mention after you did all this work and then you get to your conclusion and you don't even mention it.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the question coming from the right and you get one answer and you ask it coming from the left and you get another answer, it is what it is. They raise the comment. They've then done a further test, found no statistical significance and didn't mention it in their conclusions and relevance. So when you ask me did they think that this was real, it's not mentioned in the conclusion. Q. And they don't mention in the conclusion that it's not statistically significant in the total population either, do they?

	Page 262		Page 264
1	1 ugo 202	1	1 ugo 201
2	MS. DAVIDSON: So, wait a	2	A. They're only stating the
3	minute.	3	, , ,
4	You're looking at the conclusion		increased risk. But then again you're
5	of the article.	5	looking for consistency. The risk
6	You're looking at the conclusion	6	increases there. Then they did a test to
7	of the abstract, and you're talking	7	look for the interaction of patency. Is a
8	past each other.	Q Q	risk of increase of non-patent and the
9	BY MS. THOMPSON:	9	risk increase from patent different, and
10		10	
11	Q. Are you looking at the conclusion of the abstract?	11	they said actually there is no difference
1			between these two groups.
12	A. Yes.	12	So I'm saying for consistency's
13	Q. Okay. The conclusion of the	13	sake, if it's real, you should be able to
14	abstract is the risk among women with a	14	see it no matter how you study is it. If
15	patent reproductive tract was 1.13		it's real, you'll see it in case-control
16	statistically significant.		studies. If it's real, you'll see it in
17	A. The conclusion of the abstract?	17	cohort studies. If it's real, you'll see
18	Are we looking at Number 20 Exhibit?	18	it when you just look at this this way,
19	Q. Yes.	19	when you do tests of heterogeneity.
20	A. Are you reading Conclusions and	20	That's what scientists do. They look at,
21	Relevance?	21	and that's again why I can't point to one
22	Q. They don't okay. And then	22	paper as stating my feeling. I'm looking,
23	they say it's underpowered for a small	23	I'm triangulating all the data, and there
24	risk, correct?	24	was a lack of consistency in that paper,
25	A. That's a whole nother topic.	25	and I think that's why O'Brien didn't put
	Page 263		Page 265
1		1	
2	Q. Okay. We're not going to get	2	it in the relevance and the conclusions,
3	into that topic.	3	and that's why I think after all that
4	All right. If there's any	4	being polite and saying yes, you're right,
5	question about what Dr. O'Brien thinks,	5	we have all these problems with cohort
6	let's go to 2024.	6	studies, she did not go back and change a
7	A. Sure.	7	word of her study.
8	Q. So, you would just discount her	8	MS. THOMPSON: That was
9	reply to the letters that there is a	9	non-responsive to my question.
10	statistical increased risk and she did not	10	MS. DAVIDSON: Court Reporter,
11	think it should be discounted not being	11	maybe it's just me, and if so, that's
12	statistically significant?	12	fine, but is everybody talking too
13	A. Again, I think she was	13	fast?
14	acknowledging what she had already shown	14	THE STENOGRAPHER: Yes.
15	in her paper, and she's saying that alone	15	MS. DAVIDSON: You're asking the
16	should not be discounted, but in the end	16	questions so fast I can't even hear
17		17	-
	after further statistical testing, she did		your questions.
18	not find that as relevant. She had an	18	And you're talking so fast I
19	opportunity to state the relevance of that	19	can't even hear your answers.
20	finding.	20	BY MS. THOMPSON:
21	Q. Do you know that Wentzensen and	21	Q. Would you agree that the other
22	O'Brien published a review article after	22	interpretation that Dr. Wentzensen and
23	this paper where they acknowledged that	23	O'Brien took following the publication of
24	there was a small increased risk	24	this paper was that they're both
25	demonstrated?	25	significant, not that they're both not

	Daga 244		Daga 249
1	Page 266	1	Page 268
2	significant?	2	MS. O'DELL: If you have a
3	A. I didn't understand your	3	issue, Jessica, you can deal with it
4	question, I'm sorry.	4	on redirect.
5	Q. If there's no difference between	5	MS. DAVIDSON: No, because
6	the whole population and patent	6	MS. O'DELL: No, this is not an
7	reproductive tracts like you're saying,	7	objection.
8	that doesn't mean that patent reproductive	8	MS. DAVIDSON: No, because
9	tracts should be discounted. It could be	9	Margaret said something false.
10	that the ever use should be closer to the	10	Margaret said that he didn't look at
11	patent reproductive tract?	11	the Wentzensen/O'Brien review paper,
12	A. I think you have to look at the	12	and it's on his reliance list.
13	sub-analysis in the big picture of what	13	MS. THOMPSON: Well, I'd asked
14	they were trying to answer. They set out	14	him if he did and he said no.
15	to answer if we pooled all of the cohort	15	THE WITNESS: I didn't remember.
16	studies, which they apparently feel are	16	MS. THOMPSON: So I didn't give
17	stronger studies, could you with that	17	any false testimony. He may not
18	pooled analysis show that talc use is	18	remember looking at it.
19	associated with ovarian cancer. In the	19	A. In the future, I will look at my
20	end, they came to the conclusion that it	20	reliance list.
21	was not. They mentioned that yes, maybe	21	Q. Okay.
22	this is underpowered, but their conclusion	22	A. Because clearly there's a lot of
23	was that it's not.	23	things I reviewed. So in the rapid fire
24	You're now in the weeds about	24	of questions, I had forgot that I
25	patent versus non-patent, but it was	25	Q. But my question was just did you
	Page 267		Page 269
1		1	
$\frac{2}{2}$	overall in their opinion a trial that came	2	review it.
3	to the conclusion that talc is not	3	A. My fault.
4	associated with ovarian cancer.	4	Q. Let's look at O'Brien 2024.
5	Q. Okay. But there are you	5	And in the same vein
6	didn't review the Wentzensen/O'Brien paper	6	A. Do we have a copy?
7	that discussed this paper published two	7	(Holcomb Exhibit 24, O'Brien
8	years later?	8	article - 2024, was marked for
9	A. No.	9	identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON:
10	Q. Then you would know that they concluded that it was a risk.	10	
11 12		11 12	Q. Are you ready?A. Yes.
13	Do they say anything in this paper about it being weak?	13	Q. In the same vein of conclusions
14	A. What being weak?	14	that the authors make, their conclusions
15	Q. The results, the association.	15	are that there was a range of positive
16	Or are those your words in your report?	16	association with genital talc use and
17	A. You mean the level of	17	ovarian cancer between 1.17 and 3.34,
18	association?	18	correct?
19	Q. Yes.	19	A. I just want to clarify.
20	A. I don't know if they use that	20	If you want to talk about the
21	term. I'd have to read through it.	21	conclusions, they do say: Corrected
22	It's probably mine.	22	results support a positive association.
23	Q. Okay.	23	So they're saying their
24	All right. Let's move to 2024.	24	conclusion, yes, we can get into this
25	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute.	25	further, but corrected results showed this
		1	

	Page 270		Page 272
1	1 450 210	1	1 ugc 2/2
2	positive	2	differential recall would upwardly bias
3	Q. But that's what this paper's all	3	estimates, corrected results support a
4	about, correct?	4	positive association between use of
5	A. Well, no. They included data	5	intimate care products, including genital
6	without corrections.	6	talc and ovarian cancer.
7	Q. Okay.	7	Are you suggesting that the
8	What was the study?	8	authors don't believe that their paper
9	A. What was the study?	9	showed a positive association between
10	Q. Yes.	10	intimate care products and ovarian cancer?
11	A. So, this is an update from a	11	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection to that
12	Sister Study. So the Sister Study, as you	12	question.
13	know, I think it was started they asked	13	A. No. What happened in the study
14	questions between 2003 and 2009 was the	14	was that the authors had to correct
15	initial follow-up, but I think this is the	15	because of a large amount of missing data,
16	first time where they actually included	16	and they're saying based on their
17	follow-up questionnaire that was sent in	17	corrections, you see this positive
18	2017-2019.	18	association. And they believe that if you
19	So this is an interesting	19	correct the way they corrected, you'll see
20	statistical study because it's some of	20	this positive association. I believe they
21	it it's prospective, but the most recent	21	believe that. That's why they concluded
22	data is retrospective.	22	that.
23	Q. So when the authors, and we have	23	Q. Okay.
24	a large array of authors again from NIH,	24	So you do agree that the authors
25	NIEHS, when the and where is this paper	25	believe that
	Page 271		Page 273
1		1	
2	published?	2	A. The authors are the ones who
3	A. JCO.	3	Q just Dr. Holcomb does not?
4	Q. And are you familiar with the	4	A. The authors are the ones who
5	Journal of Clinical Oncology?	5	came up with these corrections. You said
6	A. Yes.	6	that's what this paper's all about, but in
7	Q. And it's the journal for the	7	fact, they do offer some analyses without
8	American Society of Clinical Oncologists,		corrected data. And unfortunately, this
9	correct?	9	study, you know, you this is a
10	A. Yes.		prospective study followed women for a
11	Q. Are you a member of that group?	11	long time, a lot of effort. I'm sure a
12	A. No.		lot of money went into this. But
13	Q. Have you been to any of their	13	unfortunately, almost a quarter of the
14	meetings?	14	women didn't answer the questionnaire. So
15	A. No.	l	there was a huge dropout of information,
16	Q. Is it a well-regarded	16	1
17	professional association of clinical	17	study by imputing data where it was
18	oncologists?	18	missing or contradictory.
19	A. It is.	19	And if you then look at their
20	Q. And you are a clinical	20	corrected model, so you have to say we
21	oncologist, correct?	21	agree that these are acceptable ways to
22	A. I am.	22	handle this, you're going to find a
			· , ·
23	Q. Going with their conclusion,	23	positive association.
	Q. Going with their conclusion, that you wanted to do with the first paper: Although results show how	23 24 25	My issues with this paper is how they went about dealing with the fact

	Page 274		Page 276
1	Ç	1	
2	that, unfortunately, they lost such a	2	this section, the hazard of prospective
3	large number of patients.	3	cohorts, so it's dealing with O'Brien
4	Q. And my question didn't ask you	4	2021, indicated a positive albeit small
5	anything about that. So I'll move to	5	association.
6	strike that answer.	6	So I just want to say the
7	They state on page 13: Our	7	opinion of that being small wasn't just my
8	findings of a positive association between	8	own. It was theirs as well.
9	genital talc use and ovarian cancer are	9	So, to go to your next question.
10	consistent with previous studies.	10	Q. Let me respond to that.
11	Do you disagree with the	11	I asked you if the association
12	authors' statement?	12	was weak.
13	A. I'm sorry, I just want to check	13	A. No, small. They didn't say
14	something.	14	weak, you're right. They said small.
15	Q. Page 13, second paragraph.	15	Q. And you would just agree now
16	A. Page 13, second paragraph.	16	that they do state in 2024 that the
17	It starts with "The association	17	results in 2020 were small?
18	between genital talc"?	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. The second full paragraph: Our	19	Q. Okay.
20	findings of a positive association between	20	But there was positive results,
21	genital talc use and ovarian cancer are	21	correct?
22	consistent with previous studies.	22	A. No. No. They're talking about
23	Do you disagree with the	23	the now you're using another word
24	authors' statement?	24	"positive," which they didn't say.
25	A. Yeah, that's way too broad.	25	Q. Okay.
	Dog 275		
1	Page 275	1	Page 277
1		1	-
2	It's consistent with some prior studies.	2	A. It's that 1.08 was the not
2 3	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the	2 3	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't
2 3 4	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement	2 3 4	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was
2 3 4 5	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with	2 3 4 5	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small.
2 3 4 5 6	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it.	2 3 4 5 6	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk?
2 3 4 5 6 7	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies that considered ages of use.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris A. Can I get a copy of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies that considered ages of use. Do you also disagree with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris A. Can I get a copy of that? (Holcomb Exhibit 25, Harris
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies that considered ages of use. Do you also disagree with that conclusion by the authors?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris A. Can I get a copy of that? (Holcomb Exhibit 25, Harris article - 2024, was marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies that considered ages of use. Do you also disagree with that conclusion by the authors? A. Hold on one second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris A. Can I get a copy of that? (Holcomb Exhibit 25, Harris article - 2024, was marked for identification, as of this date.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies that considered ages of use. Do you also disagree with that conclusion by the authors? A. Hold on one second. (Witness reads document.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris A. Can I get a copy of that? (Holcomb Exhibit 25, Harris article - 2024, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies that considered ages of use. Do you also disagree with that conclusion by the authors? A. Hold on one second. (Witness reads document.) I'm sorry, I have to go back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris A. Can I get a copy of that? (Holcomb Exhibit 25, Harris article - 2024, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Ready?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies that considered ages of use. Do you also disagree with that conclusion by the authors? A. Hold on one second. (Witness reads document.) I'm sorry, I have to go back to answer your question whether the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris A. Can I get a copy of that? (Holcomb Exhibit 25, Harris article - 2024, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Ready? A. No. I'm trying to remember if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's consistent with some prior studies. Q. Okay. But the authors make the statement A. You're asking me if I agree with it. Q. And so you disagree? A. Yes. I just said I think that this is overly broad. It is consistent with some studies. Q. Okay. That was the question. A. That was the answer. Q. And then it says: Results from the previous analysis suggest age 20 to 39 years may be a window of susceptibility which is consistent with previous studies that considered ages of use. Do you also disagree with that conclusion by the authors? A. Hold on one second. (Witness reads document.) I'm sorry, I have to go back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. It's that 1.08 was the not statistically significant. So they didn't call it positive. They just said it was small. Q. They said a small risk? A. Right. Q. They didn't say it was negative or a non-risk, did they? A. They said a small, and that's the only way to describe it. Q. Okay, a small risk. All right. Let's go to the editorial that accompanied the O'Brien 2024 study. And this was written by Dr. Harris. Do you know where Dr. Harris A. Can I get a copy of that? (Holcomb Exhibit 25, Harris article - 2024, was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Ready?

1	Page 278	1	Page 280
$\frac{1}{2}$	O Wall that was going to be my	2	Margarat
$\frac{2}{3}$	Q. Well, that was going to be my question.	$\frac{2}{3}$	Margaret. MS. THOMPSON: Well, I feel like
	•	4	•
4	Have you seen this paper? MS. DAVIDSON: This is the		we're in the middle of a topic area.
5		5	If it's only been 45 minutes, I'd
6	editorial that accompanied O'Brien 24?	6	rather stay on.
7	MS. THOMPSON: Correct.	7	MS. DAVIDSON: But if he's going
8	MS. DAVIDSON: This is the	8	to read it
9	editorial that was in the same	9	MS. THOMPSON: He can read it,
10	journal.	10	it's only two pages.
11	THE WITNESS: But I don't	11	MS. DAVIDSON: Do you want him
12	remember if I I don't think so.	12	to sit here and read it on the record?
13	BY MS. THOMPSON:	13	MS. THOMPSON: If that's what he
14	Q. Okay. Let's look at this paper.	14	wants to do.
15	The authors of this paper, the	15	MS. DAVIDSON: That's fine.
16	first author is	16	So I guess we're still on the
17	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute.	17	record.
18	Do you want to read this?	18	THE WITNESS: (Witness reads
19	Are you going to ask him	19	document.)
20	questions?	20	Okay. I'm ready.
21	A. If you're about to start asking	21	BY MS. THOMPSON:
22	me questions.	22	Q. This paper was discussed in Dr.
23	MS. DAVIDSON: So then why don't	23	Wolf's expert report, was it not?
24	we give him a minute.	24	A. Possibly. I don't remember.
25	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. We can go	25	Q. She devoted a whole paragraph to
-	Page 279		Page 281
1	1 100 2/7	1	1.050 201
2	off the record.	2	this paper.
3	MS. DAVIDSON: Why don't we just	3	Did you see that?
4	take our break.	4	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute.
5	MS. THOMPSON: I'd rather move	5	MS. O'DELL: Is there an
6	on if it needs to be on the record.	6	objection?
7	MS. O'DELL: Just a suggestion.	7	MS. DAVIDSON: I just need to
8	Dr. Holcomb, you stated there	8	think for a moment.
9	was a 2017 ACOG document that you rely	9	(Pause.)
10	on. It's not on your materials list	10	MS. O'DELL: You may answer the
11	but you rely on in this case.	11	question.
12	During the break, if we're going	12	MS. DAVIDSON: Plaintiff's
13	to take a little break, could you	13	reports were due on the same day as
14	provide that to us so we have an	14	his report, right? He wouldn't have
15	-	15	seen it before he submitted his
	opportunity to examine you on that document?	l	
16		16	report. The reports were due the same
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.	17	day.
18	MS. O'DELL: If there is. I	18	This is a trick question.
1	41 4 a 4 a 4 a 4 4 11 4 XXX 1 4 4 4	19	MS. O'DELL: That was not the
19	didn't see it on the list. We haven't	l	
19 20	seen it. If there is something, we'd	20	question.
19 20 21	seen it. If there is something, we'd like to explore it.	20 21	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay.
19 20 21 22	seen it. If there is something, we'd like to explore it. THE WITNESS: I'll take a look	20 21 22	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. Just it wasn't in the report,
19 20 21 22 23	seen it. If there is something, we'd like to explore it. THE WITNESS: I'll take a look for it.	20 21 22 23	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. Just it wasn't in the report, the original Wolf report.
19 20 21 22	seen it. If there is something, we'd like to explore it. THE WITNESS: I'll take a look	20 21 22	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. Just it wasn't in the report,

ment 33001-13	Filed 07/23/24	Page 73 of
geID: 196824		_

1	Page 282		Page 284
1		1	
2	journal accompanied the article?	2	A. I don't remember how many there
3	A. No, I'm not familiar with it.	3	were.
4	Well, now I'm familiar with it,	4	Q. I believe there are two
5	so yes.	5	paragraphs.
6	Q. Did you look Dr. Wolf's	6	Okay. We'll move on.
7	expert report was on your amended reliance	7	A. I think that's a good idea.
8	list. So you did see it, didn't you?	8	Q. All right. I'll ask you some
9	A. I don't remember particularly	9	questions on this.
10	whether I saw it or not.	10	And these authors are from
11	Q. But you did see her third	11	reputable institutions, correct?
12	amended expert report?	12	A. Let me check and see where
13	A. Yes.	13	they're from.
14	Q. And this was discussed in that	14	Q. Harvard School of Public Health,
15	report. You don't remember seeing it	15	University of Washington, Fred
16	though, correct?	16	Hutchinson's Cancer Center Seattle.
17	A. I I don't remember if I saw	17	A. Yes, I agree.
18	it or not.	18	Q. And these authors describe the
19	Q. Okay.	19	findings in the paper. Going to the last
20	Wouldn't it be something that	20	paragraph on the first page, the authors
21	would be important to you?	21	state: After accounting for potential
22	A. I'm happy to discuss it now, if	22	biases, O'Brien et al. report a
23	you'd like.	23	significant increase in ovarian cancer
24	Q. That wasn't my question.	24	risk for genital powder use with effect
25	Wouldn't this, the discussion of	25	estimates that are in the range with
	Page 283		Page 285
$\frac{1}{2}$	4' 1' 1' N W 10	1	
2	this editorial in Dr. Wolf's expert	2	previous studies.
3	report, be something important to you?	3	Is that what the authors of this
4	A. Editorials are someone's	4	editorial conclude?
1			
5	statement of opinion. If it's of	5	A. Can you tell me where that comes
5 6	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really.	5 6	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from?
5 6 7	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my	5 6 7	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from?Q. Last paragraph on the first
5 6 7 8	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not	5 6 7 8	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page.
5 6 7 8 9	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature.	5 6 7 8 9	 A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state.
5 6 7 8 9 10	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be	5 6 7 8 9	 A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading?	5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That's absurd. He just said that he	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to the plausibility of a true association
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That's absurd. He just said that he didn't remember that it existed.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to the plausibility of a true association between genital powder use and ovarian
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That's absurd. He just said that he didn't remember that it existed. Like, you're just putting words	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to the plausibility of a true association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer risk.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That's absurd. He just said that he didn't remember that it existed. Like, you're just putting words in his mouth and mischaracterizing his	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to the plausibility of a true association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer risk. That's what these authors
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That's absurd. He just said that he didn't remember that it existed. Like, you're just putting words in his mouth and mischaracterizing his testimony.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to the plausibility of a true association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer risk. That's what these authors conclude, correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That's absurd. He just said that he didn't remember that it existed. Like, you're just putting words in his mouth and mischaracterizing his testimony. BY MS. THOMPSON:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to the plausibility of a true association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer risk. That's what these authors conclude, correct? A. After stating "while the degree
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That's absurd. He just said that he didn't remember that it existed. Like, you're just putting words in his mouth and mischaracterizing his testimony. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Well, there were not very many	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to the plausibility of a true association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer risk. That's what these authors conclude, correct? A. After stating "while the degree of bias is unknown, the reader can make
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement of opinion. If it's of importance, not really. My opinions are based on my assessment of the literature, not someone's opinions of the literature. Q. You didn't think it would be worth reading? A. If you'd like to ask me more questions about it, I'm happy to. Q. You did not think it would be worth reading; is that correct? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That's absurd. He just said that he didn't remember that it existed. Like, you're just putting words in his mouth and mischaracterizing his testimony. BY MS. THOMPSON:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Can you tell me where that comes from again? You were just reading from? Q. Last paragraph on the first page. A. Yeah, that's what they state. Q. Okay. And they also state the end of that paragraph that: Even with misreporting of the exposure, i.e. genital powder use in half the cases, a significant increase in ovarian cancer risk is still observed adding support to the plausibility of a true association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer risk. That's what these authors conclude, correct? A. After stating "while the degree

	Page 286		Page 288
1		1	
2	misclassification scenarios provided.	2	r
3	So yes, after saying that each	3	A. Yes. And I have to say this
4	reader can decide whether they think	4	this really decreases my confidence in
5	there's a reasonable, they say with their	5	their findings because based on one study
6	corrections, that leads to the conclusion	6	with imputed data because of missing
7	that you stated. After someone has	7	information, what they call assessing for
8	decided that those are reasonable. And I	8	bias is only done mainly, and they say why
9	assume they put that statement in there	9	they're doing it. They say it's important
10	for a reason.	10	that we do this because there was
11	Q. I just asked you if that's what	11	misclass there was contradictions,
12	the authors concluded.	12	people saying they were exposed and they
13	A. I just wanted to give a fuller	13	weren't, people saying they weren't
14	picture of what they were concluding	14	exposed and they were, and there was a
15	because you left out the statement right	15	high amount of missing information. And
16	before it.	16	in fact, both of those situations were
17	Q. I could have read the entire	17	more prominent in the group of women with
18	paragraph. I'll be happy to read the	18	incident cancers, and so we had to make
19	entire paper if you want me to.	19	these changes to address this.
20	Let's go to the takeaway.	20	And then they're saying off of
21	A. Sure.	21	this data with these imputed scenarios
22	Q. I'll read the entire thing.	22	• 1
23	Or why don't you read the entire	23	which they say, we should change practice
24	thing?	24	and start telling women about the risk of
25	A. So, the takeaway of this	25	talc based on this study.
1	Page 287	1	Page 289
1		1	
2	editorial this piece	2	I completely disagree with that
2 3	editorial, this piece O You can just read it Dr	2	I completely disagree with that. MS_THOMPSON: And I'll object
3	Q. You can just read it, Dr.	3	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object
3 4	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb.	3 4	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being
3 4 5	Q. You can just read it, Dr.Holcomb.A. (Reading) In the article that	3 4 5	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question,
3 4 5 6	Q. You can just read it, Dr.Holcomb.A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al.	3 4 5 6	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these
3 4 5 6 7	Q. You can just read it, Dr.Holcomb.A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the	3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded.
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between	3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal disease, these data suggest that people at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct? A. That's not correct. But you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal disease, these data suggest that people at risk for ovarian cancer, particularly	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct? A. That's not correct. But you told me that I did not answer the question
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal disease, these data suggest that people at risk for ovarian cancer, particularly those in their 20s and 30s, should be made	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct? A. That's not correct. But you told me that I did not answer the question you wanted and then you went and asked me
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal disease, these data suggest that people at risk for ovarian cancer, particularly those in their 20s and 30s, should be made aware of the potential risk.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct? A. That's not correct. But you told me that I did not answer the question you wanted and then you went and asked me the very next thing, and I'm sorry that I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal disease, these data suggest that people at risk for ovarian cancer, particularly those in their 20s and 30s, should be made aware of the potential risk. Q. So the authors of this editorial	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct? A. That's not correct. But you told me that I did not answer the question you wanted and then you went and asked me the very next thing, and I'm sorry that I jumped ahead, but I went and explained why
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal disease, these data suggest that people at risk for ovarian cancer, particularly those in their 20s and 30s, should be made aware of the potential risk.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct? A. That's not correct. But you told me that I did not answer the question you wanted and then you went and asked me the very next thing, and I'm sorry that I jumped ahead, but I went and explained why I didn't agree.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal disease, these data suggest that people at risk for ovarian cancer, particularly those in their 20s and 30s, should be made aware of the potential risk. Q. So the authors of this editorial conclude in the takeaway that people at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct? A. That's not correct. But you told me that I did not answer the question you wanted and then you went and asked me the very next thing, and I'm sorry that I jumped ahead, but I went and explained why
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. You can just read it, Dr. Holcomb. A. (Reading) In the article that accompanies this editorial, O'Brien et al. use a variety of methods to address the impact of bias on the association between intimate care products and hormonal related cancers, observing that genital powder use and douching were each associated with ovarian cancer risk even after accounting for multiple bias scenarios. Given that genital powder use and douching are modifiable exposures, potentially associated with a highly fatal disease, these data suggest that people at risk for ovarian cancer, particularly those in their 20s and 30s, should be made aware of the potential risk. Q. So the authors of this editorial conclude in the takeaway that people at risk for ovarian cancer, particularly	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMPSON: And I'll object to that entire answer as being non-responsive to any question, because I just asked you what these authors concluded. Q. Are you sure it's not that you've lost confidence because they disagree with you? A. I'm sorry, say this again? Q. Did you say you lose confidence because they don't agree with Dr. Holcomb? A. I just clearly explained why I disagreed with it. Q. Then say that's not correct? A. That's not correct. But you told me that I did not answer the question you wanted and then you went and asked me the very next thing, and I'm sorry that I jumped ahead, but I went and explained why I didn't agree. Q. It wasn't the question I want.

	Page 290		Page 292
1	1 age 270	1	1 age 292
2	A. And I'll wait for it.	2	had to impute data from missing data. And
3	Q. And if you have something else	3	so how you could walk out of that totality
4	you want to add, you can do that when the	4	of evidence saying start telling women
5	lawyer sitting next to you asks questions,	5	about the risk of talc, that's what I
6	which she'll have the opportunity to do.	6	disagree with.
7	A. Yes, ma'am.	7	Q. But you will agree that there
8	Q. I can assume that you have not	8	are numerous references in the
9	changed in your practice based on the	9	epidemiological literature from many
10	O'Brien 2024 paper or the editorial,	10	authors that express their concern and
11	correct?	11	advise the public that women should be
12	A. No.	12	informed, or did you not see any of those?
13	Q. And you said you weren't aware	13	A. I have to say even O'Brien 2024,
14	of any doctor, scientist that would advise	14	they they clearly state we are not
15	patients not to use talc, correct?	15	saying there's a causal relationship
16	A. I said I know of no GYN	16	between this and we are not implicating
17	oncologist that I work with or that I've	17	any given substance in causing a cancer.
18	trained who do this.	18	I mean, they go out of their way to say
19	Now, if an epidemiologist from	19	this.
20	Fred Hutchinson's Cancer Center wants to	20	So when you say there's all
21	make recommendations on GYN oncology	21	these people have done these studies,
22	practice or gynecology practice above the	22	no, I'm not aware and I think it would be
23	recommendations of ACOG, 'cause ACOG	23	inappropriate for them to walk out of this
24	,	24	study and say you should change practice
25	that's fine. But no, I I don't take my	25	on this or, you know, based on this.
1	Page 291	1	Page 293
2	lead from a sole epidemiologist from one	2	And O'Brien didn't say that in
3	cancer center, or even a group.	3	their own. They say look, we're not
4	Q. Let's look at these authors.	4	saying that this is causal.
5	Are they relying on one study, a	5	So when you when you say that
6	sole cancer researcher from one center?	6	people should be made aware of their risk,
7	Is that your analysis of this study?	7	it's assuming that you've proven that
8	A. They feel that this study is so	8	there's a risk from the use of this
9	supportive of this concept that we should	9	substance, and you can say this study
10	change practice.	10	found if you do these things we found
11	So no, I don't think that	11	this. That's not a statement of truth.
12	they're making this decision just on this	12	That's not saying we feel that there is a
13	one paper. I have to assume that it's	13	causal relationship between talcum powder
14	just like I have my opinion based on the	14	use and ovarian cancer. There still could
15	summary of the data, they're making it on	15	be confounders.
16	a summary of the data as well. But I'd	16	Q. There's not even a chance that
17	have to say I find that unreasonable given	17	there's a causal relationship, in your
18	the fact that I don't see the support	18	mind?
19	leading into this paper. I walk into this	19	A. There's insufficient
20	paper with a series of case-control	20	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
21	studies about which half of them find a	21	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
22	statistically significant association with	22	MS. DAVIDSON: That's
23	ovarian cancer and the other half don't,	23	mischaracterizing his testimony.
24	and then a number of prospective studies	24	MS. THOMPSON: I'm asking.
25	that all say that they weren't before you	25	

	Page 294		Page 296
1		1	
2	BY MS. THOMPSON:	2	Dr. O'Brien, Dr. Wentzensen, this isn't
3	Q. Is there even a chance?	3	the only study they published, is it?
4	A. I'm saying that there's	4	A. No.
5	insufficient evidence at this time to	5	Q. All of those authors, and more
6	reach this conclusion that talcum powder	6	on that paper, have published numerous
7	contributes to or causes ovarian cancer.	7	studies on this topic, correct?
8	I say a hundred percent I feel that the	8	A. Yes.
9	data is insignificant inconclusive at	9	Q. So they're not basing their
10	this point. You could not make that	10	opinions on one study, are they?
11 12	reasonable you can't reasonably make	11 12	A. In my opinion, they're basing
13	that jump.	13	their opinions on multiple studies that
14	Q. I want to ask the question again, see if you can answer it.	14	suffer from very similar weaknesses. And yes, you can do the same thing over and
15	Is there even a chance, in your	15	over again and it's not surprising that
16	opinion, that talcum powder use could	16	you come to the same result. If you
17	cause ovarian cancer in some women?	17	compare 24 case-control studies and then
18	A. There is no	18	throw in three, four cohort studies and
19	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to	19	•
20	object. This question has been asked	20	the same thing three years later and come
21	and answered literally 30 to 35 times	21	out with the same result or very similar,
22	today. And I'm not sure why we're	22	it's not surprising.
23	doing it for a 36th.	23	And yes, it's not the first
24	MS. THOMPSON: The record will	24	paper they've written, but they're basing
25	show that that's not true.	25	their opinions on repeated rehashing of,
	Page 295		Page 297
1		1	
2	MS. DAVIDSON: If you want to go	2	to me, similar data with similar
3	ahead and do it again.	3	weaknesses.
4	BY MS. THOMPSON:	4	Q. And looking at the literature as
5	Q. I'll ask the question.	5	a whole, there are dozens, if not
6	A. I know the question. I can	6	hundreds, of authors on these papers that
7	answer it.	7	conclude that there's an association
8	Q. Okay.	8	between genital talcum powder use and
9	A. There is no chance that you can	9	ovarian cancer, correct?
10	look at the totality of the evidence and	10	A. They conclude in that paper
11	conclude that talcum powder, within a	11	in this yes, they're concluding in this
12	reasonable degree of medical certainty,	12	paper we did it. But I want to go back
13	caused somebody's ovarian cancer. I don't	13	again to what O'Brien and they say.
1	•		
14	think that that's a reasonable thing. I	14	Even those they say exactly what you're
14 15	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this	15	saying. They're saying in this study, we
14 15 16	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to	15 16	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way
14 15 16 17	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to come to that conclusion, it would really	15 16 17	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way to say we are not saying that there's a
14 15 16 17 18	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to come to that conclusion, it would really take a selective picking of the data to	15 16 17 18	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way to say we are not saying that there's a causal relationship between talc and
14 15 16 17 18 19	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to come to that conclusion, it would really take a selective picking of the data to come to that conclusion.	15 16 17 18 19	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way to say we are not saying that there's a causal relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. And I think they go out
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to come to that conclusion, it would really take a selective picking of the data to come to that conclusion. Q. Let's ask that same question	15 16 17 18 19 20	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way to say we are not saying that there's a causal relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. And I think they go out of their way to say because they don't
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to come to that conclusion, it would really take a selective picking of the data to come to that conclusion. Q. Let's ask that same question with "could contribute," and would your	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way to say we are not saying that there's a causal relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. And I think they go out of their way to say because they don't want their words to be misused in
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to come to that conclusion, it would really take a selective picking of the data to come to that conclusion. Q. Let's ask that same question with "could contribute," and would your answer be the same?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way to say we are not saying that there's a causal relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. And I think they go out of their way to say because they don't want their words to be misused in situations like this.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to come to that conclusion, it would really take a selective picking of the data to come to that conclusion. Q. Let's ask that same question with "could contribute," and would your answer be the same? A. Same answer.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way to say we are not saying that there's a causal relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. And I think they go out of their way to say because they don't want their words to be misused in situations like this. Q. Okay. That's just your opinion,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think that that's a reasonable thing. I don't think that anybody who looks at this totality should come to that. I think to come to that conclusion, it would really take a selective picking of the data to come to that conclusion. Q. Let's ask that same question with "could contribute," and would your answer be the same?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying. They're saying in this study, we find this. And then go out of their way to say we are not saying that there's a causal relationship between talc and ovarian cancer. And I think they go out of their way to say because they don't want their words to be misused in situations like this.

	Page 298		Page 300
1	1 100 270	1	Tage 500
2	question about that. But second of all,	2	that it's not an emergency.
3	you wouldn't have any way of knowing what	3	(Discussion held off the
4	these authors had in their mind as to what	4	record.)
5	would happen in a situation like this,	5	THE WITNESS: Sorry about that.
6	would you?	6	BY MS. THOMPSON:
7	A. I have no idea why they would	7	Q. So is it your opinion that Dr.
8	make the statement that there is no causal	8	Burke believed that talc is safe?
9	relationship based on the studies.	9	A. It is my opinion that I'm not
10	Q. Have you talked to any of these	10	going to put words in Dr. Burke's mouth.
11	authors?	11	I believe that Dr. Burke believes that the
12	A. No. I'm going just on the words	12	data is insufficient to conclude that
13	that they've written.	13	talcum powder I believe that Dr. Burke
14	Q. Or emailed any of these authors?	14	disagrees with your experts. I believe he
15	A. I'm just going on what they	15	doesn't believe that the data supports an
16	wrote.	16	association or a causal relationship
17	Q. Have you emailed NIH, NIEHS, or	17	between talcum powder and ovarian cancer.
18	any of these institutions where these	18	Q. Does Dr. Burke actually state
19	authors work?	19	that
20	A. Their papers spoke for	20	A. He's the first author in a paper
21	themselves. I didn't feel that there was	21	that says this. I wouldn't be first
22	any additional information that I can get.	22	author in a paper that made that statement
23	They clearly stated their materials and	23	and I disagreed with it.
24	methods. They clearly stated their	24	Q. Well, Dr. Burke actually states
25	background and rationale, their discussion	25	in the paper
	P. 200		
	Page 299		Page 301
1		1	-
2	sections. There was no reason for me to	2	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke,
3	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them.	2 3	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we?
2 3 4	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that	2 3 4	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix?
2 3 4 5	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject.	2 3 4 5	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper
2 3 4 5 6	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first.
2 3 4 5 6 7	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that there's an association.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says. It's your testimony that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that there's an association. The author who wrote, you said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says. It's your testimony that represents the opinions of ACOG, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that there's an association. The author who wrote, you said it's just an editorial board, but it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says. It's your testimony that represents the opinions of ACOG, correct? A. No, I didn't say that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that there's an association. The author who wrote, you said it's just an editorial board, but it's an it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says. It's your testimony that represents the opinions of ACOG, correct? A. No, I didn't say that. MS. DAVIDSON: That's not what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that there's an association. The author who wrote, you said it's just an editorial board, but it's an it's a (Phone interruption.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says. It's your testimony that represents the opinions of ACOG, correct? A. No, I didn't say that. MS. DAVIDSON: That's not what he said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that there's an association. The author who wrote, you said it's just an editorial board, but it's an it's a (Phone interruption.) THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says. It's your testimony that represents the opinions of ACOG, correct? A. No, I didn't say that. MS. DAVIDSON: That's not what he said. A. I said ACOG had members on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that there's an association. The author who wrote, you said it's just an editorial board, but it's an it's a (Phone interruption.) THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. MS. DAVIDSON: Do you need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says. It's your testimony that represents the opinions of ACOG, correct? A. No, I didn't say that. MS. DAVIDSON: That's not what he said. A. I said ACOG had members on this group that was convened and it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sections. There was no reason for me to reach out to them. Q. Give me a name of an author that you think agrees with you on this subject. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Any researcher, any scientist. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. Okay. We mentioned the Burke study. The white paper's not a study, it's a review of the literature and comes to the conclusion that says that there's heterogeneity in the data and there's insufficient evidence to suggest that there's an association. The author who wrote, you said it's just an editorial board, but it's an it's a (Phone interruption.) THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: We marked Burke, didn't we? A. Do you have the appendix? Q. I want to look at the paper first. A. But the paper has one statement, just like you said. Because you didn't go I know you didn't look at it because you said it doesn't say anything about talc, but if you go into the appendix, they get into it deeper. They go into the meta-analysis. Q. Yeah, and we did find that. And so you can come back with what that actually says. It's your testimony that represents the opinions of ACOG, correct? A. No, I didn't say that. MS. DAVIDSON: That's not what he said. A. I said ACOG had members on this

	Page 302		Page 304
1		1	
2	I didn't say that they spoke for the ACOG.	2	MS. THOMPSON: Mark this as 26.
3	Q. I'm sorry, I thought you were	3	(Holcomb Exhibit 26, ASCO press
4	using that as evidence that ACOG had a	4	release May 15, 2024, was marked for
5	position on talcum powder and ovarian	5	identification, as of this date.)
6	cancer.	6	BY MS. THOMPSON:
7	A. No.	7	Q. And did you
8	Q. So you will agree, though, with	8	A. I need to see it again. Just
9	Burke's paper the only thing that's stated	9	one moment.
10	is, in the paper, our review found	10	(Pause.)
11	heterogeneity in the studies on the use of	11	Q. Since you've already read this,
12	talcum powder and ovarian cancer risk.	12	I assume you don't need to re-read the
13	Correct?	13	whole thing.
14	A. Can you I've you're really	14	And ASCO, we determined, is the
15	doing a disservice to the work they did	15	American Society of Clinical Oncologists,
16	because they covered a lot of statements,	16	a respectable organization.
17	and what they did was they added these	17	A. Right.
18	appendicis to get into the meat of the	18	Q. And it describes the paper
19	topics. And you keep on going to the	19	published by O'Brien and colleagues,
20	superficial 10,000 foot view of this one	20	correct?
21	statement, and if you would once let's go	21	A. Yes.
22	and see what they say after it, 'cause	22	Q. And it actually interviews a
23	they review all the data there and he says	23	doctor that was not on the paper, correct?
24	what I'm saying.	24	A. Are you talking about Fumiko
25	MS. DAVIDSON: Doctor, it's	25	Chino?
	Page 303		Page 305
	Tage 303		rage 303
1		1	_
2	their deposition. They don't want to	2	Q. Yes.
	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine.	2 3	Q. Yes. A. Yes.
2 3 4	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4	Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This
2 3 4 5	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions.	2 3 4 5	Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks
2 3 4 5 6	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products,
2 3 4 5	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of	2 3 4 5	Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing. BY MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study I have to say I found this really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Did you review the press release	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study—I have to say I found this really interesting that out of all the experts,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Did you review the press release from the American Society of Clinical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study I have to say I found this really interesting that out of all the experts, they picked a radiologist oncologist.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Did you review the press release from the American Society of Clinical Oncologists that came out the same day as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study I have to say I found this really interesting that out of all the experts, they picked a radiologist oncologist. Radiation oncology is almost never used in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Did you review the press release from the American Society of Clinical Oncologists that came out the same day as the O'Brien paper?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study—I have to say I found this really interesting that out of all the experts, they picked a radiologist oncologist. Radiation oncology is almost never used in ovarian cancer. So it's strange—yes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Did you review the press release from the American Society of Clinical Oncologists that came out the same day as the O'Brien paper? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study—I have to say I found this really interesting that out of all the experts, they picked a radiologist oncologist. Radiation oncology is almost never used in ovarian cancer. So it's strange—yes, this is what Dr. Chino says, who is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Did you review the press release from the American Society of Clinical Oncologists that came out the same day as the O'Brien paper? A. No. Q. Why not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study I have to say I found this really interesting that out of all the experts, they picked a radiologist oncologist. Radiation oncology is almost never used in ovarian cancer. So it's strange yes, this is what Dr. Chino says, who is someone who does not treat, I can promise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their deposition. They don't want to show it to you, it's fine. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. I could ask the questions. A. Okay. I'm sorry, but it's like you keep saying this and that's part of the paper, the appendix is part of the paper. Q. If you want to come back to it, we can. MS. DAVIDSON: Is this a good time for a break? We can get you the ACOG thing? MS. THOMPSON: Let's just finish the O'Brien thing. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Did you review the press release from the American Society of Clinical Oncologists that came out the same day as the O'Brien paper? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And Fumiko Chino states: This study underscores the potential risks associated with intimate care products, particularly genital talc. The evidence adds to a growing body of literature that suggests such products could contribute to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, especially among frequent users and those using these products in their 20s and 30s. Correct? That's what Dr. Chino, how Dr. Chino describes the study, correct? A. Dr. Chino describes the study—I have to say I found this really interesting that out of all the experts, they picked a radiologist oncologist. Radiation oncology is almost never used in ovarian cancer. So it's strange—yes, this is what Dr. Chino says, who is

1	Page 306	1	Page 308
$\frac{1}{2}$	So yes, that's the statement of	2	MS. THOMPSON: Well, he just
3	this ASCO expert, but I'm not sure how he	3	started on something that there wasn't
4	became an expert in a disease that he	4	even a question on the table.
5	barely treats. But yes.	5	BY MS. THOMPSON:
6	Q. Dr. Holcomb, I didn't ask you	6	Q. I asked if you knew if this was
7	anything about your impression of Dr.	7	a official statement by ASCO as to their
8	Chino. I just asked if that was what Dr.	8	position.
9	Chino stated in this press release from	9	MS. DAVIDSON: If you want to
10	ASCO.	10	show him something what it means that
11	A. That is what Dr. Chino stated.	11	this paper says ASCO Perspective, feel
12	Q. Okay. If we can just, kind of,	12	free to show that to him.
13	stick to the questions, we've got a better	13	MS. THOMPSON: I just asked him
14	chance of getting you out on time.	14	if he knew.
15	MS. DAVIDSON: We're getting him	15	A. I would need to see that.
16	out at seven hours, regardless of	16	Q. Okay. I don't have it with me.
17	whether you like his answers or not.	17	The main takeaway is: Genital
18	MS. THOMPSON: Well, then we'll	18	talc was found to be positively associated
19	come back.	19	with the risk of ovarian cancer across
20	BY MS. THOMPSON:	20	multiple scenarios even after adjusting
21	Q. And the takeaway from a official	21	for potential reporting biases in its
22	press release from the American Society of	22	classification. The association was
23	Clinical Oncologists, correct?	23	particularly strong among women who used
24	A. Right.	24	talc frequently or especially during
25	Q. That's what this is. It's a	25	periods of significant hormonal changes or
	Page 307		Page 309
1		1	
2	official position in a press release of	2	reproductive activity.
3	the organization.	3	So the ASCO perspective takeaway
4	A. Where is that?	4	is what I just read, correct?
5	Q. Have you ever seen the ASCO	5	A. From what I can gather, this is
6	Perspective before?	6	just a summary of the findings of a study.
7	A. No, what you're saying this is	7	I mean, when you're saying it's the ASCO
8	an official opinion of ASCO?	8	perspective, it is just what the authors
9	Q. Yes.	9	said. They're just repeating back what
10	A. Where does it say that?	10	the authors said.
11	Q. If you look at the website,	11	So I'm not sure in any way how
12	which you apparently didn't, their	12	this is ASCO weighing in.
13	Perspective, the ASCO Perspective	13	Q. Does ASCO write a perspective
14	A. Right.	14	and do a press release on every paper
15	Q is a position statement by	15 16	published in the journal? A. No.
16	ASCO.		
17	A. And and so the significance of this is that this underscores the need	17	Q. So they singled out this paper
18		18	to make a statement on their perspective,
19	for further research and potential	19	ASCO perspective. It's not Dr. Chino's
20	reevaluation of these products' safety.	20	perspective, correct?
21	So this	21	A. It what I'm arguing
22	Q. Let me ask the questions,	22 23	Q. Is that correct?A. They have stated they're just
23 24	please, Dr. Holcomb. MS. DAVIDSON: Please don't	23	A. They have stated they're just choosing. They would think this is of
25	interrupt him.	25	interest, I'm assuming. I don't know why
23	monupi iiii.	23	increst, i in assuming. I don't know why

	5 46		5 00
1	Page 310	1	Page 312
2	they chose this, but I don't believe they	2	editorializing.
3	chose it because they're saying as an	3	BY MS. THOMPSON
4	organization we agree with this study.	4	Q. It goes on to say: A new study
5	They clearly decided to publish it. They	5	published today in the Journal of Clinical
6	think it would be of public interest and	6	Oncology provides compelling evidence that
7	of interest of scientists. They're in the	7	genital talc use is associated with an
8	business of getting people to read their	8	increased risk of ovarian cancer.
9	journal.	9	You don't think it's a statement
10	This is a hot topic. I would	10	by ASCO?
11	make a perspective about it too.	11	A. I guess it depends what you mean
12	Q. So you don't think this ASCO	12	by "compelling."
13	perspective is saying it agrees with the	13	Is it interesting? I found it
14	O'Brien study?	14	very interesting. I thought it was
15	A. No.	15	compelling, not that I was compelled to
16	Q. Okay. Let's continue on then.	16	believe what they were saying, but it's
17	A. They're saying giving the	17	sort of like how do you handle missing
18	findings of the study. They're not making	18	information in a study? What can be done
19	a statement that they agree with it.	19	when the study's been weakened by 25
20	Show me where it says they agree	20	percent of the people not asking? And you
21	with it.	21	may want to call it bias analyses, but it
22	Q. (Reading) These findings	22	was done for a reason. It was done and
23	contribute significant insights into the	23	they say themselves why they did this
24	ongoing debate about the safety of	24	study, why all these things because
25	intimate care products and underscore the	25	keep in mind, without the corrections,
	Page 311		Page 313
1		1	
2	need for further research and potential	2	there was no increased risk of ovarian
3	reevaluation of these products' safety.	3	cancer
4	You don't think that's making a	4	Q. 50 percent
5	statement, ASCO is making a statement	5	A. There was no increased risk.
6	there?	6	I'm saying there was no statistically
7	A. I don't think this is ASCO	7	significant increased risk. In fact, it
8	saying that they think that talc causes or	l	looked very much like the original Sister
9	is associated with ovarian cancer. I	9	Study. There was a slight increased risk
10	think they say hey, here's an interesting	10	from then, but no statistically
11	study. It adds important insights, more	11	significant increased risk for ever users
12	research needs to be done.	12	versus non-users.
13	More research on the topic would	13	And then they say we have to do
14	not need to be done if this was such a	14	these special things, these scenarios to
15	definitive study showing that talc use,	15	make up for the fact that we're missing so
16	particularly in women in 20s and 30s, so	16	much data and the number of women with
17	you you gave me before a opinion piece	17	incident cancers is overrepresented in
18	where the person reads the study and says	18	this undefined group.
19	hey, doctors need to start speaking to	19	Now you want to sell it as well,
20	patients about that. These this piece	20	this is all about just a bias analyses.
21	says we need to do more research.	21	But we know why you did these analyses.
22	Q. Okay. Let's keep on then if you	22	If you had had when Gates updated
23	still don't think this is a statement by	23	Gertig, 95 percent of the people answered
	A C(C(C)		
24 25	ASCO. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection to the	24 25	the question there. They had no reason to do imputing data because people answered.

	Page 314		Page 316
1		1	
2	They asked people before they got the	2	interrupt you.
3	disease, so they didn't have to have	3	A. I'm sorry.
4	question of whether there was going to be	4	Q. But we're also not can't
5	recall bias.	5	finish if an answer to a yes-or-no
6	These folks did a couple of	6	question runs on for five, ten, fifteen
7	things differently. I understand it's	7	minutes. So it's in your best interests,
8	compelling, it's interesting, but they	8	as to all of us
9	introduce recall bias by asking people	9	A. I don't think any of my answers
10	again, unsurprisingly they got	10	have run even close to five minutes.
11	contradictions and then they had a huge	11	MS. DAVIDSON: That's correct.
12	amount of missing data. And I'm just	12	I was about to say that.
13	saying from my opinion overall, this one	13	Is it time for a break?
14	study can never be something that you	14	MS. THOMPSON: Let me finish
15	weigh that heavily. It's really	15	with one document, please.
16	interesting and I find it compelling in	16	BY MS. THOMPSON
17	that way, but it is really to me a and	17	Q. Okay. Let's go on.
18	I'm sure you probably have epidemiologists	18	The extensive analysis, and this
19	to discuss this further, but it's a, you	19	is ASCO now talking, this isn't the
20	know, an attempt to try to salvage a study	20	authors, is it? Is it the authors of the
21	where you lost a lot of information.	21	paper?
22	Q. Are you finished?	22	A. No, ma'am. No.
23	A. I am.	23	Q. Okay.
24	MS. THOMPSON: I'll object to	24	This extensive analysis
25	everything in that answer as not being	25	A. Can you show me where you are?
	Page 315		Page 317
1		1	
2	responsive to the question.	2	Q. The second page top, right after
3	But I'll ask another question.	3	"compelling," which to you means
4	Q. Does compelling mean interesting	4	interesting.
5	to you in the scientific and medical	5	(Reading) This extensive
6	world?	6	analysis part of the Sister Study cohort
7	A. I think in this case, they're	7	revisits the association between intimate
8	saying this is compelling in that it	8	care products and cancer incorporating
9	showed a increased risk if you do these	9	rigorous adjustments for biases that might
10	things. Whether they're saying I don't	10	have affected earlier studies.
11	take ASCO's statement here to say we as an	11	That's what ASCO concludes from
12	organization agree with this. Because I	12	the study, correct?
13	think it would be followed by some	13	A. I'm not sure I have to go
14	statement like the opinion piece. I think	14	back and find out what ASCO perspectives
15	the opinion piece that you did, the	15	are. I apologize. I don't know if this
16	editorial, was someone saying, I believe	16	is a statement on the part of ASCO.
17	this, I believe this and I think there	17	Was this written by one person?
18	should be an action attached to this.	18	I I don't know what this means.
19	ASCO is saying, We need more	19	I disagree with that statement.
20	research. And so yes, they're compelled,	20	Rigorous adjustments, I'm not sure what
21	not compelled to a point of saying we need	21	they mean by rigorous.
22	to change and start speaking to people	22	Q. Okay. Let's just move on.
23	about the risk of talc. We just should be	23	In this paper, it mentions that
	compalled to do more research	24	ASCO is supported by the research program
24 25	compelled to do more research. Q. Dr. Holcomb, I'm trying not to	25	at National Institute of Environmental

Page 318 Health Sciences and National Institutes of	1	Page 320
Health Sciences and National Institutes of		
Health Sciences and Ivalianal Institutes of	2	MC O'DELL Vanley have 22
	2 3	MS. O'DELL: You've been gone 32
Health at the bottom of that page,		minutes.
correct?	4	MS. THOMPSON: You brought two
		documents in after the break.
, ,		MS. DAVIDSON: Really?
		THE WITNESS: What time did we
·		leave?
		MS. THOMPSON: 2:36.
	10	THE WITNESS: I apologize.
No association with breast and	11	MS. DAVIDSON: Well, I had
uterine, correct?	12	someone print those. I guess it took
A. Yes.	13	longer than I thought.
Q. But under "Key Findings," there	14	MS. THOMPSON: We'll mark this
was persistent positive association	15	2017 ACOG document titled "Talc Use
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16	and Ovarian Cancer."
-	17	(Holcomb Exhibit 27, ACOG Talc
-		Use and Ovarian Cancer - September 11,
		2017, was marked for identification,
		as of this date.)
		MS. THOMPSON: And the
		Exhibit 28 will be ACOG titled
_		"Ovarian Cancer."
		(Holcomb Exhibit 28, ACOG
		Ovarian Cancer Frequently Asked
	23	• •
Page 319	1	Page 321
A. Correct.	2	Questions, was marked for
Q. All right.	3	identification, as of this date.)
	4	BY MS. THOMPSON
	5	Q. Dr. Holcomb, Exhibits 27 and 28,
	_	those are the correct numbers of those,
	7	are documents that you produced to us
	8	after the break, correct?
	_	A. Correct.
		MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark 29
		MS. DAVIDSON: And I'll get you
		a revised materials considered list
		just so everything is correct.
		(Holcomb Exhibit 29, Government
		of Canada Screening Assessment
, ,		Environment and Climate Change Canada
•		April 2021, Bates P1.00000272.0001-071,
appreciate it.		was marked for identification, as of
MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Holcomb,		this date.)
but we were going to take a five	20	BY MS. THOMPSON:
minute break and it's been over 30	21	Q. Dr. Holcomb, are you familiar
minutes. I just want that to be on	22	with the document that was just marked
_	22	F 1 11 20 1 6 1
record.	23	Exhibit 30, the final assessment of Health
record. MS. DAVIDSON: We've been gone	23	Exhibit 30, the final assessment of Health Canada?
	A. Yes. Q. And the key findings are that genital talc use or douching weren't associated with breast and uterine cancer, correct? Under "Key Findings." No association with breast and uterine, correct? A. Yes. Q. But under "Key Findings," there was persistent positive association between genital talc use and ovarian cancer with the highest risk observed in frequent and long-term users. That's what ASCO says in this perspective, right? A. Yes. With the Q. And released to the press A. Yes. Q as an ASCO statement, correct? Page 319 A. Correct. Q. All right. MS. DAVIDSON: Now? MS. THOMPSON: I have one more O'Brien exhibit. MS. DAVIDSON: Can we do that after the break? MS. THOMPSON: Yeah, we can wait. MS. O'DELL: Let's take a five-minute break. MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you. (Recess taken.) MS. THOMPSON: So, we've been trying to accommodate your schedule THE WITNESS: Thank you, appreciate it. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Holcomb, but we were going to take a five minute break and it's been over 30	A. Yes. Q. And the key findings are that genital talc use or douching weren't associated with breast and uterine cancer, correct? Under "Key Findings." No association with breast and uterine, correct? A. Yes. Q. But under "Key Findings," there was persistent positive association between genital talc use and ovarian cancer with the highest risk observed in frequent and long-term users. That's what ASCO says in this perspective, right? A. Yes. Q as an ASCO statement, correct? A. Yes. Q as an ASCO statement, correct? Page 319 A. Correct. Q. All right. MS. DAVIDSON: Now? MS. THOMPSON: I have one more O'Brien exhibit. MS. DAVIDSON: Can we do that after the break? MS. THOMPSON: Yeah, we can wait. MS. O'DELL: Let's take a five-minute break. MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you. (Recess taken.) MS. THOMPSON: So, we've been trying to accommodate your schedule THE WITNESS: Thank you, appreciate it. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Holcomb, but we were going to take a five minute break and it's been over 30

	PageID: 196834			
1	Page 322	1	Page 324	
$\frac{1}{2}$	Q. And when you were deposed in	$\frac{1}{2}$	A. I'm not aware.	
$\frac{2}{3}$	Q. And when you were deposed in 2019, there was only a draft assessment,	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. And you disagree with the	
4	correct?	4	conclusions of Health Canada; am I	
5	A. Yes.	5	correct?	
6	Q. Would you agree that Health	6	A. Yes.	
7	Canada performed a comprehensive review of	7	Q. We're going to move to Ms.	
8	the issue of talcum powder use and ovarian	8	Gallardo. If you want to turn in your	
9	cancer?	9	report to page 29.	
10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	10	A. Yes.	
11	A. Yes, it seemed to be pretty	11	Q. And that's where your discussion	
12	comprehensive.	12	begins, correct?	
13	Q. There are 250 references,	13	A. It does.	
14	correct?	14	Q. Describe to me the process that	
15	A. Mm-hm.	15	you underwent in evaluating Ms. Gallardo's	
16	Q. It's peer-reviewed; is that	16	•	
17	correct?	17	*	
18	A. I don't I don't know,	18	diagnosis.	
19	actually.	19	A. So, given the fact that my	
20	Q. You don't have to look at it.	20	opinion from the general causation is that	
21	I'll represent that it is.	21	talcum powder doesn't cause ovarian cancer	
22	A. Peer-reviewed by who?	22	in any person, my evaluation of Ms.	
23	Q. You can just say you don't know.	23	Gallardo was limited to understanding her	
24	A. No, you just made a claim that	24	history, so reading through the medical	
25	it was peer-reviewed. I'm asking who	25	records to find out how she presented, how	
	Page 323		Page 325	
$\frac{1}{2}$. 14:	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	reviewed this.	2	she was diagnosed, but to really focus in	
3	Q. If you will turn to page 2, the	3	on what risk factors, established risk	
4	first full paragraph: The human health	4	factors, either things that increased her	
5	portion of this assessment has undergone	5	risk or things that were generally	
6	external peer review.		accepted to decrease her risk were	
7	A. By who?	7	present.	
8	Q. I'm just asking you does the	8	Q. How many cases would you	
10	document say it A. Yes.	9 10	estimate that you've reviewed in this litigation, plaintiff cases?	
11	Q underwent an external peer	11	A. Two, I believe. This is the	
12	review?	12	second.	
13	A. It does mention it went through	13	Q. Did you review only one	
14	a peer review without mentioning who the	14	plaintiff in the Ingham trial?	
15	peers are.	15	A. That's all I remember.	
16	Q. And in that third paragraph on	16	Q. Can you envision any plaintiff	
17	page 1, the screening assessment includes	17	whose history would cause you to determine	
18	a consideration of information on chemical	18	that talcum powder was a contributing	
19	properties, environmental fate, hazards,	19	cause of her cancer?	
20	uses and exposures, including additional	20	A. I don't believe no, I don't	
21	information submitted by stakeholders.	21	believe talcum powder contributes to the	
22	Ama vious avviana that Iahnaan Pr	22	-	

23

22 risk of ovarian cancer.

Q. So regardless of who the

25 opinion that talcum powder use could

24 plaintiff is, you would not be of an

25 them to consider?

Are you aware that Johnson &

23 Johnson submitted information to Health

24 Canada after the initial assessment for

22

	Page 326		Page 328
1	rage 320	1	rage 326
$\frac{1}{2}$	contribute to her ovarian cancer, correct?	$\frac{1}{2}$	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
3	A. That's correct.	$\frac{2}{3}$	If you're referring to a
4	Q. I believe you testified that Ms.	4	specific study, please identify it.
_	Gallardo did not have any other known risk	5	MS. THOMPSON: I'm just asking
5	factors	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6 7	A. I testified?	7	if he's seen any studies. A. I'm not aware of any particular
1		8	studies.
8	Q. Sorry. You included in your	1	
9	report that she did not have any other risk factors.	9	Q. All right.
10		10	Did you finish your answer about
11	A. No, I didn't say that.	11	whether she had other risk factors? Let's
12	Q. Her reproductive history did	12	go back. I think I may have interrupted
13	not she did not have any risk factors.	13	you there.
14	A. Do you consider	14	A. So, she also had a finding of
15	MS. DAVIDSON: Hold on.	15	endosalpingiosis on her surgical
16	If you're reading from his	16	pathology, and that has been mentioned as
17	report, can you just make sure	17	something that increases your risk of
18	MS. THOMPSON: Let's go.	18	ovarian cancer as well.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	19	Let me just make sure I've
20	BY MS. THOMPSON:	20	gotten
21	Q. What risk factors did you	21	Q. You're of the opinion that
22	identify in Ms. Gallardo?	22	endosalpingiosis increases the risk of
23	Let's ask it another way.	23	ovarian cancer?
24	MS. DAVIDSON: Perfect. I won't	24	A. I'm saying it's been shown. If
25	object to that.	25	you're asking me I'm looking at all
1	Page 327	1	Page 329
1	A 0 1	1	
2	A. So let me just go through, okay.		things that somehody mentioned in the
1 2		$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	things that somebody mentioned in the
3	So, she had used hormone	3	literature, and that was one of the
4	replacement therapy, which is associated.	3 4	literature, and that was one of the things.
4 5	replacement therapy, which is associated. She	3 4 5	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for
4 5 6	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question.	3 4	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that?
4 5 6 7	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I
4 5 6 7 8	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy	3 4 5 6 7 8	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you.
4 5 6 7 8 9	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral contraceptives in general are protective.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a disease of postmenopausal women. She's a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral contraceptives in general are protective. But I don't know if what you're asking me	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a disease of postmenopausal women. She's a couple of years younger but in the age
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral contraceptives in general are protective. But I don't know if what you're asking me is the case, that in combined hormone	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a disease of postmenopausal women. She's a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral contraceptives in general are protective. But I don't know if what you're asking me	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a disease of postmenopausal women. She's a couple of years younger but in the age
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral contraceptives in general are protective. But I don't know if what you're asking me is the case, that in combined hormone	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a couple of years younger but in the age range of when you'd expect to see epithelial ovarian cancer. As far as her genetic risks, I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral contraceptives in general are protective. But I don't know if what you're asking me is the case, that in combined hormone replacement that is protective.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a disease of postmenopausal women. She's a couple of years younger but in the age range of when you'd expect to see epithelial ovarian cancer.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral contraceptives in general are protective. But I don't know if what you're asking me is the case, that in combined hormone replacement that is protective. Q. So you're not aware of any studies that show that combined menopausal hormone replacement actually results in a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a couple of years younger but in the age range of when you'd expect to see epithelial ovarian cancer. As far as her genetic risks, I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	replacement therapy, which is associated. She Q. Let me ask a question. A. Yes. Q. Is combined hormonal therapy associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer? A. I'd have to go back and check to see if combined as well. Q. Are you aware of studies that show that progesterone and combined estrogen therapy is actually a protective factor for ovarian cancer? A. Well, progesterone and oral contraceptives in general are protective. But I don't know if what you're asking me is the case, that in combined hormone replacement that is protective. Q. So you're not aware of any studies that show that combined menopausal	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	literature, and that was one of the things. Q. Do you have a reference for that? A. I do. It's in my report, but I can find it for you. It's 160, so it's Hermens et al., Increased association of ovarian cancer in women with histological proven endosalpingiosis, Cancer Epidemiology 2020. Q. Okay. Anything else? A. I mean, it's generally a disease, epithelial ovarian cancer is a disease of postmenopausal women. She's a couple of years younger but in the age range of when you'd expect to see epithelial ovarian cancer. As far as her genetic risks, I don't I can't really speak to that.

	Page 330		Page 332
1	6	1	
2	proven, but as my report states, the	2	MS. DAVIDSON: You're misstating
3	testing she had then is not as extensive	3	his testimony.
4	as what we would do now.	4	MS. THOMPSON: I don't think I
5	Let me see if there was anything	5	stated his testimony, but let's ask
6	else.	6	the question again.
7	Let's see. She has one child.	7	A. Could you restate the question?
8	She breastfed, but only for, like, six	8	Q. You agree that not all women
9	weeks. So it's hard to know if it had an	9	with BRCA1 or 2 mutations get ovarian
10	impact breast-feeding can help to	10	cancer, correct?
11	decrease your risk of ovarian cancer. I'm	11	A. I agree.
12	not sure if six weeks of breast-feeding	12	Q. And have you seen literature
13	would do that.	13	that states that women with BRCA1 or 2
14	I think that's about it.	14	mutations have to have something else that
15	Q. You do agree that ovarian cancer	15	contributes to their development of
16	is multifactorial, correct?	16	ovarian cancer?
17	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	17	A. No.
18	A. I don't know what you mean by	18	Q. Never seen literature to that
19	"multifactorial."	19	effect?
20	Q. You've never seen descriptions	20	A. That someone has to have when
21	of ovarian cancer as being a	21	you say "something else," I'm assuming you
22	multifactorial cancer that's caused by	22	mean that there's another causative agent
23	many factors typically?	23	of ovarian cancer that has to interact
24	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	24	with BRCA to cause ovarian cancer.
25	A. I I don't know as a GYN	25	Q. Yes.
	Page 331		Page 333
1		1	
2	oncologist, I don't know what causes	2	A. No.
3	ovarian cancer. Outside of genetic	1 2	
1 1		3	Q. Never seen any literature to
4	predisposition syndromes.	4	that effect?
5	We can talk about things that	4 5	that effect? A. No.
	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that	4 5 6	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny,
5 6 7	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that	4 5 6 7	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica?
5 6 7 8	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it.	4 5 6 7 8	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh.
5 6 7 8 9	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all	4 5 6 7 8 9	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did.
5 6 7 8 9 10	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA mutation have to have some other factor	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my MS. DAVIDSON: You're laughing
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA mutation have to have some other factor contributing that causes them to get	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my MS. DAVIDSON: You're laughing right now.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA mutation have to have some other factor contributing that causes them to get ovarian cancer?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my MS. DAVIDSON: You're laughing right now. I didn't know that we were
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA mutation have to have some other factor contributing that causes them to get ovarian cancer? A. Can you repeat	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my MS. DAVIDSON: You're laughing right now. I didn't know that we were starting to put people's expressions
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA mutation have to have some other factor contributing that causes them to get ovarian cancer? A. Can you repeat MS. DAVIDSON: Objection;	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my MS. DAVIDSON: You're laughing right now. I didn't know that we were starting to put people's expressions on the record.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA mutation have to have some other factor contributing that causes them to get ovarian cancer? A. Can you repeat MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my MS. DAVIDSON: You're laughing right now. I didn't know that we were starting to put people's expressions on the record. MS. THOMPSON: Well, when you're
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA mutation have to have some other factor contributing that causes them to get ovarian cancer? A. Can you repeat MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony. A. If you could just repeat.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my MS. DAVIDSON: You're laughing right now. I didn't know that we were starting to put people's expressions on the record. MS. THOMPSON: Well, when you're laughing at a question of mine
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We can talk about things that are associated with risk, but to say that something is multifactorial suggests that you know multiple things that cause it. Q. And you'd agree that not all women even with a BRCA1 or 2 mutation get ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. And you A. I do agree. Q. And you've never seen any literature that say even women with BRCA mutation have to have some other factor contributing that causes them to get ovarian cancer? A. Can you repeat MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; misstates his testimony.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that effect? A. No. MS. THOMPSON: Is that funny, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: I didn't laugh. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, you did. MS. DAVIDSON: Actually, you've laughed multiple times in this deposition. And I don't even know what you're taking about. MS. THOMPSON: Well, it's not my MS. DAVIDSON: You're laughing right now. I didn't know that we were starting to put people's expressions on the record. MS. THOMPSON: Well, when you're

	Page 334		Page 336
1	rage 334	1	rage 530
2	MS. THOMPSON: I don't	2	woman's development of ovarian cancer.
3	appreciate it.	3	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked
4	MS. DAVIDSON: Okay.	4	and answered.
5	BY MS. THOMPSON:	5	A. Yeah, I nothing further from
6	Q. Are you assuming then that there	6	what I've already explained to you.
7	is one and only one cause of a woman's	7	Q. And so I'm confused. What was
8	ovarian cancer?	8	the answer that you gave?
9	A. I don't know	9	A. I said that I'm aware of things
10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That	10	that are risk factors, but how those risk
11	also misstates his testimony.	11	factors tie into contributing to ovarian
12	A. Yeah.	12	cancer I don't think it is not known.
13	MS. THOMPSON: I'm asking the	13	Q. Okay.
14	question. I didn't say anything about	14	So there could be other risk
15	what his testimony was.		factors, but none of those you would
16	MS. DAVIDSON: Well, you say		identify as a contributing cause. Am I
17	"are you assuming," then suggesting	17	understanding that correctly?
18	that based on what you just	18	A. You keep on saying cause and I'm
19	BY MS. THOMPSON"	19	spraying risk factors from cause.
20	Q. You can answer the question, Dr.	20	So for me, for something to
21	Holcomb.	21	,
22	A. I don't know of any other		of how it causes, along with something
23	causative agents of ovarian cancer other		else. So I can say that early menarche or
24	than genetic mutations.		late menopause is associated with an
25	Q. Okay.	25	increased risk. How that causes ovarian
1	Page 335	1	Page 337
2	So in your opinion, a genetic	2	cancer I don't know.
3	a deleterious genetic mutation is the only	3	Q. Do you mean that somatic
4	factor that you could say causes ovarian	4	mutations can cause ovarian cancer?
5	cancer?	5	A. Yes.
6	A. That's true.	6	Q. What would be an example?
7	Q. Is it the only factor that could	7	A. A somatic BRCA mutation.
8	contribute to a woman's development of	8	Q. Do you believe that
9	ovarian cancer?	9	environmental agents of any type can cause
10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	10	somatic mutations?
11	A. Can contribute?	11	A. I don't know of any
12	Q. Could contribute, yes.	12	environmental exposures that have been
13	A. Just by nature of the fact that	13	shown to be genotoxic.
14	women without genetic mutations get	14	Q. What about asbestos?
15	ovarian cancer, yes, there must be other	15	A. You asked that earlier and I
16	things that can contribute to the risk of	16	said I'm not aware of how asbestos causes
17	ovarian cancer.	17	genotoxicity.
18	Q. What would those be?	18	Q. You agree that Ms. Gallardo, I
19	A. I don't know what they are. I	19	think you testified earlier, according to
20	just know what things are associated with	20	her deposition, used daily genital powder
21	the risk of ovarian cancer. But you're	21	for 20 years, correct?
22	asking about causality, I'm assuming.	22	A. That's what she reports, yes.
23	Q. I'm asking if there's anything	23	Q. And would you agree that that's
24	other than a mutation that you could	24	approximately 7,300 applications?
25	identify as a contributing cause to a	25	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.

Jocui	пепц	3300	/エ-エ
Pag	jeID:	1968	38

	D 400		D 010
1	Page 338	1	Page 340
2	A. I don't know how many times she	$\frac{1}{2}$	A. In her medical record, no.
3	used it a day.	3	Q. Did she have any family history
4	Q. So it could be more than that if	4	that would place her at a higher risk of
5	she used it more than once a day?	5	ovarian cancer, that you're aware of?
6	A. I guess.	6	A. Her family history, other than
7	Q. But if you multiply once a day	7	renal cell carcinoma which can be part of
8	for 20 years, I believe it would come out	8	Lynch syndrome, but the multiple myeloma,
9	to something around 7300?	9	not that I'm aware of.
10	A. If you want me to do the	10	Q. And Ms. Gallardo
11	calculation, I can.	11	MS. DAVIDSON: I believe he was
12	Q. No.	12	in the middle of a sentence.
13	Does that sound right?	13	A. Yeah, the the multiple
14	A. I have no idea, honestly. I'd	14	myeloma and the other I forgot the
15	have to do the calculation. You might be	15	other
16	better at math than I am. I can't do that	16	Q. Was she tested for Lynch
17	one in my head.	17	syndrome on her gene panel?
18	Q. Okay.	18	A. She was.
19	And it's your opinion that	19	Q. So we can rule out Lynch
20	fibroids are not related to ovarian	20	syndrome, correct?
21	cancer. Do you have an opinion as to	21	A. Yes.
22	whether fibroids are related to ovarian	22	Q. And she did have genetic
23	cancer?	23	testing, correct?
24	A. I don't believe they are.	24	A. She did.
25	Q. An endometrial polyp is not	25	MS. THOMPSON: Let's go ahead
	Page 339		Page 341
1		1	
2	related to ovarian cancer?		
		2	and mark this.
3	A. I don't believe it is.	3	and mark this. (Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx
4	Q. Did you see any record in Ms.		
4 5	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of	3	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was
4 5 6	Q. Did you see any record in Ms.Gallardo's case of a history ofA. Can I go back and clarify what I	3 4 5 6	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this
4 5 6 7	Q. Did you see any record in Ms.Gallardo's case of a history ofA. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp?	3 4 5 6 7	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report,
4 5 6 7 8	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the	3 4 5 6 7 8	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates.
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. I would just like to say, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company? A. I'm familiar with the company,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. I would just like to say, though, that most women with endometriosis	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company? A. I'm familiar with the company, yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. I would just like to say, though, that most women with endometriosis have no record of endometriosis. It's a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company? A. I'm familiar with the company, yes. Q. And Dr. Mutch, her primary
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. I would just like to say, though, that most women with endometriosis have no record of endometriosis. It's a histologically confirmed diagnosis. So	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company? A. I'm familiar with the company, yes. Q. And Dr. Mutch, her primary oncologist, GYN oncologist, ordered the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. I would just like to say, though, that most women with endometriosis have no record of endometriosis. It's a histologically confirmed diagnosis. So she has none I know of no search for it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company? A. I'm familiar with the company, yes. Q. And Dr. Mutch, her primary oncologist, GYN oncologist, ordered the genetic testing, correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. I would just like to say, though, that most women with endometriosis have no record of endometriosis. It's a histologically confirmed diagnosis. So she has none I know of no search for it before.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company? A. I'm familiar with the company, yes. Q. And Dr. Mutch, her primary oncologist, GYN oncologist, ordered the genetic testing, correct? A. This says David Mutch ordered
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. I would just like to say, though, that most women with endometriosis have no record of endometriosis. It's a histologically confirmed diagnosis. So she has none I know of no search for it before. Q. And did she have any histologic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company? A. I'm familiar with the company, yes. Q. And Dr. Mutch, her primary oncologist, GYN oncologist, ordered the genetic testing, correct? A. This says David Mutch ordered it.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did you see any record in Ms. Gallardo's case of a history of A. Can I go back and clarify what I just said about an endometrial polyp? 'Cause you didn't specify what's in the polyp. Q. A benign endometrial polyp. A. Yes, I agree. Q. That was a good correction. Ms. Gallardo had no history of endometriosis in her medical records, correct? A. That is correct. I would just like to say, though, that most women with endometriosis have no record of endometriosis. It's a histologically confirmed diagnosis. So she has none I know of no search for it before.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Holcomb Exhibit 30, GeneDx report 3/4/2014, Bates GALLARDO_ANNA_DRMUTCH_00001-004, was marked for identification, as of this date.) Oncology genetic test report, Bates. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Her genetic testing was performed in 2014, correct? A. Yes. Q. And this was an eleven-gene panel from Onco GeneDx. Are you familiar with that genetic testing company? A. I'm familiar with the company, yes. Q. And Dr. Mutch, her primary oncologist, GYN oncologist, ordered the genetic testing, correct? A. This says David Mutch ordered

1 .	Page 342		Page 344
1		1	
	him.	2	have a hereditary cause regardless of the
3	Q. And the results were described	3	gene testing?
1	as negative, correct?	4	A. I'm sorry, repeat the question
5	A. Yes.	5	again.
6	Q. No reportable variants were	6	Q. Could you say to a reasonable
1	detected by the sequencing in any of the	7	degree of medical certainty that she has a
1	genes on this panel, correct?	8	hereditary cause for her ovarian cancer?
9	A. Yes, that's correct.	9	A. No. I would just say she needs
10	This is a endometrial cancer	10	to be tested for it.
1	panel.	11	Q. Okay.
12	Q. Was that an appropriate panel to	12	And it would be unlikely that
1	order by Dr. Mutch?	13	she has a deleterious variant on her
14	A. Well, seeing that the woman had	l	retesting?
	ovarian cancer, I would think he would	15	A. Well, 15 to 20 percent of
	choose an ovarian cancer panel.	16	\mathcal{E}
17	And I don't know if GeneDx's	17	genetic predisposition syndromes now. So
	ovarian cancer panel at the time had the		I guess it depends on your definition of
	genes that I feel are missing from her		"unlikely." That's high enough for it to
	assessment.	20	be recommended that every woman with
21	Q. Can you think of any reason Dr.	21	epithelial ovarian cancer gets a genetic
1	Mutch would have ordered a panel that	22	panel for ovarian predisposition
1	didn't include the genes that he would be	23	syndromes.
	worried about with an ovarian cancer?	24	Q. And how many of those 15 to 20
25	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. This	25	percent are BRCA1 and 2?
1	Page 343	1	Page 345
1		1	A That are sent for a set 11-200 and
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	just calls for speculation.	2	A. That accounts for probably 60 or 70 percent.
3	A. I have no idea why Dr. Mutch	3	/U Derceni
4		1	-
_	ordered a endometrial cancer panel for an	4	Q. And Lynch added to that?
l .	ovarian cancer patient.	5	Q. And Lynch added to that?A. Yeah.
6	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay.	5 6	Q. And Lynch added to that?A. Yeah.Actually, I let me go back to
6 7	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any	5 6 7	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the
6 7 8	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer	5 6 7 8	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it.
6 7 8 9	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014	5 6 7 8 9	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning?
6 7 8 9 10	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company?	5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers
6 7 8 9 10 11	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did? No. As I mentioned, it's just not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered with BRCA and Lynch of the 15 to 20
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did? No. As I mentioned, it's just not complete.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered with BRCA and Lynch of the 15 to 20 percent.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did? No. As I mentioned, it's just not complete. Q. And you stated in your report	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered with BRCA and Lynch of the 15 to 20 percent. Would you agree with that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did? No. As I mentioned, it's just not complete. Q. And you stated in your report that her genetic testing does not rule out	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered with BRCA and Lynch of the 15 to 20 percent. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did? No. As I mentioned, it's just not complete. Q. And you stated in your report that her genetic testing does not rule out a hereditary cause of her ovarian cancer,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered with BRCA and Lynch of the 15 to 20 percent. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. Q. Turn to page 33.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did? No. As I mentioned, it's just not complete. Q. And you stated in your report that her genetic testing does not rule out a hereditary cause of her ovarian cancer, correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered with BRCA and Lynch of the 15 to 20 percent. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. Q. Turn to page 33. A. Of?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did? No. As I mentioned, it's just not complete. Q. And you stated in your report that her genetic testing does not rule out a hereditary cause of her ovarian cancer, correct? A. That's true.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered with BRCA and Lynch of the 15 to 20 percent. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. Q. Turn to page 33. A. Of? Q. Of your report.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Ovarian cancer patient. Q. Okay. Do you know if there's any difference between the endometrial cancer panel and an ovarian cancer panel in 2014 with this company? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Do you have any evidence that Ms. Gallardo had a genetic cause of her ovarian cancer? A. Any evidence saying she did? No. As I mentioned, it's just not complete. Q. And you stated in your report that her genetic testing does not rule out a hereditary cause of her ovarian cancer, correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And Lynch added to that? A. Yeah. Actually, I let me go back to my report 'cause I think I address the numbers 'cause I think I get into it. Can I go back to the beginning? Because I don't remember the exact numbers off the top, but I can go back and tell you. Well, actually, I don't put the numbers down. I'm not exactly sure. Q. The majority would be covered with BRCA and Lynch of the 15 to 20 percent. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. Q. Turn to page 33. A. Of?

1	Page 346	1	Page 348
$\frac{1}{2}$	And the least management on that	1	that mould around that?
2	And the last paragraph on that	2	that would support that?
3	page, you make the statement midway	3	A. Like I said
4	through the last paragraph on the page:	4	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. I'm
5	Dr. Wolf's report suffers from several	5	going to object. That is a gross
6	logical fallacies, the most severe of	6	mischaracterization of his testimony.
7	which is the belief that all cancer must	7	BY MS. THOMPSON
8	have an identifiable cause.	8	Q. Okay.
9	My first question is did you	9	Tell me what in her report
10	write that sentence?	10	MS. DAVIDSON: He said it was a
11	A. I did.	11	deduction, and you said it was a
12	Q. I had never seen "suffers from	12	guess.
13	logical fallacies" in any kind of medical	13	BY MS. THOMPSON:
14	statement. That's why I was asking.	14	Q. Okay.
15	Where does Dr. Wolf state that	15	Are deduction and guess don't
16	she believes that all cancer must have an	16	mean the same thing?
17	identifiable cause? Where in her report?	17	MS. DAVIDSON: No, they don't.
18	A. I'd have to go back through her	18	A. No.
19	report again.	19	Q. All right.
20	Q. Because that's not cited.	20	MS. O'DELL: Object to form,
21	A. Okay.	21	please.
22	Which	22	BY MS. THOMPSON:
23	Q. It's Exhibit 9.	23	Q. What was the deduction based on?
24	A. Let me go back, sorry.	24	A. My interpretation for her
25	(Pause.)	25	reasoning was if I can't identify a
	Page 347		Page 349
1	3	1	
2	Q. I'll represent that Dr. Wolf	2	specific risk factor that I think caused
3	does not state that cancer must have an	3	this ovarian cancer, that talc is then a
			ting ovarian cancer, that tare is then a
4	identifiable cause.	4	•
5		4 5	likely cause because there has to be
	Do you want to continue looking?		likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that
5	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her	5 6	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an
5	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think,	5	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation.
5 6 7 8	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she	5 6 7	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in
5 6 7	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the	5 6 7 8 9	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that
5 6 7 8 9	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause	5 6 7 8 9 10	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that.
5 6 7 8 9 10	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to be an identifiable cause. But I don't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from logical fallacy?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to be an identifiable cause. But I don't remember her saying in one sentence. It	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from logical fallacy? MS. DAVIDSON: Is that a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to be an identifiable cause. But I don't remember her saying in one sentence. It was my deduction from her reasoning. It	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from logical fallacy? MS. DAVIDSON: Is that a question you're actually asking?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to be an identifiable cause. But I don't remember her saying in one sentence. It was my deduction from her reasoning. It was my interpretation of her reasoning.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from logical fallacy? MS. DAVIDSON: Is that a question you're actually asking? MS. THOMPSON: Yeah.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to be an identifiable cause. But I don't remember her saying in one sentence. It was my deduction from her reasoning. Q. So you just guessed that Dr.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from logical fallacy? MS. DAVIDSON: Is that a question you're actually asking? MS. THOMPSON: Yeah. BY MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to be an identifiable cause. But I don't remember her saying in one sentence. It was my deduction from her reasoning. Q. So you just guessed that Dr. Wolf believed that all cancer must have an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from logical fallacy? MS. DAVIDSON: Is that a question you're actually asking? MS. THOMPSON: Yeah. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Would you like someone to say
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to be an identifiable cause. But I don't remember her saying in one sentence. It was my deduction from her reasoning. Q. So you just guessed that Dr. Wolf believed that all cancer must have an identifiable cause and that was a logical	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from logical fallacy? MS. DAVIDSON: Is that a question you're actually asking? MS. THOMPSON: Yeah. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Would you like someone to say that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you want to continue looking? A. Yeah, I don't remember her saying that specifically. I was, I think, making an overall assessment that she seemed to, in my opinion, feel like the fact that there was no identifiable cause as a support for the fact that talc must cause it, and I just assumed that someone must believe that there must be a cause if you then say well, there's no, you know, known causes here, it must be this. I assume that means you believe there has to be an identifiable cause. But I don't remember her saying in one sentence. It was my deduction from her reasoning. Q. So you just guessed that Dr. Wolf believed that all cancer must have an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	likely cause because there has to be and that becomes my interpretation that says well, then there must be an explanation. Q. I'm just looking for anything in her report that you could point to me that would allow you to deduce that. And you're calling it a severe logical fallacy, which is a pretty brash characterization of her testimony, or her report, in my opinion. Would you like to have someone say you made a you suffered from logical fallacy? MS. DAVIDSON: Is that a question you're actually asking? MS. THOMPSON: Yeah. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Would you like someone to say

ocume	#11L	3300	T-T
Page	ID:	196	841

	Page 350		Page 352
1		1	. ***
2	object to that question. I think	2	A. We've already been there. I've
3	that's not relevant to his opinions.	3	answered that question.
4	But if you want to answer it,	4	Q. Okay.
5	you can.	5	And you believe, without being
6	BY MS. THOMPSON:	6	able to point me to any statement in her
7	Q. I'm just looking for what in	7	report, that she did not exercise
8	Dr	8	consistent opinions that are consistent
9	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	9	with sound medical or scientific practice?
10	And let her ask the question.	10	A. Yeah, I've already answered the
11	MS. DAVIDSON: Leigh, do you	11	question.
12	commit to objecting to the form in	12	Q. Okay.
13	every deposition we ever take of your	13	So you believe that Dr. Wolf's
14	witnesses going forward? Would you	14	report do you know Dr. Wolf?
15	like to commit on the record to that?	15	A. Not personally.
16	If not, I'll continue.	16	Q. Do you know Dr. Wolf's résumé?
17	Dr. Holcomb has stated multiple	17	A. Doctor?
18	times that he deduced from the fact	18	Q. Wolf's résumé.
19	that she assumes that talc caused it.	19	A. No.
20	Absent some other cause, that was his	20	Q. Did you look up Dr. Wolf's CV?
21	deduction. You don't like that	21	A. Dr. Wolf provided a lot of
22	answer, we can move on.	22	information on her opinions in this
23	MS. THOMPSON: I'm asking him to	23	specific topic. I don't see how her CV
24	point me even to that statement.	24	was going to inform me any further than
25	A. It was a general assessment of	25	that.
	Page 351		Page 353
1		1	
	her there was no one specific	2	Q. It wouldn't matter to you where
3	statement. This was my interpretation of	3	she trained, her status, her position, her
4	her reasoning.	4	research, anything?
5	Q. Okay.		
6		5	A. I worked in some pretty
	And then you also go on to state	6	impressive medical centers and I've come
7	in that same paragraph: This is not	6 7	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no.
7 8	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or	6 7 8	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having
7 8 9	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice.	6 7 8 9	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers
7 8 9 10	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in	6 7 8 9 10	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not
7 8 9 10 11	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph?	6 7 8 9 10 11	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or
7 8 9 10 11 12	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right. Q. (Reading) This is not consistent	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this A. I did.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right. Q. (Reading) This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this A. I did. Q. In Ms. Gallardo's case, correct?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right. Q. (Reading) This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What are you referring to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this A. I did. Q. In Ms. Gallardo's case, correct? A. I did.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right. Q. (Reading) This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What are you referring to "this"?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this A. I did. Q. In Ms. Gallardo's case, correct? A. I did. (Holcomb Exhibit 31,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right. Q. (Reading) This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What are you referring to "this"? A. The belief that a cancer has to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this A. I did. Q. In Ms. Gallardo's case, correct? A. I did. (Holcomb Exhibit 31, Barnes-Jewish Hospital Washington
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right. Q. (Reading) This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What are you referring to "this"?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this A. I did. Q. In Ms. Gallardo's case, correct? A. I did. (Holcomb Exhibit 31,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right. Q. (Reading) This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What are you referring to "this"? A. The belief that a cancer has to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this A. I did. Q. In Ms. Gallardo's case, correct? A. I did. (Holcomb Exhibit 31, Barnes-Jewish Hospital Washington
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in that same paragraph: This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What does "this" refer to in that paragraph? A. Can you show me where we're talking about? Q. Same paragraph. Last paragraph on page 33, next to last sentence. A. Right. Q. (Reading) This is not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice. What are you referring to "this"? A. The belief that a cancer has to have an identified cause.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	impressive medical centers and I've come across people of all stripes. So no. Q. And it's your opinion, having read Dr. Wolf's report, that it suffers from logical fallacies and it's not consistent with sound medical or scientific practice, that's your opinion? A. Yes. Q. All right. You reviewed the pathology report in this A. I did. Q. In Ms. Gallardo's case, correct? A. I did. (Holcomb Exhibit 31, Barnes-Jewish Hospital Washington University Medical Center Surgical

	Page 354		Page 356
1		1	
2	date.)	2	looking for endometriosis.
3	BY MS. THOMPSON:	3	Q. Okay. That's not responsive to
4	Q. And do you have any reason to	4	my question, and my question is did
5	question the pathologic diagnosis from	5	A. You asked me did he
6	Barnes-Jewish and Washington University	6	Q. Is there evidence that Dr. Mutch
7	Medical Center?	7	saw endometriosis in his operative report?
8	A. No.	8	Let's ask that.
9	Q. And I believe that we've	9	A. There's no evidence in his path
10	discussed the pathology, including the	10	report in his operative report.
11	endosalpingiosis and the metastatic	11	Q. That's my only question.
12	endometrioid, carcinoma, you don't have	12	MS. THOMPSON: This is 32, the
13	any reason to disagree with the pathology	13	operative report.
14	report.	14	(Holcomb Exhibit 32, BJC Patient
15	And you reviewed the	15	Chart 7/25/2013, Bates
16	operative	16	GALLARDO_ANNA_BJH_00011-014, was
17	A. I just want to say one thing as	17	marked for identification, as of this
18	far as agreeing or disagreeing. What's	18	date.)
19	mentioned, I have no reason to disagree	19	MS. DAVIDSON: If you have an
20	with what's mentioned.	20	amendment to an answer, you can give
21	But I think it's fair to say	21	it.
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	that going back to the question of	22	A. I don't think Dr. Mutch
23	endometriosis, there is such a tight tie	23	mentioned that he saw endosalpingiosis
24	between pelvic peritoneal endometriosis	24	either. So when you say did he see
25	and her specific type of ovarian cancer.		endosalpingiosis, he makes no mention of
23	and her specific type of ovarian cancer.	23	endosaipingiosis, ne makes no mendon of
1	Page 355	1	Page 357
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	The omission of a statement about	1	it and yet his bioney showed it. My
$\frac{2}{3}$		$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	it, and yet his biopsy showed it. My
ı 🧻	endometriosis, in my personal opinion,		
Ι.	hammana all tha tima. Wa museant access at	_	guess is that was a random biopsy that
4	happens all the time. We present cases at	4	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I
5	our tumor board weekly where we review	4 5	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he
4 5 6	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the	4 5 6	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be
4 5 6 7	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board	4 5 6 7	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no
4 5 6 7 8	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I	4 5 6 7 8	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note.
4 5 6 7 8 9	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any	4 5 6 7 8 9	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those diseases that has no correlation with the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo. A. Outside of his path report.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those diseases that has no correlation with the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo. A. Outside of his path report.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those diseases that has no correlation with the amount you see and the amount of symptoms	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo. A. Outside of his path report. When you say
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those diseases that has no correlation with the amount you see and the amount of symptoms somebody has. We are not very good, this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo. A. Outside of his path report. When you say Q. Godleski, the plaintiff expert
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those diseases that has no correlation with the amount you see and the amount of symptoms somebody has. We are not very good, this is generally accepted, at identifying	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo. A. Outside of his path report. When you say Q. Godleski, the plaintiff expert pathologist.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those diseases that has no correlation with the amount you see and the amount of symptoms somebody has. We are not very good, this is generally accepted, at identifying endometriosis. So yes, I you know, I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo. A. Outside of his path report. When you say Q. Godleski, the plaintiff expert pathologist. A. No, I don't believe I did.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	our tumor board weekly where we review their path. It's not mentioned on the pathology report and I see in tumor board this patient has endometriosis, and when I ask the pathologist is there any endometriosis, they'll often say oh, yeah, there was endometriosis, because they didn't find that it was clinically significant to mention at the time. Q. And Dr. Mutch also did not see any endometriosis visually during the procedure? A. Endometriosis is one of those diseases that has no correlation with the amount you see and the amount of symptoms somebody has. We are not very good, this is generally accepted, at identifying endometriosis. So yes, I you know, I don't know what Dr. Mutch saw. I can't	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	happened to show endosalpingiosis. So I just want to verify when you say did he mention seeing something, there can be things present or absent and there's no mention of it in the op note. Q. Did you review Dr. Godleski's report for Ms. Gallardo? I don't believe it's on your reliance list. A. The pathologist you mean? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Yes, Dr. Godleski's report on Ms. Gallardo. A. Outside of his path report. When you say Q. Godleski, the plaintiff expert pathologist. A. No, I don't believe I did. Q. Why not?

	Page 358		Page 360
1	1 age 336	1	1 age 500
2	report specific to Anna Gallardo?	2	yourself?
3	A. Well, it's if it's not on my	3	A. I may have. I don't remember.
4	reliance list, then I don't think so, no.	4	Q. You don't remember seeing this
5	Q. Did Johnson & Johnson tell you	5	report?
6	there was a report from Dr. Godleski	6	A. No.
7	regarding Anna Gallardo's, his examination	7	Q. Okay. Let's
8	of Anna Gallardo's pathology?	8	A. The findings are familiar to me,
9	A. Not that I recall.	9	but I don't remember seeing some of the
10		l	findings are familiar.
11	Q. Have you seen other cases where Dr. Godleski has	10 11	
		l	Q. Well, let's go over the
12	A. You know, now it's sounding	12	findings.
13	familiar. Is he the he's looking at	13	A. Sure.
14	fibers and things like that? Is he a	14	Q. Dr. Godleski found talc fibers
15	specialist at looking for polarized light	15	in the pathologic tissue, correct?
16	and electron microscopy?	16	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
17	Q. He's a pathologist	17	A. What he's describing as talc
18	A. But that was I do remember	18	fibers, yes.
19	seeing a it's not on my reliance list.	19	Q. Okay.
20	I remember seeing a report with someone	20	Page 4. Dr. Godleski states
21	saying whether or not they saw	21	that: Particles fibers were confirmed to
22	MS. DAVIDSON: I thought we	22	be talc. The talc fibers identified all
23	shared it. It may be a mistake, but I	23	met the accepted criteria for a fiber of
24	thought we shared it. There's been so	24	length to width ratio of greater than
25	many experts, I may have made a	25	MS. DAVIDSON: Can you show us
1	Page 359	1	Page 361
2	mistake.	2	where you're reading?
3	MS. THOMPSON: This is a pretty	3	MS. THOMPSON: Bottom of page 4.
4	important report.	4	BY MS. THOMPSON:
5	We'll mark this as Exhibit 33.	5	Q. The last three lines of page 4:
6	(Holcomb Exhibit 33, expert	6	The talc fibers identified all met the
7	report of John J. Godleski, M.D	7	accepted criteria for a fiber of length to
8	July 21, 2021, was marked for	8	width ratio of greater than 3 to 1 and
9	identification, as of this date.)	9	approximately parallel size as well as the
10	A. When you mentioned the name, I	10	accepted atomic weight percent ratio of
11	was aware that he was a pathologist before	11	0.645.
12	you mentioned it. So I do believe I must	12	That's what Godleski says that
13	have seen this.	13	he found in Ms. Gallardo's tissue,
14	Q. Well, you now have the report in	14	correct?
15	your hand.	15	A. That's what he says he found,
16	Have you seen it?	16	yes.
17	•	17	•
	A. Honestly, I'm trying to remember	18	-
18	if I saw this myself or it was just	19	fragments and a fiber listed in Table 2 on
19	mentioned in others' reports.	l	page 6. What is tramelite?
	I was aware of this report, the	20 21	What is tremolite?
20		1 / 1	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
21	findings of this report. I don't remember		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21 22	if I read this report or it was just	22	A. That's outside my expertise.
21 22 23	if I read this report or it was just mentioned in other experts' depositions.	22 23	A. That's outside my expertise. I'm not a mineralogist and specialist.
21 22	if I read this report or it was just	22	A. That's outside my expertise.

Page 362 MS. THOMPSON: I'm asking Dr. Holcomb. MS. DAVIDSON: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. So you didn't review this report?	1 2 3 4	Page 364 to you if Ms. Gallardo had asbestos in her tissue?
Holcomb. MS. DAVIDSON: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. So you didn't review this	2 3 4	tissue?
Holcomb. MS. DAVIDSON: I understand. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. So you didn't review this	3 4	tissue?
BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. So you didn't review this	•	
Q. So you didn't review this	_	A. No.
- ·	5	Q. Dr. Godleski goes on to say that
- ·	6	finding of in the paragraph on the same
	7	page that begins "The technique used."
A. I don't	8	Towards the ends of that paragraph: The
MS. DAVIDSON: He didn't say	9	finding of 15 talc
that.	10	A. I'm sorry, which page are we on
A. Actually, the more I'm looking	11	again?
at this, to be honest, it's very familiar	12	Q. We're on 6.
to me, that	13	(Reading) The finding of 15 talc
Q. Okay.	14	fibers is particularly significant in that
So you may have reviewed this	15	the IARC lists talc fibers as a Group 1
report?	16	cancerogenic.
A. Yes.	17	If you read this report, would
Q. Did you have any curiosity as to	18	that be interesting to you to check if
what tremolite was and maybe I should look	19	talc fibers are listed by IARC as a Group
this up?	20	1 carcinogen?
A. Not really. It wasn't and	21	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
the reason why it wasn't very interesting	22	A. If I'm assuming that this fiber
to me is because I had already reviewed	23	got there through cosmetic talc use, no,
-	24	it wouldn't be of interest to me.
talc fibers or not and whether it's	25	If I had in her history that she
Page 363	1	Page 365
related to a women's use of tale and the		had hanvy occupational avnocura to
	l	had heavy occupational exposure to asbestos it would, because in that
•	_	scenario, it's been suggested that
	l	asbestos can cause ovarian cancer. I
	ļ .	don't know of any other data saying
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		asbestos causes ovarian cancer from any
-	_	other way that, according to her history,
		she could have been exposed.
	l .	So no, a finding of 15
	l	what what Dr. Godleski is saying, he's
	l .	saying because women who had heavy
	l	occupational exposure had an increased
		risk of cancer with this, that means that
		any particle found means that that must
	16	have caused her ovarian cancer.
		Q. I think we discussed earlier
	18	that IARC does not limit the
-		carcinogenicity of asbestos and talc
		fibers to occupational exposure, much less
	21	heavy occupational exposure, does it?
A. I believe it's an asbestiform	22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
	23	A. So, IARC, if you look at the
Q. Okay.	24	studies that they quote
And it would not be compelling	25	Q. I'm
	So you may have reviewed this report? A. Yes. Q. Did you have any curiosity as to what tremolite was and maybe I should look this up? A. Not really. It wasn't and the reason why it wasn't very interesting to me is because I had already reviewed the literature on whether or not you find talc fibers or not and whether it's Page 363 related to a woman's use of talc and the Heller study that showed that there was no correlation between the two, and the general fact that you can try to control for contamination, but you can't really explain how a talc fiber that you found is found in tissue. Q. Is tremolite found in pathology labs? A. I have I have I have no idea if what he found is tremolite or if it's found in in in labs. I have no idea. Q. You have no idea whether tremolite A. Yes. Q is found in labs? A. Yes, I don't. Q. And you don't know what tremolite is? A. I believe it's an asbestiform particle.	So you may have reviewed this report? A. Yes. Q. Did you have any curiosity as to what tremolite was and maybe I should look this up? A. Not really. It wasn't and the reason why it wasn't very interesting to me is because I had already reviewed the literature on whether or not you find talc fibers or not and whether it's Page 363 related to a woman's use of talc and the Heller study that showed that there was no correlation between the two, and the general fact that you can try to control for contamination, but you can't really explain how a talc fiber that you found is found in tissue. Q. Is tremolite found in pathology labs? A. I have I have I have no idea if what he found is tremolite or if it's found in in in labs. I have no idea. Q. You have no idea whether tremolite A. Yes. Q is found in labs? A. Yes, I don't. Q. And you don't know what tremolite is? A. I believe it's an asbestiform particle.

1	Page 366		Page 368
1		1	Ç
2	A. I want to finish my I'm	2	not what studies showed and statistical
3	sorry, you brought it up.	3	significance.
4	Q. I asked you what IARC says.	4	Did IARC conclude that asbestos
5	MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry,	5	and talc fibers are a Group 1 carcinogen
6	Margaret, you're not going to be this	6	and cause ovarian cancer?
7	rude.	7	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
8	BY MS. THOMPSON:	8	A. I've already answered that.
9	Q. Okay. Go ahead.	9	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait a minute.
10	A. This is in the IARC report. The	10	Objection.
11	IARC report quotes data on environmental	11	What do you mean by "talc
12	exposure from asbestos. And none of those	12	fibers"? I mean, like
13	reached statistical significance. They	13	MS. THOMPSON: Talc in an
14	are not saying that there is an increased	14	asbestiform habit. I'm assuming Dr.
15	risk in any of those studies.	15	Holcomb doesn't know what that means.
16	Does IARC mention that as part	16	BY MS. THOMPSON:
17	of the reasons why they're saying this?	17	Q. Do you know what talc in an
18	Yes. But do they have data in their	18	asbestiform habit means?
19	report that shows that environmental	19	A. I've already I'm proud to
20	exposure increased it? No. I haven't	20	admit I'm not a mineralogist. And I'm
21	no, they don't. I haven't reviewed it	21	glad you've done a lot of research in this
22	since the last time, but I'm a hundred	22	area and you're very well-versed in this,
23	percent sure I remember looking for that	23	but I take care of women with ovarian
24	and I was confused why IARC included this	24	cancer and try to save their lives, and
25	group of data that actually didn't show an	25	no, I don't study this the way you do.
	<u> </u>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1	Page 367	1	Page 369
2	increased risk as part of the confirmation	2	And you're very proud that you know this,
3	on asbestos.	3	and I'm happy for you. But I don't know
4	Q. Okay. That was non-responsive.	4	what it is.
5	My question was what did IARC conclude?	5	You can ask me a whole lot of
	My question was what did IARC conclude? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.		You can ask me a whole lot of questions about minerals and particle
6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	5 6	questions about minerals and particle
6 7	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON:	5 6 7	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise.
6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not	5 6 7 8	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you
6 7 8 9	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes.	5 6 7 8 9	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded.
6 7 8 9 10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude?	5 6 7 8 9 10	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that.
6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to that conclusion was based on a number of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion. If I can get two seconds, I promise not to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to that conclusion was based on a number of studies. Out of those studies, the only	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion. If I can get two seconds, I promise not to take five minutes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to that conclusion was based on a number of studies. Out of those studies, the only studies that showed a significant	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion. If I can get two seconds, I promise not to take five minutes. If IARC comes to the conclusion
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to that conclusion was based on a number of studies. Out of those studies, the only studies that showed a significant increased risk of ovarian cancer were	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion. If I can get two seconds, I promise not to take five minutes. If IARC comes to the conclusion that asbestos can cause ovarian cancer,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to that conclusion was based on a number of studies. Out of those studies, the only studies that showed a significant increased risk of ovarian cancer were those with occupational exposure.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion. If I can get two seconds, I promise not to take five minutes. If IARC comes to the conclusion that asbestos can cause ovarian cancer, yes, I want to see under what conditions
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to that conclusion was based on a number of studies. Out of those studies, the only studies that showed a significant increased risk of ovarian cancer were those with occupational exposure. Q. Can you listen to my question,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion. If I can get two seconds, I promise not to take five minutes. If IARC comes to the conclusion that asbestos can cause ovarian cancer, yes, I want to see under what conditions IARC found that it did. And then in their
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to that conclusion was based on a number of studies. Out of those studies, the only studies that showed a significant increased risk of ovarian cancer were those with occupational exposure. Q. Can you listen to my question, Dr. Holcomb?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion. If I can get two seconds, I promise not to take five minutes. If IARC comes to the conclusion that asbestos can cause ovarian cancer, yes, I want to see under what conditions IARC found that it did. And then in their report, an IARC report, they mention and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. What does IARC conclude, not what Dr. Holcomb concludes. What did IARC conclude? A. IARC concluded that asbestos is can cause ovarian cancer. Q. Okay. And IARC did not limit it to heavy occupational exposure, did it? A. IARC's data that they came to that conclusion was based on a number of studies. Out of those studies, the only studies that showed a significant increased risk of ovarian cancer were those with occupational exposure. Q. Can you listen to my question,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions about minerals and particle sizes; it's not my expertise. Q. I was trying to just ask you what IARC concluded. A. I answered that. Q. So what is key to you when considering whether asbestos could cause ovarian cancer is whether there has been heavy occupational exposure. Is that a correct statement of your opinion? A. I want to explain my opinion. If I can get two seconds, I promise not to take five minutes. If IARC comes to the conclusion that asbestos can cause ovarian cancer, yes, I want to see under what conditions IARC found that it did. And then in their

	Page 370		Page 372
1	1 age 570	1	1 agc 3/2
2	ovarian cancer were occupational	2	the findings of this case, it can be
3	exposures.	3	stated to a reasonable degree of medical
4	So when you say did they say	4	certainty that the talc and tremolite
5	that it can cause ovarian cancer, yes,	5	particles fibers found in the tissues of
6	based on this literature.	6	Ms. Gallardo
7	Q. We mentioned earlier a number of	7	
_			A. I apologize, where are you
8	studies published in the last five years	8	reading?
9	that you didn't review, correct?	9	Q. The last sentence of the report.
10	A. I don't know what you mean.	10	A. Last sentence of the report,
11	There have been lots of studies I haven't	11	okay.
12	reviewed. What studies are you referring	12	MS. DAVIDSON: Last page.
13	to?	13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	Q. Regarding asbestos and ovarian	14	BY MS. THOMPSON:
15	cancer.	15	Q. I'll read it again: Therefore,
16	A. Yes.	16	based on the findings of this case, it can
17	Q. Okay.	17	be stated to a reasonable degree of
18	A. You mentioned I don't know	18	medical certainty that the talc and
19	which ones you're talking about.	19	tremolite particles fibers found in the
20	Q. Did you review the Kim study?	20	tissues of Ms. Gallardo are contributory
21	A. I don't know what Kim study	21	evidence for a causal link between the
22	you're referring to.	22	presence of these materials and the
23	Q. Okay.	23	development of her ovarian cancer.
24	Did you review the Nowak study?	24	Will you disagree with Dr.
25	A. I don't know the Nowak study		Godleski's conclusions from his analysis?
	Page 371		Page 373
1	1 100 0 / 2	1	1 100 070
2	that you're referring to.	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
3	Q. You asked me what those studies	3	A. If I understand his statement,
4	were. I'm	4	he's saying just the mere presence is
5	A. Nowak is not one person.	5	evidence of a contributory or causal link,
6	There's lots of studies by people named	6	and I would have to disagree with that.
7	Nowak.	7	Q. You're not a pathologist, are
8	What study are you referring to?	8	you?
9	Q. They're not on your reliance	9	A. We've established that.
10	list and you told me	10	Q. I think we established that
11	A. You asked me if I've reviewed	11	you're not an epidemiologist, but you're
12		12	
1	them. So where are the papers that you're	13	not a pathologist either, correct? A. No, I'm not.
117	adring ma about?		A INO LIH HOL
13	asking me about?		
14	Q. I asked if I could assume that	14	Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition,
14 15	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you	14 15	Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct?
14 15 16	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with	14 15 16	Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct?A. Correct.
14 15 16 17	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with that. And then you asked me what the	14 15 16 17	Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct?A. Correct.Q. I believe you stated that Dr.
14 15 16 17 18	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with that. And then you asked me what the studies were, but we'll just go with I was	14 15 16 17 18	 Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. I believe you stated that Dr. Mutch agreed with you regarding causation,
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with that. And then you asked me what the studies were, but we'll just go with I was just curious why it was important to you	14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct?A. Correct.Q. I believe you stated that Dr.Mutch agreed with you regarding causation, correct?
14 15 16 17 18	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with that. And then you asked me what the studies were, but we'll just go with I was just curious why it was important to you what IARC looked at in 2012, but you did	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. I believe you stated that Dr. Mutch agreed with you regarding causation, correct? A. Do you have a copy of his
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with that. And then you asked me what the studies were, but we'll just go with I was just curious why it was important to you	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. I believe you stated that Dr. Mutch agreed with you regarding causation, correct? A. Do you have a copy of his before I answer the question?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with that. And then you asked me what the studies were, but we'll just go with I was just curious why it was important to you what IARC looked at in 2012, but you did	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. I believe you stated that Dr. Mutch agreed with you regarding causation, correct? A. Do you have a copy of his
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with that. And then you asked me what the studies were, but we'll just go with I was just curious why it was important to you what IARC looked at in 2012, but you did not look at the studies in the last five	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. I believe you stated that Dr. Mutch agreed with you regarding causation, correct? A. Do you have a copy of his before I answer the question?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I asked if I could assume that if it wasn't on your reliance list you hadn't reviewed it. We'll just stick with that. And then you asked me what the studies were, but we'll just go with I was just curious why it was important to you what IARC looked at in 2012, but you did not look at the studies in the last five years.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You read Dr. Mutch's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. I believe you stated that Dr. Mutch agreed with you regarding causation, correct? A. Do you have a copy of his before I answer the question? Q. You don't remember?

	Page 374		Page 376
1		1	MG WYONDGON, W
2	finish. It's not that hard.	2	MS. THOMPSON: He just said he
3	A. No, I if we were going to get	3	doesn't think he saw it.
4	into the deposition, I would just like to	4	A. No, this, I said I was familiar
5	have a copy of it to refer back to.	5	with a lot of things being said. And I
6 7	My my recollection is that he does not believe that talc caused or	6 7	wasn't familiar with a lot of things as
8	contributed to Ms. Gallardo's ovarian	8	soon as you said Godleski, I said he's a pathologist. How would I know that?
9		9	2
10	cancer. So to that degree, yes, I believe we're in agreement.	10	Q. Okay. Do you remember reviewing Dr.
11	Q. And right now I'm just asking	11	Longo's report regarding Ms. Gallardo?
12	you about your recollection.	12	A. I don't remember based on the
13	Do you recollect what Dr. Mutch	13	name what report it is. If you I don't
14	testified to if tremolite was found in Ms.	14	know if Dr. Longo's a pathologist, a
15	Gallardo's tissue?	15	mineralogist, a GYN oncologist. You can't
16	A. I I don't know what Dr. Mutch	16	say the name. I know you're very familiar
17	testified to, but I'm pretty sure he's not	17	with this case, but if you just say the
18	a pathologist, just like I'm not either.	18	name, I don't know whose report you're
19	Q. Okay.	19	referring to.
20	So you don't remember anything	20	Q. Did you see a reference in Dr.
21	that would change your mind	21	Wolf's report to Dr. Longo's analysis of
22	A. No.	22	the exposure that Ms. Gallardo had from
23	Q regarding anything. All	23	talcum powder?
24	right.	24	A. I don't recall.
25	Did you review Dr. Longo's	25	Q. You don't recall whether you saw
	Page 375		Page 377
1		1	
1 7	roport in the Collerdo coco?		
2	report in the Gallardo case?		it or not or you don't recall
3	A. I have to look and see.	3	A. I don't recall whether I saw it
3 4	A. I have to look and see.Q. I'll represent it's not on your	3 4	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not.
3 4 5	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list.	3 4 5	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it?
3 4 5 6	A. I have to look and see.Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list.A. I don't think I don't believe	3 4 5 6	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it?
3 4 5 6 7	 A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. 	3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a	3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it misstates what you said.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar? Does this look familiar, Dr. Holcomb?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it misstates what you said. MS. DAVIDSON: It does misstate	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar? Does this look familiar, Dr. Holcomb? A. The report doesn't look
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it misstates what you said. MS. DAVIDSON: It does misstate what I said.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar? Does this look familiar, Dr. Holcomb? A. The report doesn't look familiar. And the name Longo sounds
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it misstates what you said. MS. DAVIDSON: It does misstate what I said. MS. DAVIDSON: What did you say?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar? Does this look familiar, Dr. Holcomb? A. The report doesn't look familiar. And the name Longo sounds familiar, but I don't remember seeing
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it misstates what you said. MS. DAVIDSON: It does misstate what I said. MS. THOMPSON: What did you say? Let's clarify it on the reason	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar? Does this look familiar, Dr. Holcomb? A. The report doesn't look familiar. And the name Longo sounds familiar, but I don't remember seeing this.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it misstates what you said. MS. DAVIDSON: It does misstate what I said. MS. DAVIDSON: What did you say? Let's clarify it on the reason why Godleski	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar? Does this look familiar, Dr. Holcomb? A. The report doesn't look familiar. And the name Longo sounds familiar, but I don't remember seeing this. Q. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it misstates what you said. MS. DAVIDSON: It does misstate what I said. MS. DAVIDSON: What did you say? Let's clarify it on the reason why Godleski MS. DAVIDSON: I do believe, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar? Does this look familiar, Dr. Holcomb? A. The report doesn't look familiar. And the name Longo sounds familiar, but I don't remember seeing this. Q. Okay. It's not on your reliance list.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I have to look and see. Q. I'll represent it's not on your reliance list. A. I don't think I don't believe so. MS. THOMPSON: Was that a mistake on that one too, Jessica? MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. MS. THOMPSON: Well, you wanted to MS. DAVIDSON: That misstates what I said before, number one. Number two MS. THOMPSON: I don't think it misstates what you said. MS. DAVIDSON: It does misstate what I said. MS. DAVIDSON: What did you say? Let's clarify it on the reason why Godleski	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't recall whether I saw it or not. Q seeing it? So you may have seen it? A. Yeah, I don't recall if I saw it. MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark this Exhibit 34. (Holcomb Exhibit 34, expert report of William E. Longo, Ph.D., was marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Does this report look familiar? Does this look familiar, Dr. Holcomb? A. The report doesn't look familiar. And the name Longo sounds familiar, but I don't remember seeing this. Q. Okay.

	Page 378		Page 380
1	rage 376	1	rage 300
2	reliance list and you don't recognize it?	2	this witness is not acceptable to me.
3	A. I I don't remember if I've	3	MS. THOMPSON: Giving Longo
4	seen it or not. If you ask me questions,	4	wait a minute.
5	it might stir my memory, like this last	5	I want to understand that you're
6	report did.	6	saying that giving Dr. Longo's
7	Q. Okay.	7	case-specific report on the plaintiff
8	MS. DAVIDSON: Are you asking	8	that Dr. Holcomb is giving opinions on
9	questions about this?	9	is inappropriate?
10	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.	10	MS. DAVIDSON: No. I was
11	MS. DAVIDSON: So should he read	11	talking about the way you're speaking
12	it?	12	to the witness is incredibly rude.
13	MS. THOMPSON: No, I'm going to	13	And we're going to take a break right
14	ask questions about it.	14	now.
15	MS. DAVIDSON: Well, he can't	15	MS. O'DELL: There's nothing on
16	answer questions about it unless he	16	the record that's been rude to Dr.
17	reads it.	17	Holcomb. I think he's aware of that.
18	MS. THOMPSON: Well, he should	18	THE WITNESS: I would disagree.
19	have read it before he came in today.	19	There have been
20	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me? Why	20	MS. THOMPSON: Is this going to
21	should he have read it before he came	21	be five minutes or 35 minutes?
22	in today if it's not on his reliance	22	THE WITNESS: We'll be five
23	list?	23	minutes.
24	BY MS. THOMPSON:	24	(Recess taken.)
25	Q. Would it have been relevant on	25	
1	Page 379	1	Page 381
2	your opinions on Ms. Gallardo	$\frac{1}{2}$	BY MS. THOMPSON:
3	MS. DAVIDSON: How would he know	3	Q. Dr. Holcomb, what did you
4	if it would be relevant if he didn't	4	discuss with the lawyer sitting next to
5	see it?	5	you on the break?
6	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Read it.	6	A. I basically read my this
7	Off the record.	7	report.
8	MS. DAVIDSON: Wait, why are we	8	Q. You read the Longo report on the
9	going off the record?	9	break?
10	MS. THOMPSON: If he's going to	10	A. Not the whole thing. I just
11	read a long report that was disclosed	11	went to Gallardo.
12	in all the expert reports that has	12	Q. And did you discuss the report
13	direct relevance to Ms. Gallardo's	13	with Jessica?
14	case, then we can go off the record if	14	A. I asked her questions like they
15	he needs to read this.	15	say 8.2 grams per application. I was just
16	MS. O'DELL: It's two pages	16	curious to say it says it's based on J&J's
17	relevant to	17	own studies. I'm just wondering one
18	MS. DAVIDSON: She just said it	18	person's shaker of a bottle, how does that
19	was a long report.	19	equal out. And she said it was based on
20	MS. THOMPSON: Well, he wanted	20	the studies. So I asked her well, is
	to read the entire report	21	there a study that says how much is in
21	to read the entire report.		
22	MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the	22	somebody's hands. I was just curious
22 23	MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record then.	23	about the numbers.
22	MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the	l	•

	Page 382		Page 384
1		1	
2	break, the break consisted of reading and	2	that you're not an expert in asbestos
3	discussing the Longo report, correct?	3	testing, correct?
4	MS. DAVIDSON: No.	4	A. Correct.
5	A. Yeah.	5	Q. And you agree with Dr. Longo's
6	MS. DAVIDSON: Not discussing	6	analysis that Ms. Gallardo began using at
7	it.	7	age 16 and stopped at 36 and that
8	A. Not discussing it, but I just	8	consisted of 20 years of using it every
9	read that.	9	day?
10	MS. THOMPSON: He said he asked	10	A. Yes.
11	you questions.	11	Q. From her deposition testimony,
12	MS. DAVIDSON: It was that one	12	correct?
13	question. It was a rhetorical	13	A. Yes.
14	question, how does anybody know how	14	Q. And she also stated in her
15	much talc a woman uses, don't people	15	deposition testimony that Dr. Longo
16	use different amounts of talc.	16	records that she put a few shakes of
17	BY MS. THOMPSON:	17	Johnson's Baby Powder on her genital area,
18	Q. Was there an answer to the	l	then put it in her hand and then padded it
19	question?	19	in her genital area and basically used it
20	MS. DAVIDSON: The answer was I	20	all over on the other parts of her body.
21	have absolutely no idea how you would	21	Do you remember that testimony
22	not how much tale a person uses.	22	from Ms. Gallardo?
23	It was a rhetorical question.	23	A. I do.
24	MS. THOMPSON: That's all I	24	Q. And on the next page, page 14,
25	asked.	25	Dr. Longo does a calculation based on
23		23	
1	Page 383	1	Page 385
2	MS. DAVIDSON: There was no	2	which mine the talcum powder came from
3	discussion of the substance of the	3	from 1968 to 1988.
4	paper.	4	Do you see that?
5	MS. O'DELL: Other than that.	5	A. Yes.
6	MS. DAVIDSON: I don't think	6	Q. And he does a calculation of 20
7	that's	7	years, 52 weeks, 6 days a week of 6,240
8	MS. O'DELL: Let's move on.	8	total.
9	MS. DAVIDSON: It was a	9	Do you have any reason to doubt
10	rhetorical question. We were joking	10	that calculation?
11	around about it.	11	A. No.
12	MS. O'DELL: We have limited	12	Q. And then in the Vermont source
	MIS. O DELE. WE HAVE HIMEU	13	•
	time here		
13	time here.		talcum powder, he does a similar 62 let
13 14	BY MS. THOMPSON:	14	me backtrack.
13 14 15	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to	14 15	me backtrack. In the text above the
13 14 15 16	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report.	14 15 16	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's
13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report. A. Yes.	14 15 16 17	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's data on the dosage that a woman uses
13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report. A. Yes. Q. And I'm really not going to ask	14 15 16 17 18	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's data on the dosage that a woman uses getting perineal application, I don't
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report. A. Yes. Q. And I'm really not going to ask you any opinions about Dr. Longo's testing	14 15 16 17 18 19	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's data on the dosage that a woman uses getting perineal application, I don't expect you to have seen that or understand
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report. A. Yes. Q. And I'm really not going to ask you any opinions about Dr. Longo's testing methods. I just want to have you on the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's data on the dosage that a woman uses getting perineal application, I don't expect you to have seen that or understand it, but he comes up with that would be 8.2
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report. A. Yes. Q. And I'm really not going to ask you any opinions about Dr. Longo's testing methods. I just want to have you on the record what Dr. Longo found.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's data on the dosage that a woman uses getting perineal application, I don't expect you to have seen that or understand it, but he comes up with that would be 8.2 grams per application is what Dr. Longo
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report. A. Yes. Q. And I'm really not going to ask you any opinions about Dr. Longo's testing methods. I just want to have you on the record what Dr. Longo found. Is that fair?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's data on the dosage that a woman uses getting perineal application, I don't expect you to have seen that or understand it, but he comes up with that would be 8.2 grams per application is what Dr. Longo uses in his calculation, correct?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report. A. Yes. Q. And I'm really not going to ask you any opinions about Dr. Longo's testing methods. I just want to have you on the record what Dr. Longo found. Is that fair? A. So you're testing my reading.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's data on the dosage that a woman uses getting perineal application, I don't expect you to have seen that or understand it, but he comes up with that would be 8.2 grams per application is what Dr. Longo uses in his calculation, correct? A. That's true.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Dr. Holcomb, if you'll turn to page 13 in the report. A. Yes. Q. And I'm really not going to ask you any opinions about Dr. Longo's testing methods. I just want to have you on the record what Dr. Longo found. Is that fair?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	me backtrack. In the text above the calculation, using Johnson & Johnson's data on the dosage that a woman uses getting perineal application, I don't expect you to have seen that or understand it, but he comes up with that would be 8.2 grams per application is what Dr. Longo uses in his calculation, correct?

	Page 386		Page 388
1	1490 000	1	- uge 500
2	Johnson's Baby Powder used over this time	2	what Dr. Longo determined would be the
3	period.	3	best approximation to what Ms. Gallardo
4	Do you agree with that	4	used with the description that she
5	calculation?	5	provided in her deposition?
6	A. I can do it really fast.	6	I'm just asking you that's what
7	(Pause.)	7	Dr. Longo decided.
8	Yes.	8	A. This is what Dr. Longo said,
9	Q. And then Dr. Longo goes on to	9	yes.
10	divide the grams by how many grams in an	10	Q. I'm not asking you whether you
11	ounce and arrives at 1,827 ounces of	11	agree or would interpret the same.
12	Johnson's Baby Powder.	12	A. So is this this is a test of
13	Is that what Dr. Longo	13	my reading ability, or?
14	calculates?	14	Q. I'm just asking questions.
15	A. That's what he does.	15	A. Okay.
16	Q. And then he goes on that his	16	Q. I'm not testing anyone's reading
17	testing has shown 76 percent of these two	17	ability. I totally assume you can read.
18	groups of samples were positive for	18	Let's go to your expert report
19	amphibole asbestos and/or chrysotile.	19	page 22. And the first full paragraph you
20	That's what Dr. Longo states,	20	state: There is no doubt that tale can
21	correct?	21	induce a local inflammatory response in
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	A. Let me just go back to where he	22	sufficient doses.
23	says that again.	23	So is it your opinion that a
24	Q. The last sentence.	24	pleurodesis dose causes a local
25	A. (Witness reads document.)	25	inflammatory reaction?
23	<u> </u>	23	•
1	Page 387	1	Page 389
2	Q. I'm just asking what Dr. Longo	2	A. Yes.
3	says in his report.	3	Q. Okay.
4			
	A. It's confusing, one, because he	4	And is it your opinion, because
5		5	And is it your opinion, because of the studies that you cite in that same
l .	says these two groups of samples, but he	5	of the studies that you cite in that same
6	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical	5	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used
6 7	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says	5	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going
6 7 8	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what	5 6 7	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient
6 7 8 9	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says	5 6 7 8 9	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount?
6 7 8	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the	5 6 7 8	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No.
6 7 8 9 10 11	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two.	5 6 7 8 9 10	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount. A. Are we talking about table 1?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on pleurodesis.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount. A. Are we talking about table 1? Q. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on pleurodesis. A. Okay.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount. A. Are we talking about table 1? Q. Yes. A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on pleurodesis. A. Okay. And your question now is?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount. A. Are we talking about table 1? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. J&J Application Exposure	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on pleurodesis. A. Okay. And your question now is? Q. You have testified that the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount. A. Are we talking about table 1? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. J&J Application Exposure Studies.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on pleurodesis. A. Okay. And your question now is? Q. You have testified that the pleurodesis dose is enough to cause a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount. A. Are we talking about table 1? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. J&J Application Exposure Studies. And you would agree that Ms.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on pleurodesis. A. Okay. And your question now is? Q. You have testified that the pleurodesis dose is enough to cause a local inflammatory response, correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount. A. Are we talking about table 1? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. J&J Application Exposure Studies. And you would agree that Ms. Gallardo is a female, correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on pleurodesis. A. Okay. And your question now is? Q. You have testified that the pleurodesis dose is enough to cause a local inflammatory response, correct? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	says these two groups of samples, but he says three non-historical, 36 historical and 15 historical Vermont and then he says these two groups. So I'm not sure what are the two groups when he just mentioned three. I don't understand who are the three he's talking about or these two. Q. Okay. If you could turn to table 1, the next to the last page, how the calculations are made as far as the amount. A. Are we talking about table 1? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. J&J Application Exposure Studies. And you would agree that Ms.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the studies that you cite in that same paragraph, that that amount of talc used in a pleurodesis dosage, if it were going to cause cancer, would be a sufficient amount? A. No. Q. Then why did you state that the studies that say that amount does not lead to cancer A. Can I ask you one more time exactly where you are? Q. I'm in the paragraph on pleurodesis. A. Okay. And your question now is? Q. You have testified that the pleurodesis dose is enough to cause a local inflammatory response, correct?

	Page 390		Page 392
1	Page 390	1	Page 592
2	A. Yes.	2	and I don't know what the average number
3	Q. What's the average life	3	would be.
4	expectancy after pleurodesis?	4	Q. Okay. All right. "I don't
5	A. For what reason?	5	know" is a perfectly fine answer.
6	Q. Across the board.	6	So you've established that the
7	A. I don't know. Pleurodesis is	7	pleurodesis procedure involves the direct
8	used for malignant and non-malignant	8	injection of 0.5 to 10 grams of talc
9	reasons. So I don't know what percentage	9	directly into the cavity surrounding the
10	is used.	10	lungs, correct?
11	Q. Would you agree that the	11	A. Yes.
12	majority are for malignant reasons?	12	Q. Is it your opinion that the
13	A. I no, I don't know if that's	13	dosage to the lungs with pleurodesis would
14	the case. I mean, I the patients get	14	be greater than that with a woman who uses
15	pleurodesis effusions from non-malignant	15	talcum powder on her genitalia for decades
16	causes as well.	16	daily?
17	Q. Have you looked at any	17	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
18	literature that looks at all comers	18	A. I have no opinion.
19	receiving pleurodesis and what the average	19	Q. You have no opinion
20	life expectancy is?	20	A. No.
21	A. All comers?	21	Q on that?
22	Q. Everyone who gets pleurodesis,	22	A. I I don't even we've gone
23	malignant and non-malignant.	23	through migration and I told you all the
24	A. If they mention the average	24	issues I had with so you're asking me
25	survival, I don't know what it is.	25	to estimate how much talc gets from the
	Page 391		Daga 202
1	1 agc 3/1	1	Page 393
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	
2	Q. Would approximately 13 months be	2	perineum to the peritoneum and I've
	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise?	_ ا	
2 3	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't	2 3	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does.
2 3 4	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me	2 3 4	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as
2 3 4 5	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't	2 3 4 5	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know	2 3 4 5 6	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is.	2 3 4 5 6	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average number would be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the setting of occupational exposure, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average number would be. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the setting of occupational exposure, yes. Q. Let's just calculate how, using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average number would be. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the setting of occupational exposure, yes. Q. Let's just calculate how, using Longo's data, how much exposure there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average number would be. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered. BY MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the setting of occupational exposure, yes. Q. Let's just calculate how, using Longo's data, how much exposure there would be to a woman using daily talc for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average number would be. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. You don't have any idea?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the setting of occupational exposure, yes. Q. Let's just calculate how, using Longo's data, how much exposure there would be to a woman using daily talc for 20 years compared to pleurodesis, okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average number would be. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. You don't have any idea? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the setting of occupational exposure, yes. Q. Let's just calculate how, using Longo's data, how much exposure there would be to a woman using daily talc for 20 years compared to pleurodesis, okay? A. No, I want to clarify.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average number would be. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. You don't have any idea? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered twice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the setting of occupational exposure, yes. Q. Let's just calculate how, using Longo's data, how much exposure there would be to a woman using daily talc for 20 years compared to pleurodesis, okay? A. No, I want to clarify. Are you assuming that everything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Would approximately 13 months be a surprise? A. I'm not I don't I don't know what the number is. You're asking me would I be surprised, I just don't know what it is. Q. And what is the average number of pleurodesis procedures a typical patient would undergo? A. I don't know. Q. One? A. I don't know what the average number would be. Q. Five? A. I don't know what the average number would be. MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked and answered. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. You don't have any idea? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perineum to the peritoneum and I've already told you that I'm not convinced it does. Q. Do you have any information as to whether occupational exposure would result in a greater ovarian load than perineal application of talc would? A. What are we talking about, an occupational exposure of? Q. Well, you've made a big point that ovarian cancer is only caused by asbestos if there's occupational exposure. A. I said that ovarian cancer the only data I know linking asbestos exposure to ovarian cancer has been in the setting of occupational exposure, yes. Q. Let's just calculate how, using Longo's data, how much exposure there would be to a woman using daily talc for 20 years compared to pleurodesis, okay? A. No, I want to clarify.

	Page 394		Page 396
1	rage 394	1	rage 390
2	Q. No.	2	reaction.
3	A. How much are you talking about?	3	Q. So no opinion?
4	Q. I'm just talking about her	4	A. I I don't know I mean, the
5	exposure.	5	literature on migration, I've already
6	A. But you're talking about to the	6	written in my report, is inconsistent.
7	ovaries. How much exposure to her ovaries	7	Q. It's really fine to say that "I
8	is what we're talking about, not how much	8	don't have an opinion on that."
9	exposure to the perineum.	9	A. I'm explaining why to say "I
10	Q. Does talc as pleurodesis, all of	10	don't have an opinion" can be taken as
11	it get to the ovaries?	11	I've never thought of this, or I don't
12	A. No, you're really confused about	12	have an opinion because I've thought about
13	what I'm saying.	13	it and the literature doesn't allow me to
14	All of it gets to the	14	have an opinion.
	perineum sorry, to the pleurae. It's	15	I just want to distinguish
15 16	put into the pleurae directly. So 100	1	between I just don't have the thought or I
17	percent of the talc is put into the	17	thought about it and I can't answer that
18	pleurae.	18	question.
19	•	19	Q. Okay.
20	You're asking me to compare how much is dusted on the outside and gets to	20	And you're aware that scientists
21	•	21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22	the to compare.	22	and researchers have proposed that talc
23	Q. Fair enough. I'm not assuming	23	pleurodesis not be used in non-malignant
24	that all of it gets there. A. How much gets there?	24	plural effusion treatment because of the
25	Q. We're just doing a calculation	25	risk of asbestos exposure. Are you aware of that literature?
23	Q. We le just doing a calculation	23	of that inclature:
1	Page 395	1	Page 397
1 2		1 2	
2	compared to the application of talc on the	1 2 3	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis.	2 3	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I
2 3	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and	2 3 4	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based,
2 3 4 5	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best	2 3 4 5	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof
2 3 4 5 6	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma.
2 3 4 5 6 7	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest
2 3 4 5 6	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum	2 3 4 5 6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum powder daily over decades, that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is 0.5 to 10 grams, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum powder daily over decades, that the exposure to talcum powder would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is 0.5 to 10 grams, correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we assume that Ms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum powder daily over decades, that the exposure to talcum powder would be insufficient to cause an inflammatory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is 0.5 to 10 grams, correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we assume that Ms. Gallardo had 6,240 applications, what Dr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum powder daily over decades, that the exposure to talcum powder would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is 0.5 to 10 grams, correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we assume that Ms. Gallardo had 6,240 applications, what Dr. Longo stated in his report and you agreed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum powder daily over decades, that the exposure to talcum powder would be insufficient to cause an inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. I have no idea how much talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is 0.5 to 10 grams, correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we assume that Ms. Gallardo had 6,240 applications, what Dr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum powder daily over decades, that the exposure to talcum powder would be insufficient to cause an inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. I have no idea how much talcum powder, if it can even get to the ovaries,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is 0.5 to 10 grams, correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we assume that Ms. Gallardo had 6,240 applications, what Dr. Longo stated in his report and you agreed to, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum powder daily over decades, that the exposure to talcum powder would be insufficient to cause an inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. I have no idea how much talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is 0.5 to 10 grams, correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we assume that Ms. Gallardo had 6,240 applications, what Dr. Longo stated in his report and you agreed to, correct? A. Yeah, that's what he said in his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compared to the application of talc on the perineum compared to pleurodesis. And I'll ask the questions and you just have to answer them to the best of your ability. A. Okay. Q. Is it your opinion that talcum powder used daily over decades in the genital area would be insufficient to cause inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. Repeat the question one more time for me. Q. Is it your opinion that despite the fact this a woman is using talcum powder daily over decades, that the exposure to talcum powder would be insufficient to cause an inflammatory response in the ovaries? A. I have no idea how much talcum powder, if it can even get to the ovaries, and how much would get there. So I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. I've seen the recommendation. I don't know, to be honest, what it's based, and I don't believe it's based on proof that pleurodesis causes mesothelioma. Q. Would that have been of interest to you in your opinions that you gave her with pleurodesis? A. If pleurodesis caused mesothelioma, not really because we're talking about ovarian cancer, not mesothelioma. Q. Okay. So the dose in your report is 0.5 to 10 grams, correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we assume that Ms. Gallardo had 6,240 applications, what Dr. Longo stated in his report and you agreed to, correct? A. Yeah, that's what he said in his report.

	-		
	Page 398		Page 40
1		1	
2	A. Correct.	2	response?
3	Q of talc applied to the	3	A. It's my opinion that a dose of
4	perineum, right?	4	that amount would not cause ovarian
5	A. Right.	5	cancer. I don't know if
6	Q. This, just a calculation, that	6	Q. My question was an inflammatory
7	this would be 2,428 times the average	7	response.
8	pleurodesis dose.	8	A. I don't have proof that it even
9	A. You can't again I think I was	9	got to the ovaries.
10	pretty clear about this. Your assumption	10	So no, it is my opinion that
11	in your calculation is that all of this is	11	I don't have an opinion on inflammatory
12	going through, and I started off very	12	response. I have an opinion on whether it
13	early this morning we were talking about	13	causes cancer.
14	natural barriers. The female genital	14	Q. Okay.
15	tract is not built so that anything makes	15	So you have no opinion as to
16	its way to the vagina can get inside the	16	whether talcum powder causes an
17	peritoneal cavity. Can you imagine the	17	inflammatory response in the ovary?
18	havoc that would be reeked if that was the	18	A. No, I don't have an opinion
19	case?	19	about that.
20	So I have no idea if talc is	20	Q. Okay.
21	able to get to the ovaries.	21	One way or the other, no
22	You're asking me to compare	22	opinion?
23	exposures, and here's a problem with the	23	A. No.
24	whole literature on this topic, looking	24	Q. Okay.
25	for dose response curves, to be honest, is	25	I'm going to ask you about just
1	Page 399	1	Page 40
1	that you can apply comothing to the	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	a favo articles that have been published
2	that you can apply something to the perineum. You have no idea if and how	2	a few articles that have been published
3	•	3	since your 2019 deposition.
4	much is getting there. So we can do this	4	A question for you. Have you
5	practice, but you can't equate the two.	5	testified, outside of the talcum powder
6	Q. Dr. Holcomb, I didn't ask you	6	litigation, as an expert witness in the
7	anything about dose response. Please just	7	past four years?
8	answer my question. I'm asking relatively	8 9	A. No.
9	short, simple questions partly in an		Q. No depositions and no trial
10	effort to get you out of here. So I know	10	testimony?
11	you want to say things	11	A. None.
12	A. If I can	12	MS. THOMPSON: Let's mark 35.
13	Q. Let me finish. I know you want	13	(Holcomb Exhibit 35, Phung
14	to say things, but if you would just	14	article - 2022, was marked for
15	answer my question, I would appreciate it.	15	identification, as of this date.)
16	A. If I could answer	16	BY MS. THOMPSON:
17	Q. So that answer was	17	Q. Did you review the Phung paper
18	non-responsive to the question.	18	"Effects of risk factors of ovarian cancer
19	A. If I could	19	in women with and without endometriosis"?
20	Q. There's no question on the table	20	A. You know, unfortunately, I
21	right now.	21	didn't look at any of these papers on
22	As a J&J expert in this	22	paper. It was all on my computer, so it
23	litigation, is it your opinion that Ms.	23	looks slightly different when you present
24	Gallardo's exposure of 51,000 grams to the	24	it this way.
25	perineum would not cause an inflammatory	25	Q. Fair enough.

	Page 402		Page 404
1	rage 402	1	rage 404
2	(Pause.)	2	menopausal hormonal therapy use, estrogen
3	A. No.	3	only therapy, and estrogen-progesterone
4	Q. So the Phung paper is a	4	therapy and aging menarche.
5	publication by the Ovarian Cancer	5	Did I read that correctly?
6	Association Consortium.	6	A. You did.
7	Are you familiar with that	7	Q. So at least these authors in
8	organization?	8	this paper recognize talc use as one of
9	A. Yes.	9	ten well-established ovarian cancer risk
10	Q. And the paper is published in	10	factors, correct?
11	Fert. and Ster.	11	A. Yeah, they call it
12	Are you familiar with that	12	well-established. And there's other
13	journal?	13	things on this list that they're calling
14	A. What was the name?	14	well-established, not just talc.
15	Q. Fertility and Sterility.	15	Q. I'm just asking
16	A. Yes, I am.	16	A. They do.
17	Q. And Fertility and Sterility is	17	Q these authors include
18	the journal for the reproductive and	18	A. They include it as
19	infertility subspecialty group of OB-GYN,	19	well-established, even though I disagree
20	correct?	20	with that.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. Okay. And I understand you
22	Q. If we look at the authors, I	22	disagree. I'm talking about the authors
23	believe there's approximately 25 authors	23	of this paper.
24	on this paper. And Britton Trabert we've	24	A. Yes, these authors use that
25	already discussed is at NIH. You see Dr.	25	term.
1	Page 403	1	Page 405
2	Terry, Dr. Cramer, Dr. Harris, Andy	2	Q. So there are other researchers
3	Berchuck at Duke.	3	out there that believe that talcum powder
4	Do you know Andy Berchuck?	4	is a well-established risk factor,
5	A. I do.	5	correct?
6	Q. And this paper looked at the	6	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection; asked
7	risk of patients with endometriosis	7	and answered.
8	developing ovarian cancer and whether	8	A. There are clearly people outside
9	combined with other risk factors it	9	of the I would say that this opinion is
10	increased the risk.	10	outside the generally accepted. They're
11	And if you look at page 2,	11	calling it well-established, and I already
12	second column at the top of the partial	12	showed you that ACOG doesn't consider it
13	paragraph it begins "Differences possibly	13	well-established and NCI doesn't consider
14	because of small sample size."	14	it well-established and SGO doesn't
15	Do you see where I am?	15	consider it well-established. And some of
16	A. Yes.	16	these folks are members of these
17	Q. Just above "Materials and	17	organizations. So they're part of
18	Methods." And beginning: Our analysis	18	organizations that have publications
19	considers ten well-established ovarian	19	saying that they don't accept it as
20	cancer risk factors including BMI, talcum	20	well-established and yet they published
21	powder, i.e. talc use, family history of	21	that it's well-established.
22	ovarian cancer, non-steroidal	22	So yes, they hold this opinion.
23	anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use,	23	I would say they're out on an island with
	harast facilize hammanal and	-24	this opinion
24 25	breast-feeding, hormonal oral contraceptive use, parity tubal ligation,	24 25	this opinion. Q. Okay. And we've talked about

Page 406		Page 408
1 age 400	1	1 age 400
the hundreds of authors	2	Q. And would that apply to any
A. Do you mean how many doctors in	3	other article on your reliance list or
ACOG compared to	4	discussed today or in your report?
Q. Have you	5	A. Would what apply?
MS. DAVIDSON: We're doing this	6	Q. Let me finish my question.
again talking over each other.	7	A. Sure.
BY MS. THOMPSON:	8	Q. Would that apply to any other
Q. Have you surveyed any of those	9	author that's on a paper that says talcum
<u>-</u>	10	powder is a risk factor for ovarian
	11	cancer?
The state of the s		A. Any author who says that talcum
	13	powder is a well-established risk factor
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	is outside the mainstream, yes.
• •		Q. Every author that's published an
		article
Q. Let's look at the discussion on	17	A. Every author that says that
	18	talcum powder is a well-established risk
		factor for ovarian cancer is outside the
		mainstream by my definition of being
•		mainstream being the bodies that we
•		respect and trust to educate patients and
		doctors on topics. And I know of none
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		that say that talcum powder use is an
considered to be outside of the	25	established, a well-established, I'm using
Page 407	1	Page 409
establishment in the GVN oncology field?		the word that they're using, a
		well-established risk factor for ovarian
5 5	_	cancer.
		Q. Other than authors that are on
MS. DAVIDSON: I don't know what	-	
	6	multiple papers that consider talc a
	6 7	multiple papers that consider talc a well-established risk factor?
it means.	7	well-established risk factor?
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by	7 8	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general."	7 8 9	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question?
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to	7 8 9 10	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he	7 8 9 10 11	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers?
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling.	7 8 9 10 11 12	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that	7 8 9 10 11 12	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that says that talc is a well-established risk factor for ovarian cancer.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper Q. We'll move on.
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that says that talc is a well-established risk factor for ovarian cancer. Q. And that would go for every	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper Q. We'll move on. MS. DAVIDSON: You just
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that says that talc is a well-established risk factor for ovarian cancer.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper Q. We'll move on.
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that says that talc is a well-established risk factor for ovarian cancer. Q. And that would go for every author listed on this paper? A. If Andy Berchuck agrees with	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper Q. We'll move on. MS. DAVIDSON: You just interrupted him. Literally he's in the middle of a sentence.
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that says that talc is a well-established risk factor for ovarian cancer. Q. And that would go for every author listed on this paper?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper Q. We'll move on. MS. DAVIDSON: You just interrupted him. Literally he's in the middle of a sentence. Guys, you got to stop. And when
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that says that talc is a well-established risk factor for ovarian cancer. Q. And that would go for every author listed on this paper? A. If Andy Berchuck agrees with this and if all the other authors agree with that statement, 'cause I haven't had	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper Q. We'll move on. MS. DAVIDSON: You just interrupted him. Literally he's in the middle of a sentence. Guys, you got to stop. And when I say guys, that's not fair because I
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that says that talc is a well-established risk factor for ovarian cancer. Q. And that would go for every author listed on this paper? A. If Andy Berchuck agrees with this and if all the other authors agree with that statement, 'cause I haven't had a chance to query them, yes, I would say	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper Q. We'll move on. MS. DAVIDSON: You just interrupted him. Literally he's in the middle of a sentence. Guys, you got to stop. And when I say guys, that's not fair because I think Dr. Holcomb is trying not to
it means. A. I don't know what you mean by "in general." What I'm saying in specific to this opinion that you're pointing to, he is well outside of the general feeling. There is no there is no major body that you pointed to, that I've pointed to that says that talc is a well-established risk factor for ovarian cancer. Q. And that would go for every author listed on this paper? A. If Andy Berchuck agrees with this and if all the other authors agree with that statement, 'cause I haven't had	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	well-established risk factor? MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. A. What's the question? Q. Have you looked at the Wu papers? A. You're again mentioning just a name. And I'm sure I read papers by authors with the last name Wu, so if you have a specific paper Q. We'll move on. MS. DAVIDSON: You just interrupted him. Literally he's in the middle of a sentence. Guys, you got to stop. And when I say guys, that's not fair because I
	A. Do you mean how many doctors in ACOG compared to Q. Have you MS. DAVIDSON: We're doing this again talking over each other. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Have you surveyed any of those doctors as to their opinions on talc? A. Just the ones that I work with. Q. So only the doctors in your department, correct? A. Yes. And I have yet to find anybody who disagrees with ACOG and NCI and SGO. Q. Let's look at the discussion on page 5: For these authors Andy Berchuck, is he outside the well-establishment of ACOG and SGO? A. Clearly with this opinion he is. We just talked about this. He says that NSAIDs are well-established Q. In general, is Andy Berchuck considered to be outside of the Page 407 establishment in the GYN oncology field? MS. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to that question. THE WITNESS: I can answer now?	the hundreds of authors A. Do you mean how many doctors in ACOG compared to Q. Have you MS. DAVIDSON: We're doing this again talking over each other. BY MS. THOMPSON: Q. Have you surveyed any of those doctors as to their opinions on talc? A. Just the ones that I work with. Q. So only the doctors in your department, correct? A. Yes. And I have yet to find anybody who disagrees with ACOG and NCI and SGO. Q. Let's look at the discussion on page 5: For these authors Andy Berchuck, is he outside the well-establishment of ACOG and SGO? A. Clearly with this opinion he is. We just talked about this. He says that NSAIDs are well-established Q. In general, is Andy Berchuck considered to be outside of the Page 407 S. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object to that question. THE WITNESS: I can answer now?

	Page 410		Page 412
1		1	
2	fair, both of them have been	2	discussed at length in
3	interrupting each other. So if you	3	MS. THOMPSON: If he had
4	can just try.	4	searched the topic, this paper would
5	MS. THOMPSON: We will.	5	have shown.
6	BY MS. THOMPSON:	6	MS. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, I'm in
7	Q. Can you go to the discussion of	7	the middle of my sentence. I've just
8	this paper published by ASRM of 25 authors	8	been interrupted by both of you.
9	of which many are well-regarded in the	9	Dr. Holcomb, if you like to ask
10	field, correct?	10	him substantive questions, he probably
11	A. I just want to clarify, this is	11	would like to read this and let's see
12	the journal of the ASRM. It is published	12	what you have to ask him.
13	by Fertility and Sterility. It is not	13	BY MS. THOMPSON:
14	published by ASRM.	14	Q. I'm going to ask you the
15	Q. Okay.	15	question.
16	Would you often hear GYN	16	A. Sure.
17	Oncology is published by SGO?	17	Q. In that second paragraph of the
18	A. But we don't say that a paper	18	discussion: Because inflammation plays a
19	written in GYN Oncology was published by	19	role in the development of many cancers,
20	SGO.	20	including ovarian cancer, the increased
21	Q. All right. It's the journal of	21	risk observed specifically among women
22	the society, excuse me.	22	with endometriosis is plausible
23	Let's go to the discussion on	23	And this is talking about the
24	page 5. Starting in the middle of the		relationship with obesity.
25	second paragraph: Because inflammation	25	because overweight women
23		23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Page 411	1	Page 413
$\frac{1}{2}$	plays a role in the development of many	2	with endometriosis may have higher levels
3	cancers, including ovarian cancer, the	3	of inflammation.
4	increased risk observed specifically among	4	Did I read that sentence
5	women with endometriosis	5	correctly?
6	A. I just want to see I'm sorry.	6	A. You read that correctly.
7	Because in the discussion I'm looking	7	Q. And it goes on to say: Both
8	for this.	8	endometriotic foci and adipose tissues
9	Can you tell me which paragraph	9	produce pro-inflammatory cytokines,
10	you're reading from?	10	including TNF-alpha 1, L1 and IL6. These
11	Q. Second paragraph in the	11	pro-inflammatory cytokines have been shown
12	discussion halfway down.	12	to increase the risk of ovarian cancers as
13	MS. DAVIDSON: All right. I		they promote the synthesis of
l		13	• •
14	just want to make the point that Dr.	14	prostaglandins, which in turns inhibit
15	Holcomb pointed out this was not on		cell differentiation and apoptosis and
16	his reliance list. He hasn't read	16	enhances invasion and androgenesis. This
17	this paper. So if you're just going	17	is what these authors describe as an
18	to pull out sentences from it and read	18	inflammatory mechanism for endometriosis
19	them to him, he's not going to be able	19	and obesity.
20	to offer cogent	20	Correct?
21	MS. O'DELL: Please don't coach	21	A. This is what they mention, yes.
22	him. Object to the form.	22	This is what they say.
23	MS. DAVIDSON: Oh, my God,	23	Q. And this would also be in line,
24	Leigh. Come on.	24	reading on, with our observation of a
25	MS. O'DELL: That paper is	25	higher risk associated with genital talc

	Page 414		Page 416
1	1 age 414	1	1 age 410
2	use for women with endometriosis since	2	Q. We've talked about Dr. Harris,
3	inflammation has been proposed as a	3	
	possible biologic mechanism for tale's		right?
4	association with ovarian cancer.	4	A. Yes, we have.
5		5	Q. Dr. Schildkraut, right?
6	And my question	6	A. Yes.
7	A. That's what they wrote.	7	Q. Dr. Berchuck?
8	Q. My question to you is these	8	A. Yes.
9	authors, 25 authors, including very	9	Q. Dr. Wentzensen?
10	well-regarded researchers in the field,	10	A. Yes.
11	have included this in their paper	11	Q. Dr. O'Brien?
12	published in Fert. and Ster, correct?	12	A. Yes.
13	A. These 25 authors have	13	Q. Dr. Wu?
14	correctly what you said is correct,	14	A. Yes.
15	they have included that.	15	Q. Dr. Tworoger?
16	Q. All right. That is my question.	16	A. Yes.
17	And you disagree with everything	17	Q. And Dr. Trabert at NIH?
18	that I just read; is that correct?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Yeah, I do.	19	Q. And those are all names that
20	Q. I wanted to give you the	20	you're familiar with from your review of
21	opportunity to say that.	21	the literature on talc, correct?
22	You included the Hurwitz two	22	A. Yes.
23	Hurwitz papers in your discussion of the	23	Q. And the conclusions of this
24	effect of anti-inflammatory agents with	24	paper published in 2022 are, conclusion in
25	ovarian cancer.	25	the abstract: This study, the largest to
		23	•
1	Page 415	1	Page 417
2	Do you recall that?	2	date on aspirin use and ovarian cancer,
3	A. Yes.	3	provides evidence that frequent aspirin
4	MS. THOMPSON: We'll mark as	4	use is associated with lower ovarian
5	Exhibit 36.	5	cancer risk regardless of the presence of
6	(Holcomb Exhibit 36, Hurwitz	6	most ovarian cancer risk factors.
7	article - 2022, was marked for	7	That's the conclusion of these
8	identification, as of this date.)	8	25 authors, correct?
_	identification, as of this date.)		
u	BY MS THOMPSON.		
10	BY MS. THOMPSON: O You included two prior Hurwitz	9	A. That is the conclusion.
10	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz	9 10	A. That is the conclusion.Q. And if we go to the
10 11	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the	9 10 11	A. That is the conclusion.Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first
10 11 12	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association	9 10 11 12	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic
10 11 12 13	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian	9 10 11 12 13	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key
10 11 12 13 14	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using	9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors
10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia."	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia." Have you seen this paper.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation MS. DAVIDSON: Wait. Do you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia." Have you seen this paper. A. No, I don't believe so.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation MS. DAVIDSON: Wait. Do you know where she is?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia." Have you seen this paper.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation MS. DAVIDSON: Wait. Do you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia." Have you seen this paper. A. No, I don't believe so.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation MS. DAVIDSON: Wait. Do you know where she is?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia." Have you seen this paper. A. No, I don't believe so. Q. This paper also published in the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation MS. DAVIDSON: Wait. Do you know where she is? THE WITNESS: Yeah.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia." Have you seen this paper. A. No, I don't believe so. Q. This paper also published in the Journal of Clinical Oncology, correct?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation MS. DAVIDSON: Wait. Do you know where she is? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MS. DAVIDSON: Okay, great.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia." Have you seen this paper. A. No, I don't believe so. Q. This paper also published in the Journal of Clinical Oncology, correct? A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation MS. DAVIDSON: Wait. Do you know where she is? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MS. DAVIDSON: Okay, great. MS. THOMPSON: Middle of the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You included two prior Hurwitz papers, but not this 2022 paper that the title is "Modification of the Association Between Frequent Aspirin Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk, a Meta-Analysis Using Individual Level Data From Two Ovarian Cancer Consortia." Have you seen this paper. A. No, I don't believe so. Q. This paper also published in the Journal of Clinical Oncology, correct? A. Yes. Q. And it has 25 authors, many of	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That is the conclusion. Q. And if we go to the introduction, halfway through the first paragraph the authors state: Chronic inflammation likely plays a role a key role in ovarian carcinogenesis as factors associated with epithelial disruption from ovulation MS. DAVIDSON: Wait. Do you know where she is? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MS. DAVIDSON: Okay, great. MS. THOMPSON: Middle of the first paragraph.

	D 410		D 420
1	Page 418	1	Page 420
2	THE WITNESS: No, no, it's	2	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.
3	just	3	I don't know what you mean by
4	MS. DAVIDSON: You read so fast.	4	"replaced."
5	THE WITNESS: I wanted to see	5	MS. THOMPSON: Well, the title
6	what they were citing.	6	of the paper is "Modification of the
7	A. Okay. Yes, a 1999 paper. Okay.	7	Association" by the same author of the
8	Q. And these authors conclude	8	two previous papers that
9	chronic inflammation likely plays a key	9	A. I I don't think they're
10	role in ovarian carcinogenesis.	10	saying we're modifying what we said
11	Is that the statement they make?	11	earlier.
12	A. Yes, based on a citation from a	12	MS. DAVIDSON: Correct.
13	1999 paper. Yes, that is their statement.	13	A. They're saying how does how
14	Q. And based on this paper that	14	does frequent aspirin use modify these
15	they've just written, if you look to their	15	other risk factors.
16	conclusions, they suggest that primary	16	MS. DAVIDSON: Yes.
17	prevention of ovarian cancer is an added	17	BY MS. THOMPSON:
18	benefit of frequent aspirin use that could	18	Q. Okay.
19	be incorporated into composite	19	But it's different from what
20	risk-benefit calculations.	20	you've included in your report, correct?
21	Correct?	21	A. No. No, my report, if I may
22	A. Yeah, they're saying that this	22	read, I said one group found no evidence
23	is a proof of principle that it could be	23	between aspirin and ovarian cancer and
24	used in	24	didn't find an evidence of an association
25	Q. But in your report, you used the	25	between non-aspirin, NSAID use and ovarian
1	Page 419	1	Page 421
2	Hurwitz papers as evidence that the	2	cancer. On the other hand, another study
3	inflammation isn't involved with ovarian	3	did report a moderate risk reduction for
4	cancer, correct?	4	aspirin use but found no risk for NSAID.
5	A. No, I I said that there's	5	This is not inconsistent with my
6	inconsistency in the data. In fact, I	6	report. I'm saying that there's data
	quote some studies saying that aspirin can		saying one and there's data saying the
	reduce the risk of ovarian cancer, and I	l .	other. And you've provided more evidence
9	quote some studies saying NSAIDs can as	9	of another paper saying the other.
10	well, and other studies saying NSAIDs	10	It's it's all I'm saying is there's
11	can't but aspirin can. I was just	11	inconsistency in the data on this topic,
12	pointing out inconsistency. This adds to	12	and this is not a definitive answer. It
13	the literature of an inconsistent	13	adds to the literature.
14	literature. And I think that's why they	14	Q. Okay.
15	say that it's a proof of principle. The	15	And because there's not a
16	it's not proof. It's a proof of principle	16	definitive answer, you do not believe that
17	that maybe we can target. They're saying	17	chronic inflammation plays a role in the
18	aspirationally, maybe this is something we	18	carcinogenesis of the ovarian cancer?
19	could do in the future.	19	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection. That
20	Q. Okay.	20	misstates his testimony.
21	But you have two Hurwitz papers	21	BY MS. THOMPSON:
22	in your report that have been replaced by	22	Q. Okay.
23	this "Modification of the Association,"	23	Then tell me your testimony. I
1 1 1	correct?	24	don't want to
24 25	A. My report admits that	25	A. No, I didn't offer an opinion

	Page 422		Page 424
1	Ç	1	Ç
2	whether I thought inflammation could cause	2	A. Yeah, I just want to explain
3	ovarian cancer. I don't know.	3	how how I looked at this topic.
4	Q. What is your opinion?	4	Q. Will you just answer my
5	A. I don't know.	5	question? I don't want to interrupt you,
6	Q. Your opinion is you don't know	6	but I do want you to answer my question so
7	whether chronic inflammation can cause	7	we can leave.
8	ovarian cancer?	8	A. There's different levels of
9	A. Yeah. These	9	importance, so when you say you're looking
10	Q. Have you	10	for a yes-no answer what look important
11	A. If I can finish.	11	and not important, and I just want to
12	These studies of NSAIDs and	12	clarify that I start off by looking at the
13	aspirin use are sort of indirect studies	13	epidemiologic data to see if there's a
14	of whether an anti-inflammatory could	14	strength of association and if there's
15	affect ovarian cancer rates.	15	consistency. And then I'm looking at
16	And yes, they are focusing in on		mechanistic expectation explanations, and
17	this inflammatory chronic inflammation,	17	from my report you can see I'm telling you
18	which is a theory that's out there in the	_	I don't think we've taken care of the
19	literature.	19	first two very important Bradford Hill
20	What I'm saying is that I have	20	criteria. But I say let's talk about the
21	not seen where chronic inflammatory states	21	talc theory. And I go through migration
22	have been shown to cause malignant	22	and I go through inflammation. But the
23	transformation of cancer cells.	23	strongest opinion I have on this topic is
24	Q. Can chronic inflammation cause	24	that there's not strong enough
25	epigenetic changes?	25	epidemiologic data, despite what your
1	Page 423	1	Page 425
2	A. Chronic inflammation can cause	2	the authors said in that it's generally
3	cancer, don't get me wrong.	3	accepted that talc does not cause is not a
4	I'm saying just because it can	4	well-established risk factor. That's the
5	cause cancer in one place in the body does	5	general feeling.
6	not mean that if it happens in another	6	So this whole idea of
1	place in the body, it causes.	7	inflammation, can inflammation cause
8	So yes, it can cause epigenetic,	8	ovarian cancer, can talc cause ovarian
9	it can cause but the question that I'm	9	inflammation I find interesting, but it's
10	answering is in ovarian cancer, can	10	in the background of a lack of consistency
11	chronic inflammation cause ovarian cancer,	11	and strength of association.
12	and I say it's a theory at this point.	12	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. I have one
13	It's not proven.	13	more article to show you.
14	Q. Okay.	14	And that answer was
15	Did you do a search for the	15	non-responsive to any question on the
16	relationship between chronic inflammation	16	table.
17	and ovarian cancer as part of the	17	(Holcomb Exhibit 37,
18	preparation of your report?	18	Sanchez-Prieto article - 2022, was
19	A. I don't remember doing a	19	marked for identification, as of this
20	specific search on that topic.	20	date.)
21	Q. Would that have been important	21	BY MS. THOMPSON:
22	in your report to understand how chronic	22	Q. Have you seen this paper, Dr.
23	inflammation can cause ovarian cancer?	23	Holcomb?
24	A. I want to	24	A. No, I don't believe I have.
25	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	25	Q. It's Gynecologic Oncology

	Page 426		Page 428
1	- 150 120	1	- 100 120
2	Reports Journal that you review?	2	detecting leukocytes in cancer tissue.
3	A. That I review	3	Inflammation can mediate and stimulate the
4	Q. That you review that you read	4	production of tumor-promoting compounds,
5	on a regular basis?	5	including cytokines. Et cetera. These
6	A. Not on a regular basis, but I	6	compounds can contribute to cell
7	do.	7	proliferation, malignant transformation,
8	Q. And you agree it's a companion	8	cancer development. With three
9	journal to Gynecologic Oncology?	9	references.
10	A. It is, mainly for case reports.	10	Are you familiar with any of the
11	Q. And you don't remember seeing	11	references to that statement?
12	this	12	A. I'm still trying I'm just
13	A. No.	13	trying to catch up with you, I'm sorry.
14	Q this article published in	14	Q. Okay.
15	2022, all right.	15	MS. DAVIDSON: Do you need a
16	Let's look at the abstract: The	16	minute to look at this paper?
17	risk and protective factors of ovarian	17	THE WITNESS: It's kind of fast
18	cancer suggest that its etiology is	18	coming at me of things I haven't read
19	multifactorial.	19	before.
20	You weren't familiar with that	20	MS. DAVIDSON: Why don't we take
21	term when I mentioned it before, correct?	21	a few minutes for him to look at this
22	MS. DAVIDSON: Objection.	22	paper, which is the same courtesy I
23	A. I'm sorry, can you show me where	23	extended to your experts.
24	it says this?	24	MS. O'DELL: Well, let's go off
25	Q. The second sentence of the	25	the record, which is what we did every
	Page 427		Page 429
1		1	
2	abstract.	2	time our experts reviewed.
3	A. (Witness reads document.)	3	(Recess taken.)
4	Yeah, I'm not sure I don't	4	BY MS. THOMPSON:
5	understand what they mean by that.	5	Q. The third paragraph in the
6	Q. And you haven't seen it other	6	introduction says: Inflammation has been
7	places before, I think you've already	7	considered a key mechanism for
8	testified.	8	carcinogenesis.
9	A. Yes.	9	And then it continues with the
10	Q. Going down a little bit further,	10	rest of the paragraph that I don't think I
11	it says: At sites of inflammation and	11	need to read.
12	the title of the article is	12	A. But I would like to make mention
13	"Etiopathogenesis of ovarian cancer, an	13	'cause I looked at that citation. They
14	inflammaging entity."	14	say "consider key mechanisms for
15	A. There's a question mark.	15	carcinogenesis," and then they quote
16	Q. What does etiopathogenesis mean?	16	Browning et al.
17	A. The beginning, etiology. It's	17	When you go to Browning et al.,
18	etiology of pathogenesis.	18	you see that this says IL 6 and ovarian
19	I'm not sure why they chose to	19	cancer inflammatory cytokines and the
20	use both words put together.	20	promotion of metastasis. So the citation
21	Q. Okay.	21	is actually talking about something that
22	And this paper, at least, in the	22	can promote metastasis, not the start of a
23	third paragraph of the introduction:	23	cancer.
24	Inflammation has been considered a key	24	Q. Well, we would have to read the
25	mechanism for carcinogenesis after	25	whole article to know that, wouldn't we?

3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS				
	Page 430		Page 432	
1		1		
2	A. Yeah, but just I'm going with	2	if it's both can cause it. I'm sure in	
3	the name in the article.	3	the text it says, but I can't tell from	
4	Q. Let's go to the next sentence.	4	the picture whether they're saying both	
5	MS. DAVIDSON: You just	5	can or just one.	
6	interrupted him again.	6	Q. Well, let's read on page 3.	
7	BY MS. THOMPSON:	7	MS. DAVIDSON: I am really going	
8	Q. (Reading) These compounds can	8	to object to the pressure that is	
9	contribute to cell proliferation,	9	being put on Dr. Holcomb to answer	
10	malignant transformation and cancer	10	questions about a paper he has not	
11	development.	11	read with insufficient time to read	
12	And there are three citations	12	it.	
13	there.	13	BY MS. THOMPSON:	
14	Are you familiar with any of	14	Q. Okay. Read the paper and tell	
15	those citations?	15	me when you're ready, Dr. Holcomb.	
16	A. I'll let you know.	16	MS. O'DELL: We'll go off the	
17	Q. Savant	17	record.	
18	A. Give me a second. I'll let you	18	(Recess taken.)	
19	know. (Witness reads document.)	19	MS. THOMPSON: I won't ask any	
20	No, the Savant study, I don't	20	more questions. I'll reserve my six	
21	know if I'm just familiar with this the	21	minutes time.	
22	author's name or that specific study. I'd	22	MS. DAVIDSON: You're not going	
23	have to pull the paper up to know.	23	to ask any more questions?	
24	Q. And that study was included in	24	MS. THOMPSON: No. I would like	
25	other experts' reports. That may be where	25	Leigh and I to make our flights.	
1	Page 431	1	Page 433	
$\frac{1}{2}$		1	MS. DAVIDSON: I need two	
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	you recognize it.	2 3	minutes.	
-	The next sentence says: Among other factors, such as hereditary,	4	MS. THOMPSON: And the article's	
5	environmental, and lifestyle factors,	5	in evidence.	
6	inflammation is an important risk factor	6	(Recess taken.)	
7	for ovarian cancer.	7	BY MS. THOMPSON:	
8	Do you disagree with that?	8	Q. Dr. Holcomb, if I ask you if	
9	A. Yes.	9	this is what the authors state and whether	
10	Q. And looking on the second page,	10	you agree with it or not, can you answer	
11	the Figure 1, and if you'll look at that	11	that question without	
12	diagram, and it does say it's a	12	A. When you say "if," if this is	
13	hypothesis, so you don't need to conclude	13	what the authors state.	
14	that in your answer. But it shows talc	14	Q. I'm going to read something and	
15	exposure going from the perineum into the	15	say is this is what the authors state and	
16	vagina into the uterus and into the	16	ask you agree with it or not, can you just	
17	fallopian tube, correct?	17	give that answer?	
18	A. Infections or talc exposure,	18	A. Yes, I can do that.	
19	yes.	19	Q. Because if it's going to be a	
20	Q. And then it shows inflammation	20	long answer, I'd rather not go.	
21	once it reaches that point, correct?	21	A. If you're just asking me if this	
22	A. I have to tell you just looking	22	is what the author state, that's obvious.	
23	at this picture I can't tell if they're	23	I can say yes, that is what's on the	
24	saying talc is causing inflammation	24	paper.	
25	because it also says infections going up,	25	Q. Okay. We'll, we haven't done	

	D 424		Dec. 426
1	Page 434	1	Page 436
2	that too often.	$\frac{1}{2}$	concept in the scientific community that
3	We're back on the Sanchez-Prieto	3	you've heard about in your practice?
4	article that you read thoroughly, correct?	4	A. I have not I have not heard
5	A. Almost finished, yes.	5	the term. This is my first time seeing
6	Q. Okay. Let's go to page 3. The	6	that.
7	bottom paragraph on that page: Another	7	Q. Is it fair to say that this is a
8	example of an inflammatory factor involved	8	thought piece where the authors are
9	in the carcinogenesis of ovarian cancer is	9	proposing some sort of possible role
10	the use of talcum powder in the genital	10	and this paper proposes a hypothesis,
11	area. Talc, along with associated	11	right?
12	components such as asbestos or quartz,	12	A. Yes. The authors admit
13	which are known carcinogens and can	13	themselves this is all a hypothesis and
14	contaminate talc products, might ascend	14	theory.
15	through the genital tract and irritate the	15	Q. Are you aware of any scientific
16	epithelial lining of the fallopian tubes	16	evidence that chronic inflammation can
17	or ovaries. This could possibly trigger	17	cause malignant transformation of ovarian
18	an inflammatory response that may promote	18	or fallopian tube cells?
19	carcinogenesis. Taken together,	19	A. I know of none.
20	epidemiological data suggest that there	20	MS. DAVIDSON: I don't think I
21	may be a small positive association	21	have any other questions.
22	between the use of genital powder and	22	MS. THOMPSON: I have no further
23	ovarian cancer. Citing Wentzensen and	23	questions.
24	O'Brien.	24	(Deposition adjourned at
25	Did these authors choose to	25	approximately 5:22 p.m. EDT)
1	Page 435	1	Page 437
1	include that personal in their pener?	1	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	include that paragraph in their paper? A. You read the paragraph	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS
4	correctly.	4	Dlagga road your denogition over
5	Q. So the authors included it in	5	Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary
6	their paper?	6	corrections. You should state the
7	A. You read it correctly, yes.	7	reason in the appropriate space on the
8	Q. I'm going to guess that's the	8	errata sheet for any corrections that
9	same thing.	9	are made.
10	Do you agree with anything in	10	After doing so, please sign the
11	that paragraph?	11	errata sheet and date it. It will be
12	A. It would take too long to	12	attached to your deposition.
13	explain why I disagree. So I'm going to	13	It is imperative that you return
14	say your question do I agree with	14	the original errata sheet to the
15	anything? I'll say no.	15	deposing attorney within thirty (30)
16	MS. THOMPSON: All right.	16	days of receipt of the deposition
17	That's it.	17	transcript by you. If you fail to do
18	EXAMINATION BY	18	so, the deposition transcript may be
19	MS. DAVIDSON:	19	deemed to be accurate and may be used
20	Q. Dr. Holcomb, did the authors of	20	in court.
21	this paper reach any sort of conclusions	21	m court
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	about inflammation?	22	
23	A. No, they just posed a number of	23	
24	interesting theories.	24	
25	Q. Is inflammaging a recognized	25	
	Z. ID IIII MIII MINGING WICCOGNIZOU		

	Page 438	,		Page 440
1		$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	CERTIFICATE	
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	I, MARIE FOLEY, Registered Merit	
3		4	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and	
4	STATE OF)	5	Notary Public for the State of New York,	
5	:ss	6	do hereby certify that prior to the	
6	COUNTY OF)	7	commencement of the examination, KEVIN	
7		1 '	HOLCOMB, M.D., was duly sworn by me to	
8	I, KEVIN HOLCOMB, M.D., hereby	9	testify to the truth, the whole truth and	
9	certify that I have read the transcript of		nothing but the truth.	
10	my testimony taken under oath in my	11	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing	
11	deposition of June 7, 2024; that the		is a verbatim transcript of the testimony	
12	•	13	as taken stenographically by me at the time,	
	transcript is a true and complete record	14	place and on the date hereinbefore set forth,	
13	of my testimony, and that the answers on	15	to the best of my ability.	
14	the record as given by me are true and	16	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither	
15	correct.	17	a relative nor employee nor attorney nor	
16		18	counsel of any of the parties to this action,	
17		19	and that I am neither a relative nor employee	
18		20	of such attorney or counsel, and that I am	
	KEVIN HOLCOMB, M.D.	21	not financially interested in the action.	
19			Willie Saley, RAR CAR	
20	Signed and subscribed to before me this	22		
21	day of, 20		COURT REPORTER	
	, 20	23	Registered Merit Reporter	
22			Certified Realtime Reporter	
23		24	Notary Public	
24	Notary Public, State of		Dated: June 12, 2024	
25		25		
		23		
	Page 430	23		Page 441
1	Page 439			Page 441
1	Ç	1	I AWVED'S NOTES	Page 441
2	ERRATA	1 2	LAWYER'S NOTES	Page 441
2 3	Ç	1 2 3	PAGE / LINE	
2 3 4	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON//	1 2 3 4	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON//	1 2 3 4	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PAGE / LINE//	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PAGE / LINE //	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PAGE / LINE //	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PAGE / LINE //	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PAGE / LINE //	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PAGE / LINE //	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ERRATA PAGE/LINE/ CHANGE / REASON //	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PAGE / LINE //	

[**& - 2**] Page 1

004 10 10	10 20 127 16	150 (15
		153 6:17
		155 6:21
1		16 8:7 192:14
1 5:7 8:9 18:2,3	,	192:15 384:7
121:3 193:3,19		16-2738 1:6
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		160 329:9
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		166 7:6
	· ·	17 8:10 193:2,3
		170 7:12
		177 7:19
· ·		18 5:6,10 7:9
		8:13 166:17
		193:8,9
1.01 232:6	· · ·	1825 3:9
		183 80:15,19
		19 5:14,22 8:16
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	'	24:11 116:22
	·	210:4
		190 7:22
		192 8:6
	383:16 391:2	193 8:9,12
	133 61:17	1960s 86:11
	13th 141:11	1968 385:3
	143:18	1988 385:3
	14 4:8 7:20	1999 418:7,13
	117:13,16,17	2
	128:20 177:22	2 4:5 5:11 8:12
	177:23 384:24	18:11,12 47:19
	15 7:23 9:19	48:10 180:20
'	117:18 119:25	193:9,20 195:2
	120:15 135:17	218:11 323:3
	135:17 190:3,4	331:10 332:9
	304:4 344:15	332:13 344:25
	344:24 345:17	361:18 403:11
10070 1.12	364:9,13	JU1.10 4UJ.11
	365:10 387:7	
	121:3 193:3,19 194:17,25 220:9 323:17 361:8 364:15 364:20 368:5 387:13,17 413:10 431:11 1,000 21:23 1,827 386:11	1 1 6:22 9:23 1 1:6:22 9:23 1 1:6:22 9:23 1 1:6:13:6:154:19 1 1:5:7 8:9 18:2,3 12:3 193:3,19 194:17,25 20:9 323:17 16:13 361:8 364:15 364:20 368:5 387:13,17 413:10 431:11 4,000 21:23 1,000 21:23 1,827 386:11 1,01 232:6 258:9 1,08 257:9,24 277:2 1.13 258:8 262:15 1,17 269:17 1,18:6 141:2 1,17 257:25 1,26. 258:9 1,27. 220:17 1,4 :21:7 10 6:18 153:13 153:14 154:3 14 4:8 7:20 1,27 220:17 1,4 :21:7 10 6:18 153:13 153:14 154:3 14 4:8 7:20 17:13,16,17 128:20 177:22 17:23 384:24 15 7:23 9:19 10001-8602 12:20 10840 1:12 344:24 345:17 364:9,13

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196865

[2,428 - 29] Page 2

	I		
2,428 398:7	142:7 143:5,12	2022 8:15 11:17	216 8:18,21
20 8:19 216:10	146:10 147:16	11:20 12:7	218 2:8
238:15 257:6	147:17,18	210:5 401:14	22 9:7 221:20
257:14,15	149:19 150:2	415:7,11	221:21 388:19
262:18 275:14	151:9 160:15	416:24 425:18	221 9:6
337:21 338:8	160:16,22	426:15	224 9:10
344:15,24	161:11 162:14	2023 5:22 6:10	23 5:18 9:11
345:17 384:8	165:8,13	24:11 79:21	224:8,9
385:6 393:21	166:17 174:17	2024 1:14 5:8	232 4:10
438:21	174:22 175:5	5:12 6:15 7:20	24 5:21 9:14
20006 3:10	180:3 182:4	9:13,16,20	203:17 242:12
2001 6:21 155:5	187:21 189:13	17:23 18:5,14	255:19 269:7
2003 270:14	203:5,7,20	78:23 116:17	278:6 296:17
2004 234:13	205:12 207:11	138:6 141:2,11	25 9:17 199:25
2009 270:14	207:17,24	142:24 143:19	200:2 251:10
2012 173:8,18	208:11,13,25	146:18 161:3	277:18 312:19
173:23 174:9	209:7,8,17	162:15 163:18	402:23 410:8
174:12 371:20	322:3 401:3	163:20 177:24	414:9,13
2014 86:2,8,11	202.783.6400	178:15 179:7	415:22 417:8
86:14,17,19	3:11	192:6,13 215:9	250 322:13
87:6,18,19 88:2	2020 7:17,22	225:15 242:14	26 6:13 9:8,20
341:11 343:9	8:18 9:8 170:16	242:17 263:6	116:16 125:5,9
2016 96:8	170:23 187:25	267:24 269:4,8	125:10 157:21
2017 9:23 71:4	190:5 209:11	276:16 277:14	201:17 304:2,3
71:11 181:18	209:20,24	277:19 290:10	269 9:13
279:9 320:15	215:7 216:8,11	292:13 304:4	27 5:24 9:23
320:19	216:15 222:6	438:11 440:24	157:17 196:16
2017-2019	224:25 225:15	20s 287:19,24	320:17 321:5
270:18	225:18 233:8	305:12 311:16	277 9:16
2018 147:3	255:22,25	21 8:22 11:12	28 5:8,12 6:15
2019 7:10 15:22	257:17 259:12	196:16 216:15	10:7 18:5,14
17:19 21:9 23:8	276:17 329:13	216:16 220:10	116:17 320:22
24:16 30:10	2021 9:10 10:12	232:20 359:8	320:24 321:5
31:13,15 34:16	11:12 208:22	210 8:15	28th 17:23
37:11 41:23,25	224:10 276:4	212.735.3000	29 10:10 321:10
114:22 115:19	321:17 359:8	2:21	321:14 324:9

2:36 320:9	36 11:21 384:7	5,000 43:9 45:4	73 6:6
3	387:6 415:5,6	50 21:4,10	7300 338:9
3 3:19 4:5 5:15	36103-4160	22:11 68:25	76 386:17
19:3,9 81:4	2:10	313:4	79 6:10
94:9 192:13	36th 294:23	51,000 385:25	8
361:8 432:6	37 12:7 178:14	397:25 399:24	8 6:11 79:20
434:6	178:17 425:17	52 385:7	121:8,11,12
3.34 269:17	377 11:14	57 178:16	138:3
3/4/2014 10:15	3843 440:21	5:22 436:25	8.2 381:15
341:4	39 275:14	5:30 33:14 35:2	385:20,24
30 10:16 294:21	3:18 1:12	5th 178:15	387:25 393:24
319:21,25	4	179:7	800.898.2034
321:23 341:3	4 5:19 23:12,13	6	2:11
361:17 437:15	101:18 360:20	6 5:25 7:17	856.317.7188
304 9:19	361:3,5	26:25 27:5	3:22
30s 287:19,24	4/2018 6:8 73:6	125:8 170:23	877.370.3377
305:12 311:16	40 32:7 54:4	187:25 361:19	1:24
31 10:21 353:20	56:25 59:5 60:3	364:12 385:7	88 13:6
310 3:20	78:24 79:3 81:8	429:18	8:46 14:3
32 11:7 320:2	99:7 168:9	6,240 385:7,24	9
356:12,14	248:19	397:19	9 6:14 116:14
320 9:22 10:6	401 11:17	60 345:2	116:15 137:14
321 10:9	415 11:20	62 385:13	177:15 178:13
33 11:11 345:21		7	346:23
351:15 359:5,6	425 12:6	7 1:14 6:7 73:4	917.591.5672
34 11:15 377:10	435 4:9	121:3,17	1:24
377:11	438 4:11	438:11	95 247:12
341 10:15	439 4:12	7,300 337:24	257:10,24
35 11:18 294:21		7/25/2013 10:23	313:23
380:21 401:12 401:13	45 280:5	11:6 353:23	9:00 1:18
	5	356:15	9:30 137:15
353 10:20 356 11:6	5 4:6 5:22 7:20	70 345:3	a
359 11:10	24:8,10 177:24	700 3:9	a.m. 1:18 14:3
11.10	247:16,18	70s 86:11	137:14,15,16
	406:18 410:24		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

[ability - address]

Page 4

ability 108:12	accept 133:16	acknowledge	actual 23:18
110:7,9 132:8	160:18 405:19	229:10 251:14	94:15 116:11
168:25 255:10	acceptable	acknowledged	actually 17:3
388:13,17	109:8 273:21	263:23	33:22 38:19,21
395:6 440:15	380:2	acknowledging	56:12 67:12
able 108:17	accepted	263:14	75:19 79:9
109:22 113:24	118:11,21	acog 9:22 10:6	88:11 119:19
126:3 127:14	123:5,8,14	50:5,10,12,18	131:24 145:18
127:15,24	160:11 325:6	50:21,25 51:15	196:25 206:21
128:2 163:23	355:21 360:23	52:20,24 53:9	207:8 232:23
235:2 264:13	361:7,10	53:12,15,24	244:11 247:2
347:24 352:6	405:10 425:3	54:2,15 55:21	264:10 270:16
398:21 411:19	accessed 140:6	56:12,17,18,20	300:18,24
abo 6:7 72:8	141:10	57:17,23 58:5	301:16 304:22
73:6,19 74:21	accommodate	58:19,24 59:19	322:19 327:15
75:2	319:16	59:22,24 60:2,6	327:24 333:10
above 1:19	accompanied	60:12,17,22	345:6,13
201:10 290:22	201:19 277:14	61:2,12,15 64:5	349:19 362:11
385:15 403:17	278:6 282:2	64:5,23 69:13	366:25 429:21
absence 68:20	accompanies	70:11,21 71:18	add 71:3 260:9
absent 350:20	287:6	153:20 154:15	290:4
357:7	accompanying	155:12 205:12	added 146:14
absolutely	202:13 216:14	279:9 290:23	147:17 177:15
28:15 141:7,21	account 109:21	290:23 301:18	259:9 302:17
382:21	accounting	301:22,25	345:4 418:17
abstract 225:4	284:21 287:13	302:2,4 303:14	adding 285:16
226:11,13	accounts 345:2	320:15,17,22	addition 145:25
229:20 230:3	accuracy	320:24 405:12	224:14 235:9
232:2 257:20	195:14	406:4,15,20	additional
262:7,11,14,17	accurate 29:24	acog's 70:13	79:25 80:7 82:5
416:25 426:16	115:12 128:11	71:4	233:2 298:22
427:2	144:12 158:18	action 199:12	323:20
absurd 140:9	195:25 196:5,7	315:18 440:18	additions
283:17	437:19	440:21	283:24
academic 24:25	accused 20:14	activity 86:24	address 19:13
		309:2	108:9 174:17

[address - american]

Page 5

_	_		C
174:23 287:7	67:23 68:5,19	232:9,12	180:25 183:21
288:19 345:7	68:21 69:10	234:23 235:13	189:25 220:7
addressing	70:10,13,19,22	244:19 250:12	233:18 239:16
96:19 198:11	136:19	252:17 254:19	289:21 295:3
198:14	afield 183:14	255:5 257:2	340:25 366:9
adds 305:8	afternoon 4:10	265:21 272:24	al 1:11 284:22
311:11 419:12	age 275:14	273:21 275:5	287:6 329:10
421:13	329:19 384:7	276:15 284:17	429:16,17
adenocarcino	agencies 91:7	289:13,22	alabama 2:10
246:23	180:17,21	292:7 302:8	albeit 276:4
adipose 413:8	186:11 188:7	310:4,19,20	alive 126:3
adjourned	201:5 217:23	315:12 322:6	127:14 161:4
436:24	agency 187:24	330:15 331:9	allan 158:8
adjusted 221:5	201:6 217:5,25	331:14 332:8	allegations
adjusting	agent 16:22	332:11 337:18	185:14
308:20	332:22	337:23 339:11	allen 2:5
adjustments	agents 334:23	345:19,20	allow 349:10
317:9,20	337:9 414:24	384:5 386:4	396:13
administrative	ages 275:17	387:22 388:11	allowed 93:3,20
25:10	aging 404:4	390:11 407:20	93:25 139:2
admissions	ago 26:3	426:8 433:10	alpha 413:10
25:7 91:11	agree 31:20	433:16 435:10	amended 6:13
admit 20:12	32:5,11,15,19	435:14	17:21 25:14
368:20 436:12	32:25 35:8,11	agreed 373:18	46:12 71:13
admits 419:25	49:10,11 50:17	397:20	116:13,16
admitted 150:4	62:22 71:16	agreeing	251:17 282:7
adulterated	86:9 87:25	354:18	282:12 283:25
94:5	101:11 102:14	agreement	amendment
advice 56:2,6	105:2 119:7	186:10 188:6	356:20
advise 52:8	121:23 123:16	374:10	amendments
290:14 292:11	124:2 127:21	agrees 42:22	28:16 46:9,11
affect 422:15	128:8 184:18	299:5 310:13	america 53:13
affected 317:10	186:15 188:11	407:19	american 52:2
affirm 14:9	190:16 197:6	ahead 37:21	271:8 303:19
affirmative	198:24 199:2,3	45:17 55:9	304:15 306:22
64:22 65:3,12	218:24 229:12	144:10 145:17	
	Collrow To		

[americans - apparently]

Page 6

americans	407:19	237:7,12	391:23 405:7
89:23	angles 261:6	241:15,16,17	answering
amount 25:10	animal 108:13	243:21 252:10	36:14 44:11
272:15 288:15	111:19	261:4,7,9,10	61:22,25 62:7
314:12 355:19	animals 134:6	266:14,15	66:17 96:5
355:19 387:16	anna 2:4 10:17	273:14 274:6	142:13 206:25
389:6,9,12	10:25 11:8	275:12,23	214:11 244:21
400:4	18:24 341:5	281:10 289:4	423:10
amounts	353:24 356:16	289:18 294:14	answers 114:16
382:16	358:2,7,8	295:7,22,23	122:6 137:21
amphibole	annoying	314:25 316:5	198:21 245:21
386:19	161:14	328:10 334:20	265:19 306:17
ample 142:7	answer 13:4	336:8 350:4,22	316:9 438:13
analyses 8:9,10	31:4,24,25 32:3	356:20 373:21	anti 403:23
193:4,4 234:16	34:19 37:21	378:16 382:18	414:24 422:14
234:18 238:14	39:7 40:2,3,5	382:20 392:5	anybody 49:2
250:14 273:7	43:25 44:24	395:5 396:17	76:18 105:11
312:21 313:20	45:17,19 63:9	399:8,15,16,17	107:12 238:5
313:21	64:17,20 65:9	407:5 421:12	295:15 382:14
analysis 160:19	65:17 66:18	421:16 424:4,6	406:15
192:25,25	67:20 77:8	424:10 425:14	anymore
197:22 204:24	84:12,15,17,19	431:14 432:9	105:10,15
204:24 213:14	88:12 90:11,15	433:10,17,20	210:23
218:9 224:21	90:24 91:3,15	answered 45:9	anyone's
234:17 250:25	92:6,10,23 93:8	45:13,16 46:5	388:16
266:13,18	93:11,15,22	59:17 65:6,7	anything's
275:14 291:7	94:22 111:10	68:3 70:17,18	227:11,12
301:13 316:18	112:18 113:11	71:21 92:3	anyway 131:13
316:24 317:6	117:23 123:19	113:7,9 114:12	229:22
372:25 376:21	135:25 137:19	131:8 137:11	apologize
384:6 403:18	137:23 139:20	169:22 170:7,9	115:13 317:15
415:14	140:13 141:9	239:15 240:15	320:10 372:7
androgenesis	145:14 151:25	294:21 313:23	apoptosis
413:16	152:3 167:20	313:25 336:4	413:15
andy 403:2,4	188:16 214:13	352:3,10 368:8	apparently
406:18,24	214:19 229:5	369:10 391:19	84:7 173:4

[apparently - asbestos]

Page 7

266:16 307:12 appropriate argument 55:6 asbestos 7:9 appearances 42:16,24 102:16 127:9 26:12 35:18 4:5 188:15 222:16 249:11 37:5,14 38: appears 259:2 342:12 437:7 arps 2:17 38:14,18,25 appendices approximate array 270:24 39:14 72:20 82:14 21:10 arrived 15:13 74:17,24 75	3,24 10 6:17
4:5	10
appears 259:2 342:12 437:7 arps 2:17 38:14,18,25 appendices approximate array 270:24 39:14 72:20) :17
appendices approximate array 270:24 39:14 72:20) 5:17
	:17
82:14 21:10 arrived 15:13 74:17,24 75	
	20
appendicis approximately arrives 386:11 75:25 93:3,	
302:18 23:8 337:24 article 6:10,21 93:25 94:6	
appendix 81:4 361:9 391:2 7:22 8:15,18,21 129:16,18,2	.0
81:11,12,15,22 402:23 436:25 9:10,13,16 129:22,24	
81:25 82:4,11 approximation 11:17,20 12:6 130:5,10,18)
82:18,18 137:3 388:3 79:21 82:12,23 131:23 165	8
137:6 204:23 april 7:19 10:12 133:7,9 135:13 165:12,21	
301:4,11 303:8 177:24 178:15 147:10 154:4,7 166:4,9,17	
application 179:7 321:17 154:11 155:5 167:2,11,24	
381:15 385:18 apt 244:20 157:14,15 168:12,22	
385:21 387:20 area 21:4 53:7 159:9 190:5 169:6,10,19)
393:8 395:2 78:17 95:2 210:5 216:11 170:13,21	
applications 97:15 99:21,22 216:17 224:10 171:9 172:6	5,9
337:24 385:25 100:2,4 183:18 262:5 263:22 172:13,14,1	9
397:19 185:21 186:5 269:8 277:19 173:3 174:1	8
applied 107:22 212:7 213:3 282:2 287:5 174:23 175	3,5
110:8,22 113:2 218:15,17 297:25 401:14 176:3,20 18	0:3
114:9,22 115:6 222:12 226:14 408:3,16 415:7 180:15 181	•
115:16,19,25 228:7 232:4 425:13,18 181:22 182	4
129:12 203:16 280:4 368:22 426:14 427:12 182:14,20,2	4
203:22 226:14 384:17,19 429:25 430:3 183:4 184:1	7
228:7 398:3 395:10 434:11 434:4 184:25 185	:3
apply 152:20 areas 76:8 article's 433:4 186:4,13,18	•
203:25 399:2 183:14 184:25 articles 23:22 187:14,16,2	1
408:2,5,8 188:24 26:5,8,12 64:24 188:10,21	
appreciate argue 165:20 130:8 142:17 189:12 190	9
193:23 319:18 246:3 234:6 401:2 190:14 191	:4
334:3 399:15 arguing 229:8 asbestiform 337:14,16	
approaches 309:21 363:22 368:14 364:2 365:3	
202:5 368:18 365:19 366	:12

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196870

> Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

[asbestos - associated]

Page 8

367:3,11 368:4 92:4 96:13 111:2,10 aspirin 415:13 369:12,20 113:6 114:11 113:21 133:20 417:2,3 418:18 370:14 384:2 119:23,23 133:23 134:16 419:7,11 386:19 393:13 131:7 134:18 134:22 135:4 420:14,23,25 393:15 396:24 139:15 147:15 135:16,18,24 421:4 422:13 434:12 148:23 150:2 137:22 139:10 asrm 410:8,12 ascend 126:4 151:9 157:6 156:23 161:10 410:14 127:15 434:14 161:5 168:3 164:13 179:5 assess 202:2 ascending 169:21 170:7 183:16,22 assessing 288:7 111:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessing 288:7 asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 321:15,23 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 32:24 342:20 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 32:25 assign 206		- · · •		
370:14 384:2 119:23,23 133:23 134:16 419:7,11 386:19 393:13 131:7 134:18 134:22 135:4 420:14,23,25 393:15 396:24 139:15 147:15 135:16,18,24 421:4 422:13 434:12 148:23 150:2 137:22 139:10 asrm 410:8,12 434:12 161:5 168:3 164:13 179:5 asrem 410:8,12 427:15 434:14 161:5 168:3 164:13 179:5 assessing 288:7 411:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessing 288:7 311:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessing 288:7 407:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:23 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 assigned 205:22 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:1,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 35:23 346:14	367:3,11 368:4	92:4 96:13	111:2,10	aspirin 415:13
386:19 393:13 131:7 134:18 134:22 135:4 420:14,23,25 393:15 396:24 139:15 147:15 135:16,18,24 421:4 422:13 434:12 148:23 150:2 137:22 139:10 asrm 410:8,12 126:4 151:9 157:6 156:23 161:10 410:14 127:15 434:14 161:5 168:3 164:13 179:5 assees 202:2 ascending 169:21 170:7 183:16,22 assessing 288:7 111:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessing 288:7 ascension 203:4,6,20 203:21 213:24 10:10 80:11 107:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 308:7,11,309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22	369:12,20	113:6 114:11	113:21 133:20	417:2,3 418:18
393:15 396:24 139:15 147:15 135:16,18,24 421:4 422:13 434:12 148:23 150:2 137:22 139:10 asrm 410:8,12 126:4 151:9 157:6 156:23 161:10 410:14 127:15 434:14 161:5 168:3 164:13 179:5 assess 202:2 ascending 169:21 170:7 183:16,22 assessing 288:7 111:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessing 288:7 ascension 203:4,6,20 203:21 213:24 10:10 80:11 107:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 317:24 318:19 381:14,20,25 349:19 350:23 associa	370:14 384:2	119:23,23	133:23 134:16	419:7,11
434:12 148:23 150:2 137:22 139:10 asrm 410:8,12 ascend 126:4 151:9 157:6 156:23 161:10 410:14 127:15 434:14 161:5 168:3 164:13 179:5 assess 202:2 ascending 169:21 170:7 183:16,22 assess 202:2 ascension 203:4,6,20 203:21 213:24 10:10 80:11 107:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 232:3 323:35,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 326:21 37:13 40:12 55:18 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 349:19 350:23 40:12 55:18 40:12 55:18 3scoriate	386:19 393:13	131:7 134:18	134:22 135:4	420:14,23,25
ascend 126:4 151:9 157:6 156:23 161:10 410:14 127:15 434:14 161:5 168:3 164:13 179:5 assess 202:2 ascending 169:21 170:7 183:16,22 assessing 288:7 111:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessment 107:22 203:4,6,20 203:21 213:24 10:10 80:11 ascension 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 assign 206:15 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 assign 206:15 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 40:12 55:18 38co's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 <th< td=""><td>393:15 396:24</td><td>139:15 147:15</td><td>135:16,18,24</td><td>421:4 422:13</td></th<>	393:15 396:24	139:15 147:15	135:16,18,24	421:4 422:13
127:15 434:14 161:5 168:3 164:13 179:5 assess 202:2 ascending 169:21 170:7 183:16,22 assessing 288:7 111:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessing 288:7 ascension 203:4,6,20 203:21 213:24 10:10 80:11 107:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 347:8 350:25 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 334:13 335:22 318:24 382:10,25 349:19 350:23 40:12 55:18 3exo's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:	434:12	148:23 150:2	137:22 139:10	asrm 410:8,12
ascending 169:21 170:7 183:16,22 assessing 288:7 111:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessment ascension 203:4,6,20 203:21 213:24 10:10 80:11 107:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 <td>ascend 126:4</td> <td>151:9 157:6</td> <td>156:23 161:10</td> <td>410:14</td>	ascend 126:4	151:9 157:6	156:23 161:10	410:14
111:22 172:8 187:20 185:20 187:22 assessment ascension 203:4,6,20 203:21 213:24 10:10 80:11 107:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:24 318:19 381:14,20,25 349:19 350:23 associated 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11	127:15 434:14	161:5 168:3	164:13 179:5	assess 202:2
ascension 203:4,6,20 203:21 213:24 10:10 80:11 107:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assign 206:15 assign 206:15 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16	ascending	169:21 170:7	183:16,22	assessing 288:7
107:22 214:2 226:3 226:10 228:14 283:8 285:24 asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 asheraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100	111:22	172:8 187:20	185:20 187:22	assessment
asco 9:19 304:3 227:9 268:13 237:13,21 321:15,23 304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 36co's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 36ked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 <td>ascension</td> <td>203:4,6,20</td> <td>203:21 213:24</td> <td>10:10 80:11</td>	ascension	203:4,6,20	203:21 213:24	10:10 80:11
304:14 306:3 270:13 276:11 241:14 243:14 322:3 323:5,17 306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 associated 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 associated 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 associated 38hcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 68:23 86:18 39:12 4 392:24 184:16 186:3 39:124 392:24 184:16 186:3 39:124 392:24 184:16 186:3 38:6,9 40:10 49:6 57:17	107:22	214:2 226:3	226:10 228:14	283:8 285:24
306:10 307:5,8 286:11 289:6 257:2 265:15 323:24 342:20 307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16	asco 9:19 304:3	227:9 268:13	237:13,21	321:15,23
307:13,16 289:19,24 275:5 278:21 347:8 350:25 308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 <td>304:14 306:3</td> <td>270:13 276:11</td> <td>241:14 243:14</td> <td>322:3 323:5,17</td>	304:14 306:3	270:13 276:11	241:14 243:14	322:3 323:5,17
308:7,11 309:3 294:20 306:8 293:24 312:20 assign 206:15 309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 <td>306:10 307:5,8</td> <td>286:11 289:6</td> <td>257:2 265:15</td> <td>323:24 342:20</td>	306:10 307:5,8	286:11 289:6	257:2 265:15	323:24 342:20
309:7,12,13,19 308:6,13 314:2 314:9 322:25 assigned 205:22 310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspirationally	307:13,16	289:19,24	275:5 278:21	347:8 350:25
310:12 311:5,7 320:25 336:3 323:8 327:19 205:23 206:8,9 311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 317:24 318:19 381:14,20,25 349:19 350:23 associated 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	308:7,11 309:3	294:20 306:8	293:24 312:20	assign 206:15
311:24 312:10 337:15 356:5 328:5,25 206:21 315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 317:24 318:19 381:14,20,25 349:19 350:23 associated 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspicationally 331:6 335:20	309:7,12,13,19	308:6,13 314:2	314:9 322:25	assigned 205:22
315:19 316:19 366:4 367:25 334:13 335:22 associate 25:7 317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 317:24 318:19 381:14,20,25 349:19 350:23 associated 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	310:12 311:5,7	320:25 336:3	323:8 327:19	205:23 206:8,9
317:11,14,16 371:3,11,14,17 335:23 346:14 91:10 317:24 318:19 381:14,20,25 349:19 350:23 associated 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	311:24 312:10	337:15 356:5	328:5,25	206:21
317:24 318:19 381:14,20,25 349:19 350:23 associated 318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	315:19 316:19	366:4 367:25	334:13 335:22	associate 25:7
318:24 382:10,25 362:2 371:13 40:12 55:18 asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	317:11,14,16	371:3,11,14,17	335:23 346:14	91:10
asco's 315:11 391:18,22 374:11 378:8 56:21 59:8,10 ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	317:24 318:19	381:14,20,25	349:19 350:23	associated
ashcraft 3:7 405:6 387:2 388:6,10 68:23 86:18 asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	318:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	362:2 371:13	40:12 55:18
asked 10:7 asking 15:2 388:14 391:5 94:18 100:10 15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	asco's 315:11	391:18,22	374:11 378:8	56:21 59:8,10
15:16 16:10,11 19:25 36:12 391:24 392:24 184:16 186:3 19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	ashcraft 3:7	405:6	387:2 388:6,10	68:23 86:18
19:12 33:21 40:8 41:3,6 394:19 398:22 243:3 246:24 34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	asked 10:7			94:18 100:10
34:17 37:10,11 42:20 45:14 399:8 404:15 266:19 267:4 38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	15:16 16:10,11	19:25 36:12	391:24 392:24	184:16 186:3
38:8,9 40:10 49:6 57:17 433:21 287:12,16 41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	19:12 33:21	,		243:3 246:24
41:23 45:9,15 58:18,23 59:13 asks 243:16 305:6 308:18 61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	34:17 37:10,11	42:20 45:14	399:8 404:15	266:19 267:4
61:14 62:23 63:15 67:21,22 290:5 311:9 312:7 65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	· ·			· ·
65:5 68:2 70:16 68:6,15 91:23 aspect 198:15 318:8 327:4,9 84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20	'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
84:22 85:3 108:20 109:5,8 aspirationally 331:6 335:20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	290:5	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
87:16 91:22 110:5,15,18 419:18 336:24 413:25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
	87:16 91:22	110:5,15,18	419:18	336:24 413:25

[associated - aware]

Page 9

417:4,15	62:25 68:23	attorneys 22:13	309:8,10
434:11	97:6 114:16	attributed	316:20,20
association	182:15 189:14	202:12	402:22,23
32:23 55:22	189:16 190:21	attribution	404:7,17,22,24
58:6 77:16 78:9	196:4 199:14	205:4	406:2,18
78:11 83:16,25	203:23 204:10	author 196:20	407:20 409:5
160:3 162:18	204:16 259:8	216:22 278:16	409:14 410:8
218:16 232:4	286:9 290:8	299:4,18	413:17 414:9,9
232:10 233:10	291:13 304:12	300:20,22	414:13 415:22
233:12 236:4	347:16 371:14	407:18 408:9	417:8,12 418:8
239:18,25	377:24 388:17	408:12,15,17	425:2 433:9,13
240:10,19	397:18	420:7 433:22	433:15 434:25
241:19 242:8	assumed 17:8	author's 430:22	435:5,20 436:8
242:16,17	78:14 347:12	authors 49:5	436:12
243:8 248:8	assumes 350:19	189:20 212:2,4	average 390:3
254:20,23	assuming	212:7,24	390:19,24
267:15,18	124:15,17	214:20,22	391:8,13,16,25
269:16,22	147:6 153:9	216:20,21	392:2 398:7
271:17 272:4,9	168:11 196:6	217:13,15	avoiding 212:5
272:18,20	260:7 293:7	225:23 226:19	212:17 213:2
273:23 274:8	309:25 332:21	228:22 229:12	aware 38:10,12
274:17,20	334:6,17	230:4,9 249:13	39:7,8 51:19
275:24 276:5	335:22 364:22	258:18 259:12	59:25 61:19
276:11 285:17	368:14 393:23	260:4 269:14	63:20,23 72:9
287:8 291:22	394:22	270:23,24	72:24 73:21
297:7 299:17	assumption	272:8,14,24	90:3 114:25
300:16 308:22	237:14 398:10	273:2,4 274:12	115:21,24
317:7 318:11	atomic 361:10	274:24 275:3	166:11,25
318:15 329:10	attached 46:2,4	275:19 278:15	167:4,11
402:6 414:5	315:18 437:12	284:10,18,20	189:19 210:18
415:12 419:23	attempt 273:16	285:3,20	210:19,20
415:12 419:23 420:7,24	attempt 273:16 314:20	285:3,20 286:12 287:21	210:19,20 211:4 223:13
	_	,	,
420:7,24	314:20	286:12 287:21	211:4 223:13
420:7,24 424:14 425:11	314:20 attention 69:11	286:12 287:21 289:7 291:4	211:4 223:13 224:13,17,19
420:7,24 424:14 425:11 434:21	314:20 attention 69:11 attorney	286:12 287:21 289:7 291:4 292:10 295:25	211:4 223:13 224:13,17,19 226:7 234:14

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

[aware - believe]

Page 10

-			C
242:23,25	163:6 165:16	109:13 110:21	bates 10:13,16
243:7 246:15	177:12 220:22	114:3 124:18	10:24 11:7
246:25 256:13	234:10 235:22	127:9 129:25	321:17 341:4,8
287:20,25	238:12 247:24	130:14 133:19	353:23 356:15
290:13 292:22	251:15 253:2	133:25 134:2	bathroom 67:6
293:6 323:22	255:12,16	138:11 141:2	beasley 2:5
324:2 327:13	265:6 275:22	141:12 142:16	beasleyallen
327:22 328:7	297:12 301:15	143:18 147:24	2:12,13
336:9 337:16	303:10 306:19	149:2,4,9 153:5	began 53:7
340:5,9 359:11	309:9 317:14	153:6,8 168:8	384:6
359:20 380:17	327:11 328:12	169:4,14	beginning
396:20,24	339:6 345:6,9	213:17 214:15	86:11 165:16
436:15	345:11 346:18	218:10 227:17	345:9 403:18
b	346:24 354:22	237:23 254:11	427:17
b 5:3 6:3 7:3	374:5 386:22	255:10 272:16	begins 196:16
8:3 9:3 10:3	434:3	283:7 285:25	324:12 364:7
11:3 12:3 74:15	background	288:5,25 290:9	403:13
76:6	203:10,13	291:14 292:25	behalf 2:4,16
baby 7:6 95:3	298:25 425:10	298:9 334:18	3:5,15 104:4
97:3,14 164:5,8	backtrack	348:23 367:17	belief 105:13
165:4,8,12	385:14	370:6 371:25	106:16 165:11
166:8,9,15	bacteria 125:13	372:16 376:12	165:15 346:7
167:2,23	125:19 128:13	381:16,19	351:21 407:24
167.2,23	128:16	384:25 397:4,5	beliefs 136:4
182:3,13	bad 136:5	418:12,14	believable
190:11,12	193:21	basic 67:22	104:25 105:5
384:17 386:2	barely 306:5	basically 163:8	believe 16:5
386:12	barnes 10:20	163:10 381:6	19:11 25:13
back 22:8 33:16	353:21 354:6	384:19	26:18 30:4
37:9 38:7 40:20	barriers 118:20	basing 58:16	37:10 71:23
54:18 57:22	398:14	134:7 296:9,11	76:19 77:20
67:7 107:25	based 16:21	296:24	89:3 90:13,13
117:22 125:8	40:25 69:20,23	basis 91:13	105:8 117:25
128:14 142:25	78:24 95:24	141:21 239:8	129:14,17
145:19 147:22	99:9 100:15	426:5,6	131:14 135:21
143.17 141.44	108:8,8,19		136:7,8 137:5
		ahnalagias	•

[believe - break]

Page 11

Г	T	ı	
137:18 165:23	believing	biases 284:22	boat 82:9
169:11 170:15	107:15 230:4	308:21 317:9	bodies 408:21
178:21 179:8	benefit 418:18	bibliographies	body 75:4
179:13,24	418:20	47:11	78:16,18 99:6
189:7 190:18	benign 339:10	big 47:5 266:13	109:11 136:10
198:17 201:2	berchuck 403:3	393:11	169:14 173:5
229:13,16,22	403:4 406:19	bigger 193:20	305:8 384:20
230:15 232:21	406:24 407:19	bindman	407:13 423:5,7
233:6 236:2,4	416:7	210:15 211:2	bold 49:9
239:15 246:6	berge 157:9	biologic 101:12	bottle 40:17,21
254:17 255:20	158:8 159:2	102:6,16	165:18,23
255:25 257:7	beseech 104:5	104:17 414:4	176:4 381:18
259:17,18	best 94:16	biological	bottom 200:4
272:8,18,20,21	104:8,10	100:22	318:3 361:3
272:25 284:4	132:19 316:7	biopsy 357:2,3	434:7
300:11,13,14	388:3 395:5	bit 46:21 63:8	box 2:9 41:9
300:15 301:24	440:15	96:23 111:25	bradford
310:2 312:16	better 219:21	236:5 249:11	104:19 254:7,9
315:16,17	223:16 306:13	427:10	424:19
325:11,20,21	338:16	bjc 11:6 356:14	branch 217:2
326:4 337:8	bias 153:8	bjh 10:25 11:8	brash 349:12
338:8,24 339:3	233:9 234:24	353:24 356:16	brca 331:16
340:11 347:13	235:9 236:12	bladder 241:24	332:24 337:7
347:16 352:5	236:15,23	blame 236:15	345:17
352:13 354:9	237:19 244:7	blind 127:14	brca1 331:10
357:10,22	244:11,15,17	blue 41:9	332:9,13
359:12 363:22	244:19 245:8,9	bmi 403:20	344:25
373:17 374:7,9	245:15 248:20	board 32:21	break 67:2,4
375:6,23 397:5	248:21 249:2	72:12 200:8	136:16 177:3,9
402:23 405:3	272:2 285:23	201:2,7 202:8	279:4,12,13,24
415:18 421:16	287:8,13 288:8	204:7 205:9,21	303:13 316:13
425:24	312:21 313:20	206:7,17,19	319:8,12,21
believed 230:5	314:5,9	207:8 299:19	320:5 321:8
300:8 347:22	biased 177:17	355:5,7 390:6	379:25 380:13
believes 300:11	248:21	boards 200:25	381:5,9 382:2,2
346:16			
	1	1	

[breast - cancer]

Page 12

breast 224:22	С	cancer 9:7,23	173:5,7 174:19
242:9,12,15	c 2:2 438:2	10:6 16:13,16	174:23 175:6
318:8,11	440:2,2	18:21 25:20,23	185:15 189:12
330:10,12	ca 132:22	26:2,5,9 32:16	190:15 211:7
403:24	calculate	32:20,24 35:13	211:21 218:18
breastfed 330:8	393:18	40:13,14,19	219:2 220:3
breath 43:18,21	calculated	48:13 50:14,16	221:23 224:15
66:15	397:24	51:12 53:3,10	224:15,16,22
bring 19:13,15	calculates	53:17,25 54:5	224:22,23
bringing 70:2	386:14	55:13,18,23	225:23,24
89:20	calculation	56:22 57:7 58:6	226:5 227:21
britton 217:18	338:11,15	58:14 59:8,11	232:5,11
402:24	384:25 385:6	61:17,20 62:16	233:11 234:8
broad 172:3	385:10,16,22	62:19 64:6,12	234:19 235:7
274:25 275:9	386:5 394:25	64:14 68:8,10	238:4,4 239:12
brought 87:10	398:6,11	68:12,13,23	239:19 240:2,6
320:4 366:3	calculations	69:2,8 71:4	240:11,14,22
browning	387:15 418:20	72:22 74:19	241:24 242:4,9
429:16,17	call 156:2	75:6 76:5 77:13	242:15,18,22
built 398:15	223:15 277:4	78:5,22 79:2,13	243:2,11,11,12
burke 6:10	288:7 312:21	81:2 83:5,16	245:14,17,20
54:16 60:25	404:11	84:2 85:15,20	247:4 249:7
61:6,10 76:22	called 27:10	85:24 87:2 88:3	254:25 255:11
76:25 77:10,15	159:2,3	89:22 90:20	266:19 267:4
77:25 78:6	calling 349:11	94:20 95:5,6	269:17 272:6
79:18,19,20,24	404:13 405:11	97:16 98:10	272:10 274:9
80:6,13,14 81:7	calls 51:22	100:13 103:15	274:21 284:16
82:23 137:2	343:2	105:25 106:23	284:23 285:15
204:21 299:10	campus 3:19	107:9 122:25	285:19 287:12
300:8,11,13,18	campus 3.19 canada 10:9,12	123:9 129:7	287:18,23
300:24 301:2	181:20 321:15	131:2 132:23	290:20 291:3,6
burke's 54:12	321:16,24	137:10 163:12	291:23 292:17
300:10 302:9	322:7 323:24	165:24 168:7	293:14 294:7
business 310:8	324:4	168:23 169:2,7	294:17 295:13
buy 163:21	J24.4	169:13,20	297:9,19
-		172:7,9,20,23	300:17 302:6

[cancer - causation]

Page 13

302:12 305:10	369:13,20	carcinogen	137:14,15,17
305:21,24,25	370:2,5,15	364:20 368:5	149:21 157:16
308:19 311:9	372:23 374:9	carcinogenesis	193:8,10
312:8 313:3	389:8,13	74:6,11 85:15	211:17 213:15
317:8 318:8,17	393:12,14,16	102:24 132:11	233:13,20,21
320:16,18,23	397:12 400:5	226:17 228:9	235:18 236:16
320:25 322:9	400:13 401:18	417:14 418:10	236:25 238:15
324:17,21	402:5 403:8,20	421:18 427:25	238:19,22
325:19,22	403:22 404:9	429:8,15 434:9	243:17 245:11
326:2 327:10	407:16 408:11	434:19	245:23 246:22
327:16,25	408:19 409:4	carcinogenicity	264:15 279:11
328:18,23	411:3 412:20	365:19	291:20 296:17
329:11,12,17	414:5,25	carcinogens	315:7 324:16
329:21,24	415:14,16	434:13	327:20 339:5
330:11,15,21	417:2,5,6	carcinoma	353:18 372:2
330:22 331:3	418:17 419:4,8	340:7 354:12	372:16 375:2
331:11,19	420:23 421:2	care 3:15 50:15	376:17 379:14
332:10,16,23	421:18 422:3,8	53:9 70:3 83:21	380:7 390:14
332:24 334:8	422:15,23	85:22 87:2	398:19 426:10
334:23 335:5,9	423:3,5,10,11	91:13 272:5,10	cases 23:3
335:15,17,21	423:17,23	287:9 305:6	86:18 87:19
336:2,12 337:2	425:8 426:18	310:25 317:8	257:23 285:14
337:4 338:21	427:13 428:2,8	368:23 424:18	325:8,10 355:4
338:23 339:2	429:19,23	careful 34:2	358:10
340:5 342:10	430:10 431:7	87:14	catch 428:13
342:15,16,18	434:9,23	carefully	category 75:16
342:24 343:4,5	cancerogenic	112:12 437:5	132:2
343:8,9,15,21	364:16	carolina 217:4	causal 292:15
344:8,21 346:7	cancers 74:25	carried 148:2	293:4,13,17
346:16 347:3	89:25 225:8	case 8:12 15:18	297:18 298:8
347:22 349:3	235:3 243:10	17:19 18:8	300:16 372:21
351:21,24	287:10 288:18	20:22 48:14,15	373:5
354:25 355:25	313:17 344:16	48:18 84:10	causality 55:19
365:5,7,14,16	411:3 412:19	95:2 102:9	335:22
367:12,20	413:12	105:9 110:25	causation
368:6,24		124:19 137:13	324:20 373:18
	~ —		

[causative - changed]

Page 14

causative 16:14	399:25 400:4	cavity 108:24	certified 1:20
16:22 174:19	407:21 422:2,7	114:24 115:21	440:4,23
332:22 334:23	422:22,24	116:3 118:19	certify 438:9
cause 32:16	423:2,5,8,9,11	124:24 129:13	440:6,11,16
35:13 51:11,12	423:23 425:3,7	133:14 392:9	cervical 224:16
58:21 64:14	425:8 429:13	393:25 398:17	225:24 240:11
68:10,11 94:19	432:2 436:17	cdc 301:24	240:22
103:10 105:24	caused 52:5	cebma 149:2	cervix 241:6,18
106:18,23	53:12 54:5 57:8	cell 234:4 340:7	cetera 428:5
122:25 129:6	295:13 330:22	413:15 428:6	chair 25:5
132:9,10 154:8	349:2 350:19	430:9	205:15
163:11 172:6,9	365:16 374:7	cells 422:23	chairman
172:23 173:4	393:12 397:10	436:18	155:19,21,24
181:12 185:15	causes 40:13	cement 172:15	chance 36:15
238:3 239:10	64:11 68:8,13	center 10:22	40:2 77:5
255:11 258:16	75:21 77:12	25:5 138:10	185:23 226:24
290:23 294:17	78:4,21 100:11	140:25 141:11	247:15,18,19
302:22 324:21	103:14,15	143:17 147:23	258:24 293:16
325:17,19	123:9 131:20	148:25 149:3,9	294:3,15 295:9
331:8 332:24	131:20 132:23	153:5 284:16	306:14 407:22
334:7 335:25	165:24 173:7	290:20 291:3,6	change 10:12
336:16,18,19	294:7 311:8	353:22 354:7	118:8 136:3,4,6
337:4,9 339:8	331:2,18 335:4	centers 353:6	136:9 137:18
343:14,21	336:22,25	certain 19:14	137:20 139:23
344:2,8 345:7,8	337:16 347:15	112:18 113:11	168:13 251:15
346:8,17 347:4	365:7 388:24	135:6 229:16	253:22 254:3
347:10,12,13	390:16 397:6	certainly 67:14	265:6 288:23
347:17,23	400:13,16	198:8 224:7	291:10 292:24
349:4 350:20	423:7	certainty 114:2	315:22 321:16
351:22,25	causing 97:15	114:8,14,17	374:21 439:3
365:5 367:12	98:10 107:8	129:11 131:3	changed 23:6
368:6 369:12	169:13,20	132:5 295:12	24:21 28:2 30:9
369:20 370:5	172:19 227:20	343:25 344:7	34:7,16 47:16
379:25 389:8	227:21 292:17	372:4,18	139:4,9,15,21
389:21 395:11	431:24	certificate 4:13	140:17,18,21
395:19,25			140:22,23
	Gollrow To		

[changed - cohort]

Page 15

147:4 251:17	choosing	cites 207:9	228:2,3 230:14
290:9	309:24	citing 124:11	235:19,23
changes 18:9	chose 204:9	178:13 418:6	236:18 237:15
24:15 30:17	310:2,3 427:19	434:23	237:17,24
34:4,10 288:19	christmas 17:6	claim 35:12	398:10
308:25 422:25	chronic 130:24	322:24	clearly 51:13
characterizati	131:15,18	claiming	52:7 54:2 143:6
349:13	132:2,9,10	190:12	153:4 244:12
charging 21:21	133:14 417:12	clarification	251:18 268:22
chart 11:6	418:9 421:17	164:15	289:14 292:14
154:14 155:12	422:7,17,21,24	clarified 139:17	298:23,24
159:7,15,18	423:2,11,16,22	clarify 38:2,8	310:5 405:8
356:15	436:16	39:25 105:21	406:21
check 19:17	chrysotile	106:11,25	climate 10:11
137:3,5 142:21	386:19	112:8 128:9	321:16
144:15,19,21	chunk 47:5	131:6 139:21	clinical 25:2,16
145:3,12	cigarette 89:21	158:22 226:24	32:6 51:2,3
274:13 284:12	circles 44:23	269:19 339:6	153:20 154:15
327:11 364:18	63:8 113:10	375:21 393:22	271:5,8,17,20
checked 145:11	citation 123:10	410:11 424:12	303:19 304:15
checking	123:11 124:20	clarifying 79:9	306:23 312:5
141:14,17	142:19,21	clarke 142:8	415:20
chemical	418:12 429:13	209:8	clinically
323:18	429:20	classification	355:12
cherry 3:21	citations	308:22	close 256:23
133:21	201:18 202:13	clean 47:22	316:10
child 330:7	430:12,15	clear 19:20	closed 249:16
children 122:8	cite 153:24	31:8 46:22	closer 266:10
chino 304:25	157:9,10 158:2	91:11 101:23	coach 36:16,20
305:4,13,14,16	158:3 389:5	105:19,20	411:21
305:22 306:8,9	cited 60:6	107:3,5,20	cocktail 17:10
306:11	146:20 192:20	109:6 112:15	coding 205:15
chino's 309:19	197:24 207:13	112:22 115:14	cogent 411:20
choose 149:8	234:22 237:23	135:10 136:23	cohort 8:13
204:12 342:16	346:20	143:22 145:5	149:21 193:10
434:25		154:25 225:21	211:16 213:16

[cohort - conclusion]

Page 16

218:20,24	158:25 227:13	compare	198:6,7,9,18,19
219:7,10,15	285:5 299:13	296:17 394:19	322:7,12
225:9,25	369:19 385:20	394:21 398:22	computer
238:14 247:7	comfortable	compared	401:22
264:17 265:5	114:5 247:16	393:21 395:2,3	concept 131:17
266:15 296:18	coming 156:15	406:4	160:23,25
317:6	261:8,9 428:18	comparing	161:3 291:9
cohorts 218:10	commencem	258:14 259:5	436:2
255:22 276:3	440:7	compelled	concern 219:7
colleagues	commencing	312:15 315:20	219:10,14
225:7 250:13	1:18	315:21,24	292:10
304:19	comment 9:6	compelling	concerned
collectively	221:22 222:5	312:6,12,15	51:10 52:17,18
206:18	243:15 261:11	314:8,16 315:4	conclude 57:6
college 25:8	commerce 2:8	315:8 317:3	77:12 191:3,8
47:18 52:2	commercial	363:25	214:21,22
colorectal	84:2	complete 117:2	285:4,21
242:4	commit 350:12	343:18 438:12	287:22 295:11
column 403:12	350:15	completely	297:7,10
combined	committee 3:5	39:10 82:25	300:12 367:5,8
327:8,12,14,20	committees	98:22 226:22	367:10,25
327:23 403:9	51:2	229:21 236:22	368:4 418:8
come 67:6	common 56:3	250:12 252:17	431:13
113:18 116:5	108:17 201:8	254:4 289:2	concluded
137:8 168:4	246:24	complex 135:25	32:21 163:3
194:3 205:2	community	136:2	179:13 210:21
233:22 295:16	118:12 123:6	complicated	211:19 212:25
295:17,19	123:15 172:18	96:24	267:11 272:21
296:16,19,20	436:2	components	286:12 289:7
301:15 303:10	companion	434:12	367:11 369:9
306:19 338:8	426:8	composite	concludes 81:7
353:6 411:24	company 24:3	418:19	317:11 367:9
comers 390:18	24:6 28:13,14	compounds	concluding
390:21	341:16,17	428:4,6 430:8	286:14 297:11
comes 50:22	343:10	comprehensive	conclusion 57:6
77:25 157:7,8		197:7,10,12,14	78:2 116:6,7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

[conclusion - contamination]

Page 17

137:9 204:14	confidence	160:20 163:11	consistently
212:2,3 213:17	162:20 247:13	163:15 168:21	254:8
214:24 229:14	248:9,13 250:2	172:3 231:14	consortia
238:20 259:21	250:3,4 254:15	247:14,20	415:16
259:23 260:4	256:11,15	323:25 326:14	consortium
261:18,20	257:10,24	405:12,13,15	402:6
262:4,6,11,13	258:9,12 288:4	409:6 429:14	constituents
262:17 266:20	289:9,12	consideration	122:21
266:22 267:3	confident 52:15	323:18	consultants
269:24 271:23	76:11 248:6	considered	189:21
275:19 286:6	confine 143:10	5:19 23:12,14	consumer 7:16
294:6 295:17	confirm 35:16	55:16 63:13	35:17 170:22
295:19 299:14	35:23	71:18 72:20	180:16 187:24
367:17 369:19	confirmation	112:12 162:22	contacted
416:24 417:7,9	339:24 367:2	197:18,20	15:24 16:18,24
conclusions	confirmed	204:10 275:17	23:8
177:19 212:7	339:20 360:21	321:12 406:25	contain 35:17
212:21,23	confounders	427:24 429:7	35:24 38:18
214:15,17	293:15	considering	168:12 182:14
233:22 259:15	confused 75:13	152:6 369:12	182:20
260:3,21	96:23 111:9	considers	contained
261:14,25	164:17 257:12	163:17 403:19	200:20
262:20 265:2	336:7 366:24	consisted 382:2	containing 7:16
269:13,14,21	394:12	384:8	170:22 181:7
324:4 372:25	confusing	consistency	182:23 191:3
416:23 418:16	131:8 206:5	261:5 264:5,24	245:2
435:21	387:4	424:15 425:10	contains 18:19
conclusive 64:9	connected	consistency's	94:5
78:4,7,21	182:18	264:12	contaminants
condition 220:2	connection	consistent	72:21 74:16
conditions	57:19 58:13	102:7 234:4,5	contaminate
369:21	conservative	236:7 254:22	434:14
condoms 99:25	139:14	274:10,22	contaminated
conduct 151:16	consider 62:18	275:2,9,16	190:13
conducting	63:2 64:10	351:8,17 352:8	contamination
148:7	75:16 151:2	352:8 353:11	363:6

[contend - correct]

Page 18

contend 247:6	332:15	374:5	211:14,17,18
context 249:11	contributing	cornell 25:4	211:22 212:8
continue 32:9	325:18 331:18	cornstarch	214:24 215:7,8
33:13 34:24	335:25 336:11	233:12 244:25	215:10,12,13
35:4 71:24	336:16	245:12,18	215:15,16
161:22 165:25	contributions	correct 15:5,6,9	217:25 218:4
204:4 216:5	53:11	17:19,20,24	220:19 221:9
310:16 347:5	contributory	18:21,22,25	221:10,12
350:16	372:20 373:5	19:2 21:13,16	222:22 224:16
continues 429:9	control 8:12	21:19 24:16,17	226:21 228:11
contraceptive	43:14 157:16	24:21,22 25:11	232:5,11,19,22
403:25	193:8,10	25:12,17,18	233:4 242:18
contraceptives	211:17 213:15	42:6 45:6 51:4	242:19 250:8
327:18	233:13,20,21	63:22 71:19	250:20 262:24
contradictions	235:18 236:16	72:4,5 74:7,13	269:18 270:4
288:11 314:11	238:19,22	76:24 77:17	271:9,21
contradictory	243:17 245:11	87:7 91:7,16	272:14,19
100:23 101:24	246:22 264:15	96:20 99:21	276:21 278:7
102:10 256:8	291:20 296:17	103:22 114:4	282:16 283:15
256:12 273:18	363:5	117:4 124:4	284:11 285:21
contrary	controls 238:15	129:2,15 138:7	288:2 289:16
160:11	convene 201:7	138:8,11 141:3	289:17 290:11
contribute	convened 201:3	143:23 150:11	290:15 296:7
16:15 52:20	201:4 204:7	155:23 156:10	297:9 301:18
64:14 295:21	206:17 301:23	156:15 159:18	302:13 304:20
305:9 310:23	conversations	162:15,16	304:23 305:13
324:17 326:2	167:17	163:19 166:23	305:15 306:23
335:8,11,12,16	convinced	179:14 180:4	309:4,20,22
336:21 428:6	108:18,21	180:12 181:24	316:11 317:12
430:9	131:17 258:21	181:25 182:5	318:4,9,12,25
contributed	393:3	192:3,6 194:12	319:2 321:6,8,9
374:8	convincing	195:11,12	321:13 322:4
contributes	110:2	197:25 198:25	322:14,17
40:14 64:11	copy 48:3 81:20	199:6 201:15	324:5,12 326:2
77:13 78:5	81:21 269:6	209:21 210:10	326:3 330:16
294:7 325:21	277:17 373:20	210:11,13	332:10 337:21

[correct - data] Page 19

339:15,16	correctly 156:7	cp 187:7	d
340:20,23	181:10 336:17	cpsc 181:3	d 438:2
341:11,21	404:5 413:5,6	cramer 236:2	daily 91:13
342:4,8,9	414:14 435:4,7	243:16,22	220:17,21
343:22 353:18	correlation	244:2 251:13	221:5 243:18
360:15 361:14	355:18 363:4	403:2	243:24 244:22
369:15 370:9	cosmetic 78:13	cramer's	244:23,25
373:12,15,16	88:6 89:11 90:9	243:15 244:13	337:20 392:16
373:19 382:3	92:20 93:25	creating 102:22	393:20 395:9
384:3,4,12	94:4 95:9,12,14	criteria 157:16	395:17
385:22 386:21	175:24 181:7	360:23 361:7	dangerous
387:23 389:22	181:19 364:23	424:20	55:15
389:25 392:10	cosmetics	criticism 116:9	data 32:21 69:5
397:16,17,21	164:24	criticized 37:16	105:11 213:15
398:2 402:20	council 3:16	curiosity	227:18 233:3
404:10 405:5	counsel 2:6	362:18	237:17,23
406:13 410:10	20:12 48:5	curious 371:19	240:17,18,20
413:20 414:12	66:11 184:7,12	381:16,22	241:4,9,18
414:14,18	215:19 216:3,3	current 18:2	242:23 249:3
415:20,24,25	216:6 440:18	24:23 47:19	257:20 264:23
416:21 417:8	440:20	74:10 154:20	270:5,22
418:21 419:4	counts 185:10	currently 21:21	272:15 273:8
419:24 420:12	county 438:6	146:12	273:17 287:17
420:20 426:21	couple 314:6	curves 398:25	288:6,21
431:17,21	329:19	cut 202:21,24	291:15,16
434:4 438:15	course 222:20	235:19,23	292:2,2 294:9
corrected	228:16	237:15,17,24	295:18 297:2
269:21,25	court 1:2 44:12	cv 1:12 5:21	299:15 300:12
272:3,19 273:8	104:3 265:10	24:9,11,16,18	300:15 302:23
273:20	437:20 440:22	205:17 352:20	313:16,25
correction	courtesy	352:23	314:12 365:6
339:12	428:22	cytokines 413:9	366:11,18,25
corrections	covariants	413:11 428:5	367:16 385:17
270:6 272:17	235:9	429:19	393:15,19
273:5 286:6	covered 111:8		415:15 419:6
312:25 437:6,8	302:16 345:16		12.12 117.0

[data - davidson]

Page 20

<u>,</u>			8
421:6,7,11	36:2,5,9,18,20	140:4,8,11,16	193:13,18
424:13,25	36:23 37:8,15	141:4,13,20,24	194:7,15,20,25
434:20	37:19 39:3,9,22	142:20 143:7	195:5,16,19
date 1:19 15:23	40:6 41:15,19	143:15,20	196:11 197:8
18:6,16 19:6	42:5,10,15 43:5	144:3,10	202:15,19,24
23:15 24:13	43:10,17,22	145:16 146:9	203:12,18
27:8 73:7 79:22	44:2 45:8,12	146:13,21	206:3,12
116:19 140:23	47:21 48:2,6	147:8,14	207:10,15,22
145:19 153:17	49:17 51:22	148:15 149:12	208:9,15,19,24
155:6 166:19	52:21 54:23	149:22 150:8	209:4,14 211:8
170:24 178:2	55:5 56:14	150:16 151:6	212:9 213:7
190:6 192:17	59:18,25 60:5	152:2,13,22	214:3 216:2
193:6,12 210:6	61:21 62:2 63:3	153:22 154:2,8	219:5,16
216:12,18	65:5,14,18,23	154:17,24	222:20 223:3
221:24 224:11	66:2,6,23 67:3	155:7 157:5,25	223:10,16,23
269:9 277:20	67:8 68:2 69:15	158:7,12,19,23	225:10 231:12
304:5 320:20	70:15 71:12,20	159:19 160:13	232:14 233:16
321:3,19 341:7	72:23 73:11,14	161:7,19,23	233:18 235:4
354:2 356:18	75:10 76:2 77:2	163:5 164:10	235:21 237:2
359:9 377:14	77:7,18 80:2	165:5,9,14	250:21 253:4
401:15 415:8	82:7 86:4,13	166:10 167:5	256:18 262:2
417:2 425:20	87:8,12 88:7,19	167:16 168:2	265:10,15
437:11 440:14	88:24 90:16	168:19 169:21	267:25 268:5,8
dated 17:22	91:8,17,19,22	170:2,6 171:6	272:11 278:5,8
187:25 192:13	92:9 93:14 95:8	171:17,19	278:17,23
440:24	95:12 96:22	173:16,22	279:3 280:7,11
david 341:22	98:12,16,21	174:4,8,13,25	280:15 281:4,7
davidson 2:18	102:25 104:2,9	176:12,16,21	281:12,21
4:9 19:17 20:4	104:16 113:6	177:2 178:11	283:16 293:20
27:22 28:6,9,24	114:11 117:11	178:16 179:15	293:22 294:19
29:5,10,16,19	117:16 119:22	183:9 184:6,10	295:2 299:6,9
29:25 30:11,14	120:7,14 122:3	184:19,22	299:23 301:20
30:23 31:5,22	123:22 131:5,7	185:5,8 186:17	302:25 303:12
31:25 32:13,17	133:18 138:13	186:20,24	306:15 307:24
33:2,7,11,15	138:16,22	187:4,11,14	308:9 311:25
34:6,21 35:19	139:4,8,12	191:5,12,15	316:11 319:4,7

[davidson - deposed]

Page 21

	~ .		\mathcal{L}
319:13,24	418:4 420:2,12	decided 204:17	211:15,23
320:6,11	420:16 421:19	286:8 310:5	344:18 408:20
321:11 322:10	423:25 426:22	388:7	definitions
326:15,24	428:15,20	decision 291:12	188:21
328:2 330:17	430:5 432:7,22	decisions	definitive 248:4
330:24 331:21	433:2 435:19	201:14	311:15 421:12
332:2 333:8,10	436:20	decrease 90:2	421:16
333:17,24	day 281:13,17	250:3 325:6	definitively
334:4,10,16	303:20 338:3,5	330:11	134:10
335:10 336:3	338:7 384:9	decreased	degree 114:2,7
337:25 340:11	438:21	248:18 327:25	114:13,17
342:25 348:4	days 209:11	decreases 250:4	129:10 131:3
348:10,17	385:7 437:16	288:4	131:15 132:4
349:18,25	dc 3:10	dedicated 88:14	248:23 285:22
350:11 356:19	dead 126:19,20	89:7 90:4	295:12 343:25
357:13 358:22	127:9,13,25	deduce 349:10	344:7 372:3,17
360:16,25	deadliest 52:4	deduced 350:18	374:9
361:21,24	deal 147:25	deduction	deleterious
362:4,9 364:21	268:3	347:19 348:11	335:3 344:13
365:22 366:5	dealing 122:7	348:15,23	demonstrated
367:6 368:7,9	273:25 276:3	350:21	130:9 263:25
372:12 373:2	dean 25:7 91:5	deemed 164:22	denote 12:10
373:25 375:10	91:10	437:19	department
375:13,18,23	debate 85:13,17	deep 43:18,21	25:3,6 156:15
378:8,11,15,20	310:24	66:14	406:13
379:3,8,18,24	decades 35:6	deeper 301:12	depending
380:10 382:4,6	40:18 57:18	defendant 2:16	245:4
382:12,20	60:4 62:14	defendants	depends 312:11
383:2,6,9	64:24 147:25	193:17 194:14	344:18
391:18,22	165:20 169:16	define 239:5	deposed 27:25
392:17 397:2	243:18,24	definitely	28:5 30:10,17
405:6 406:6	389:25 392:15	168:23 249:21	31:11,12 33:3
407:3,6 409:8	395:9,17	251:24	208:22,23
409:17 411:13	decide 47:2	definition	209:6,12,17,21
411:23 412:6	286:4 288:22	104:13 110:6	215:12 322:2
417:17,20,23		197:9,11	

[deposing - disagree]

Page 22

deposing	305:16	336:2 372:23	236:20,21
151:11 207:18	describing	411:2 412:19	239:5,10 261:6
361:25 437:15	211:10 360:17	428:8 430:11	264:9 382:16
deposition 1:16	description 5:5	devoted 280:25	401:23 420:19
5:14 13:2 15:4	6:5 7:5 8:5 9:5	diagnosed	424:8
19:4,10,12	10:5 11:5 12:5	325:2	differential
20:17 21:25	117:10 388:4	diagnosis	272:2
22:4,5,5,14	descriptions	324:18 339:20	differentiation
36:25 42:7 55:6	330:20	354:5	413:15
97:5 101:6,7	design 157:22	diagram	differently
173:13 175:23	designated	431:12	240:16 314:7
176:6 184:5	187:16	diapering 99:19	difficult 151:16
187:2,5 207:25	designation	diaphragms	183:6
207:25 303:2	201:20,21	99:24	direct 379:13
333:12 337:20	202:12	die 127:5	392:7
350:13 373:14	designations	difference	direction 13:4
373:24 374:4	201:25 202:10	110:14 146:22	directions
384:11,15	despite 395:15	208:20 227:3	118:14
388:5 401:3	424:25	230:12 235:19	directly 158:6
436:24 437:4	detail 159:11	235:23 237:15	179:19 392:9
437:12,16,18	detected 342:7	248:22 249:17	394:16
438:11	detecting 428:2	249:19 251:21	director 156:8
depositions	detection 50:13	251:24 252:15	156:11
30:3,7 33:20	determine	252:18 256:4	disagree 103:16
151:16 162:3	227:22 325:17	258:11 264:10	107:19 119:18
162:10 359:23	determined	266:5 343:8	121:5,23 123:4
401:9	64:25 65:2	differences	124:13 128:5,6
derelict 20:5,6	304:14 388:2	236:11 403:13	213:20 214:16
20:13	developed	different 95:15	214:23 247:10
describe 218:7	186:11 188:7	109:9,16 110:4	249:5 274:11
218:8 220:4	developing	125:15 126:13	274:23 275:7
277:11 284:18	16:15 202:9	134:24 138:22	275:18 289:2
324:14 413:17	403:8	152:24 178:9	289:10 292:6
described 342:3	development	191:13 193:24	317:19 324:3
describes	131:2 190:15	209:5 210:23	354:13,19
304:18 305:14	332:15 335:8	233:22 235:3,6	372:24 373:6
	1	1	

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196886

[disagree - dr] Page 23

380:18 404:19	discusses 94:13	250:9	320:5 321:7
404:22 414:17	126:19	doctor 69:21	dogged 142:2
431:8 435:13	discussing	90:18 136:6	142:12
disagreed 124:6	382:3,6,8	139:7 161:18	doing 22:2
160:25 289:15	discussion	290:14 302:25	37:12,16,17
300:23	86:22 116:10	304:23 352:17	69:23 101:19
disagreeing	117:9,15,18	doctors 69:12	288:9 294:23
105:14 106:18	157:15 282:25	69:17 83:15	302:15 394:25
250:18,20	298:25 300:3	311:19 406:3	406:6 423:19
354:18	324:11 383:3	406:10,12	437:10
disagrees	406:17 410:7	408:23	dosage 385:17
300:14 406:15	410:23 411:7	document 1:10	389:7 392:13
disclosed 23:2	411:12 412:18	7:19 12:11 19:8	dose 388:24
379:11	414:23	20:9 31:19 39:5	389:21 397:15
discount	disease 63:14	73:16 101:21	398:8,25 399:7
244:15 247:21	218:25 220:5	118:7 119:4	400:3
251:11 254:11	244:17 287:17	120:19 121:20	doses 388:22
263:8	306:4 314:3	122:18 128:22	doubt 113:13
discounted	329:17,18	177:24 178:4	385:9 388:20
250:16,23	diseases 355:18	178:13 179:5	doubts 239:8
251:3,5 252:21	disguise 218:8	179:10,12	douching
252:25 253:9	disrespectful	180:14 181:14	287:11,15
253:18 263:11	44:10 67:15	181:23 185:22	318:7
263:16 266:9	122:10 207:5	222:18 275:21	downsizing
discourse	disruption	279:9,16	148:4
190:23	417:15	280:19 316:15	dozens 63:20
discuss 17:10	disservice	320:15 321:22	63:23 297:5
76:6,13 116:11	302:15	323:9 386:25	dr 14:23 20:4
116:21 282:22	distinct 239:4	427:3 430:19	22:5 31:11 35:2
314:19 381:4	distinguish	documented	37:21 38:6 44:8
381:12	168:25 235:2	184:17 186:4	57:9 60:10
discussed 85:19	396:15	documents	61:25 66:16
267:7 280:22	district 1:2,3	13:9 19:13,19	67:19 83:22
282:14 354:10	divide 386:10	23:22 24:3,3,6	98:19 106:10
365:17 402:25	division 1:23	28:12 39:2 43:3	110:2 116:10
408:4 412:2	48:17 156:8,10	45:4 159:6	116:12,21,25

[dr - editorially]

Page 24

117:15 123:17	296:2,2 297:24	386:13,20	232:17 377:12
124:3,15,17	300:7,10,11,13	387:2 388:2,7,8	438:2,2 439:2
125:17,23	300:18,24	397:19 399:6	440:2,2
127:22 128:6,8	305:13,14,16	402:25 403:2,2	earlier 22:17
128:12 142:8	305:22 306:6,7	409:22 411:14	61:2 130:16
143:8 144:14	306:8,11	412:9 416:2,5,7	227:6,9 230:14
159:23 160:7	307:23 309:19	416:9,11,13,15	244:9 247:25
161:7 162:13	315:25 319:19	416:17 425:22	253:25 254:2
166:21 167:17	321:5,21	432:9,15 433:8	317:10 337:15
171:8 175:20	334:20 341:19	435:20	337:19 365:17
177:9 185:17	341:24 342:13	draft 206:21	370:7 375:24
186:2 188:3	342:21 343:3	322:3	420:11
189:5 191:19	346:5,15 347:2	drmutch 10:17	early 50:13
194:6 195:7,10	347:21 350:8	341:5	336:23 398:13
195:11 203:9	350:17 351:23	dropout 273:15	easier 97:20
204:4 208:21	352:13,14,16	drs 250:19	114:6
209:20 210:15	352:20,21	drug 403:23	easily 52:6
210:25 214:10	353:9 355:14	duces 5:16 19:5	245:3
221:11 224:13	355:23 356:6	due 181:21	easy 134:8
225:22 230:21	356:22 357:9	190:11 247:19	192:23
243:15,15,16	357:16 358:6	281:13,16	editor 246:2
243:21 244:2	358:11 360:14	344:16	editorial 32:21
244:13 245:25	360:20 361:25	duke 403:3	200:8,25 201:2
245:25 246:3	362:2 364:5	duly 14:17	202:8 204:7
246:11,17,25	365:11 367:9	440:8	205:21 206:7
249:6,20 250:7	367:23 368:14	dusted 394:20	206:17,19
250:7,7,9,11,12	371:24 372:24	dusting 99:25	207:8 277:13
250:20 251:12	373:14,17	100:4 108:16	278:6,9 281:25
251:13,13,14	374:13,16,25	duties 25:11	283:2 285:4
252:16,17	375:24 376:10	dye 68:11	287:2,6,21
263:5 265:22	376:14,20,21	e	290:10 299:19
273:3 277:15	377:17 380:6,8	e 2:2,2 5:3 6:3	315:16
277:16 279:8	380:16 381:3	7:3 8:3 9:3 10:3	editorializing
280:22 282:6	383:15,19,21	11:3,14 12:3	312:2
283:2,24 287:3	384:5,15,25	74:15 232:17	editorially
289:13 295:25	385:21 386:9	77.13 232.17	200:9
	Collrow To		

[editorials - established]

Page 25

editorials 283:4	emergency	energy 119:16	292:9 434:20
edt 1:18 436:25	300:2	enhances	epidemiologist
educate 408:22	employee	413:16	160:7 290:19
educating	440:17,19	enrollment	291:2 373:11
91:14	employees	218:13	epidemiologi
effect 51:7 65:4	148:5,10	ensure 88:6	314:18
247:9,14,17,20	encourage 76:8	ensuring 89:10	epidemiology
248:7 249:9	encouraging	92:19	99:10 160:12
284:24 332:19	148:5	entire 21:6	177:18 198:13
333:4 414:24	endometrial	84:12 226:13	216:25 246:18
effects 74:12	338:25 339:7	252:10 286:17	329:12
185:3 401:18	339:10 342:10	286:19,22,23	epigenetic
efficient 206:23	343:4,8	289:4 379:21	422:25 423:8
effort 273:11	endometrioid	entitled 31:13	epithelial 95:5
399:10	234:3 236:3	143:4	97:16 100:13
efforts 89:23	238:23 246:23	entity 427:14	239:12 242:22
90:2	354:12	entrepreneurs	243:10 329:17
effusion 396:23	endometriosis	148:6	329:21 344:21
effusions	339:14,18,19	environment	417:15 434:16
390:15	339:24 354:23	10:11 70:7	equal 381:19
eight 180:17,21	354:24 355:3,8	74:12 321:16	equate 399:5
201:5	355:10,11,15	environmental	eradication
either 58:7	355:17,22	72:20 74:15	88:15 89:8 90:5
159:15 191:24	356:2,7 401:19	169:5 171:16	errata 4:12
231:13 243:17	403:7 411:5	172:18 217:3	437:8,11,14
244:18 261:22	412:22 413:2	317:25 323:19	especially
261:25 325:4	413:18 414:2	337:9,12	305:11 308:24
356:24 373:12	endometriotic	366:11,19	esquire 2:6,7
374:18	413:8	431:5	2:18 3:8,18
electron 358:16	endosalpingi	envision 325:16	essence 204:25
element 122:23	328:15,22	epa 181:3	establish
eleven 341:13	329:12 354:11	epidemiologic	159:13
email 2:12,22	356:23,25	168:9 169:15	established
3:23	357:4	424:13,25	54:9 79:12
emailed 298:14	ends 170:4	epidemiologi	211:5 244:12
298:17	364:8	102:15,20	258:17 325:3
	1	1	1

[established - exhibit]

Page 26

373:9,10 392:6 265:12 169:3 172:10 435:18 440:7 403:19 404:9 everybody's 172:17 201:20 examine 279:15 404:12,14,19 77:8 202:3,9,10,11 examined 14:19 405:4,11,13,14 evidence 6:17 203:15,19,23 29:18,21 78:18 405:15,20,21 29:13 32:7,22 203:25 204:11 83:15 406:23 407:15 54:3,7,10 55:19 204:12,13 examining 75:5 407:24 408:13 55:22 56:21,24 205:5 239:17 example 84:24 408:18,25,25 57:2,5,7 58:12 239:24,25 119:17 125:24 409:3,7 425:4 61:4 62:15 64:9 240:5,9 247:8 337:6 434:8 establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
404:12,14,19 77:8 202:3,9,10,11 examined 14:19 405:4,11,13,14 evidence 6:17 203:15,19,23 29:18,21 78:18 405:15,20,21 29:13 32:7,22 203:25 204:11 83:15 406:23 407:15 54:3,7,10 55:19 204:12,13 examining 75:5 407:24 408:13 55:22 56:21,24 205:5 239:17 example 84:24 408:18,25,25 57:2,5,7 58:12 239:24,25 119:17 125:24 409:3,7 425:4 61:4 62:15 64:9 240:5,9 247:8 337:6 434:8 establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
405:4,11,13,14 evidence 6:17 203:15,19,23 29:18,21 78:18 405:15,20,21 29:13 32:7,22 203:25 204:11 83:15 406:23 407:15 54:3,7,10 55:19 204:12,13 examining 75:5 407:24 408:13 55:22 56:21,24 205:5 239:17 example 84:24 408:18,25,25 57:2,5,7 58:12 239:24,25 119:17 125:24 409:3,7 425:4 61:4 62:15 64:9 240:5,9 247:8 337:6 434:8 establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
405:15,20,21 29:13 32:7,22 203:25 204:11 83:15 406:23 407:15 54:3,7,10 55:19 204:12,13 examining 75:5 407:24 408:13 55:22 56:21,24 205:5 239:17 example 84:24 408:18,25,25 57:2,5,7 58:12 239:24,25 119:17 125:24 409:3,7 425:4 61:4 62:15 64:9 240:5,9 247:8 337:6 434:8 establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
406:23 407:15 54:3,7,10 55:19 204:12,13 examining 75:5 407:24 408:13 55:22 56:21,24 205:5 239:17 example 84:24 408:18,25,25 57:2,5,7 58:12 239:24,25 119:17 125:24 409:3,7 425:4 61:4 62:15 64:9 240:5,9 247:8 337:6 434:8 establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
407:24 408:13 55:22 56:21,24 205:5 239:17 example 84:24 408:18,25,25 57:2,5,7 58:12 239:24,25 119:17 125:24 409:3,7 425:4 61:4 62:15 64:9 240:5,9 247:8 337:6 434:8 establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
408:18,25,25 57:2,5,7 58:12 239:24,25 119:17 125:24 409:3,7 425:4 61:4 62:15 64:9 240:5,9 247:8 337:6 434:8 establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
409:3,7 425:4 61:4 62:15 64:9 240:5,9 247:8 337:6 434:8 establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
establishment 64:10,25 77:11 249:9 292:4 excellent 83:21 406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
406:20 407:2 78:3,4,6,21 294:5 295:10 excited 77:8
estimate 20:19 79:16 81:9 299:16 302:4 excuse 28:6
325:9 392:25
estimated 109:3,13,14,22 343:13,16 42:10 43:10,11
257:9 110:25 111:18 356:6,9 372:21 44:2 45:8 54:23
estimates 272:3 119:10 120:21 373:5 417:3 66:2,6 98:21
284:25 123:2,13 419:2 420:22 146:23 147:14
estrogen 124:10,12 420:24 421:8 187:11 348:4
327:15 404:2,3 125:20 126:4 433:5 436:16 375:10 378:20
et 1:11 284:22 128:3 132:15 exact 12:11 410:22 412:6
287:6 329:9 132:20,23 15:23 58:9 executive 3:19
428:5 429:16 133:16,22 83:10 145:19 7:12 170:19
429:17 138:4,11 147:8,11 171:4 180:7
etiology 426:18 139:18 141:2 153:11 212:11 187:23 188:19
427:17,18 141:12 143:18 230:20 345:10 exercise 352:7
etiopathogen 147:24 149:2,4 exactly 20:25 exercised 214:8
427:13,16 149:9,15,16 59:2 135:20 exhausting
evaluate 159:14 150:3,15,18,22 144:9 149:24 150:17
evaluating 151:2,8,21 151:9,13 exhibit 5:7,11
148:9 151:4 152:6,18,25 161:11 201:23 5:15,19,22,25
155:14 324:15
evaluation 154:14 155:11 244:10 297:14 7:7,13,20,23
324:22 155:14 159:8 345:15 389:15 8:7,10,13,16,19
evasive 111:24 159:12,18 examination 8:22 9:7,11,14
everybody 163:10,25 4:7 14:21 72:13 9:17,20,23 10:7
66:14 200:24

[exhibit - express]

Page 27

11:7,11,15,18	existed 233:9	expert's 106:9	explanations
11:21 12:7 18:2	283:18	107:8 162:8	424:16
18:3,11,12 19:3	existence 244:7	expertise 90:19	explore 41:11
19:9 23:12,13	existing 22:9	92:14,21 93:7	43:4 45:5
24:8,10 26:25	exists 131:19	93:10,19,24	279:21
27:5 73:4 79:20	238:11	94:3,7 183:15	explored 261:2
116:14,15	expect 248:17	183:19,25	exposed 226:18
153:13,14,23	248:24 329:20	185:18,21	228:10 245:23
154:3,19 155:3	385:19	186:5 188:14	288:12,14
155:4 158:24	expectancy	361:22 369:7	365:9
158:25 166:13	390:4,20	experts 27:24	exposure 32:23
166:14 170:18	expectation	31:10 32:8	168:22 169:5
170:19 177:22	424:16	34:12 100:21	172:11,19
177:23 180:8	expected 93:23	100:25 101:7	174:18,24
190:3,4 192:14	experience	102:6,8,17	186:14 188:10
192:15 193:2,3	88:21 198:12	103:7,21	196:17 202:14
193:8,9 210:4	expert 5:6,10	125:18 151:11	239:9 243:19
216:10,15,16	6:14 11:10,14	160:24 161:13	251:8,11 252:9
220:10 221:20	18:3,13 21:13	187:15 194:5	285:13 365:2
221:21 224:8,9	28:2,10,12	207:19 246:9	365:13,20,21
232:20 257:6	48:25 76:6 93:5	251:23 300:14	366:12,20
257:14,15	116:16 146:15	305:18 358:25	367:15,21
262:18 269:7	171:8,15 172:4	359:23 428:23	369:14 376:22
277:18 304:3	182:25 185:13	429:2 430:25	387:20 393:6
319:6 320:17	187:16 188:24	explain 236:22	393:10,13,16
320:22,24	194:8,16,18,23	237:20 245:10	393:17,19
321:14,23	195:2 198:9,12	363:7 369:16	394:5,7,9
341:3 346:23	238:6,7 246:7	424:2 435:13	395:18 396:24
353:20 356:14	246:11 280:23	explained 70:25	399:24 431:15
359:5,6 377:10	282:7,12 283:2	289:14,21	431:18
377:11 401:13	306:3,4 357:20	336:6	exposures
415:5,6 425:17	359:6,24	explaining	287:15 323:20
exhibits 4:6,15	377:11 379:12	56:15 396:9	337:12 370:3
12:9 321:5	384:2 388:18	explanation	398:23
exist 244:16	399:22 401:6	63:11 104:21	express 292:10
		336:21 349:7	
-			

[expressions - feel]

Page 28

expressions	79:12,12 89:25	fairly 198:19	229:18 234:4
333:20	137:9 163:11	fallacies 346:6	241:9,11
extended	168:22 327:16	346:13 353:10	242:15 244:3
428:23	331:17 335:4,7	fallacy 347:24	246:24 329:22
extensive 34:11	349:2 405:4	349:12,17	354:18 387:15
34:12 316:18	407:16,25	fallopian 221:4	fast 36:13,14
316:24 317:5	408:10,13,19	221:15 243:11	215:23 265:13
330:3	409:3,7 425:4	431:17 434:16	265:16,18
external 323:6	431:6 434:8	436:18	386:6 418:4
323:11	factors 26:8	false 87:23	428:17
extra 48:3	61:18,20 62:9	268:9,17	faster 184:4
258:18,20,22	74:13 75:8	falsehood	fatal 287:16
259:3 260:24	325:3,4 326:6	214:17	fate 323:19
extremely 53:5	326:10,13,21	familiar 26:14	fault 269:3
183:5	328:11 330:23	26:19 47:6 72:6	fax 1:24
eyes 193:22	336:10,11,15	75:3 91:6	fda 7:6,19
f	336:19 401:18	148:12,14,18	124:21 163:2,6
f 232:17 440:2	403:9,20	150:10,12,14	163:8,17,22
facog 5:7,12,15	404:10 417:6	159:17 160:14	164:7,8,20,24
18:4,14 19:5	417:14 420:15	173:8 211:9	165:3,7,11
fact 69:4 108:3	426:17 431:4,5	233:14,19	166:8,14,25
147:9 229:11	factory 172:15	246:10 271:4	167:10,23
251:22 273:7	facts 5:24 26:19	282:3,4 321:21	177:10,17,23
273:25 288:16	27:3,4,6,11	341:15,17	178:15 179:6
291:18 313:7	41:11 43:4 45:6	358:13 360:8	179:13,16,22
313:15 324:19	fail 437:17	360:10 362:12	179:23 180:14
335:13 347:10	fair 15:14,15	376:4,6,16	180:23 217:10
347:11 350:18	25:9 87:20 95:6	377:16,17,19	fear 53:22
363:5 395:16	95:20,21 96:9	377:20 402:7	february 17:22
419:6	145:10 176:25	402:12 416:20	federal 180:17
factor 54:9	204:5 241:20	426:20 428:10	180:21 186:11
62:10,12,16,18	241:21 354:21	430:14,21	188:7 250:10
63:13,14,17,19	383:22 394:22	family 74:12	feeding 330:10
63:22 65:3,11	401:25 409:21	340:3,6 403:21	330:12 403:24
68:18 78:25	410:2 436:7	far 15:12 39:24	feel 44:21 52:15
00.10 / 0.23		169:12 183:14	54:3 56:4 70:7
L		1	

[feel - five] Page 29

111:3 122:7	365:20 368:5	248:21 249:14	finished 55:2
136:20 229:8,8	368:12 372:5	250:2 256:11	66:20 76:20
266:16 280:3	372:19	258:22,25	88:22,25
291:8 293:12	fibroids 338:20	260:7,8 263:20	121:15 123:18
294:8 298:21	338:22	328:14 364:6,9	123:22 146:24
308:11 342:19	field 160:11	364:13 365:10	158:10 202:17
347:9	407:2 410:10	findings 235:18	239:13 314:22
feeling 16:20	414:10	245:10 249:23	434:5
84:4 191:22	fifteen 316:6	250:3,5 251:18	finishes 44:6
264:22 407:12	figure 8:9,12	256:12 274:8	fire 268:23
425:5	193:3,9,19,20	274:20 284:19	firm 2:5 16:6,7
feelings 136:23	194:17,25	288:5 309:6	first 14:17
felix 361:25	195:2 431:11	310:18,22	15:16,20 16:3
fellows 72:10	figured 176:3	318:6,10,14	18:10 23:7 33:4
74:10,22 75:3	filed 85:23	359:21 360:8	42:5 60:24
75:23	final 321:23	360:10,12	73:23 80:21
fellowship 72:3	financial 53:11	372:2,16	85:23 92:2
156:12	148:7	fine 28:23	104:13 118:8
felt 16:14 52:4	financially	34:21 91:16	119:8 120:5,20
163:22	52:20 440:21	97:17 135:21	126:4 136:7
female 387:23	find 46:25	177:6 185:2	155:17 181:4
398:14	53:13 60:11	198:16 226:8	190:8 195:8
fert 402:11	78:20 165:12	265:12 280:15	221:17 222:15
414:12	234:10 242:14	290:25 303:3	229:19 230:2
fertility 402:15	263:18 273:22	392:5 396:7	237:5 270:16
402:17 410:13	291:17,21	finish 43:16,19	271:24 278:16
fest 120:17	297:16 301:14	44:5 57:13	284:20 285:7
fiber 360:23	314:16 317:14	119:2 122:6	296:23 297:25
361:7,18 363:7	324:25 329:8	134:19 142:4,5	300:20,21
364:22	355:12 362:24	185:6,9 214:10	301:6 323:4
fibers 119:11	406:14 420:24	214:13 252:11	346:9 388:19
130:5,18	425:9	260:13 303:15	417:11,22,25
358:14 360:14	finding 23:22	316:5,14	424:19 436:5
360:18,21,22	180:3 182:5	328:10 366:2	five 44:9 67:4
361:6 362:25	236:8,10	374:2 399:13	146:19 150:21
364:14,15,19	247:15 248:13	408:6 422:11	151:24 152:3,8

[five - g] Page 30

152:21 162:5,6	141:14 178:14	forward 122:13	415:13 417:3
163:3 316:6,10	178:16 180:20	350:14	418:18 420:14
319:12,20	footnotes	found 80:16,24	frequently 10:7
369:18 370:8	144:16,20,22	83:24 87:5	192:2 219:2,4,8
371:21 380:21	145:4	118:9 141:10	308:24 320:25
380:22 391:15	force 6:19	151:15 165:7	front 58:10
fix 141:14,17	150:11,22	166:8 167:2,10	59:2,20,21
142:21	151:4,22	169:10 175:16	72:16,25 76:18
flagellae 126:21	152:19 153:4	182:13 232:3	frustrated
flagellum	153:16 154:21	234:18 261:12	66:17
119:12	157:24 158:6	293:10,10	frustration
flights 432:25	159:8,11	302:10 305:17	66:19
flom 2:17	205:16	308:18 312:13	full 274:19
foci 413:8	foregoing	360:14 361:13	323:4 388:19
focus 325:2	440:11	361:15 363:7,8	fuller 286:13
focused 176:7	forget 243:18	363:9,12,13,18	fumiko 304:24
focusing 260:17	243:24 244:6	365:15 369:22	305:4
422:16	forgive 42:3	372:5,19	funny 333:6
foley 1:19	forgot 268:24	374:14 383:21	furiously 36:13
440:3	340:14	420:22 421:4	36:14
folks 53:7 101:5	form 30:21,22	four 165:20	furnished
314:6 405:16	33:13 184:3,13	218:9 296:18	151:3
follow 19:25	184:21 186:19	401:7	further 181:16
72:10 120:3	348:20 350:9	fragments	188:18 261:12
158:15 228:19	350:12 411:22	361:18	263:17 269:25
253:5 260:18	formal 201:12	frank 145:5	307:19 311:2
270:15,17	202:8	fred 284:15	314:19 336:5
followed	formed 181:5	290:20	352:24 427:10
223:11 273:10	181:11	free 308:12	436:22 440:11
315:13	forming 166:5	frequency	440:16
following	forrest 192:21	244:3	future 268:19
265:23	192:24 193:15	frequent	419:19
follows 14:20	194:4,23	211:14,24	g
foot 302:20	195:14 248:16	212:6 213:2	g 6:7 72:8 73:6
footnote 138:5	forth 40:21	218:15 244:5	73:19 74:21
139:2,5,9,16,21	247:25 440:14	305:11 318:18	

[g - gmecoli] Page 31

75:2 438:2	344:3	226:14 228:7	399:4
gain 245:5	genedx 10:15	232:4 239:18	gino 3:18
gallardo 1:11	341:3,14	240:5 241:23	give 14:10
2:4 10:17,25	genedx's	242:3,8,21	15:17 30:24
11:8 18:24 22:4	342:17	243:3,8 247:5	36:6 40:10
23:3 84:16,17	general 16:20	249:8 254:23	58:15 59:15
84:24 185:16	18:19 127:3,13	254:24 269:16	63:10 76:4 77:4
220:21 221:14	153:7 171:23	272:5 274:9,18	82:3 86:7
324:8,23 326:5	172:22 186:10	274:21 284:24	110:16,21
326:22 337:18	188:6 324:20	285:13,18	154:6,11
339:13 340:10	327:18 350:25	287:10,14	175:23 181:12
341:5 343:14	363:5 406:24	297:8 305:7	200:2 203:9
353:24 356:16	407:9,12 425:5	308:17 312:7	237:11 268:16
357:10,17	generally 40:15	318:7,16	278:24 286:13
358:2 364:2	118:21 123:7	337:20 384:17	299:4 356:20
372:6,20 375:2	123:14 325:5	384:19 395:10	414:20 430:18
376:11,22	329:16 355:21	398:14 413:25	433:17
379:2 381:11	405:10 425:2	434:10,15,22	given 45:24
384:6,22	genes 342:8,19	genitalia	133:8 135:15
387:23 388:3	342:23	392:15	159:6,9 185:22
397:19	genetic 329:22	genitally 97:22	238:2 287:14
gallardo's	331:3 334:24	98:10	291:17 292:17
21:16 83:19	335:2,3,14	genotoxic	324:19 438:14
324:15 339:5	340:22 341:7	131:20,23	gives 102:15
353:18 358:7,8	341:10,16,21	337:13	123:9 133:7
361:13 374:8	343:14,20	genotoxicity	144:11 159:11
374:15 379:13	344:17,21	337:17	226:24
399:24	genital 9:6	gerel 3:7	giving 36:11
game 176:25	74:18 85:14	gertig 236:2	310:17 380:3,6
gander 33:25	88:15 89:8	313:23	380:8
151:18	94:17,25 97:15	getting 43:13	glad 227:5
gas 172:12	99:18,21,22	67:9 119:2	368:21
gates 313:22	100:2,4,12	154:25 213:6	glean 94:14
gather 309:5	117:4 122:22	227:16,19	globally 181:20
gene 239:4	126:8 218:15	306:14,15	gmecoli 3:23
340:17 341:13	218:17 221:22	310:8 385:18	

go 22:8 33:16 297:16,19 386:9,16 413:7 204:9 207:10 37:21 38:7 301:9,11,12 going 23:10 207:12,16 40:15,20 45:17 302:21 316:17 27:18,23 28:20 209:24 215:25 46:6 49:7 54:18 317:13 326:18 29:12 30:25 222:23 228:18 55:9 57:22 60:9 327:2,11 31:3 33:14 230:19 232:2 63:25 81:10 328:12 339:6 34:17,19,22 233:7 236:5 94:8 100:20 340:25 345:6,9 37:19 38:20,22 247:24 254:6 107:25 109:18 345:11 346:18 41:6,7 44:22 263:2 271:23 116:9,23 346:24 351:6 47:14 55:3 273:22 278:2 119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 299:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21	[So Sood]			1 450 32
40:15,20 45:17 302:21 316:17 27:18,23 28:20 209:24 215:25 46:6 49:7 54:18 317:13 326:18 29:12 30:25 222:23 228:18 55:9 57:22 60:9 327:2,11 31:3 33:14 230:19 232:2 63:25 81:10 328:12 339:6 34:17,19,22 233:7 236:5 94:8 100:20 340:25 345:6,9 37:19 38:20,22 247:24 254:6 107:25 109:18 345:11 346:18 41:6,7 44:22 263:2 271:23 116:9,23 346:24 351:6 47:14 55:3 273:22 278:2 119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 17:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22	go 22:8 33:16	297:16,19	386:9,16 413:7	204:9 207:10
46:6 49:7 54:18 317:13 326:18 29:12 30:25 222:23 228:18 55:9 57:22 60:9 327:2,11 31:3 33:14 230:19 232:2 63:25 81:10 328:12 339:6 34:17,19,22 233:7 236:5 94:8 100:20 340:25 345:6,9 37:19 38:20,22 247:24 254:6 107:25 109:18 345:11 346:18 41:6,7 44:22 263:2 271:23 116:9,23 346:24 351:6 47:14 55:3 273:22 278:2 119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 186:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11	37:21 38:7	301:9,11,12	going 23:10	207:12,16
55:9 57:22 60:9 327:2,11 31:3 33:14 230:19 232:2 63:25 81:10 328:12 339:6 34:17,19,22 233:7 236:5 94:8 100:20 340:25 345:6,9 37:19 38:20,22 247:24 254:6 107:25 109:18 345:11 346:18 41:6,7 44:22 263:2 271:23 116:9,23 346:24 351:6 47:14 55:3 273:22 278:2 119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11	40:15,20 45:17	302:21 316:17	27:18,23 28:20	209:24 215:25
63:25 81:10 328:12 339:6 34:17,19,22 233:7 236:5 94:8 100:20 340:25 345:6,9 37:19 38:20,22 247:24 254:6 107:25 109:18 345:11 346:18 41:6,7 44:22 263:2 271:23 116:9,23 346:24 351:6 47:14 55:3 273:22 278:2 119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,	46:6 49:7 54:18	317:13 326:18	29:12 30:25	222:23 228:18
94:8 100:20 340:25 345:6,9 37:19 38:20,22 247:24 254:6 107:25 109:18 345:11 346:18 41:6,7 44:22 263:2 271:23 116:9,23 346:24 351:6 47:14 55:3 273:22 278:2 119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 43:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 355:13 359:7 137:15,18,24 <	55:9 57:22 60:9	327:2,11	31:3 33:14	230:19 232:2
107:25 109:18 345:11 346:18 41:6,7 44:22 263:2 271:23 116:9,23 346:24 351:6 47:14 55:3 273:22 278:2 119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 godd 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godds 148:5 139:19 141:8 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:1	63:25 81:10	328:12 339:6	34:17,19,22	233:7 236:5
116:9,23 346:24 351:6 47:14 55:3 273:22 278:2 119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:	94:8 100:20	340:25 345:6,9	37:19 38:20,22	247:24 254:6
119:17,25 360:11 366:9 58:22 61:11 278:19 279:12 120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:	107:25 109:18	345:11 346:18	41:6,7 44:22	263:2 271:23
120:14,25 371:18 379:14 63:7 68:7,9,11 280:7 284:19 121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:34,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8	116:9,23	346:24 351:6	47:14 55:3	273:22 278:2
121:2 125:4,8 379:22 386:22 68:13 69:25 294:19 298:12 128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 17	119:17,25	360:11 366:9	58:22 61:11	278:19 279:12
128:14 138:2 388:18 407:17 70:15 83:18 298:15 300:10 140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 151	120:14,25	371:18 379:14	63:7 68:7,9,11	280:7 284:19
140:3 143:17 410:7,23 84:15 88:11 302:19 314:4 143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 259:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 37:9,16 163:6 165:16 <td>121:2 125:4,8</td> <td>379:22 386:22</td> <td>68:13 69:25</td> <td>294:19 298:12</td>	121:2 125:4,8	379:22 386:22	68:13 69:25	294:19 298:12
143:24 144:4 417:10 424:21 91:25 92:7 319:20 324:7 144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 160:23 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 151:6 153:22 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,	128:14 138:2	388:18 407:17	70:15 83:18	298:15 300:10
144:10 145:16 424:22 428:24 95:25 97:9,22 348:5 349:25 160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 177:4,11,14	140:3 143:17	410:7,23	84:15 88:11	302:19 314:4
160:23 163:6 429:17 430:4 100:3 109:16 350:14 352:24 163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14	143:24 144:4	417:10 424:21	91:25 92:7	319:20 324:7
163:21 177:12 432:16 433:20 111:21,22 354:22 361:25 180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9	144:10 145:16	424:22 428:24	95:25 97:9,22	348:5 349:25
180:25 183:21 434:6 113:9 115:16 366:6 374:3 184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24	160:23 163:6	429:17 430:4	100:3 109:16	350:14 352:24
184:4 188:20 goals 148:5 119:8 120:4,14 378:13 379:9 189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 109:13,	163:21 177:12	432:16 433:20	111:21,22	354:22 361:25
189:25 207:7 god 411:23 120:16 121:11 379:10,24 207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2	180:25 183:21	434:6	113:9 115:16	366:6 374:3
207:11,16 godleski 11:11 122:12,13,15 380:13,20 215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	184:4 188:20	goals 148:5	119:8 120:4,14	378:13 379:9
215:4 220:7,22 357:20 358:6 137:3,4,5,13,14 383:18 389:7 222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	189:25 207:7	god 411:23	120:16 121:11	379:10,24
222:25 223:4,6 358:11 359:7 137:15,18,24 398:12 400:25 231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	207:11,16	godleski 11:11	122:12,13,15	380:13,20
231:25 233:18 360:14,20 139:19 141:8 407:3 411:17 234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	215:4 220:7,22	357:20 358:6	137:3,4,5,13,14	383:18 389:7
234:10,10 361:12 364:5 142:4,10,25 411:19 412:14 235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	222:25 223:4,6	358:11 359:7	137:15,18,24	398:12 400:25
235:22 238:12 365:11 375:22 143:20 146:5 427:10 430:2 239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	231:25 233:18	360:14,20	139:19 141:8	407:3 411:17
239:16 245:22 376:7 151:6 153:22 431:15,25 251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	234:10,10	361:12 364:5	142:4,10,25	411:19 412:14
251:15 253:2 godleski's 155:2 160:23 432:7,22 254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	235:22 238:12	365:11 375:22	143:20 146:5	427:10 430:2
254:16 258:22 357:9,16 163:6 165:16 433:14,19 259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	239:16 245:22	376:7	151:6 153:22	431:15,25
259:15 263:6 371:25 372:25 171:6 176:8,19 435:8,13 265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	251:15 253:2	godleski's	155:2 160:23	432:7,22
265:6 275:22 goes 37:9 70:21 177:4,11,14 golkow 1:23 276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	254:16 258:22	357:9,16	163:6 165:16	433:14,19
276:9 277:13 99:23 120:22 178:22 183:9 good 14:23,24 278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	259:15 263:6	371:25 372:25	171:6 176:8,19	· '
278:25 286:20 123:2 125:24 183:21 185:24 33:24,24 292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21	265:6 275:22	goes 37:9 70:21	177:4,11,14	
292:18 295:2 181:16 182:17 192:18 195:16 109:13,21				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·
295:24 297:12 312:4 364:5 202:15 203:2 161:9 177:3				ĺ í
	295:24 297:12	312:4 364:5	202:15 203:2	161:9 177:3

Page 33

[good - harlow]

	T	I	T
198:23 219:13	271:11 288:17	155:21,24	halted 190:11
223:25 224:5	291:3 301:23	156:3,9,11,25	hamding 73:13
260:25 284:7	313:18 364:15	171:23 183:13	hand 14:7
303:12 339:12	364:19 366:25	185:17,18	46:23 47:13
355:20	368:5 402:19	290:16,21	251:20 359:15
google 145:8	420:22	330:25 341:20	384:18 421:2
google'd 149:16	groups 264:11	376:15 402:19	handing 48:4
goose 151:18	386:18 387:5,8	407:2 410:16	handle 273:22
gotten 16:25	387:9	410:19	312:17
20:7 328:20	growing 305:8	gynecologic 6:7	hands 83:22
government	guess 17:8	17:7 42:18 72:7	84:6 381:22
10:9 217:23	89:19 183:20	73:5,19 118:12	hang 140:10
250:10 321:14	249:2 254:15	225:8 425:25	happen 113:14
grade 39:19,21	258:15 280:16	426:9	134:11 230:4
238:25 239:2	312:11 320:12	gynecological	251:9 298:5
grading 157:16	338:6 344:18	243:2,9	happened
grams 381:15	348:12,15	gynecologist	111:16 143:11
385:21,24,25	357:3 435:8	17:14 115:10	161:16 229:13
386:10,10	guessed 347:21	gynecology	251:9 272:13
387:25 392:8	guide 6:6 72:7	25:3,4,5 52:3	357:4
397:16,25	72:11 73:4,18	290:22	happens 109:4
399:24	73:21	h	109:14 112:7
graphic 149:15	guideline 50:19	h 5:3 6:3 7:3	123:8 226:21
157:13	154:15	8:3 9:3 10:3	355:4 423:6
great 67:8	guidelines 50:5	11:3 12:3	happy 40:20
147:25 150:8	50:10,12 72:11	habit 368:14,18	154:10 282:22
174:13 208:15	153:21 201:12	hair 68:11	283:13 286:18
208:19 417:20	guys 31:6 122:3	hal 71:8	369:3
greater 360:24	361:24 409:20	half 177:5	hard 330:9
361:8 392:14	409:21	248:17,18	374:2
393:7	gyn 25:19 28:13	285:14 291:21	harder 44:12
gross 348:5	41:17 48:20	291:23	harlow 236:2,5
group 55:20	49:2 52:3,5,8	halfway 411:12	245:25 246:6
170:13 180:7	75:21 83:22	417:11	246:12,21,25
180:15 187:24	88:8 90:18	41/.11	249:6,20
217:9 225:25	111:7 155:19		250:12,19

[harlow - holcomb]

Page 34

251:14 252:17 healthcare 264:19 299:15 339:13 340:3,6 harris 6:21 201:13 302:11 364:25 365:8 9:16 154:22 hear 68:16 heterogeneous 403:21 155:4 157:10 69:21 112:13 239:11 hm 166:24 159:3 209:23 174:7 244:13 hey 104:2,2 322:15 277:15,16,18 265:16,19 311:10,19 hoc 250:25 harvard 284:14 heard 84:9 139:18 150:3 4:7 5:6,7,10,11 hate 223:21 436:3,4 157:22 5:14,15,18,21 havoc 398:18 heavily 197:22 high 204:13,18 5:21,24 6:6,10 hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 hazards 171:13 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
9:16 154:22 hear 68:16 heterogeneous 403:21 155:4 157:10 69:21 112:13 239:11 hm 166:24 159:3 209:23 174:7 244:13 hey 104:2,2 322:15 277:15,16,18 265:16,19 311:10,19 hoc 250:25 403:2 416:2 410:16 hierarchy holcomb 1:16 harvard 284:14 heard 84:9 139:18 150:3 4:7 5:6,7,10,11 hate 223:21 436:3,4 157:22 5:14,15,18,21 hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
155:4 157:10 69:21 112:13 239:11 hm 166:24 159:3 209:23 174:7 244:13 hey 104:2,2 322:15 277:15,16,18 265:16,19 311:10,19 hoc 250:25 403:2 416:2 410:16 hierarchy holcomb 1:16 harvard 284:14 heard 84:9 139:18 150:3 4:7 5:6,7,10,11 hate 223:21 436:3,4 157:22 5:14,15,18,21 havoc 398:18 heavily 197:22 high 204:13,18 5:21,24 6:6,10 hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
159:3 209:23 174:7 244:13 hey 104:2,2 322:15 277:15,16,18 265:16,19 311:10,19 hoc 250:25 403:2 416:2 410:16 hierarchy holcomb 1:16 harvard 284:14 heard 84:9 139:18 150:3 4:7 5:6,7,10,11 hate 223:21 436:3,4 157:22 5:14,15,18,21 havoc 398:18 heavily 197:22 high 204:13,18 5:21,24 6:6,10 hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
277:15,16,18 265:16,19 311:10,19 hoc 250:25 403:2 416:2 410:16 hierarchy holcomb 1:16 harvard 284:14 heard 84:9 139:18 150:3 4:7 5:6,7,10,11 hate 223:21 436:3,4 157:22 5:14,15,18,21 havoc 398:18 heavily 197:22 high 204:13,18 5:21,24 6:6,10 hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
403:2 416:2 410:16 hierarchy holcomb 1:16 harvard 284:14 heard 84:9 139:18 150:3 4:7 5:6,7,10,11 hate 223:21 436:3,4 157:22 5:14,15,18,21 havoc 398:18 heavily 197:22 high 204:13,18 5:21,24 6:6,10 hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
harvard 284:14 heard 84:9 139:18 150:3 4:7 5:6,7,10,11 hate 223:21 436:3,4 157:22 5:14,15,18,21 havoc 398:18 heavily 197:22 high 204:13,18 5:21,24 6:6,10 hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
hate223:21436:3,4157:225:14,15,18,21havoc398:18heavily197:22high204:13,185:21,24 6:6,10hazard220:17314:15238:25 288:156:13,17,21 7:6221:6 257:22heavy168:21344:197:12,19,22 8:6276:2172:11 174:17higher340:48:9,12,15,18,2hazards171:13174:24 365:2413:2,259:6,10,13,16,19171:16 184:16365:12,21highest204:109:22 10:6,9,15
havoc 398:18 heavily 197:22 high 204:13,18 5:21,24 6:6,10 hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
hazard 220:17 314:15 238:25 288:15 6:13,17,21 7:6 221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
221:6 257:22 heavy 168:21 344:19 7:12,19,22 8:6 276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
276:2 172:11 174:17 higher 340:4 8:9,12,15,18,2 hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
hazards 171:13 174:24 365:2 413:2,25 9:6,10,13,16,19 171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
171:16 184:16 365:12,21 highest 204:10 9:22 10:6,9,15
184:24 186:3 367:15 369:14 318:17 10:20 11:6,10
323:19 held 1:17 300:3 highlight 11:14,17,20
he'll 223:18,24 heller 363:3 220:15 12:6 14:16,23
head 30:2 58:5 help 122:12 highly 287:16 18:3,4,12,14
126:24 156:2 202:2 330:10 hill 3:21 104:20 19:3,4 20:4
338:17 helpful 37:25 254:7,9 424:19 23:13 24:10,11
heading 73:10 66:22 69:12 histologic 27:5 31:11 35:
153:2,10 henrich 3:17 233:11,23 37:22 38:6 44:
health 88:17 hereditary 235:20,23 57:10 60:10
89:7,9,12,13,15 343:21 344:2,8 236:12,21 61:25 66:16
89:16,18 90:2,4 431:4 237:15 239:4 67:19 73:4
171:13,15 hereinbefore 339:23 79:20 98:19
184:16,24 440:14 histological 110:2 116:15
185:3 186:3,12 hermens 329:9 329:11 143:8 144:14
188:8 199:23 herpes 75:20,21 histologically 153:14 155:4
200:16 217:3,6 hesitant 53:16 339:20 159:23 160:8
218:10,11,12 hesitation 96:5 historical 387:6 161:7 162:13
221:18 284:14 heterogeneity 387:6,7 166:14,21
318:2,3 321:23 80:17,25 history 74:12 167:17 170:19
322:6 323:4,23 249:15,24,25 324:24 325:17 171:8 177:9,23
324:4 254:13 260:23 326:12 339:5 185:17 186:2

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

[holcomb - identifiable]

Page 35

188:3 190:4	140:5 154:17	hss 250:10	237:3
191:19 192:15	214:3 275:20	huge 273:15	hypotheticals
193:3,9 195:11	326:15 405:22	314:11	87:21
203:9 204:4	holding 107:14	human 111:19	hysterectomy
208:21 209:20	holes 254:14	217:6 323:4	251:10
210:4 214:10	256:10 258:11	humans 108:15	i
216:10,16	hone 94:10	134:6	i.e. 285:13
221:11,21	128:25	hundred	403:21
224:9 269:7	honest 21:8	134:15,21	iarc 168:23
273:3 277:18	38:11 111:4	135:12,19	173:8,18,23
279:8 287:4	149:17 175:13	173:18 294:8	173.8,18,23
289:13 297:24	362:12 397:4	366:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
304:3 306:6	398:25	hundreds 297:6	364:15,19
307:23 315:25	honestly 144:13	406:2	365:18,23
319:19 320:17	338:14 359:17	hurwitz 11:20	366:4,10,11,16
320:24 321:5	hope 104:4,9	414:22,23	366:24 367:5,8
321:14,21	111:23	415:6,10 419:2	367:10,11,14
334:21 341:3	hopefully 192:8	419:21	367:25 368:4
350:17 353:20	hormonal	husband's 22:4	369:9,19,22,23
356:14 359:6	80:23 287:9	hutchinson's	371:20
362:3 367:9,23	308:25 327:8	284:16 290:20	iarc's 367:16
368:15 375:24	403:24 404:2	hypotheses	idea 97:8
377:11,17	hormone 327:3	100:21,25	113:19 118:16
380:8,17 381:3	327:20,24	101:24	222:23 223:17
383:15 399:6	hospital 10:20	hypothesis	223:25 224:5
401:13 409:22	353:21	103:22,23,25	251:8 252:2
411:15 412:9	host 100:23	105:6,11 106:9	284:7 298:7
415:6 425:17	101:24	106:12,13	338:14 343:3
425:23 432:9	hot 310:10	107:4,11	363:12,14,15
432:15 433:8	hour 21:22,23	226:20 254:22	382:21 391:21
435:20 438:8	177:5	255:3,5 431:13	395:21 398:20
438:18 440:8	hours 20:19	436:10,13	399:3 425:6
holcomb's	21:2,4,10 22:11	hypothesize	identical
106:10 230:21	22:21 133:21	237:18	193:25
hold 105:13	175:22 183:20	hypothetical	identifiable
119:22 140:4,4	306:16	86:8 87:17	346:8,17 347:4

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196899

[identifiable - inconsistent]

Page 36

347:10,17,23 351:25 il6 413:10 59:16 196:2 306:7 included 22:10 imagine 398:17 306:7 included 22:10 impressive 353:6 149:19 162:14 27:8 73:7 79:22 impact 45:25 improving 211:16 215:14 89:14 148:8 224:21 241:7 155:6 166:18 249:21 287:8 impugn 83:14 242:12 270:5 impacted 86:21 impacted 86:21 impacted 288:6 366:24 414:11 245:7 244:24 252:8 impute 292:2 270:16 326:8 imputing 20:22 273:17 313:25 imperative inaccuracies includes 120:22 323:17 including 20:22 323:	-	-		C
identification imagine 398:17 immobile 306:7 impressive included 22:10 23:15 24:12 27:8 73:7 79:22 116:18 153:17 immobile 127:25 353:6 149:19 162:14 27:8 73:7 79:22 116:18 153:17 impoct 45:25 impoct 89:14 148:8 224:21 241:14 155:6 166:18 249:21 287:8 impugn 83:14 impugn 242:12 270:5 impute 229:22 270:15 326:8 190:6 192:16 244:24 252:8 impute 292:2 impute 288:21 impute 245:10 420:20 216:12,18 249:21 287:8 impute 288:21 impute 292:2 270:16 326:8 366:24 414:11 221:24 224:11 240:23 235:10 imperative imputing 273:17 313:25 includes 366:24 414:11 269:9 277:20 30:45:320:19 437:13 implicating 120:10,12 imcluding 323:17 353:25 356:17 359:9 377:13 401:15 415:8 425:19 importance 292:16 implications implications 120:10,12 imcluding 79:13 122:20 17:17:14 120:6 236:3 351:22 36:3 351:22 important 80:10 86:17 important 173:20 important 354:10 403:20 19:25 120:12 12:25 120:12 12:25 120:12 336:16 348:25 important 280:10 86:17 important 147:19 292:23 important 411:3 142:	347:10,17,23	il 429:18	impression	404:17,18
18:6,15 19:6 23:15 24:12 127:25 353:6 149:19 162:14	351:25	il6 413:10	59:16 196:2	435:2
23:15 24:12 127:25 353:6 149:19 162:14 27:8 73:7 79:22 116:18 153:17 244:11 245:7 89:14 148:8 224:21 241:7 155:6 166:18 249:21 287:8 impugn 83:14 242:12 270:5 170:24 177:25 330:10 impugn 83:14 242:12 270:5 190:6 192:16 impacted 86:21 impute 292:2 270:16 326:8 193:5,11 210:6 244:24 252:8 impute 292:2 270:16 326:8 216:12,18 impacting impute 292:2 415:10 420:20 221:24 224:11 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 45:10 420:20 304:5 320:19 437:13 impicating 19:5,24 120:2 323:17 359:9 377:13 implications 120:10,12 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 292:16 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance 1accuracy 97:13 122:20 17:14 120:6 242:5 283:6 117:9,15 272:5 323:20 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 380:9	identification	imagine 398:17	306:7	included 22:10
27:8 73:7 79:22 impact 45:25 improving 211:16 215:14 116:18 153:17 244:11 245:7 89:14 148:8 224:21 241:7 155:6 166:18 249:21 287:8 impugn 83:14 242:12 270:5 170:24 177:25 330:10 impute 292:2 270:16 326:8 190:6 192:16 244:24 252:8 impute 292:2 40:15 20:8 216:12,18 impacting 40:23 235:10 imputing 415:10 420:20 269:9 277:20 304:5 320:19 47:13 includes 120:22 304:5 320:19 437:13 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 351:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 323:17 359:9 377:13 implications inaccurace 97:13 122:20 401:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance inaccurate 174:16 198:2 17:14 120:6 244:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 194:2 326:22 <	18:6,15 19:6	immobile	impressive	82:12 138:10
116:18 153:17 244:11 245:7 89:14 148:8 224:21 241:7 155:6 166:18 249:21 287:8 impugn 83:14 242:12 270:5 170:24 177:25 330:10 impute 292:2 270:16 326:8 190:6 192:16 impacted 86:21 244:24 252:8 imputed 288:6 366:24 414:11 216:12,18 impacting 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 415:10 420:20 221:24 224:11 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 430:24 435:5 269:9 277:20 304:5 320:19 437:13 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 includes 120:22 353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications inaccuracy 97:13 122:20 340:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance inaccurate 174:16 198:2 117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 192:5 120:12 218:19 228:4 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9	23:15 24:12	127:25	353:6	149:19 162:14
155:6 166:18 249:21 287:8 impugn 83:14 242:12 270:5 170:24 177:25 330:10 impute 292:2 270:16 326:8 190:6 192:16 impacted 86:21 288:21 414:15,22 193:5,11 210:6 244:24 252:8 288:21 414:15,22 216:12,18 impacting 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 430:24 435:5 269:9 277:20 imperative 437:13 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 includes 120:22 353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications inaccuracy 97:13 122:20 425:19 importance 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance 130:25 121:24 130:15 168:5 117:14 120:6 242:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 236:23 351:2 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 192:5 120:12 218:19 228:4 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9	27:8 73:7 79:22	impact 45:25	improving	211:16 215:14
170:24 177:25 330:10 impute 292:2 270:16 326:8 190:6 192:16 impacted 86:21 244:24 252:8 366:24 414:11 193:5,11 210:6 244:24 252:8 288:21 414:15,22 216:12,18 impacting 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 430:24 435:5 269:9 277:20 imperative inaccuracies includes 120:22 304:5 320:19 437:13 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 includes 120:22 353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications inaccuracy 97:13 122:20 401:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance inaccurate 174:16 198:2 identified 89:22 245:5 283:6 117:9,15 272:5 323:20 117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 identify 119:24 110:20 112:20 380:9 428:5 identify 19:24 110:20 112:20 380:9 428:5 <tr< td=""><td>116:18 153:17</td><td>244:11 245:7</td><td>89:14 148:8</td><td>224:21 241:7</td></tr<>	116:18 153:17	244:11 245:7	89:14 148:8	224:21 241:7
190:6 192:16 impacted 86:21 imputed 288:6 366:24 414:11 193:5,11 210:6 244:24 252:8 288:21 414:15,22 216:12,18 impacting imputing 415:10 420:20 221:24 224:11 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 430:24 435:5 269:9 277:20 imperative inaccuracies includes 120:22 304:5 320:19 437:13 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 includes 120:22 353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 including 20:22 359:9 377:13 implications inaccuracy 97:13 122:20 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 importance inaccurate 174:16 198:2 174:16 198:2 identified 89:22 245:5 283:6 117:9,15 272:5 323:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 important 80:8 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 428:5 identify 119:24 10:20 112:20 380:9 428:5	155:6 166:18	249:21 287:8	impugn 83:14	242:12 270:5
193:5,11 210:6 244:24 252:8 288:21 414:15,22 216:12,18 impacting imputing 415:10 420:20 221:24 224:11 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 430:24 435:5 269:9 277:20 imperative inaccuracies includes 120:22 304:5 320:19 437:13 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 including 20:22 353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 including 20:22 359:9 377:13 implications inaccuracy 97:13 122:20 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 importance inaccuracy 97:13 122:20 174:16 198:2 425:19 212:22,23 inaccurate 174:16 198:2 272:5 323:20 117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 important 80:8 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 428:5 119:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 428:5 194	170:24 177:25	330:10	impute 292:2	270:16 326:8
216:12,18 impacting imputing 415:10 420:20 221:24 224:11 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 430:24 435:5 269:9 277:20 imperative 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 304:5 320:19 437:13 120:10,12 323:17 321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 including 20:22 353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications inaccuracy 97:13 122:20 401:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance inaccurate 174:16 198:2 identified 89:22 245:5 283:6 117:9,15 272:5 323:20 236:3 351:22 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 119:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 147:19 292:23 428:5 119:42 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence 237:3 336:16 348:25 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5	190:6 192:16	impacted 86:21	imputed 288:6	366:24 414:11
221:24 224:11 40:23 235:10 273:17 313:25 430:24 435:5 269:9 277:20 imperative 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 304:5 320:19 437:13 120:10,12 includes 120:22 353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications inaccuracy 97:13 122:20 401:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance inaccurate 174:16 198:2 identified 89:22 245:5 283:6 117:9,15 272:5 323:20 117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 important 80:8 inappropriate 411:3 412:20 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 419:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 incentives incomplete 120:15 193:13 259:19 260:6 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incidence 54:8 61:5 65:2 355:21 424:11,19 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 <td>193:5,11 210:6</td> <td>244:24 252:8</td> <td>288:21</td> <td>414:15,22</td>	193:5,11 210:6	244:24 252:8	288:21	414:15,22
269:9 277:20 imperative inaccuracies includes 120:22 304:5 320:19 437:13 120:10,12 323:17 321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications 120:5 121:24 74:11 79:7 401:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance inaccurate 174:16 198:2 identified 89:22 245:5 283:6 17:9,15 272:5 323:20 117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 important 80:8 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 identify 119:24 110:20 112:20 380:9 428:5 119:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 313:17 294:9 355:21 424:11,19 313:17 294:9 <td>216:12,18</td> <td>impacting</td> <td>imputing</td> <td>415:10 420:20</td>	216:12,18	impacting	imputing	415:10 420:20
304:5 320:19 437:13 119:5,24 120:2 323:17 321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 74:11 79:7 353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 401:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance 1accurate 174:16 198:2 identified 89:22 245:5 283:6 117:9,15 272:5 323:20 117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 important 80:8 inappropriate 411:3 412:20 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 identify 119:24 110:20 112:20 380:9 428:5 119:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence 54:8 61:5 65:2 328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 313:17 294:9 identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 2	221:24 224:11	40:23 235:10	273:17 313:25	430:24 435:5
321:3,18 341:6 implicating 120:10,12 including 20:22 353:25 356:17 359:9 377:13 implications 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications 120:5 121:24 97:13 122:20 401:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 identified 89:22 245:5 283:6 117:9,15 272:5 323:20 117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 important 80:8 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 19:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence 237:3 194:2 326:22 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incident 288:18 355:21 424:11,19 313:17 294:9 ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10	269:9 277:20	imperative	inaccuracies	includes 120:22
353:25 356:17 292:16 121:22 124:8 74:11 79:7 359:9 377:13 implications 120:5 121:24 97:13 122:20 401:15 415:8 212:22,23 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 425:19 importance inaccurate 174:16 198:2 identified 89:22 245:5 283:6 117:9,15 272:5 323:20 117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 important 80:8 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 identify 119:24 110:20 112:20 380:9 428:5 119:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence 237:3 328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 313:17 294:9 ignore 100:22 431:6 113:14,19 12:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	304:5 320:19	437:13	119:5,24 120:2	323:17
359:9 377:13 401:15 415:8 425:19 indentified 89:22 117:14 120:6 236:3 351:22 360:22 361:6 119:24 119:25 120:12 120:5 121:24 130:15 168:5 174:16 198:2 174:16 198:2 174:10 198:2 175:20 175:20 177:20 <b< td=""><td>321:3,18 341:6</td><td>implicating</td><td>120:10,12</td><td>including 20:22</td></b<>	321:3,18 341:6	implicating	120:10,12	including 20:22
401:15 415:8 425:19212:22,23 importance120:5 121:24 inaccurate130:15 168:5 174:16 198:2identified89:22 245:5 283:6117:9,15 424:9272:5 323:20117:14 120:6 236:3 351:22 360:22 361:6424:9 important173:20 inappropriate354:10 403:20 411:3 412:20identify119:24 110:20 112:20147:19 292:23 380:9413:10 414:9 428:5119:25 120:12 120:15 193:13 194:2 326:22218:19 228:4 282:21 283:3incentives 148:7 237:3incomplete328:4 335:25 336:16 348:25 identifying 355:21 ignore248:9 423:21 424:10 431:613:14 10:24 260:10 113:14,19include 12:15,18 47:2 21:15,18 47:279:4,11 108:10 10:24 261:10 421:11 10:consistent	353:25 356:17	292:16	121:22 124:8	74:11 79:7
425:19importanceinaccurate174:16 198:2117:14 120:6424:9173:20354:10 403:20236:3 351:22important 80:8inappropriate411:3 412:20360:22 361:680:10 86:17147:19 292:23413:10 414:9identify 119:24110:20 112:20380:9428:5119:25 120:12218:19 228:4incentivesincomplete120:15 193:13259:19 260:6148:7237:3194:2 326:22282:21 283:3incidenceinconclusive328:4 335:25288:9 311:11245:1354:8 61:5 65:2336:16 348:25359:4 371:19incident 288:1879:4,11 108:10identifying423:21 424:10313:17294:9355:21424:11,19include 21:12inconsistencyignore 100:22431:621:15,18 47:2419:6,12101:24 260:10impossible 96:662:9,11,20421:11ignoring113:14,19148:4 204:17inconsistent	359:9 377:13	implications	inaccuracy	97:13 122:20
identified89:22245:5 283:6117:9,15272:5 323:20117:14 120:6424:9173:20354:10 403:20236:3 351:22important80:8inappropriate411:3 412:20360:22 361:680:10 86:17147:19 292:23413:10 414:9identify119:24110:20 112:20380:9428:5119:25 120:12218:19 228:4incentivesincomplete120:15 193:13259:19 260:6148:7237:3194:2 326:22282:21 283:3incidenceinconclusive328:4 335:25288:9 311:11245:1354:8 61:5 65:2336:16 348:25359:4 371:19incident288:1879:4,11 108:10identifying423:21 424:10313:17294:9355:21424:11,19include21:12inconsistencyignore100:22431:621:15,18 47:2419:6,12101:24 260:10impossible96:662:9,11,20421:11ignoring113:14,19148:4 204:17inconsistent	401:15 415:8	212:22,23	120:5 121:24	130:15 168:5
117:14 120:6 424:9 173:20 354:10 403:20 236:3 351:22 important 80:8 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 identify 119:24 110:20 112:20 380:9 428:5 119:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 incentives incomplete 120:15 193:13 259:19 260:6 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence inconclusive 328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incident 288:18 79:4,11 108:10 identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 355:21 424:11,19 include 21:12 inconsistency ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	425:19	importance	inaccurate	174:16 198:2
236:3 351:22 important 80:8 inappropriate 411:3 412:20 360:22 361:6 80:10 86:17 147:19 292:23 413:10 414:9 identify 119:24 110:20 112:20 380:9 428:5 119:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 incentives incomplete 120:15 193:13 259:19 260:6 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence inconclusive 328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incident 288:18 79:4,11 108:10 identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	identified 89:22	245:5 283:6	117:9,15	272:5 323:20
360:22 361:680:10 86:17147:19 292:23413:10 414:9identify119:24110:20 112:20380:9428:5119:25 120:12218:19 228:4incentivesincomplete120:15 193:13259:19 260:6148:7237:3194:2 326:22282:21 283:3incidenceinconclusive328:4 335:25288:9 311:11245:1354:8 61:5 65:2336:16 348:25359:4 371:19incident288:1879:4,11 108:10identifying423:21 424:10313:17294:9355:21424:11,19include21:12inconsistencyignore100:22431:621:15,18 47:2419:6,12101:24 260:10impossible96:662:9,11,20421:11ignoring113:14,19148:4 204:17inconsistent	117:14 120:6	424:9	173:20	354:10 403:20
identify119:24110:20 112:20380:9428:5119:25 120:12218:19 228:4incentivesincomplete120:15 193:13259:19 260:6148:7237:3194:2 326:22282:21 283:3incidenceinconclusive328:4 335:25288:9 311:11245:1354:8 61:5 65:2336:16 348:25359:4 371:19incident 288:1879:4,11 108:10identifying423:21 424:10313:17294:9355:21424:11,19include 21:12inconsistencyignore100:22431:621:15,18 47:2419:6,12101:24 260:10impossible96:662:9,11,20421:11ignoring113:14,19148:4 204:17inconsistent	236:3 351:22	important 80:8	inappropriate	411:3 412:20
119:25 120:12 218:19 228:4 incentives incomplete 120:15 193:13 259:19 260:6 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence inconclusive 328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incident 288:18 79:4,11 108:10 identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 ignore 100:22 424:11,19 include 21:12 inconsistency ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	360:22 361:6	80:10 86:17	147:19 292:23	413:10 414:9
120:15 193:13 259:19 260:6 148:7 237:3 194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence inconclusive 328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incident 288:18 79:4,11 108:10 identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 ignore 100:22 424:11,19 include 21:12 inconsistency ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	identify 119:24	110:20 112:20	380:9	428:5
194:2 326:22 282:21 283:3 incidence 54:8 61:5 65:2 328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incident 288:18 79:4,11 108:10 identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	119:25 120:12	218:19 228:4	incentives	incomplete
328:4 335:25 288:9 311:11 245:13 54:8 61:5 65:2 336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incident 288:18 79:4,11 108:10 identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	120:15 193:13	259:19 260:6	148:7	
336:16 348:25 359:4 371:19 incident 288:18 79:4,11 108:10 identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 355:21 424:11,19 include 21:12 inconsistency ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	194:2 326:22		incidence	inconclusive
identifying 423:21 424:10 313:17 294:9 355:21 424:11,19 include 21:12 inconsistency ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	328:4 335:25	288:9 311:11	245:13	54:8 61:5 65:2
355:21 424:11,19 include 21:12 inconsistency ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	336:16 348:25	359:4 371:19	incident 288:18	79:4,11 108:10
ignore 100:22 431:6 21:15,18 47:2 419:6,12 101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent	, ,			
101:24 260:10 impossible 96:6 62:9,11,20 421:11 ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ignoring 113:14,19 148:4 204:17 inconsistent		431:6	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	101:24 260:10	_	, ,	
102:10 116:6 135:7 252:5 342:23 254:2 396:6		· ·		
	102:10	116:6 135:7	252:5 342:23	254:2 396:6

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

[inconsistent - instance]

Page 37

419:13 421:5	increases	inflammation	information
incorporated	132:22 218:21	102:22 103:15	60:4 62:14
418:19	264:6 328:17	107:9 131:15	115:10 167:15
incorporating	328:22	131:19 132:2,9	215:20 273:15
317:8	increasing	132:10 133:15	288:7,15
incorrect 37:18	218:23	227:20 410:25	298:22 312:18
38:21 158:13	incredibly	412:18 413:3	314:21 323:18
209:3	380:12	414:3 417:13	323:21,23
increase 40:19	independent	418:9 419:3	352:22 393:5
55:17 168:6	32:8 199:20	421:17 422:2,7	informed
234:7 245:14	200:9,13	422:17,24	292:12
249:25 264:8,9	index 4:3,6 13:2	423:2,11,16,23	ingham 325:14
284:23 285:15	indicate 228:24	424:22 425:7,7	inhibit 413:14
413:12	255:23	425:9 427:11	initial 270:15
increased 32:24	indicated 211:6	427:24 428:3	323:24
40:13 87:6	276:4	429:6 431:6,20	initially 17:18
94:19 169:6	indicates 94:17	431:24 435:22	initiative
211:20 233:23	130:5	436:16	218:13
234:19,25	indicating	inflammatory	injection 392:8
236:16 238:16	126:9 157:18	130:24 388:21	innate 126:9,25
238:20 247:3,7	194:21	388:25 389:22	inquire 48:11
248:18 249:7	indirect 422:13	389:24 395:11	143:4
255:8,23 256:2	indisputable	395:19,25	inquired 48:11
256:7,14,19,21	124:24	399:25 400:6	inquires 48:21
256:24 259:13	individual	400:11,17	49:14
263:10,24	415:15	403:23 413:9	inquiry 158:10
264:4 305:10	induce 388:21	413:11,18	inside 398:16
312:8 313:2,5,7	infections	414:24 422:14	insights 310:23
313:9,11 315:9	431:18,25	422:17,21	311:11
325:4 327:9	infertility	429:19 434:8	insignificant
329:10 336:25	402:19	434:18	294:9
365:13 366:14	inflaming	influence	insinuate 53:11
366:20 367:2	226:17 228:10	169:18 197:3	insinuation
367:20 369:25	inflammaging	inform 29:15	53:4
403:10 411:4	427:14 435:25	169:12 352:24	instance 97:12
412:20			100:7

[institute - issued]

Page 38

institute 64:6	intention 172:5	interpretation	258:9
217:2 317:25	intentionally	55:24 58:24	interventions
institute's	55:3 220:14	59:4 64:13	202:4
32:20	inter 187:24	67:21 68:20	interviews
institutes	interact 235:10	70:12,19 76:7	304:22
199:23 200:16	332:23	78:11 137:12	intimate 272:5
318:2	interaction	227:2 247:3	272:10 287:9
institution 72:4	258:14,19,23	265:22 347:20	305:6 310:25
institutions	259:4 260:23	348:24 349:5	317:7
284:11 298:18	264:7	351:3	introduce
instruct 34:19	interagency	interpreting	314:9
141:9	170:12 217:9	78:8	introduction
instructed	interest 51:4	interrupt 65:24	69:24 417:11
90:11	189:6 221:11	66:9 307:25	427:23 429:6
instructing	309:25 310:6,7	316:2 409:23	introductory
29:3 31:24	364:24 397:7	424:5	181:17
33:10 90:21	interested	interrupted	invasion 74:6
instructions	17:15 196:16	36:24 43:12	413:16
437:2	440:21	44:3,8 65:19,20	invoice 20:8,10
insufficient	interesting	65:22,24 66:4	invoices 20:2,7
64:10 77:12	121:17 175:16	89:4 214:5	20:13,16
293:19 294:5	252:4 270:19	328:12 409:18	involved 72:2
299:16 300:12	305:18 311:10	412:8 430:6	76:6 156:11,14
395:10,19	312:13,14	interrupting	419:3 434:8
432:11	314:8,16 315:4	55:4 66:11,15	involves 392:7
insulted 53:18	317:4 362:22	66:24 185:11	involving 148:2
insulting 53:5	364:18 425:9	185:11 409:24	irritate 434:15
53:13	435:24	410:3	irritating
intact 247:5	interests 316:7	interruption	226:17 228:10
249:8,16	internal 210:9	120:17 185:12	island 405:23
250:14 251:2	226:15 228:8	299:21	issue 16:18 51:3
integrity 83:14	interpret 68:4	interruptions	56:2,6 118:9
intend 171:11	68:18 69:9	252:7	220:2 268:3
171:12	78:22 100:16	interval 162:20	322:8
intended 202:2	230:3 388:11	247:13 256:15	issued 50:18
		257:10,24	51:6

[issues - know]

Page 39

issues 51:4,12	15:19,19 16:4,4	210:9,12 271:5	14:16 18:4,13	
148:3 273:24	16:19,19 20:21	271:7 278:10	19:4 24:10	
392:24	20:21 24:2,3,6	282:2 309:15	438:8,18 440:7	
iwgacp 181:4	26:15,15,20	310:9 312:5	key 122:23	
181:11	27:2 28:11,12	402:13,18	318:6,10,14	
j	29:14,14 31:20	410:12,21	369:11 417:13	
j 11:10 359:7	31:21 38:25	415:20 426:2,9	418:9 427:24	
j&j 216:3	39:4,5 40:11	journals 151:2	429:7,14	
387:20 399:22	41:4,4 42:21,21	155:13	kim 370:20,21	
j&j's 381:16	42:23,23 45:4,5	judge 34:23	kind 51:21	
jama 9:8	52:19,19 53:23	judith 6:14	306:12 346:13	
january 7:17	53:24 95:3,17	116:17	428:17	
170:23 187:25	95:18 96:16,16	july 5:22 11:12	kinds 95:6	
jco 271:3	96:18,18 97:25	24:11 359:8	knew 95:22	
jersey 1:3 3:21	97:25 99:13,14	jump 294:12	224:22 225:12	
jessica 2:18	130:16,16	jumped 211:3	225:15 308:6	
30:20 33:6	167:3,13,14	289:21	308:14	
43:16 58:3 62:4	168:5,6 169:9,9	june 1:14	know 15:7	
67:13 82:4 89:4	182:6,7,12,12	438:11 440:24	16:23 27:22	
92:16 122:10	189:21,21	justifications	31:12 34:15,18	
139:11 142:25	190:10,11	69:22	35:22 36:4	
143:10 174:7	197:3,3 323:22	k	38:15,16 39:20	
185:7 207:3	323:23 358:5,5	k 3:9 438:2	39:23 42:13	
268:3 333:7	385:16	katie 216:21,23	43:3,8 44:14	
375:9 381:13	johnson's 24:6	keep 67:12	45:7,14,20,22	
381:25	26:20 27:2 39:2	109:8 122:16	45:25 46:3,4	
jessica.davids	39:18 40:12	134:16 137:22	48:16,20 49:18	
2:22	95:3 97:3,13	183:16,17	49:20,23,25,25	
	98:9 164:5,8	252:20 302:19	57:25 58:4	
jewish 10:20 353:21 354:6	165:8,12 166:9	303:7 311:22	59:23 68:15,16	
job 24:23	167:2 182:3	312:25 336:18	69:21 71:13	
john 11:10	384:17 385:16	keeping 50:4	72:15,17,19	
359:7	386:2,12	keeps 183:17	75:18 77:7	
	joking 383:10	kevin 1:16 4:7	80:11 85:25	
johnson 1:5,5 1:11,11 2:16,16	journal 156:25		86:5,6 87:4,16	
1.11,11 2.10,10	160:17 161:4	5:6,11,15,21	90:10,23 91:16	
Golkow Technologies,				

[know - lawyer's]

Page 40

_	• -			
	92:11,18,25	224:4,18 225:6	355:23 357:25	11 413:10
	93:9,13,23 94:4	225:15,17,22	358:12 363:20	labs 363:10,13
	96:4 97:4 99:24	228:18 229:13	365:6 368:15	363:18
	103:2 106:10	234:21 235:11	368:17 369:2,3	lack 250:16,23
	106:24 108:6	235:12 238:8	370:10,18,21	251:4 252:21
	112:10 115:11	238:13 239:20	370:25 373:23	253:10,19
	116:4 119:14	239:21,23	374:16 376:8	254:11 264:24
	122:4 127:5	240:3,7,22	376:14,16,18	425:10
	129:2 131:24	241:11 244:4	379:3 382:14	laden 165:21
	132:19,21	244:14 245:4	390:7,9,13,25	language
	134:5 136:20	245:17 246:3	391:5,6,11,13	212:10
	136:22 137:2	248:25 249:3	391:16 392:2,5	large 218:9
	144:16,17,23	249:14 251:6	393:15 396:4	270:24 272:15
	145:11 146:11	251:20,21,23	397:4 399:10	274:3
	150:25 152:17	252:7 254:13	399:13 400:5	largely 175:13
	155:15,15	255:4 263:21	401:20 403:4	largest 236:4
	156:21,22	267:10,20	407:6,8 408:23	416:25
	157:3,19	270:13 273:9	417:18 420:3	late 336:24
	160:10 161:3	277:16 281:25	422:3,5,6	laugh 207:4
	161:13,21	290:16 292:25	429:25 430:16	333:8
	165:3,7 166:7	295:6 301:9	430:19,21,23	laughed 333:11
	168:20,24	309:25 313:21	436:19	laughing
	169:3,8 170:7	314:20 317:15	knowing 49:13	333:17,23,24
	171:19 172:21	317:18 322:18	49:22 298:3	law 2:5
	174:11 175:15	322:23 327:19	knowledge	law.com 3:23
	176:9 180:2	330:9,18,25	88:20 205:21	lawrence 71:9
	182:16 183:11	331:2,8 333:13	206:7,11	lawsuit 84:3
	189:5 195:21	333:19 334:9	known 152:11	85:23
	196:20 197:2	334:22 335:19	326:5 336:12	lawsuits 190:12
	198:2 201:5,21	335:20 337:2	347:15 434:13	lawyer 16:3,4
	203:24 204:19	337:11 338:2	knows 144:17	16:19,24 17:3
	208:14,22	339:21 341:24	244:2 253:7	19:20 66:8
	210:15 216:24	341:25 342:17	1	87:22 290:5
	217:15,18,20	343:7,11	1 438:2	381:4
	217:21 219:7	347:14 352:14		lawyer's 441:2
	220:23 223:14	352:16 355:22		

[lawyers - listen]

Page 41

[lawyers instern]			1 4 5 6 11
lawyers 23:19	140:12 141:25	liability 1:8	linking 393:15
23:25 47:9	150:4 161:11	83:6,13 85:5,7	list 23:17,20,23
167:13	186:20 187:19	85:8,10,16	45:24 47:9
lead 57:6	203:3 214:6	lie 244:3	50:23 57:21
190:17 216:22	350:11 411:24	lies 243:20	60:23 62:21
291:2 389:12	432:25	life 84:7 161:15	65:13 68:7,17
leader 83:22	leigh's 33:17,17	390:3,20	71:3,6,13 72:17
leadership 53:8	162:9	lifestyle 431:5	75:8 79:25 80:7
53:8 205:11	leigh.odell 2:13	ligation 403:25	135:5 136:13
leading 45:22	length 197:17	light 358:15	136:16,18
130:25 291:19	360:24 361:7	likelihood	153:7 176:13
leads 44:20	412:2	245:7	176:17,22
286:6	lesser 244:5	likely 133:12	189:14 223:6,8
learned 182:2	letter 246:2	243:23 244:5	238:9 268:12
learning 6:6	letters 233:7	349:4 417:13	268:20 277:25
72:7,11 73:5,18	263:9	418:9	279:10,19
73:22 107:2	leukocytes	limit 247:12,13	282:8 321:12
leave 204:13	428:2	365:18 367:14	357:11 358:4
231:23 320:8	level 6:17	limitations	358:19 371:10
424:7	153:14 155:11	162:11	371:15 375:5
leaving 57:20	159:17 186:13	limited 127:19	377:23 378:2
lecture 76:4	188:9 201:19	162:5 207:23	378:23 404:13
led 165:19	202:10,11	207:24 250:14	408:3 411:16
179:13	203:15 204:11	324:23 383:12	listed 63:21
left 65:13	204:18 205:5	line 13:5,10,15	69:9 149:21
111:15 233:6	248:9 254:14	13:20 28:21	180:21 196:23
261:10 286:15	267:17 415:15	39:24 40:16	196:25 361:17
legal 84:9	levels 132:22	44:21 160:15	361:18 364:19
legalese 19:21	149:15,16	166:2 413:23	407:18
legitimate	150:14,17,22	439:3 441:3	listen 30:23
33:22	151:2,8,20	lines 361:5	64:19,21 67:19
leigh 2:7 27:24	152:17,24	lining 434:16	84:14 109:24
28:17 29:22	153:19 154:14	link 372:21	142:15 158:9
33:16,20 37:16	159:8,12	373:5	236:9 289:25
66:7,24 73:14	203:19,23,25	linked 190:14	367:22
87:9,13 139:13	413:2 424:8		

[listened - looked]

Page 42

listened 162:9	199:11,21	living 147:5	139:24 140:16
lists 364:15	200:14 226:4	llp 2:17	155:8 175:19
literally 37:11	231:5 233:21	load 393:7	176:10,11
37:15 87:8	236:19,20	local 388:21,24	179:21 180:2
294:21 409:18	283:8,9 292:9	389:22	180:20 188:18
literature 16:12	297:4 299:13	logical 346:6,13	198:5,6 204:23
21:19 22:8,9	305:8 329:3	347:23 349:12	209:19 215:24
41:2,7 46:25	331:16 332:12	349:17 353:10	216:20 218:16
47:6,8 49:5,7	332:18 333:3	long 33:18,20	220:7 221:20
57:18 59:6	362:24 370:6	142:3 197:15	222:9,10,15
64:24 69:20,25	390:18 396:5	218:14 273:11	245:25 249:22
75:4,5,18,24	396:13,25	318:18 379:11	250:6 257:5,19
76:7 78:16,19	398:24 416:21	379:19 433:20	258:23 260:23
78:20,24 79:3	419:13,14	435:12	264:7,18,20
80:10,12 86:10	421:13 422:19	longer 171:4	266:12 268:10
95:24 96:2 97:7	litigation 1:8	320:13	268:19 269:4
97:7 99:7 101:8	15:4,17 20:21	longo 11:15	273:19 278:14
106:17 107:16	21:6 48:24 53:6	377:12,19	279:22 282:6
107:17 108:9	53:23 69:18,23	380:3 381:8	291:4 293:3
108:11,19,22	70:2,9 84:23	382:3 383:21	295:10 301:5,9
109:12 110:22	85:4,6,7,20	384:15,25	307:11 322:20
111:5,13,13,15	86:21,24 87:18	385:21 386:9	352:20 362:19
112:6,11 113:2	87:22 96:17	386:13,20	365:23 371:21
113:13,18,22	166:22 167:25	387:2 388:2,7,8	375:3 377:16
113:23 124:18	187:15 190:19	397:20	377:17,18
127:20 129:10	237:25 238:6	longo's 374:25	401:21 402:22
129:22 130:2,3	325:10 399:23	376:11,14,21	403:11 406:17
130:4,15,20	401:6	380:6 383:19	418:15 424:10
132:7 135:3	little 46:21	384:5 393:19	426:16 428:16
136:11 151:23	75:13 77:5	look 27:17 30:6	428:21 431:11
152:20 162:14	96:22 111:9,25	34:10 55:10,13	looked 29:13
165:19 168:4	178:9 279:13	55:16 60:18,21	39:4 71:2,5
168:10 169:4	427:10	72:14 75:24	104:24 130:8
169:15 173:6	live 237:23	81:12,24	132:7 134:6
174:16 175:2,5	lives 52:18	107:17 109:11	136:25 137:8
175:19 192:20	368:24	118:5 133:5	146:12 147:6

[looked - malignant]

Page 43

G			
176:9 211:13	390:18 401:23	m.d. 1:16 4:7	128:10 135:9
217:10 218:14	lose 248:12	5:7,11,15,21	136:22 141:15
224:15 225:7	289:12	11:11 14:16	143:21 144:11
225:18,23	lost 274:2 289:9	359:7 438:8,18	162:4 178:8
241:23 242:3,6	314:21	440:8	224:2 228:3
242:7 249:15	lot 49:4,5	ma'am 290:7	236:17 237:13
313:8 371:20	134:12 167:6,8	316:22	260:25 269:14
390:17 403:6	167:10 169:10	made 18:10	275:3 285:23
409:10 424:3	176:2 182:7,15	30:17 51:15	288:18 290:21
429:13	204:20 213:22	77:10 123:12	294:10,11
looking 47:21	214:12 268:22	124:3 129:17	298:8 309:18
49:4 60:21	273:11,12	142:16 147:2	310:11 313:15
78:19 106:14	302:16 314:21	164:21 172:13	326:17 328:19
112:25 119:5	352:21 368:21	177:11 244:7	346:3 411:14
121:21 134:3	369:5 376:5,6	245:4 287:19	418:11 429:12
135:3 140:17	lots 94:13 97:18	287:24 293:6	432:25 437:5
145:19,25	167:3,7 175:22	300:22 322:24	makes 122:19
149:14 205:2	370:11 371:6	349:16 358:25	126:5 146:21
212:21 213:4,5	low 239:2	387:15 393:11	206:22 248:6
220:3 224:14	lower 74:18	437:9	248:12 356:25
224:23 241:15	204:12 417:4	main 308:17	398:15
241:15,16	lunch 223:19	mainstream	making 60:2
260:18 262:4,6	223:25 224:6	407:23 408:14	69:22 96:24
262:10,18	232:14 241:6	408:20,21	161:9 172:12
264:5,22	luncheon	major 407:13	201:6,13
268:18 297:4	232:15	majority 25:15	291:12,15
328:25 347:5	lung 89:22	345:16 390:12	310:18 311:4,5
349:8 350:7	lungs 392:10,13	make 19:18,20	347:8
356:2 358:13	lymph 130:13	31:7 37:2 41:12	malignancies
358:15 362:11	lynch 340:8,16	45:6 51:21 52:7	74:18
366:23 398:24	340:19 345:4	56:18 69:13,20	malignancy
411:7 424:9,12	345:17	70:8 75:25	52:5 75:21
424:15 431:10	m	89:23 100:2,9	malignant
431:22	m 2:6 438:2	106:19 113:23	131:21 132:18
looks 178:9	111 2.0 TJU.2	113:24 114:6	390:8,8,12,15
220:16 295:15		121:16 125:2	390:23,23
	Collrow To		<u> </u>

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196906

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

[malignant - mechanism]

Page 44

. 8	-		\mathcal{L}
396:22 422:22	153:12 170:17	182:18,19,23	254:6 266:8
428:7 430:10	177:21 190:2	materially 30:9	267:17 292:18
436:17	192:12,21	146:25	309:7 312:11
management	216:8,13 304:2	materials 5:18	315:4 317:21
138:11 141:2	320:14 321:10	23:11,13 29:2,6	329:16 330:18
141:12 143:18	341:2 359:5	29:7,11 31:14	332:22 337:3
147:24 148:3,8	377:9 401:12	60:7,10 71:17	348:16 357:12
149:2,6,8 153:6	415:4 427:15	133:6 182:24	368:11,12
managing	marked 13:19	279:10 298:23	370:10 390:14
148:6	18:5,15 19:5	321:12 372:22	396:4 406:3
manhattan	23:14 24:12	403:17	407:8 420:3
1:17 2:19	27:7 73:6 79:21	math 338:16	423:6 427:5,16
manner 12:9	116:18 153:16	matter 29:20	meaning
manufactured	155:5 166:18	87:13 137:16	132:16
95:17	170:23 177:25	155:13 165:16	means 63:2
manufacturer	180:6 190:5	166:3 174:9	79:4 113:17
7:7 166:15	192:16 193:5	264:14 353:2	137:12 171:20
march 192:5,13	193:11 210:5	mcdevitt 3:17	227:8 230:22
203:17	212:14 216:11	mcternan	308:10 317:3
margaret 2:6	216:17 221:23	194:6	317:18 347:16
14:25 33:11,15	224:10 269:8	md 6:15 18:4	365:14,15
40:7 42:4,16	277:19 301:2	18:14 19:4	368:15,18
43:17 45:13	304:4 320:19	24:11 116:17	407:7
65:15,18 96:25	321:2,18,22	mdl 1:5 3:6	meant 95:22
139:12 143:10	341:6 353:25	23:4	110:7 235:14
154:18 157:7	356:17 359:8	meagher 2:17	241:13
194:19 268:9	377:13 401:14	mean 20:22	measurements
268:10 280:2	415:7 425:19	28:24 46:22	188:22
366:6	market 164:3	83:18 90:16	meat 302:18
margaret.tho	marketing 1:6	93:12 95:9,11	mechanism
2:12	marking	103:10 115:4,5	101:12 102:23
marie 1:19	154:19	117:25 125:2	105:24 106:22
223:2 440:3	mas 1:7	137:11 138:16	108:22 122:24
mark 17:25	masks 172:13	151:12 182:6,8	126:10 130:24
23:10 79:15,18	material 33:23	183:15,20	168:14 169:19
80:13 116:13	34:5,8 135:5	195:16 198:3	169:24 413:18

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196907

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

[mechanism - mills]

Page 45

414:4 427:25	membership	328:16 329:2	methods 7:14
429:7	301:25,25	343:17 354:19	154:20 170:21
mechanisms	memory 22:9	354:20 355:6	287:7 298:24
429:14	58:11,11 86:15	356:23 359:10	383:20 403:18
mechanistic	118:4 163:7	359:12,19,23	michelle 3:8
424:16	378:5	370:7,18 387:9	microscopy
mecoli 3:18	men 99:19	426:21	358:16
mediate 428:3	menarche	mentioning	microsoft 47:14
medical 10:22	336:23 404:4	323:14 409:12	middle 43:13
21:16 25:4,8	menopausal	mentions	44:7,11 54:24
32:8 47:18	327:23 404:2	125:23 317:23	61:22,24 62:6
69:17 83:21	menopause	mercifully	66:16 125:11
91:5 114:2,8,13	336:24	409:24	144:8 214:4
114:17 123:6	mention 69:6	mere 373:4	280:4 340:12
123:14 129:9	76:5 82:13,23	mergers 148:6	409:19 410:24
129:11 131:3	86:14 102:20	merit 1:20	412:7 417:21
132:5 295:12	125:24 126:2	440:3,23	417:24
315:5 324:24	128:12,16	mesothelioma	midway 346:3
339:14,25	178:19 234:9	169:2 397:6,11	migrate 118:13
340:2 343:25	253:13,14,15	397:13	118:22 124:22
344:7 346:13	259:16,19,21	met 20:17	migrates
351:8,18 352:9	260:12,20	48:25 360:23	118:23
353:6,11,22	261:14,19,24	361:6	migrating
354:7 372:3,18	323:13 355:13	meta 8:9	102:21
medicine 149:4	356:25 357:6,8	192:25 193:4	migration
149:10 153:5	366:16 369:23	197:22 204:24	108:23 116:10
210:9	369:24 390:24	234:16,17,18	116:11,22
meet 22:13,22	413:21 429:12	238:14 301:13	117:3,18
meetings 22:20	mentioned 22:3	415:14	119:10 120:21
22:24,25	38:3 47:17	metastasis 74:7	122:20 123:25
271:14	60:25 76:15,17	429:20,22	125:6,12,19,20
member 205:20	84:8 121:17	metastatic	132:3 134:4
206:6 271:11	157:2 204:6	354:11	392:23 396:5
members 54:15	239:3 244:8	methodology	424:21
61:3 301:22	246:20 261:18	188:20	mills 234:13
405:16	266:21 299:10		236:6 238:13

[mind - movement]

Page 46

	J		1 450 10
mind 41:12	428:21 432:21	misstating	mormon
45:6 79:4	433:3	332:2	209:16,16
111:14 112:4	mischaracteri	mistake 229:25	morning 14:23
230:21 254:3	348:6	358:23 359:2	14:24 77:24
293:18 298:4	mischaracteri	375:9	100:16 135:15
312:25 374:21	191:15	misunderstan	398:13
minds 75:25	mischaracteri	226:23	motile 119:9
mine 17:4	283:20 293:23	misunderstood	125:12,19
124:19 267:22	misclass 288:11	164:14	126:3,14,20
333:23 385:2	misclassificat	misused 297:21	127:9,11
mineralogist	286:2	mm 166:24	motility 126:9
361:23 368:20	misheard	322:15	126:25
376:15	103:18	mobility 125:16	motivating
minerals	misleading	model 273:20	148:4
182:21 369:6	111:25	models 111:19	motivation
mines 182:19	misplaced	111:20	190:22
mining 183:2	125:13	moderate 421:3	mouth 283:20
minute 27:17	misreporting	modifiable	300:10
67:4 120:8	285:13	287:15	move 37:24
141:4,5,5	missed 205:19	modification	44:25 71:25
149:23,23	missing 272:15	415:12 419:23	75:22 119:16
154:2 155:8	273:18 288:6	420:6	126:7 138:2
158:12 173:16	288:15 292:2	modify 420:14	143:14 156:4
173:17 222:8	312:17 313:15	modifying	158:11 163:23
223:3 262:3	314:12 342:19	420:10	164:21 187:10
267:25 278:17	misspoke	moment 143:25	211:11 223:21
278:24 281:4	227:11	144:4 281:8	229:23 239:16
319:12,21	misstate 167:6	304:9	255:19 257:4
368:9 380:4	191:20 375:18	money 273:12	267:24 274:5
428:16	misstated	monkeys	279:5 284:6
minutes 67:5	159:22	109:17	317:22 324:7
223:19,21	misstates 77:3	montgomery	350:22 371:23
280:5 316:7,10	77:19 159:20	2:10	383:8 409:16
319:22,25	225:11 331:22	months 208:2	movement
320:3 369:18	334:11 375:13	391:2	125:14
380:21,21,23	375:17 421:20		

[moving - nih] Page 47

10045	240.0	250 0 405 12	41 1 7
moving 122:16	myeloma 340:8	250:8 405:13	netherlands
mucinous	340:14	406:15	181:21
234:3,8,17,19	n	nearly 32:7	never 26:17,23
236:6 238:3,17	n 2:2 232:17,17	necessarily	27:12,18 28:17
238:21	232:17 438:2,2	12:10 235:13	29:17 39:4 46:3
multifactorial	name 14:25	necessary	48:11,25 66:8
330:16,19,22	16:3,25 217:20	122:12 200:7	66:24 71:24
331:7 426:19	246:18 299:4	437:5	76:15,16,16
multiple 34:16	359:10 376:13	neck 151:13	113:22 156:17
70:17 152:23		need 18:8 27:20	156:19 164:20
163:13 174:16	376:16,18 377:19 402:14	43:18,19,20,22	186:20 216:4
198:4 209:13		44:4 66:13	257:23 305:20
287:13 296:12	409:13,14	106:6 136:10	314:14 330:20
308:20 331:8	430:3,22	155:7 158:23	331:15 332:18
333:11 340:8	named 371:6	164:15 215:18	333:3 346:12
340:13 350:17	names 217:12	226:12 232:25	396:11
409:6	217:16 415:23	281:7 299:23	new 1:3,17,18
multiply 338:7	416:19	299:25 304:8	2:20,20 3:21
murray 249:6	narrative 47:3	304:12 307:18	14:4,4,19 21:19
mutation	national 32:20	308:15 311:2	25:19,23 28:4
331:10,17	64:6 199:23	311:14,19,21	29:5,8,9,10
335:3,24 337:7	200:15 217:2	315:19,21	30:19 31:14
mutations	317:25 318:2	428:15 429:11	34:5,8 37:13
131:20 239:5	nations 186:11	431:13 433:2	47:8,10,12
332:9,14	188:8	needs 66:14	138:20 142:19
334:24 335:14	natural 118:19	119:25 279:6	142:20 146:17
337:4,10	398:14	311:12 344:9	162:13 312:4
mutch 83:22	nature 239:11	379:15	440:5
	335:13	negative 160:5	newer 22:7
341:19,22,24	nci 33:3 34:8		
342:13,22	55:21 192:2	162:22 256:16	news 7:6
343:3 355:14	197:4 199:6,17	277:8 342:4	166:14
355:23 356:6	199:22 200:9	neglect 41:8	niehs 180:24
356:22 373:18	200:15,21,24	neighbor 17:4	217:5,8 250:9
374:13,16	201:22 205:8	neither 50:17	270:25 298:17
mutch's 22:5	207:14 217:23	51:6 440:16,19	nih 8:6 180:23
373:14	_		192:15 199:6

[nih - object] Page 48

199:17 200:21	notice 5:14 19:3	209:19,23	73:12 81:24
200:22,22,24	19:9,12 138:5	215:5,6,9 216:8	88:22 89:2
201:3 217:19	noticed 234:21	216:10,14,22	98:14 122:11
217:22 250:8	nowak 370:24	216:23 218:6	128:20 138:20
270:24 298:17	370:25 371:5,7	222:6 224:9,13	140:10,15
402:25 416:17	nsaid 403:23	224:20 225:6	141:18,23
niosh 180:23	420:25 421:4	225:22 232:21	142:15,23
nist 181:3	nsaids 406:23	233:8 240:13	143:9 144:5,9
nodes 130:13	419:9,10	242:12,14	145:14 146:18
non 47:24	422:12	250:7,9,11,20	146:23 158:9
84:13 90:7	number 56:25	251:15 252:16	158:14 186:19
177:17 242:22	128:19 164:2	255:19,22,25	187:8,12
251:6,7 252:3	218:21 248:23	257:16,17	194:18 195:7
252:11 256:6	262:18 274:3	259:11 263:5	202:17,21
264:8 265:9	291:24 313:16	263:22 264:25	208:12,16,20
266:25 277:9	367:17 370:7	265:23 267:6	209:2,9,12
289:5 313:12	375:14,15	268:11 269:4,7	212:14 223:9
367:4 387:6	391:5,8,14,17	276:3 277:14	223:12 224:4
390:8,15,23	391:25 392:2	278:6 284:22	237:8 257:6,13
396:22 399:18	435:23	287:6 290:10	257:16 268:2,6
403:22 420:25	numbers	292:13 293:2	279:7,18,25
425:15	193:24 218:23	296:2 297:13	281:5,10,19
normal 108:15	321:6 345:8,10	303:16,21	319:11 320:2
111:7	345:14 381:23	304:19 310:14	348:20 350:9
north 217:4	numerous 22:6	319:6 416:11	379:16,22
notable 238:21	31:11 101:13	434:24	380:15 383:5,8
238:23	292:8 296:6	o'brien's	383:12 409:25
notary 1:21	nurses 218:10	243:15 251:12	411:21,25
14:18 438:24	218:11 221:18	260:15	428:24 432:16
440:5,24	nw 3:9	o'dell 2:7 29:17	oath 438:10
note 12:9 44:19	0	29:23 30:4,8,13	ob 402:19
76:16,17 357:8	o 232:17,17,17	30:19 31:7	obesity 89:24
notes 441:2	438:2	34:14,22 36:16	90:2 412:24
nother 223:22	o'brien 8:18	36:19,22 37:18	413:19
262:25	9:10,13 208:7	41:24 42:3 55:7	object 28:20
	7.10,13 200.7	66:21 67:2,5,11	30:21,22 33:13

[object - okay]

Page 49

36:7,11,15 37:3 104:16 113:6 397:2 405:6 369:14 370:2 37:20,24 39:13 114:11 131:5 409:8 420:2 393:6,10,13,17 39:13 45:10 133:18 143:2 421:19 423:25 occur 244:20 70:16 84:11 148:15 149:12 426:22 occur 244:20 91:25 151:7 149:22 152:13 objections 76:19 153:23 158:24 152:22 159:19 31:10 33:17,18 october 7:9 171:7 183:10 160:13 161:14 33:21 142:16 166:17 183:21 184:3 163:5 164:10 147:2 162:9 odds 215:24 184:12,21 165:5,9,14 192:10 offer 16:13 185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 50:5,10 226:4 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 250:21 256:18 25:3 52:2 <				- 1.6
39:13 45:10 133:18 143:2 421:19 423:25 occur 244:20 70:16 84:11 148:15 149:12 426:22 occurring 91:25 151:7 149:22 152:13 objections 76:19 153:23 158:24 152:22 159:19 31:10 33:17,18 october 7:9 171:7 183:10 160:13 161:14 33:21 142:16 166:17 183:21 184:3 163:5 164:10 147:2 162:9 ods 215:24 184:12,21 165:5,9,14 192:10 offer 16:13 185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 objective 74:9 objective 74:9 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational 218:13 offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 offered 132:25 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 250:21 256:18 25:3 52:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25	36:7,11,15 37:3	104:16 113:6	397:2 405:6	369:14 370:2
70:16 84:11 148:15 149:12 426:22 occurring 91:25 151:7 149:22 152:13 objections 76:19 153:23 158:24 152:22 159:19 31:10 33:17,18 october 7:9 171:7 183:10 160:13 161:14 33:21 142:16 166:17 183:21 184:3 163:5 164:10 147:2 162:9 odds 215:24 184:12,21 165:5,9,14 192:10 offer 16:13 185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 50:5,10 226:4 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational 273:7 395:24 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 offered 132:25 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 0bserved official 306:21 51:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 40jection 42:14 233:16 235:4 25:3 52:2 0kay 16:8 17:5 <td>37:20,24 39:13</td> <td>114:11 131:5</td> <td>409:8 420:2</td> <td>393:6,10,13,17</td>	37:20,24 39:13	114:11 131:5	409:8 420:2	393:6,10,13,17
91:25 151:7 149:22 152:13 objections 76:19 153:23 158:24 152:22 159:19 31:10 33:17,18 october 7:9 171:7 183:10 160:13 161:14 33:21 142:16 166:17 183:21 184:3 163:5 164:10 147:2 162:9 odds 215:24 184:12,21 165:5,9,14 192:10 offer 16:13 185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 50:5,10 226:4 195:17 202:16 167:16 168:2 observation 273:7 395:24 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 observational 273:7 395:24 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 official 306:21 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 observed official 306:21 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 287:10 355:10 411:23	39:13 45:10	133:18 143:2	421:19 423:25	occur 244:20
153:23 158:24 152:22 159:19 31:10 33:17,18 october 7:9 171:7 183:10 160:13 161:14 33:21 142:16 166:17 183:21 184:3 163:5 164:10 147:2 162:9 odds 215:24 184:12,21 165:5,9,14 192:10 offer 16:13 185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 50:5,10 226:4 195:17 202:16 167:16 168:2 observation 273:7 395:24 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 0kay 16:8 17:5 <tr< td=""><td>70:16 84:11</td><td>148:15 149:12</td><td>426:22</td><td>occurring</td></tr<>	70:16 84:11	148:15 149:12	426:22	occurring
171:7 183:10 160:13 161:14 33:21 142:16 166:17 183:21 184:3 163:5 164:10 147:2 162:9 odds 215:24 184:12,21 165:5,9,14 192:10 offer 16:13 185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 50:5,10 226:4 195:17 202:16 167:16 168:2 observation 273:7 395:24 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 256:18 obsterving 355:10 411:23 350:12 268:7 272:11 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24	91:25 151:7	149:22 152:13	objections	76:19
183:21 184:3 163:5 164:10 147:2 162:9 odds 215:24 184:12,21 165:5,9,14 192:10 offer 16:13 185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 50:5,10 226:4 195:17 202:16 167:16 168:2 observation 273:7 395:24 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 241:4 41:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 38:19 40:24	153:23 158:24	152:22 159:19	31:10 33:17,18	october 7:9
184:12,21 165:5,9,14 192:10 offer 16:13 185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 50:5,10 226:4 195:17 202:16 167:16 168:2 observation 273:7 395:24 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 </td <td>171:7 183:10</td> <td>160:13 161:14</td> <td>33:21 142:16</td> <td>166:17</td>	171:7 183:10	160:13 161:14	33:21 142:16	166:17
185:24 186:19 166:10 167:5 objective 74:9 50:5,10 226:4 195:17 202:16 167:16 168:2 observation 273:7 395:24 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 offering 198:22 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obsetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 182:19 50:2 54:25 <td>183:21 184:3</td> <td>163:5 164:10</td> <td>147:2 162:9</td> <td>odds 215:24</td>	183:21 184:3	163:5 164:10	147:2 162:9	odds 215:24
195:17 202:16 167:16 168:2 observation 273:7 395:24 203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 0kay 16:8 17:5 35:12 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25	184:12,21	165:5,9,14	192:10	offer 16:13
203:2 207:5 168:19 169:21 413:24 411:20 421:25 289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 38:19 40:24 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 <	185:24 186:19	166:10 167:5	objective 74:9	50:5,10 226:4
289:3 294:20 170:2 171:17 observational offered 132:25 314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 307:2,8 308:7 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	195:17 202:16	167:16 168:2	observation	273:7 395:24
314:24 326:25 174:25 179:15 218:13 185:13 348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations 218:22 231:19 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 official 306:21 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	203:2 207:5	168:19 169:21	413:24	411:20 421:25
348:5,20 350:2 185:9 187:13 observations offering 198:22 350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 official 306:21 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtain 163:24 41:3 43:8 49:8 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	289:3 294:20	170:2 171:17	observational	offered 132:25
350:9 407:4 191:5,12 197:8 218:22 231:19 411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 official 306:21 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	314:24 326:25	174:25 179:15	218:13	185:13
411:22 432:8 206:3,12 211:8 observed offers 50:12 objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 official 306:21 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 0kay 16:8 17:5 35:19 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	348:5,20 350:2	185:9 187:13	observations	offering 198:22
objected 219:5,16 285:16 318:17 official 306:21 151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	350:9 407:4	191:5,12 197:8	218:22	231:19
151:15 203:4 225:10 231:12 411:4 412:21 307:2,8 308:7 objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	411:22 432:8	206:3,12 211:8	observed	offers 50:12
objecting 42:14 233:16 235:4 observing oh 153:23 91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 0kay 16:8 17:5 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	objected	219:5,16	285:16 318:17	official 306:21
91:20 92:12 235:21 237:2 287:10 355:10 411:23 170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	151:15 203:4	225:10 231:12	411:4 412:21	307:2,8 308:7
170:6 183:17 250:21 256:18 obstetrics 25:2 okay 16:8 17:5 350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	objecting 42:14	233:16 235:4	observing	oh 153:23
350:12 268:7 272:11 25:3 52:2 17:17 28:22 objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	91:20 92:12	235:21 237:2	287:10	355:10 411:23
objection 30:21 281:6 283:16 obtain 163:24 38:19 40:24 31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	170:6 183:17	250:21 256:18	obstetrics 25:2	okay 16:8 17:5
31:22 32:13,17 293:20 299:6,9 obtained 179:6 41:3 43:8 49:8 35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	350:12	268:7 272:11	25:3 52:2	17:17 28:22
35:19 36:2 37:8 311:25 322:10 182:19 50:2 54:25 39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	objection 30:21		obtain 163:24	38:19 40:24
39:3,22 41:15 328:2 330:17 obvious 255:3 57:14,16 58:8 43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	31:22 32:13,17	293:20 299:6,9	obtained 179:6	41:3 43:8 49:8
43:5 45:9 49:17 330:24 331:21 255:14 264:3 60:9 61:6 63:25	35:19 36:2 37:8	311:25 322:10	182:19	50:2 54:25
	39:3,22 41:15	328:2 330:17	obvious 255:3	57:14,16 58:8
51:22 52:21 331:25 334:10 433:22 64:7.17 67:8	43:5 45:9 49:17	330:24 331:21	255:14 264:3	60:9 61:6 63:25
	51:22 52:21	331:25 334:10	433:22	64:7,17 67:8
56:14 65:5 68:2 335:10 336:3 obviously 79:14 82:10,24	56:14 65:5 68:2	335:10 336:3	obviously	79:14 82:10,24
69:15 71:20 337:25 342:25 125:14 84:21 86:7	69:15 71:20	337:25 342:25	125:14	84:21 86:7
72:23 75:10 360:16 361:21 occupational 92:22 97:16		360:16 361:21	occupational	92:22 97:16
76:2 77:2,18 364:21 365:22 168:21 172:11 99:4,16 100:8	76:2 77:2,18	364:21 365:22	168:21 172:11	99:4,16 100:8
86:4,13 88:7,25 367:6 368:7,10 174:18,24 101:4 102:13	86:4,13 88:7,25	367:6 368:7,10	174:18,24	101:4 102:13
90:14 91:8 373:2 391:18 365:2,13,20,21 103:5,19 104:4	90:14 91:8	373:2 391:18	365:2,13,20,21	103:5,19 104:4
93:14 102:25 391:22 392:17 367:15,21 104:23 105:16	93:14 102:25	391:22 392:17	367:15,21	104:23 105:16

[okay - opinion]

Page 50

105:22 106:3,6	250:6 254:16	396:19 397:14	171:24 185:19
106:19 108:20	255:16 262:13	400:14,20,24	271:5 290:21
109:24 112:8	262:22 263:2	404:21 405:25	305:20 312:6
112:16 113:25	267:5,23	410:15 417:20	341:7 407:2
114:6,15,19,20	268:21 270:7	418:7,7 419:20	410:17,19
115:9 116:8	272:23 275:3	420:18 421:14	415:20 425:25
117:7 118:6	275:11 276:19	421:22 423:14	426:9
120:13 122:2	276:25 277:12	425:12 427:21	ones 207:13,16
124:16 125:4,9	278:14,25	428:14 432:14	273:2,4 370:19
126:18 127:12	279:17 280:20	433:25 434:6	406:11
127:18 129:19	281:21 282:19	old 29:6,8 33:23	ongoing 310:24
130:22 131:10	284:6 285:10	47:16	online 178:7
135:9 143:7,15	295:8 297:23	omission 355:2	179:6
146:5,8 150:8	299:10 303:6	once 105:12	op 357:8
154:24 159:4	306:12 308:16	110:13 227:15	open 111:14
159:25 163:18	310:16 311:22	302:21 338:5,7	112:4 118:10
167:20 169:17	316:17,23	431:21	118:16,17
171:25 172:24	317:22 327:2	onco 341:14	119:14 120:24
174:3 176:8	329:14 334:4	oncologist 17:7	121:4 227:15
177:2,12	334:25 336:13	41:17 88:9	229:3
179:18,25	338:18 343:6	90:18 183:13	opening 190:17
183:3 186:8	343:12 344:11	185:17 271:21	operative
191:23,25	346:21 348:8	290:17 305:19	354:16 356:7
195:5,18 196:5	348:14 351:5	331:2 341:20	356:10,13
196:6 198:16	352:4,12 356:3	341:20 376:15	opinion 16:13
198:23 199:15	360:7,19	oncologists	16:17 17:8
203:8 204:15	362:14 363:24	52:8 271:8,18	40:11,16 50:7,9
205:6 206:24	366:9 367:4,13	303:20 304:15	50:21 51:13,14
207:7 208:19	370:17,23	306:23	51:18 58:24
211:3,11,12	372:11 374:19	oncology 6:7	68:6 74:21
215:3,22	376:9 377:22	28:13 42:18	78:14 83:8
219:12 225:20	378:7 379:6	48:21 49:2 52:4	95:23 99:8
227:25 230:7	381:24 387:12	72:8 73:5,19	100:17 105:17
230:19 231:3,7	388:15 389:3	83:23 111:7	105:23 106:2,7
231:17,23	389:18 392:4	155:20,22,25	106:10,21
245:24 248:14	393:21 395:7	156:3,9,11,25	107:21 110:14
I		1	

[opinion - ovarian]

Page 51

[opinion ovarian]			1 450 51
110:16,21	388:23 389:4	191:11 199:17	originally
111:12 112:10	392:12,18,19	200:20 237:11	146:19
112:19 114:8	395:8,15,24	283:7,9 296:10	osha 180:24
124:16 128:7	396:3,8,10,12	296:12,25	ounce 386:11
129:23 130:3	396:14 399:23	301:18 350:3	ounces 386:11
130:14,17,23	400:3,10,11,12	352:8,22 379:2	outlined 199:13
131:12,22	400:15,18,22	380:8 383:19	outside 16:6
133:19 134:7	405:9,22,24	397:8 406:10	35:20 36:9
160:2,10	406:21 407:11	opportunity	39:10 41:16
162:17,21,24	421:25 422:4,6	15:10 142:7	48:24 69:18
163:2,17 168:4	424:23	230:15 263:19	88:10,20 90:17
169:12,14,18	opinion's	279:15 290:6	92:21 93:7,10
172:22 173:15	129:25	414:21	93:24 94:3,7
173:20 174:3	opinions 15:11	opposed 147:10	130:3 164:25
174:15,21	15:13,17 17:11	oral 5:14 19:4	171:10 183:18
175:24 185:13	18:19,23 23:6	327:17 403:24	184:23,25
188:13 191:3	27:15 29:15	order 342:13	185:3,21
226:4,6 228:23	30:12 36:10	ordered 341:20	187:17 188:14
231:4,15,17,19	37:7,14 39:11	341:22 342:22	226:5 251:3
238:2 251:21	39:15 40:23,25	343:4	331:3 357:18
251:25 252:16	41:17,20 42:25	ores 183:5	361:22 394:20
252:19 255:21	46:2 90:23 94:9	organization	401:5 405:8,10
259:11 267:2	94:11,15	150:13 186:12	406:19,25
275:24 276:7	101:20 105:18	188:9 200:23	407:12,23
283:5 291:14	107:8 108:7,7	304:16 307:3	408:14,19
294:16 296:11	109:7,7,12	310:4 315:12	ovarian 9:7,22
297:23 300:7,9	112:13 114:3	402:8	10:6 16:13,15
307:8 311:17	116:21 123:17	organizations	18:21 25:23
314:13 315:14	124:4,6,18	56:4 204:21	26:2,5,9 32:24
315:15 324:20	128:25 133:8	405:17,18	40:19 48:13
325:25 328:21	133:25 134:2	organs 226:16	50:13,16 53:2
335:2 338:19	134:23 135:14	228:9	53:10,17,25
338:21 347:9	136:9 165:17	original 4:15	54:4 55:23
349:14 353:8	166:5 168:8,13	138:14 281:23	56:22 57:7 58:6
353:12 355:3	171:10 183:15	313:8 437:14	58:13 59:8,10
369:15,16	183:24 187:18		61:17,19 62:16

[ovarian - padded]

Page 52

- - -			
62:18 64:12,14	272:6,10 274:9	368:23 369:13	130:25 133:13
68:8,10,12,13	274:21 284:23	369:20 370:2,5	168:16 227:19
68:23 69:2,8	285:15,18	370:14 372:23	255:11 394:7,7
71:4 72:22	287:12,18,23	374:8 393:7,12	394:11 395:12
74:17,25 75:6	291:23 293:14	393:14,16	395:20,22
76:5 77:13 78:5	294:7,17	397:12 400:4	398:21 400:9
78:22,25 79:13	295:13 297:9	401:18 402:5	434:17
81:2 83:5,16	297:19 300:17	403:8,19,22	ovary 110:23
84:2 85:15,19	302:5,12	404:9 407:16	400:17
85:24 87:2 88:3	305:10,21,24	408:10,19	overall 172:4
94:19 95:5	305:25 308:19	409:3 411:3	267:2 314:13
97:16 98:10	311:9 312:8	412:20 413:12	347:8
100:13 103:15	313:2 318:16	414:5,25	overly 275:9
105:25 106:23	320:16,18,23	415:13,15	overrepresent
107:9 122:25	320:25 322:8	417:2,4,6,14	313:17
123:9 129:6	324:17,21	418:10,17	overweight
137:10 163:12	325:22 326:2	419:3,8 420:23	412:25
168:7,23 169:2	327:10,16,25	420:25 421:18	ovulation
169:6,13 172:7	328:18,23	422:3,8,15	417:16
172:9,19,23	329:10,17,21	423:10,11,17	own 22:6 41:12
173:5,7 174:19	330:11,15,21	423:23 425:8,8	75:25 76:9
174:23 175:6	331:3,11,19	426:17 427:13	131:10,13
185:15 189:12	332:9,16,23,24	429:18 431:7	276:8 285:24
190:15 211:6	334:8,23 335:4	434:9,23	293:3 381:17
211:20 218:18	335:9,15,17,21	436:17	oxford 149:10
219:2 220:3	336:2,11,25	ovaries 102:22	p
221:23 224:15	337:4 338:20	107:23 108:12	p 2:2,2,7 3:18
225:8 226:2,4	338:22 339:2	108:18,24	162:21 259:4
227:21 233:11	340:5 342:15	109:23 110:10	p.c. 3:17
234:8 235:6	342:16,18,24	113:4,20	p.m. 436:25
238:4 239:12	343:5,9,15,21	114:10,24	p.o. 2:9
242:22 243:10	344:8,16,21,22	115:20 116:2	p1.00000272
245:13,16	349:3 354:25	122:23 126:15	10:13 321:17
247:4 249:7	355:24 365:5,7	127:4 129:13	padded 384:18
254:25 266:19	365:16 367:12	129:24 130:6	Padded 301.10
267:4 269:17	367:20 368:6	130:10,11,19	

[page - paragraph]

Page 53

page 4:4,11 5:5 pain 151:12 267:6,7,13 436:10 paper's 159:2 10:5 11:5 12:5 341:14 342:8 271:25 272:8 270:3 273:6 13:5,10,15:20 342:11,12,16 273:24 278:4 299:12 31:18 35:4 342:18,22 278:14,15 papers 44:19 48:10 73:9 74:4 344:22 284:19 286:19 176:9,17,20 80:15,19 94:9 paper 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 194:2,10,12 117:2,6,13,16 60:25 61:3 296:6,24 195:20 197:18 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11				
10:5 11:5 12:5 341:14 342:8 271:25 272:8 270:3 273:6 13:5,10,15,20 342:11,12,16 273:24 278:4 299:12 31:18 35:4 342:18,22 278:14,15 papers 44:19 41:10 47:19 343:4,9,9 280:22 281:2 45:23,25 47:11 48:10 73:9 74:4 80:15,19 94:9 paper 54:13,13 290:10 291:13 189:11,15 101:18 116:22 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 189:11,15 117:2,6,13,16 60:25 61:3 296:6,24 195:20 197:18 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191: 383:4 401:1	page 4:4,11 5:5	pain 151:12	267:6,7,13	436:10
13:5,10,15,20 342:11,12,16 273:24 278:4 299:12 31:18 35:4 342:18,22 278:14,15 papers 44:19 41:10 47:19 343:4,9,9 280:22 281:2 45:23,25 47:11 48:10 73:9 74:4 344:22 284:19 286:19 176:9,17,20 80:15,19 94:9 paper 54:13,13 290:10 291:13 189:11,15 101:18 116:22 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 194:2,10,12 117:2,6,13,16 60:25 61:3 296:6,24 195:20 197:18 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21	6:5 7:5 8:5 9:5	panel 340:17	268:11 270:25	paper's 159:2
31:18 35:4 342:18,22 278:14,15 papers 44:19 41:10 47:19 343:4,9,9 280:22 281:2 45:23,25 47:11 48:10 73:9 74:4 344:22 284:19 286:19 176:9,17,20 80:15,19 94:9 paper 54:13,13 290:10 291:13 189:11,15 101:18 116:22 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 194:2,10,12 117:2,6,13,16 60:25 61:3 296:6,24 195:20 197:18 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 299:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 3	10:5 11:5 12:5	341:14 342:8	271:25 272:8	270:3 273:6
41:10 47:19 343:4,9,9 280:22 281:2 45:23,25 47:11 48:10 73:9 74:4 344:22 284:19 286:19 176:9,17,20 80:15,19 94:9 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 189:11,15 101:18 116:22 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 194:2,10,12 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 195:20 197:18 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 409:11,13 241:2,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 402:10,24 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 <td>13:5,10,15,20</td> <td>342:11,12,16</td> <td>273:24 278:4</td> <td>299:12</td>	13:5,10,15,20	342:11,12,16	273:24 278:4	299:12
48:10 73:9 74:4 344:22 284:19 286:19 176:9,17,20 80:15,19 94:9 54:16 58:10 290:10 291:13 189:11,15 101:18 116:22 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 194:2,10,12 117:2,6,13,16 60:25 61:3 296:6,24 195:20 197:18 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 241:5,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403	31:18 35:4	342:18,22	278:14,15	papers 44:19
80:15,19 94:9 paper 54:13,13 290:10 291:13 189:11,15 101:18 116:22 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 194:2,10,12 117:2,6,13,16 60:25 61:3 296:6,24 195:20 197:18 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 419:2,21 420:8 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23	41:10 47:19	343:4,9,9	280:22 281:2	45:23,25 47:11
101:18 116:22 54:16 58:10 291:19,20 194:2,10,12 117:2,6,13,16 60:25 61:3 296:6,24 195:20 197:18 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9	48:10 73:9 74:4	344:22	284:19 286:19	176:9,17,20
117:2,6,13,16 60:25 61:3 296:6,24 195:20 197:18 117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9	80:15,19 94:9	paper 54:13,13	290:10 291:13	189:11,15
117:17 125:5 72:25 80:8 297:10,12 197:19 207:8 128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17	101:18 116:22	54:16 58:10	291:19,20	194:2,10,12
128:18 138:3 86:16 133:23 300:20,22,25 207:11,24 157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 409:11,13 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13	117:2,6,13,16	60:25 61:3	296:6,24	195:20 197:18
157:17,21 136:24,25 301:5,7 302:9 218:4 246:12 158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11<	117:17 125:5	72:25 80:8	297:10,12	197:19 207:8
158:2 177:15 155:18 159:2 302:10 303:8,9 257:16 297:6 177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7<	128:18 138:3	86:16 133:23	300:20,22,25	207:11,24
177:16 178:13 160:18 170:13 303:21 304:18 298:20 371:12 179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 420:6 421:9 </td <td>157:17,21</td> <td>136:24,25</td> <td>301:5,7 302:9</td> <td>218:4 246:12</td>	157:17,21	136:24,25	301:5,7 302:9	218:4 246:12
179:2,3 193:24 171:5 175:8,14 304:23 308:11 401:21 409:6 196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 <t< td=""><td>158:2 177:15</td><td>155:18 159:2</td><td>302:10 303:8,9</td><td>257:16 297:6</td></t<>	158:2 177:15	155:18 159:2	302:10 303:8,9	257:16 297:6
196:16 197:15 188:19 189:5 309:14,17 409:11,13 199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9	177:16 178:13	160:18 170:13	303:21 304:18	298:20 371:12
199:25 201:17 189:20 190:2,9 316:21 317:23 414:23 415:11 213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 <td< td=""><td>179:2,3 193:24</td><td>171:5 175:8,14</td><td>304:23 308:11</td><td>401:21 409:6</td></td<>	179:2,3 193:24	171:5 175:8,14	304:23 308:11	401:21 409:6
213:8,9 274:7 190:18 191:2 383:4 401:17 419:2,21 420:8 274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:2	196:16 197:15	188:19 189:5	309:14,17	409:11,13
274:15,16 191:10 194:16 401:22 402:4 paragraph 284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10	199:25 201:17	189:20 190:2,9	316:21 317:23	414:23 415:11
284:20 285:8 209:19,22 402:10,24 33:18,20 35:15 317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	213:8,9 274:7	190:18 191:2	383:4 401:17	419:2,21 420:8
317:2 318:3 210:3,8,20,24 403:6 404:8,23 80:21,22 82:21 323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	274:15,16	191:10 194:16	401:22 402:4	paragraph
323:3,17 324:9 211:4,9,13,19 407:18 408:9 94:13 118:9 345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	284:20 285:8	209:19,22	402:10,24	33:18,20 35:15
345:21 346:3,4 213:10,12,23 409:15 410:8 125:11 177:16 351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	317:2 318:3	210:3,8,20,24	403:6 404:8,23	80:21,22 82:21
351:15 360:20 213:25 215:7,9 410:18 411:17 181:5,17 361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	323:3,17 324:9	211:4,9,13,19	407:18 408:9	94:13 118:9
361:3,5,19 216:9,15,21 411:25 412:4 182:18 184:15 364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	345:21 346:3,4	213:10,12,23	409:15 410:8	125:11 177:16
364:7,10 217:13 222:6 414:11 415:11 254:17 274:15 372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	351:15 360:20	213:25 215:7,9	410:18 411:17	181:5,17
372:12 383:16 225:3 226:13 415:17,19 274:16,19 384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	361:3,5,19	216:9,15,21	411:25 412:4	182:18 184:15
384:24,24 232:11 233:8 416:24 418:7 280:25 284:20 387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	364:7,10	217:13 222:6	414:11 415:11	254:17 274:15
387:14 388:19 241:6 243:16 418:13,14 285:7,12 403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	372:12 383:16	225:3 226:13	415:17,19	274:16,19
403:11 406:18 248:10 251:16 420:6 421:9 286:18 323:4 410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	384:24,24	232:11 233:8	416:24 418:7	280:25 284:20
410:24 431:10 251:19 257:17 425:22 427:22 323:16 346:2,4 432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	387:14 388:19	241:6 243:16	418:13,14	285:7,12
432:6 434:6,7 259:10,12 428:16,22 351:7,11,14,14 439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	403:11 406:18	248:10 251:16	420:6 421:9	286:18 323:4
439:3 441:3 260:11 263:15 430:23 432:10 364:6,8 388:19 pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	410:24 431:10	251:19 257:17	425:22 427:22	323:16 346:2,4
pages 280:10 263:23 264:22 432:14 433:24 389:6,16	432:6 434:6,7	259:10,12	428:16,22	351:7,11,14,14
		260:11 263:15	430:23 432:10	364:6,8 388:19
379:16 264:24 265:24 435:2,6,21 403:13 410:25	pages 280:10	263:23 264:22	432:14 433:24	389:6,16
	379:16	264:24 265:24	435:2,6,21	403:13 410:25

[paragraph - people]

Page 54

	-		
411:9,11	369:6	258:8,15	pause 61:13
412:17 417:12	particles	259:14 262:15	234:12 281:9
417:22,25	107:22 108:23	264:8,9 266:6,8	304:10 346:25
427:23 429:5	110:8 113:3	266:11,25,25	386:7 402:2
429:10 434:7	114:9 119:11	path 355:6	pdq 8:6 33:3
435:2,3,11	120:22 122:20	356:9 357:18	34:8,15,20
paragraphs	125:20 126:15	pathogenesis	192:3,5,11,13
142:3,6 284:5	126:24 129:12	427:18	192:15,19,20
paralegal	129:15 130:18	pathologic	196:14,21
144:15,19,21	133:12 360:21	354:5 360:15	197:4 199:5
144:24 145:2	372:5,19	pathologist	200:7,20 202:7
145:12	particular	355:9 357:12	203:11,17,24
parallel 361:9	127:24 233:10	357:21 358:17	215:24
paraphrase	241:17 246:4	359:11 373:7	peanuts 68:9
58:9	328:7	373:12 374:18	pearson 142:8
parentheses	particularly	376:8,14	209:8
81:3	218:25 250:13	pathology	peer 198:25
parfitt 3:8	282:9 287:18	10:23 328:16	210:12 322:16
parity 403:25	287:23 305:7	353:15,23	322:22,25
park 217:4	308:23 311:16	354:10,13	323:6,11,14
part 37:6 39:14	364:14	355:7 358:8	peers 323:15
54:15 91:12	particulates	363:9	pelvic 354:24
111:6 156:22	124:22	patient 11:6	penninkilampi
177:16 190:22	parties 440:18	25:23 83:6	69:24 146:15
217:8 239:6	partly 399:9	172:12,13	people 70:2
254:8 303:7,8	parts 384:20	343:5 355:8,25	84:6 96:7
317:6,16 340:7	party 17:6,11	356:14 391:10	103:13 127:6
366:16 367:2	past 150:20	patients 25:20	165:18 176:4
405:17 423:17	155:23 262:8	48:13,22 52:9	238:21,24,25
partial 403:12	401:7	56:7 69:12 70:4	243:24 244:23
participant	patency 264:7	76:12 85:22	261:3 287:17
243:17	patent 221:4,14	91:14 274:3	287:22 288:12
participating	251:2,6,7 252:3	290:15 311:20	288:13 292:21
61:3	252:3 254:21	390:14 403:7	293:6 310:8
particle 126:23	·	408:22	
363:23 365:15	256:5,6 257:11		313:25 314:2,9
	252.3 254.21 255:8,24 256:3 256:5,6 257:11		312:20 313:23 313:25 314:2,9

[people - plausibility]

Page 55

[people plausion]	- <i>)</i> _		1 450 33
315:22 353:7	124:23 129:4	personal 3:15	piece 80:10
371:6 382:15	129:12 393:2	355:3	287:2 311:17
391:24 405:8	394:9,15 395:3	personally	311:20 315:14
people's 333:20	398:4 399:3,25	175:15 341:25	315:15 436:8
percent 207:23	431:15	352:15	pin 106:4
247:3,7,13,16	period 44:6	perspective	place 340:4
247:18 249:7	142:14 243:19	307:6,13,13	423:5,7 440:14
253:22 257:10	386:3	308:11 309:3,8	placed 118:22
257:24 294:8	periods 308:25	309:13,18,19	places 63:21,24
312:20 313:4	peritoneal	309:20 310:11	172:16 427:7
313:23 344:15	108:24 114:24	310:13 318:20	plaintiff 2:4 3:5
344:25 345:3	115:21 116:2	perspectives	18:24 102:5
345:18 361:10	118:19 124:23	317:14	103:21 125:18
366:23 386:17	129:13 133:13	ph 1:24	195:2 238:7
394:17	354:24 393:25	ph.d. 11:15	246:8,11
percentage	398:17	377:12	325:10,14,16
390:9	peritoneum	pharmaceutical	325:24 357:20
perfect 326:24	107:24 110:10	39:18,21	380:7
perfectly 111:4	113:4 114:10	phone 2:11,21	plaintiff's 66:8
188:15 392:5	114:23 115:2,8	3:11,22 34:23	100:21,24
performance	115:14 393:2	299:21	102:8,12,17
148:9	perked 86:15	photographic	103:6 106:9
performed	permission	58:11 118:3	107:7 151:11
177:17 180:15	161:24	phung 11:17	161:12 207:18
322:7 341:11	perren 96:8	401:13,17	246:7 251:23
perineal 32:23	persistent	402:4	281:12
172:18 196:17	318:15	physician 32:20	plaintiffs 101:3
202:13 212:7	person 22:22	51:25	101:6 151:10
213:3 243:12	205:23 206:8,9	pick 133:21	193:16 194:14
385:18 393:8	206:16,20	149:17,20	195:24
perineum	311:18 317:17	175:11	planning 82:7
107:23 109:23	324:22 371:5	picked 305:19	plausibility
110:9,23 113:3	382:22	picking 295:18	100:22 102:7
114:9 115:4,7	person's 252:8	picture 266:13	102:16 104:14
115:17,20,25	381:18	286:14 431:23	104:17 168:14
118:18 122:22		432:4	227:4 285:17

[plausible - possible]

Page 56

plausible 105:4 437:4,10 347:24 349:9 portion 26:20 105:10,15,25 plenty 88:2 350:24 352:6 27:10 323:5 106:13,14,23 pleurae 394:16,18 423:12 431:21 pose 260:19 108:2,4,24 pleurodesis pointed 407:14 411:15 24:23 136:25 postion 24:20 109:2,2,10,11 389:17,21 pointing 212:9 144:23 205:12 22:31 36:25 112:5 113:16 390:4,7,15,19 247:25 407:11 205:14 302:5 307:2,15 308:8 227:10,11,12 391:25 392:7 points 257:20 307:2,15 308:8 230:13,18,23 394:10 395:3 256:10 258:11 256:10 258:11 160:3 248:8 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 277:23 274:8 412:22 19ay 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 251:13 254:10 277:43 18:15 plays 411:2 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 277:24 39	-	_		C
106:13,14,23 107:10,24 394:16,18 394:16,18 423:12 431:21 posed 435:23 posed 435:24 position 24:20 24:23 25:14 247:25 247:25 247:21 247:25 247:21 247:25 247:21 247:25 257:20 257:24 247:25 257:20 257:24 257:20 257:24 257:20 257:24 257:24 257:22 277:24 257:22 277:24	plausible 105:4	437:4,10	347:24 349:9	portion 26:20
107:10,24 394:16,18 pleurodesis 423:12 431:21 posed 435:23 position 24:20 108:2,4,24 388:24 389:7 388:24 389:7 407:14 411:15 24:23 136:25 144:23 205:12 110:6,11,19,19 389:17,21 390:4,7,15,19 247:25 407:11 205:14 302:5 125:14 302:5 132:4,13 133:2 390:22 391:9 391:25 392:7 390:125 392:7 390:15 393:21 307:2,15 308:8 227:17,23 392:13 393:21 396:22 397:6,9 353:3 394:10 395:3 358:15 positive 38:25 230:13,18,23 394:10 395:3 358:15 269:22 270:2 269:22 270:2 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 358:15 269:22 270:2 272:4,9,17,20 231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 269:22 270:2 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:4,9,17,20 272:2,49,17,20 272:2,49,17,20 272:2,49,17,20 272:2,24,9,17,20 272:2,24,9,17,20	105:10,15,25	plenty 88:2	350:24 352:6	27:10 323:5
108:2,4,24 pleurodesis 388:24 389:7 pointed 407:14 411:15 position 24:20 109:2,2,10,11 388:24 389:7 389:17,21 407:14 411:15 24:23 136:25 144:23 205:12 112:5 113:16 390:4,7,15,19 247:25 407:11 205:14 302:5 307:2,15 308:8 227:10,11,12 391:25 392:7 391:25 392:7 392:13 393:21 307:2,15 308:8 227:17,23 392:13 393:21 396:22 397:6,9 396:22 397:6,9 396:22 397:6,9 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 358:15 256:10 258:11 160:3 248:8 231:18,20,21 391:15 194:4 397:10 398:8 358:15 269:22 270:2 255:13,17 392:13 195:15 358:15 269:22 270:2 272:4,9,17,20 213:42:22 193:15 194:4 194:23 195:15 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 276:20,24 212:18 417:13 248:16 255:13 254:10 276:20,24 276:20,24 276:20,24 277:4 318:15 386:18 434:21 386:18 434:21 396:23 339:7,9,10 396:23 393:7,9,10 396:23 393:7,9,10 <	106:13,14,23	pleurae 394:15	393:11 411:14	pose 260:19
109:2,2,10,11 388:24 389:7 407:14 411:15 24:23 136:25 110:6,11,19,19 389:17,21 389:17,21 247:25 407:11 205:14 302:5 132:4,13 133:2 390:22 391:9 419:12 307:2,15 308:8 227:10,11,12 391:25 392:7 points 257:20 353:3 227:17,23 392:13 393:21 396:22 397:6,9 256:10 258:11 points 254:02 269:15 230:25 231:6 396:22 397:6,9 358:15 269:22 270:2 272:4,9,17,20 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 358:15 269:22 270:2 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 plays 41:2 plumbing 265:4 276:20,24 plays 41:2 plural 167:7 396:23 339:7,9,10 386:18 434:21 418:9 421:17 plural 167:7 396:23 339:7,9,10 posibility 265:4 poloced 8:10 227:19,20,21 35:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:	107:10,24	394:16,18	423:12 431:21	posed 435:23
110:6,11,19,19 389:17,21 pointing 212:9 144:23 205:12 112:5 113:16 390:4,7,15,19 247:25 407:11 205:14 302:5 132:4,13 133:2 390:22 391:9 419:12 307:2,15 308:8 227:10,11,12 391:25 392:7 points 257:20 353:3 230:13,18,23 394:10 395:3 256:10 258:11 positive 38:25 230:25 231:6 396:22 397:6,9 358:15 254:20 269:15 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 358:15 269:22 270:2 231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 245:22 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 274:20 276:4 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 plays 411:2 118:17 plural 167:7 396:23 339:7,9,10 308:18 418:9 421:17 ploral 16:22 pool 218:19 102:21 227:4 20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 20:4:23 205:2 104:22 107:4	108:2,4,24	pleurodesis	pointed 407:14	position 24:20
112:5 113:16 390:4,7,15,19 247:25 407:11 205:14 302:5 132:4,13 133:2 390:22 391:9 419:12 307:2,15 308:8 227:10,11,12 391:25 392:7 points 257:20 353:3 227:17,23 392:13 393:21 pokes 254:14 positive 38:25 230:13,18,23 394:10 395:3 256:10 258:11 positive 38:25 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 358:15 269:22 270:2 231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 412:22 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 9lays 411:2 118:17 political 53:14 positively 412:18 417:13 418:9 421:17 396:23 339:7,9,10 positively 412:24 49:7 396:23 339:7,9,10 positively 25:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 11	109:2,2,10,11	388:24 389:7	407:14 411:15	24:23 136:25
132:4,13 133:2 390:22 391:9 419:12 307:2,15 308:8 227:10,11,12 391:25 392:7 points 257:20 353:3 227:17,23 392:13 393:21 pokes 254:14 positive 38:25 230:13,18,23 394:10 395:3 256:10 258:11 160:3 248:8 230:25 231:6 396:22 397:6,9 358:15 269:22 270:2 231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 412:22 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 plays 411:2 118:17 political 53:14 positively 418:9 421:17 396:23 339:7,9,10 posibility please 14:8 point 16:22 201:28:19 102:21 227:4 25:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7	110:6,11,19,19	389:17,21	pointing 212:9	144:23 205:12
227:10,11,12 391:25 392:7 points 257:20 353:3 227:17,23 392:13 393:21 pokes 254:14 positive 38:25 230:13,18,23 394:10 395:3 256:10 258:11 160:3 248:8 230:25 231:6 396:22 397:6,9 polarized 254:20 269:15 231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 412:22 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 plays 411:2 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 please 14:8 point 16:22 polyp 338:25 388:18 20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 91:19 109:25 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 <td>112:5 113:16</td> <td>390:4,7,15,19</td> <td>247:25 407:11</td> <td>205:14 302:5</td>	112:5 113:16	390:4,7,15,19	247:25 407:11	205:14 302:5
227:17,23 392:13 393:21 pokes 254:14 positive 38:25 230:13,18,23 394:10 395:3 256:10 258:11 160:3 248:8 230:25 231:6 396:22 397:6,9 polarized 254:20 269:15 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 358:15 269:22 270:2 231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 412:22 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 386:18 434:21 plays 411:2 118:17 political 53:14 positively 412:18 417:13 plural 167:7 396:23 339:7,9,10 posibility please 14:8 point 16:22 pool 218:19 102:21 227:4 20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7	132:4,13 133:2	390:22 391:9	419:12	307:2,15 308:8
230:13,18,23 394:10 395:3 256:10 258:11 160:3 248:8 230:25 231:6 396:22 397:6,9 254:20 269:15 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 358:15 269:22 270:2 231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 412:22 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 248:16 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 plays 411:2 118:17 political 53:14 positively 412:18 417:13 plural 167:7 polyp 338:25 339:7,9,10 308:18 20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 227:19,20,21 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 100:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 11:225 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266	227:10,11,12	391:25 392:7	points 257:20	353:3
230:25 231:6 396:22 397:6,9 polarized 254:20 269:15 231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 412:22 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 playing 248:22 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 277:4 318:15 playing 248:22 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 positively please 14:8 point 16:22 pool 218:19 posibility please 14:8 point 16:22 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 10:112 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 10:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:1	227:17,23	392:13 393:21	pokes 254:14	positive 38:25
231:10,11,14 397:10 398:8 358:15 269:22 270:2 231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 412:22 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 playing 248:22 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 plays 411:2 plural 167:7 396:23 339:7,9,10 positively please 14:8 point 16:22 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 possibility pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 266:18 169:18 227:8	230:13,18,23	394:10 395:3	256:10 258:11	160:3 248:8
231:18,20,21 plot 192:24 policy 199:5,7 272:4,9,17,20 255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 playing 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 playing 248:22 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 plays 411:2 plural 167:7 396:23 339:7,9,10 positively 418:9 421:17 396:23 339:7,9,10 posibility please 14:8 point 16:22 pool 218:19 102:21 227:4 20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 possible 101:12 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25	230:25 231:6	396:22 397:6,9	polarized	254:20 269:15
255:13,17 plots 192:21 199:11,13,22 273:23 274:8 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 248:16 251:13 254:10 276:20,24 playing 248:22 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 plays 411:2 118:17 political 53:14 positively 418:9 421:17 plural 167:7 396:23 339:7,9,10 possibility please 14:8 point 16:22 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 100:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 <	231:10,11,14	397:10 398:8	358:15	269:22 270:2
412:22 193:15 194:4 200:14 213:21 274:20 276:4 play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 playing 248:22 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 plays 411:2 118:17 political 53:14 positively 418:9 421:17 396:23 339:7,9,10 possibility please 14:8 point 16:22 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 100:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23<	231:18,20,21	plot 192:24	policy 199:5,7	272:4,9,17,20
play 69:18,19 194:23 195:15 polite 66:7 276:20,24 70:8 244:17 playing 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 playing 248:22 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 plays 411:2 plural 167:7 political 53:14 positively 418:9 421:17 plural 16:7 polyp 338:25 308:18 positively please 14:8 point 16:22 pool 218:19 possibility poled 8:10 227:19,20,21 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 100:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12	255:13,17	plots 192:21	199:11,13,22	273:23 274:8
70:8 244:17 248:16 251:13 254:10 277:4 318:15 playing 248:22 plumbing 265:4 386:18 434:21 plays 411:2 118:17 political 53:14 positively 412:18 417:13 plural 167:7 polyp 338:25 308:18 418:9 421:17 396:23 339:7,9,10 possibility please 14:8 point 16:22 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12	412:22	193:15 194:4	200:14 213:21	274:20 276:4
playing248:22 playsplumbing265:4 political386:18 434:21412:18 417:13 418:9 421:17plural167:7 396:23polyp338:25 339:7,9,10308:18 possibilityplease14:8 20:5 31:16 34:2point16:22 21:3 44:15pooled8:10 192:25 193:4102:21 227:4 227:19,20,2136:16 37:2 40:7 55:7 66:10,2260:24 69:4 86:17,20192:25 193:4 204:23 205:2possible101:1282:2 84:14 91:19 109:25101:16 127:8 133:5,7 134:9213:15 218:9 225:9,24 247:7110:11,12 111:14 112:25161:25 184:3 187:10 223:2134:17 135:4,5 135:12 136:12255:22 266:15 266:18113:16 131:4 169:18 227:8228:20 237:8 307:23,24 316:15 328:4 348:21 373:25161:9 199:9 219:13 248:5 256:25 264:21261:21 266:6 248:25229:2 230:12 230:16,23 231:2,8 255:13	play 69:18,19	194:23 195:15	polite 66:7	276:20,24
plays 411:2 118:17 political 53:14 positively 412:18 417:13 396:23 339:7,9,10 possibility please 14:8 point 16:22 pool 218:19 possibility 20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	70:8 244:17	248:16	251:13 254:10	277:4 318:15
412:18 417:13 plural 167:7 polyp 338:25 308:18 please 14:8 point 16:22 pooled 218:19 102:21 227:4 20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	playing 248:22	plumbing	265:4	386:18 434:21
418:9 421:17 396:23 339:7,9,10 possibility please 14:8 point 16:22 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 227:19,20,21 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	plays 411:2	118:17	political 53:14	positively
please 14:8 point 16:22 pool 218:19 102:21 227:4 20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:12 228:24 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	412:18 417:13	plural 167:7	polyp 338:25	308:18
20:5 31:16 34:2 21:3 44:15 pooled 8:10 227:19,20,21 36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	418:9 421:17	396:23	339:7,9,10	possibility
36:16 37:2 40:7 60:24 69:4 192:25 193:4 possible 101:12 55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	please 14:8	point 16:22	pool 218:19	
55:7 66:10,22 86:17,20 204:23 205:2 104:22 110:7 82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	20:5 31:16 34:2	21:3 44:15	pooled 8:10	227:19,20,21
82:2 84:14 101:16 127:8 213:15 218:9 110:11,12 91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	36:16 37:2 40:7	60:24 69:4	192:25 193:4	possible 101:12
91:19 109:25 133:5,7 134:9 225:9,24 247:7 111:14 112:25 161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	55:7 66:10,22	86:17,20	204:23 205:2	104:22 110:7
161:25 184:3 134:17 135:4,5 255:22 266:15 113:16 131:4 187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	82:2 84:14	101:16 127:8	213:15 218:9	110:11,12
187:10 223:2 135:12 136:12 266:18 169:18 227:8 228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	91:19 109:25	133:5,7 134:9	225:9,24 247:7	111:14 112:25
228:20 237:8 161:9 199:9 population 227:12 228:24 307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	161:25 184:3	134:17 135:4,5	255:22 266:15	113:16 131:4
307:23,24 219:13 248:5 261:21 266:6 229:2 230:12 316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 348:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	187:10 223:2	135:12 136:12	266:18	169:18 227:8
316:15 328:4 253:5 254:7 populations 230:16,23 248:21 373:25 256:25 264:21 248:25 231:2,8 255:13	228:20 237:8	161:9 199:9	population	227:12 228:24
348:21 373:25	307:23,24	219:13 248:5	261:21 266:6	229:2 230:12
	316:15 328:4	253:5 254:7	populations	230:16,23
	348:21 373:25	256:25 264:21	248:25	231:2,8 255:13
399:7 411:21 294:10 315:21 255:17 414:4	399:7 411:21	294:10 315:21		255:17 414:4

[possible - prieto]

Page 57

-1 · 1			
436:9	190:11,13,20	136:3 148:3	press 9:19
possibly 156:24	211:14,21	153:21 288:23	295:24 303:18
280:24 403:13	212:6 213:2	290:9,22,22	304:3 306:9,22
434:17	218:15,16	291:10 292:24	307:2 309:14
post 250:25	221:22 226:14	351:9,18 352:9	318:22
postmenopau	228:6 232:5	353:12 399:5	pressure 432:8
329:18	238:3 239:18	436:3	pressured 70:7
potential 55:11	240:2,6,10	practices 1:7	presumptive
124:21 226:15	242:3,8,21	practicing 52:8	181:8
227:7,15,16,24	243:3,9 245:19	predicted 126:8	pretty 49:24
228:8,13 229:2	245:22 247:5	predisposition	52:15 60:5
230:6,8 231:22	254:24,24	329:25 331:4	105:20 197:14
284:21 287:20	284:24 285:14	344:17,22	198:7 322:11
287:25 305:5	285:18 287:11	preliminary	349:12 353:5
307:19 308:21	287:14 288:2	7:13 170:20	359:3 374:17
311:2	293:13 294:6	preparation	398:10
potentially	294:16 295:11	22:14 23:18	preventative
287:16	297:8 300:13	111:6 138:7	6:18 150:11,21
powder 1:6	300:17 302:5	145:21 146:7	151:3,22
5:25 7:7 9:7	302:12 322:8	146:16 423:18	152:18 153:3
15:20 27:6,11	324:16,21	prepare 21:24	153:15 154:21
37:6 40:9 57:19	325:18,21,25	23:19 134:12	157:23 158:5
81:2 83:24,25	337:20 376:23	prepared 23:17	159:7,10
94:25 95:2,4,11	384:17 385:2	72:12 194:6	prevention
95:13,16 96:12	385:13 386:2	preparing	50:13 200:8
96:19 97:4,13	386:12 392:15	175:23	202:8 418:17
97:14,21 98:9	395:9,17,18,22	presence 37:5	previous 18:8
99:12 106:22	400:16 401:5	181:6,21	48:9 219:22
122:21,24	403:21 405:3	372:22 373:4	274:10,22
132:22 164:6,8	408:10,13,18	417:5	275:14,16
164:19,21	408:24 434:10	present 3:3	285:2 420:8
165:4,8,12	434:22	325:7 355:4	previously 15:3
166:8,9,15	power 219:6,9	357:7 401:23	142:17 180:6
167:2,24	219:14	presented	prieto 12:6
168:12 169:20	practice 25:16	324:25	425:18 434:3
182:4,13	50:5,10,18		
	•	•	•

[primary - proven]

Page 58

primary 243:11	process 15:7	311:3 317:8	127:3,15
341:19 418:16	46:19,22 47:4	434:14	132:25 227:13
principle	98:3 107:2	professional	397:5 400:8
418:23 419:15	123:3 324:14	271:17	418:23 419:15
419:16	processing	professionally	419:16,16
print 320:12	46:24	24:20	proper 122:5
printout 5:24	produce 413:9	professor 25:2	properties
27:6	produced 321:7	progesterone	323:19
prior 16:18	357:25	327:14,17	propose 98:25
22:18 48:12	product 40:12	404:3	105:6,11
86:10 275:2	83:6,12 85:5,6	program 72:3	107:12 226:20
415:10 440:6	85:8,9,16 88:6	88:14 89:7	226:20
priority 160:19	89:11 90:9	317:24	proposed
247:11	92:20 93:4 94:5	programs	396:21 414:3
privileged	96:3,19 163:22	88:17 89:9,12	proposes
167:18	163:24 166:4	89:13,18 90:4	436:10
pro 413:9,11	245:3,13	progress 76:17	proposing
probably 21:4	production	proliferation	436:9
52:22 149:14	13:9 428:4	428:7 430:9	prospective
157:2 161:13	products 1:6,7	prominent	270:21 273:10
187:21 244:4	3:15 7:8,16	288:17	276:2 291:24
267:22 314:18	35:5,13,17,24	promise 305:23	prostaglandins
345:2 412:10	37:6 38:13 93:6	369:17	413:14
problem	93:21 94:2,25	promote	protective
164:16 398:23	95:3,11,13,16	226:16 228:9	327:15,18,21
problematic	96:7,12 97:13	413:13 429:22	426:17
252:6	97:21 98:9 99:6	434:18	proud 368:19
problems	99:13 122:21	promoting	369:2
213:23,25	122:25 130:15	428:4	prove 127:6
214:13 265:5	166:16 168:5,6	promotion	196:7
procedure	170:22 180:16	429:20	proven 55:15
355:16 392:7	181:7,19	prompt 175:18	55:17 78:10,15
procedures	187:25 272:5	proof 51:10	78:25 82:14
51:2 391:9	272:10 287:9	107:16 109:25	103:11,14
proceed 31:16	305:6,9,12	114:18 119:14	107:18 108:2
36:22	307:20 310:25	119:17 120:25	132:12,16
L			

[proven - question]

Page 59

			_
163:25 255:6	157:23 170:16	215:17 259:24	102:2,18 103:8
293:7 329:11	174:22 189:13	264:25 286:9	103:20 104:12
330:2 423:13	194:9,12,16	300:10 333:20	106:14 109:24
proves 86:20	208:6,9,10	345:13 384:16	111:10 112:9
provide 102:6	210:8 224:24	384:18 393:24	112:24 117:24
135:14 201:12	225:25 263:22	394:16,17	119:23 120:8,9
279:14	267:7 271:2	427:20 432:9 putting 283:19 pyramid 138:4 138:9,14,23 146:2	121:10 124:7
provided 6:17	296:3,6 304:19		126:2 134:19
47:9 101:12	309:15 312:5		135:10 136:2
152:6 153:15	370:8 401:2		139:9 142:8,11
286:2 352:21	402:10 405:20		144:8 145:10
388:5 421:8	408:15 410:8		145:15 147:19
provides 76:22 312:6 417:3 providing 15:12 public 1:21 14:18 51:3 69:11 88:14,17 89:7,9,12,13,15 89:16,17,25 90:3 190:23 284:14 292:11 310:6 438:24 440:5,24 publication 147:3 265:23 402:5 publications 150:22 405:18 publish 52:25 53:16,24 218:3 246:12 310:5	410:12,14,17 410:19 414:12 415:19 416:24 426:14 pull 142:2 169:10 180:8 256:22 411:18 430:23 pulled 81:20 164:2,7,8 179:19 182:7 pure 39:18,20 purification 183:5 purported 125:15 purpose 84:18 157:4 put 20:5 59:21 74:22 79:24 80:6 91:19	quality 151:4 quarter 273:13 quartz 434:12 query 32:21 144:6 407:22 question 19:25 38:5 40:2,3,5 44:11,25 45:13 45:15 46:5 49:6 52:12 53:19 56:16 57:15 59:17 62:7,23 63:9 64:17,19 65:8,17 67:20 67:22 71:22 75:12,14 80:3 82:8 83:4 84:15 84:20 88:23 90:7,12,24 91:3 91:23,24,25	148:22 149:25 150:7 151:14 153:25 154:13 155:9,10 156:5 161:6,15 162:4 169:17 170:4,8 170:9 172:2 174:7,20 189:2 189:17 195:14 195:17,21 198:5 202:18 202:25 203:6 203:10,13,18 205:7,24 206:4 206:11,14,24 207:2 212:24 213:19,24 214:11,20 219:13,19 223:17,23 230:20 236:9
published 26:4	131:25 138:20	92:2,4,6,8,10	237:21 239:16
26:7 72:8 116:3	144:17,22	92:12,23 93:7	243:5 244:21
142:17 147:3	175:22 200:25	94:23 98:5,15	244:22 245:15

[question - reach]

Page 60

247:2 249:13 425:15 427:15 266:16,17 rails 67:10,13 258:5,15 433:11 435:14 268:24 270:14 raise 14:7 260:19 261:6,8 questioned 278:20,22 26:111 266:4 268:25 questioning 290:5 303:5 range 269:15 272:12 274:4 28:19,21 39:24 306:13 307:22 284:25 285:25 276:9 278:3 111:25 141:21 378:4,9,14,16 381:14 382:11 281:11,18,20 160:16 166:2 381:14 382:11 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 388:14 395:4 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 436:21,23 rate 422:15 295:20 298:2 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rate 422:15 295:20 298:2 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rate 25:15 295:20 298:2 28:18 30:24 33:43 33:21 33:43 33:21 314:4 315:2,3 31:4 43:21 156:24 220:17 326:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 220:24 34:4 34:9 37:9 41:4,6 53:13 76:11 221:6 232:6	[question reach]			r age oo
260:19 261:6,8 questioned 278:20,22 261:11 263:5 265:9 141:7 173:17 questioning 290:5 303:5 range 269:15 272:12 274:4 28:19,21 39:24 306:13 307:22 284:25 285:25 275:11,23 40:16 44:22 321:2 369:6 329:20 276:9 278:3 111:25 141:21 378:4,9,14,16 ranking 202:9 281:11,18,20 160:16 166:2 381:14 382:11 rapid 268:23 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 rare 218:25 289:20 298:2 270:17 273:14 questions 10:7 quickly 45:2 13:12 149:6 295:20 298:2 270:17 273:14 questions 10:7 quickly 45:2 13:12 149:6 314:4 313:24 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 38:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 53:13 76:11 221:6 232:6 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 77:9 82:4 84:17 78:20 78:22 38:10 78:22 38:10 </th <th>247:2 249:13</th> <th>425:15 427:15</th> <th>265:16,17</th> <th>rails 67:10,13</th>	247:2 249:13	425:15 427:15	265:16,17	rails 67:10,13
263:5 265:9 141:7 173:17 283:13 284:9 random 357:3 266:4 268:25 227:12 274:4 28:19,21 39:24 306:13 307:22 284:25 285:25 275:11,23 40:16 44:22 321:2 369:6 329:20 ranking 202:9 281:11,18,20 160:16 166:2 381:14 382:11 rapid 268:23 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 220:4 rates 422:15 289:25 294:13 questions 10:7 13:19 15:2 436:21,23 rather 25:15 295:20 298:2 31:4 33:21 34:3 31:4 33:21 34:3 33:13 76:11 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 33:13 76:11 220:4 334:4 4315:2,3 19:14 27:19 31:4 33:21 34:3 33:13 76:11 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 34:43 35:24 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 42:16 43:25 36:18,10 277:2 23:28:10 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 36:18,10 382:14,19,23 137:24 139:11 140:13 142:14 179:23 238:10	258:5,15	433:11 435:14	268:24 270:14	raise 14:7
266:4 268:25 questioning 290:5 303:5 range 269:15 272:12 274:4 28:19,21 39:24 306:13 307:22 328:25 285:25 275:11,23 40:16 44:22 321:2 369:6 329:20 276:9 278:3 111:25 141:21 381:14 382:11 ranking 202:9 281:11,18,20 160:16 166:2 381:14 382:11 ranking 202:9 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 388:14 395:4 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 39:9:9 412:10 422:15 289:25 294:13 questionnaire 432:10,20,23 rates 422:15 295:20 298:2 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rather 25:15 308:4 313:24 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 221:6 232:6 257:22 360:24 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 quotation 257:22 360:24 344:1 35:23 77:9 82:4 84:17 78:20 78:20 78:20 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 78:20 7	260:19 261:6,8	questioned	278:20,22	261:11
272:12 274:4 28:19,21 39:24 306:13 307:22 284:25 285:25 275:11,23 40:16 44:22 321:2 369:6 329:20 276:9 278:3 111:25 141:21 378:4,9,14,16 ranking 202:9 281:11,18,20 160:16 166:2 381:14 382:11 ranking 202:9 282:24 289:5 171:7 178:25 388:14 395:4 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 20:4 289:25 294:13 questionnaire 432:10,20,23 rates 422:15 295:20 298:2 questions 10:7 436:21,23 rather 25:15 308:4 313:24 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 31:4 33:21 34:3 33:6;7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 220:17 326:7, 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 36:12 42:16 43:25 quotation 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 42:16 232:6 429:15 429:15 ratio 298:25 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 122:16 136:3 <td>263:5 265:9</td> <td>141:7 173:17</td> <td>283:13 284:9</td> <td>random 357:3</td>	263:5 265:9	141:7 173:17	283:13 284:9	random 357:3
275:11,23 40:16 44:22 321:2 369:6 329:20 276:9 278:3 111:25 141:21 378:4,9,14,16 ranking 202:9 281:11,18,20 160:16 166:2 381:14 382:11 rapid 268:23 282:24 289:5 171:7 178:25 388:14 395:4 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 20:4 289:25 294:13 questionnaire 432:10,20,23 rates 422:15 294:20 295:5,6 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rather 25:15 295:20 298:2 314:4315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quickly 45:2 131:12 149:6 295:20 298:2 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quickly 45:2 131:12 149:6 314:4 315:2,3 31:4 33:21 34:3 33:76:11 226:7 326:7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 33:3 76:11 221:6 232:6 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 400tations 12:9 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 365:24 419:7,9 429:15 ratio 220:17 356:14 399:8, 15,18,20	266:4 268:25	questioning	290:5 303:5	range 269:15
276:9 278:3 111:25 141:21 378:4,9,14,16 ranking 202:9 281:11,18,20 160:16 166:2 381:14 382:11 rapid 268:23 282:24 289:5 171:7 178:25 388:14 395:4 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 20:4 289:25 294:13 294:20 295:5,6 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rates 422:15 295:20 298:2 20:4 436:21,23 rates 422:15 308:4 313:24 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 322:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 221:6 232:6 344:14,20 34:20 36:12 37:9 41:4,6 257:22 360:24 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 77:9 82:4 84:17 298:25 355:11 35:14 315:1 109:5 429:15 429:15 356:13 357:14 135:1 109:5 429:15 429:15 428:15 388:14,19,23 137:24 139:11 100:10 162:2	272:12 274:4	28:19,21 39:24	306:13 307:22	284:25 285:25
281:11,18,20 160:16 166:2 381:14 382:11 rapid 268:23 282:24 289:5 171:7 178:25 388:14 395:4 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 20:4 289:25 294:13 questionnaire 432:10,20,23 rates 422:15 294:20 295:5,6 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rather 25:15 295:20 298:2 questions 10:7 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 37:9 41:4,6 42:16 43:25 27:9 82:4 84:17 257:22 360:24 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 77:9 82:4 84:17 429:15 rationale 298:25 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 429:15 429:15 rationale 298:25 355:11 357:14 317:24 139:11 16:10 16:2 429:15 ratios 215:24 rationale 367:5,22 123:16 136:3 179:23 238:10	275:11,23	40:16 44:22	321:2 369:6	329:20
282:24 289:5 171:7 178:25 388:14 395:4 rare 218:25 289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 200:4 289:25 294:13 questionnaire 432:10,20,23 rates 422:15 294:20 295:5,6 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rather 25:15 295:20 298:2 questions 10:7 quickly 45:2 131:12 149:6 308:4 313:24 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 221:6 232:6 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 361:8,10 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 298:25 355:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 365:24 419:7,9 429:15 ratio 220:17 352:13 382:13 137:24 139:11 140:13 142:14 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quoted 179:16 182:23 182:23 399:8,15,18,20 161:	276:9 278:3	111:25 141:21	378:4,9,14,16	ranking 202:9
289:18,23,24 183:12 238:11 399:9 412:10 220:4 289:25 294:13 questionnaire 432:10,20,23 rates 422:15 294:20 295:5,6 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rather 25:15 295:20 298:2 questions 10:7 quickly 45:2 131:12 149:6 308:4 313:24 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 221:6 232:6 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 34:20 36:12 quotation 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 quotations 12:9 298:25 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 quote 12:11 298:25 ratios 215:24 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 quoted 179:16 298:25 ratios 215:24 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quoted 179:16 182:23 399:8,15,18,20 143:11 146:17 r 22 232:17 133:3 14:0	281:11,18,20	160:16 166:2	381:14 382:11	rapid 268:23
289:25 294:13 questionnaire 432:10,20,23 rates 422:15 294:20 295:5,6 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rather 25:15 295:20 298:2 questions 10:7 quickly 45:2 131:12 149:6 308:4 313:24 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 433:20 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 quotation 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 quotations 12:9 ratio 220:17 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 puote 298:25 ratios 215:24 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 429:15 ratio 298:25 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 140:13 142:14 179:23 238:10 raw 182:23 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r r 113:3 114:10 <t< td=""><td>282:24 289:5</td><td>171:7 178:25</td><td>388:14 395:4</td><td>rare 218:25</td></t<>	282:24 289:5	171:7 178:25	388:14 395:4	rare 218:25
294:20 295:5,6 270:17 273:14 436:21,23 rather 25:15 295:20 298:2 questions 10:7 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 34:20 36:12 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 361:8,10 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotation 257:22 360:24 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 365:24 419:7,9 429:15 ratio 220:17 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 quote 12:11 365:24 419:7,9 429:15 ratios 215:24 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 quotes 366:11 182:23 399:8,15,18,20 143:11 146:17 r r2:2 232:17 13:3 114:10 400:6 401:4 161:10 162:2 1	289:18,23,24	183:12 238:11	399:9 412:10	220:4
295:20 298:2 questions 10:7 quickly 45:2 131:12 149:6 308:4 313:24 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 quotation 257:22 360:24 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 quote 12:11 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 429:15 quoted 179:16 rats 109:18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 137:24 139:11 19:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 108:18 110:8,9 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 13:3 114:20 399:8,15,18,20 168:24 183:16 r 113:3 114:0 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 129:24 130:5	289:25 294:13	questionnaire	432:10,20,23	rates 422:15
308:4 313:24 13:19 15:2 126:7 136:10 279:5 280:6 314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 quotation 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 361:8,10 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 rationale 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 365:24 419:7,9 298:25 354:22 356:4,4 93:16 109:5 429:15 ratios 215:24 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 179:23 238:10 rats 109:18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 108:18 110:8,9 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 114:23 115:20 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 129:24 130:5 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:1	294:20 295:5,6	270:17 273:14	436:21,23	rather 25:15
314:4 315:2,3 19:14 27:19 quite 42:6 433:20 316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 quotation 257:22 360:24 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 rationale 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 guote 12:11 298:25 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 raw 182:17,18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 rach 108:12 382:14,19,23 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 182:23 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 399:8,15,18,20 168:24 183:16 r 113:3 114:10 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 130:19 133:13 204:14 226:15 407:4 408:6 203:3,4 222:13 204:14 226:15	295:20 298:2	questions 10:7	quickly 45:2	131:12 149:6
316:6 327:6 28:18 30:24 53:13 76:11 ratio 220:17 332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 361:8,10 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 quote 12:11 298:25 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 354:22 356:4,4 93:16 109:5 429:15 rats 109:18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 182:23 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 399:8,15,18,20 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 168:24 183:16 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 228:19 233:2 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 204:14 226:15	308:4 313:24	13:19 15:2	126:7 136:10	279:5 280:6
332:6,7 333:23 31:4 33:21 34:3 156:24 221:6 232:6 334:14,20 34:20 36:12 quotation 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 361:8,10 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 rationale 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 rats 109:18 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 raw 182:17,18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 182:23 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 108:18 110:8,9 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 439:2,2 440:2 114:23 115:20 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 130:19 133:13 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 radiologist 130:19 133:13 204:14 226:15	314:4 315:2,3	19:14 27:19	quite 42:6	433:20
334:14,20 34:20 36:12 quotation 257:22 360:24 344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 361:8,10 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 quote 12:11 298:25 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 354:22 356:4,4 93:16 109:5 429:15 rats 109:18 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 raw 182:17,18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 182:23 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 108:18 110:8,9 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 439:2,2 440:2 114:23 115:20 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 305:20,25 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 238:19 233:2 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 204:14 226:15	316:6 327:6	28:18 30:24	53:13 76:11	ratio 220:17
344:4 346:9 37:9 41:4,6 178:20 361:8,10 349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 rationale 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 354:22 356:4,4 93:16 109:5 429:15 rats 109:18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 reach 108:12 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 395:13 396:18 151:7 153:11 r 114:23 115:20 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 183:23 185:20 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 203:3,4 222:13 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 204:14 226:15	332:6,7 333:23	31:4 33:21 34:3	156:24	221:6 232:6
349:19 350:2 42:16 43:25 quotations 12:9 rationale 350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 298:25 ratios 215:24 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 rats 109:18 354:22 356:4,4 93:16 109:5 429:15 rats 109:18 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 raw 182:17,18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 reach 108:12 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 108:18 110:8,9 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 1439:2,2 440:2 116:2,7 127:24 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 305:20,25 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 228:19 233:2 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 204:14 226:15	334:14,20	34:20 36:12	quotation	257:22 360:24
350:10 352:3 77:9 82:4 84:17 quote 12:11 298:25 352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 354:22 356:4,4 93:16 109:5 429:15 rats 109:18 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 raw 182:17,18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 reach 108:12 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 395:13 396:18 151:7 153:11 r 113:3 114:10 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 radiation 114:23 115:20 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 305:20,25 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 204:14 226:15	344:4 346:9	37:9 41:4,6	178:20	361:8,10
352:11 354:5 84:19 87:15 365:24 419:7,9 ratios 215:24 354:22 356:4,4 93:16 109:5 429:15 rats 109:18 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 raw 182:17,18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 reach 108:12 382:14,19,23 140:13 142:14 quoting 238:10 108:18 110:8,9 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 439:2,2 440:2 116:2,7 127:24 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 305:20,25 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 73:19 204:14 226:15	349:19 350:2	42:16 43:25	quotations 12:9	rationale
354:22 356:4,4 93:16 109:5 429:15 rats 109:18 356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 raw 182:17,18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 reach 108:12 382:14,19,23 140:13 142:14 quoting 238:10 108:18 110:8,9 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 439:2,2 440:2 114:23 115:20 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 305:20,25 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 204:14 226:15	350:10 352:3	77:9 82:4 84:17	quote 12:11	298:25
356:11 357:14 120:3 122:15 quoted 179:16 raw 182:17,18 367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 reach 108:12 382:14,19,23 140:13 142:14 quoting 238:10 108:18 110:8,9 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 395:13 396:18 151:7 153:11 r 114:23 115:20 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 305:20,25 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 305:19 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 204:14 226:15	352:11 354:5	84:19 87:15	365:24 419:7,9	ratios 215:24
367:5,22 122:16 136:3 179:23 238:10 182:23 373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 reach 108:12 382:14,19,23 140:13 142:14 quoting 238:10 108:18 110:8,9 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 399:8,15,18,20 151:7 153:11 r 2:2 232:17 114:23 115:20 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 128:2,3 129:12 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 radiologist 130:19 133:13 204:14 226:15	354:22 356:4,4	93:16 109:5	429:15	rats 109:18
373:21 382:13 137:24 139:11 quotes 366:11 reach 108:12 382:14,19,23 140:13 142:14 quoting 238:10 108:18 110:8,9 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 395:13 396:18 151:7 153:11 r 2:2 232:17 114:23 115:20 399:8,15,18,20 168:24 183:16 439:2,2 440:2 116:2,7 127:24 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 radiation 305:20,25 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 radiologist 305:19	356:11 357:14	120:3 122:15	quoted 179:16	raw 182:17,18
382:14,19,23 140:13 142:14 quoting 238:10 108:18 110:8,9 383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 395:13 396:18 151:7 153:11 r 2:2 232:17 114:23 115:20 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 439:2,2 440:2 116:2,7 127:24 407:4 408:6 183:23 185:20 radiation 129:24 130:5 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 305:20,25 130:19 133:13 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 radiologist 204:14 226:15	367:5,22	122:16 136:3	179:23 238:10	182:23
383:10 389:19 143:11 146:17 r 113:3 114:10 395:13 396:18 151:7 153:11 r 2:2 232:17 399:8,15,18,20 161:10 162:2 439:2,2 440:2 400:6 401:4 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 409:9 412:15 203:3,4 222:13 305:20,25 414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 radiologist 305:19 204:14 226:15	373:21 382:13	137:24 139:11	quotes 366:11	reach 108:12
395:13 396:18 399:8,15,18,20 400:6 401:4 407:4 408:6 409:9 412:15 414:6,8,16 151:7 153:11 161:10 162:2 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 228:19 233:2 114:23 115:20 116:2,7 127:24 128:2,3 129:12 129:24 130:5 130:19 133:13 204:14 226:15	382:14,19,23	140:13 142:14	quoting 238:10	108:18 110:8,9
399:8,15,18,20 400:6 401:4 407:4 408:6 409:9 412:15 414:6,8,16 161:10 162:2 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 414:6,8,16 161:10 162:2 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 228:19 233:2 161:10 162:2 139:2,2 440:2 128:2,3 129:12 129:24 130:5 130:19 133:13 204:14 226:15	383:10 389:19	143:11 146:17	r	113:3 114:10
399:8,15,18,20 400:6 401:4 407:4 408:6 409:9 412:15 414:6,8,16 161:10 162:2 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 228:19 233:2 161:10 162:2 139:2,2 440:2 radiation 305:20,25 radiologist 305:19	395:13 396:18	151:7 153:11	r 2·2 232·17	114:23 115:20
400:6 401:4 407:4 408:6 409:9 412:15 414:6,8,16 168:24 183:16 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 228:19 233:2 radiation 305:20,25 radiologist 305:19	399:8,15,18,20	161:10 162:2		116:2,7 127:24
407:4 408:6 409:9 412:15 414:6,8,16 183:23 185:20 203:3,4 222:13 228:19 233:2 305:20,25 radiologist 305:19	400:6 401:4	168:24 183:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	128:2,3 129:12
409:9 412:15 414:6,8,16 203:3,4 222:13 228:19 233:2 radiologist 305:19 204:14 226:15	407:4 408:6	183:23 185:20		129:24 130:5
414:6,8,16 228:19 233:2 305:19 204:14 226:15	409:9 412:15	203:3,4 222:13	· ·	130:19 133:13
423:9 424:5,6 237:12 243:14 228:8 248:5,9	414:6,8,16	228:19 233:2		204:14 226:15
	423:9 424:5,6	237:12 243:14	303.17	228:8 248:5,9

[reach - reason]

Page	6
	_

294:6 299:3	229:21,24	252:20 257:22	139:13 159:17
435:21	242:24 253:3	262:20 283:11	168:15 182:25
reached 213:17	260:2 267:21	283:15 285:6	188:13 206:13
248:12 366:13	278:18 280:8,9	287:5 310:22	207:4 244:16
reaches 168:16	280:12 286:17	317:5 324:24	259:19 283:6
431:21	286:18,22,23	326:16 351:17	288:4 295:17
reaching	287:3 304:11	361:2 364:13	302:14 305:17
107:23	304:12 309:4	372:8 382:2	314:15,17
reaction 388:25	310:8 353:9	383:23 388:13	320:6 325:2
396:2	359:22 364:17	388:16 411:10	329:23 362:21
read 12:10 22:6	372:15 373:14	413:24 430:8	363:6 383:18
27:20 28:11	378:11,19,21	reads 101:21	386:6 394:12
33:16 45:25	379:6,11,15,21	118:7 119:4	396:7 397:11
57:22 73:3	381:6,8 382:9	120:18 121:20	432:7
80:14 101:14	388:17 404:5	122:17 128:22	realm 69:19
107:7 110:16	409:13 411:16	181:14 222:18	70:8 85:16,18
111:3,5,12,13	411:18 412:11	275:21 280:18	85:20,21 86:24
111:15 112:3,6	413:4,6 414:18	311:18 378:17	86:25
112:11 113:18	418:4 420:22	386:25 427:3	realtime 1:21
113:21 117:20	426:4 428:18	430:19	440:4,23
117:21,23	429:11,24	ready 222:19	reason 25:13
110.2 110.10	432:6,11,11,14	269:11 277:22	51:15 52:24
118:2 119:19	, , ,		76.10 179.24
121:11,12	433:14 434:4	280:20 432:15	76:19 178:24
		280:20 432:15 reaffirmed	181:11 195:13
121:11,12	433:14 434:4		
121:11,12 126:6 128:15	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4	reaffirmed	181:11 195:13
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9	reaffirmed 35:6	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8 136:10 145:24	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23 286:4	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24 237:22 248:7	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14 239:7 249:12
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8 136:10 145:24 146:3,3,15	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23 286:4 readers 202:2	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24 237:22 248:7 261:17 264:13	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14 239:7 249:12 253:12,20,24
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8 136:10 145:24 146:3,3,15 156:25 180:24	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23 286:4 readers 202:2 reading 47:10	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24 237:22 248:7 261:17 264:13 264:15,16,17	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14 239:7 249:12 253:12,20,24 259:9 286:10
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8 136:10 145:24 146:3,3,15 156:25 180:24 180:25 181:10	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23 286:4 readers 202:2 reading 47:10 47:15 48:25	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24 237:22 248:7 261:17 264:13 264:15,16,17 realistic 285:25	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14 239:7 249:12 253:12,20,24 259:9 286:10 299:2 312:22
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8 136:10 145:24 146:3,3,15 156:25 180:24 180:25 181:10 185:23 188:4	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23 286:4 readers 202:2 reading 47:10 47:15 48:25 80:24 85:13	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24 237:22 248:7 261:17 264:13 264:15,16,17 realistic 285:25 realized 227:5	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14 239:7 249:12 253:12,20,24 259:9 286:10 299:2 312:22 313:24 342:21
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8 136:10 145:24 146:3,3,15 156:25 180:24 180:25 181:10 185:23 188:4 189:5 210:17	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23 286:4 readers 202:2 reading 47:10 47:15 48:25 80:24 85:13 86:16 129:21	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24 237:22 248:7 261:17 264:13 264:15,16,17 realistic 285:25 realized 227:5 really 64:16	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14 239:7 249:12 253:12,20,24 259:9 286:10 299:2 312:22 313:24 342:21 354:4,13,19
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8 136:10 145:24 146:3,3,15 156:25 180:24 180:25 181:10 185:23 188:4 189:5 210:17 222:21 223:18	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23 286:4 readers 202:2 reading 47:10 47:15 48:25 80:24 85:13 86:16 129:21 147:13,21	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24 237:22 248:7 261:17 264:13 264:15,16,17 realistic 285:25 realized 227:5 really 64:16 65:15 67:9 88:8	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14 239:7 249:12 253:12,20,24 259:9 286:10 299:2 312:22 313:24 342:21 354:4,13,19 362:22 375:21
121:11,12 126:6 128:15 130:2 134:23 135:20 136:6,8 136:10 145:24 146:3,3,15 156:25 180:24 180:25 181:10 185:23 188:4 189:5 210:17 222:21 223:18 223:24 224:6	433:14 434:4 435:3,7 437:4 438:9 reader 285:23 286:4 readers 202:2 reading 47:10 47:15 48:25 80:24 85:13 86:16 129:21 147:13,21 200:12 201:25	reaffirmed 35:6 real 168:24 237:22 248:7 261:17 264:13 264:15,16,17 realistic 285:25 realized 227:5 really 64:16 65:15 67:9 88:8 93:5 94:10	181:11 195:13 203:3 205:24 206:10,14 239:7 249:12 253:12,20,24 259:9 286:10 299:2 312:22 313:24 342:21 354:4,13,19 362:22 375:21 385:9 390:5

[reasonable - refute]

Page 62

	I	I	
reasonable	recalls 7:8	recommendat	reduce 245:13
51:25 114:2,7	166:16 181:19	7:13 50:4	419:8
114:17 129:10	receipt 437:16	170:20 201:13	reduction
131:3 132:4	receiving 83:21	290:21,23	421:3
285:24 286:5,8	390:19	recommended	reeked 398:18
288:22 294:11	recent 38:12,14	52:6 344:20	reevaluation
295:12,14	147:24 270:21	recommends	307:20 311:3
343:24 344:6	recently 17:22	48:22 49:14	refer 18:9
372:3,17	38:17,20 147:7	record 12:10	99:24,25,25
reasonably	190:9	20:6 31:8 122:5	192:2 351:10
294:11	recess 67:17	143:24 144:4	374:5
reasoning 70:3	177:7 232:15	154:25 158:13	reference
347:19,20	319:14 380:24	158:15 193:19	139:16 192:23
348:25 351:4	429:3 432:18	223:2,5,7	201:18 329:5
reasons 51:20	433:6	228:21 232:20	376:20
53:15,19	recitation	279:2,6 280:12	references
214:25 247:24	209:3	280:17 294:24	97:19 203:16
251:3 366:17	reciting 124:14	300:4 319:23	292:8 322:13
390:9,12	recognize	333:21 339:4	428:9,11
recall 149:13	217:12,14,16	339:19,25	referred 60:23
150:24 166:8	217:22 378:2	340:2 350:15	192:7
180:4 182:3,12	404:8 431:2	379:7,9,14,23	referring 59:15
233:9 234:24	recognized	380:16 383:21	59:19 60:14
235:8,15	89:16,24	428:25 432:17	81:7 96:12
236:12,15,23	246:19 389:25	438:12,14	99:11 178:5
237:19 244:7	435:25	recorded	328:3 351:19
244:11,15,16	recollect	115:11	370:12,22
244:19 245:8,9	374:13	records 21:16	371:2,8 376:19
245:14 248:20	recollection	58:2 324:25	reflect 228:22
248:21 249:2	374:6,12	339:14 384:16	reflected 12:9
272:2 314:5,9	recommend	redirect 268:4	reflects 199:20
358:9 376:24	48:12 52:10	redline 5:10	200:13
376:25 377:2,3	56:7,8	18:12 47:22	refresh 22:8
377:7 415:2	recommendat	139:24	refute 191:21
recalled 167:3	205:3 397:3	redlined 18:7	191:23
182:3		47:24	
	1	1	1

[regard - repeated]

Page 63

	•		\mathcal{E}
regard 171:23	relating 18:24	50:23 60:23	282:9,15,17
regarded	26:8 151:23	71:3,13 72:17	283:18 284:2
271:16 410:9	relationship	79:25 80:7 82:6	325:15 345:10
414:10	16:12 18:20	125:6,11 135:5	347:6,18
regarding	55:13 72:21	136:13,16,18	358:18,20
18:20 50:10	74:16,24 75:5	156:22 176:13	359:17,21
53:2 88:2	75:19 76:14	176:17,22	360:3,4,9
100:22 358:7	83:5 84:23	189:14 223:6,8	366:23 373:22
370:14 373:18	242:21 292:15	238:9 268:12	374:20 376:10
374:23 376:11	293:13,17	268:20 277:25	376:12 377:20
regardless	297:18 298:9	282:7 357:11	378:3 384:21
177:14 306:16	300:16 412:24	358:4,19 371:9	423:19 426:11
325:23 344:2	423:16	371:15 375:5	remembered
417:5	relative 440:17	377:23 378:2	148:24
registered 1:20	440:19	378:22 408:3	remembers
440:3,23	relatively 94:12	411:16	244:25
regular 426:5,6	220:4 399:8	relied 133:6	remind 128:18
regularly 200:6	release 7:6 9:19	136:14	reminding
305:24	166:15 295:24	reluctant 52:25	161:8
regulate 164:24	303:18 304:4	rely 279:9,11	removal 183:3
regulatory 91:6	306:9,22 307:2	relying 291:5	183:4
92:18	309:14	remains 85:15	remove 164:21
rehashing	released 318:22	85:17	165:4
296:25	relevance	remember	renal 340:7
reilly 3:17	259:16 260:3,5	15:22,25 16:2,6	renowned
reimbursement	260:9,21	16:9 17:2 20:25	149:11
205:16	261:15 262:21	21:7 86:15	repeat 102:18
relate 42:25	263:19 265:2	128:17 129:21	106:7,19
related 28:25	379:13	135:22,23	174:20 206:2
29:11 75:9	relevant 27:14	145:18,19,20	243:4 261:23
182:20,24	148:3 187:9,12	147:13,21	331:20,23
251:22 287:10	260:8 263:18	148:11 160:22	344:4 395:13
338:20,22	350:3 378:25	196:22 221:2,3	repeated 248:4
339:2 363:2	379:4,17	221:15 268:15	248:7 254:5
relates 1:10	reliance 29:2,5	268:18 277:23	296:25
33:2	29:6,10 45:24	278:12 280:24	

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196926

> Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196927

[repeatedly - researchers]

Page 64

repeatedly 145:22 146:7 371:25 372:9 379:12 426:2 35:16 248:12 146:16 156:13 372:10 375:2 426:10 430:25 repeating 309:9 162:15 176:15 376:11,13,18 repressent 82:11 53:23 192:8 194:16 377:16,18 200:14 322:21 199:5,11,21 53:23 194:19 195:7,8 378:6 379:11 397:55,11,21 53:23 194:19 195:7,8 378:6 379:11 397:15 20:14 322:21 rephrase 194:19 195:7,8 378:6 379:11 397:25 replaced 198:12,15,18 380:7 381:7,8 397:25 replacement 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 representatives 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 represents 252:18 301:18 reporter 1:20 226:16 228:8 250:15 254:21 259:6,14 225:24 258:8 259:6,1		-		C
repeating 309:9 162:15 176:15 376:11,13,18 represent 82:11 53:23 192:8 194:16 377:16,18 200:14 322:21 rephrase 194:19 195:7,8 378:6 379:11 347:2 375:4 219:12 195:11 198:9 379:19,21 397:25 replaced 198:12,15,18 380:7 381:7,8 180:16 419:22 420:4 215:15 220:22 381:12 382:3 180:16 replacement 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 representatives 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 replaced 248:12,15 419:22,25 representing 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 replaced 240:12,21 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 25:61:1 282:12,15 419:22,25 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 25:61.1 282:12,15 419:22,25 reporductive 8117:0,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 225:24	repeatedly	145:22 146:7	371:25 372:9	379:12 426:2
repercussions 177:15 178:14 376:21 377:12 199:5,11,21 53:23 192:8 194:16 377:16,18 200:14 322:21 rephrase 194:19 195:7,8 378:6 379:11 347:2 375:4 219:12 195:11 198:9 379:19,21 397:25 replaced 198:12,15,18 380:7 381:7,8 180:16 419:22 420:4 215:15 220:22 381:12 382:3 180:16 replacement 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 representing 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 repreductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 250:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 </td <td>35:16 248:12</td> <td>146:16 156:13</td> <td>372:10 375:2</td> <td>426:10 430:25</td>	35:16 248:12	146:16 156:13	372:10 375:2	426:10 430:25
53:23 192:8 194:16 377:16,18 200:14 322:21 rephrase 194:19 195:7,8 378:6 379:11 347:2 375:4 219:12 195:11 198:9 379:19,21 397:25 replaced 198:12,15,18 380:7 381:7,8 representatives 419:22 420:4 215:15 220:22 381:12 382:3 180:16 replacement 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 representing 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 25:24 25:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 344:19,34 266:5,10 26:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reporter! 26:11 309	repeating 309:9	162:15 176:15	376:11,13,18	represent 82:11
rephrase 194:19 195:7.8 378:6 379:11 347:2 375:4 219:12 195:11 198:9 379:19,21 397:25 replaced 198:12,15,18 380:7 381:7,8 180:16 419:22 420:4 215:15 220:22 381:12 382:3 180:16 replacement 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 representing 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:47 reportable 259:6,14 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 188:21 342:6 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 347:20,34 48:9 440:4,4,22,23 244:11	repercussions	177:15 178:14	376:21 377:12	199:5,11,21
219:12 195:11 198:9 379:19,21 397:25 replaced 198:12,15,18 380:7 381:7,8 180:16 419:22 420:4 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 180:16 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 reputable 28:11,16 30:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 2440:23 reporter's 4:13 reputable 38:15,17 83:11	53:23	192:8 194:16	377:16,18	200:14 322:21
replaced 198:12,15,18 380:7 381:7,8 representatives 419:22 420:4 215:15 220:22 381:12 382:3 180:16 replacement 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 representing 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:2,7,0 37:14 46:67,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 <td< td=""><td>rephrase</td><td>194:19 195:7,8</td><td>378:6 379:11</td><td>347:2 375:4</td></td<>	rephrase	194:19 195:7,8	378:6 379:11	347:2 375:4
419:22 420:4 215:15 220:22 381:12 382:3 180:16 replacement 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 representing 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 347:23 348:9 104:3 265:10 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 284:11 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 <t< td=""><td>219:12</td><td>195:11 198:9</td><td>379:19,21</td><td>397:25</td></t<>	219:12	195:11 198:9	379:19,21	397:25
replacement 234:9 239:3 383:16 387:3 representing 327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:6,23 12:9 reporter's 4:1	replaced	198:12,15,18	380:7 381:7,8	representatives
327:4,21,24 267:16 280:23 388:18 396:6 16:19 167:13 reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,322,23 284:11 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 regearch 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 12:22 1	419:22 420:4	215:15 220:22	381:12 382:3	180:16
reply 233:8 281:14,16,22 397:15,20,23 represents 260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 reportable 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 104:3 265:10 266:11 309:2 284:11 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:43,22,23 284:11 reputable 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 356:7,10,10,13 308:21 311:2,1	replacement	234:9 239:3	383:16 387:3	representing
260:15 263:9 281:23 282:7 408:4 418:25 252:18 301:18 report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 284:11 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 request 13:9 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 157:22 167:22 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18<	327:4,21,24	267:16 280:23	388:18 396:6	16:19 167:13
report 5:6,11 282:12,15 419:22,25 reproductive 6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 reporter 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 reputable 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 reporter's 4:13 reporter's 4:13 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 <td< td=""><td>reply 233:8</td><td>281:14,16,22</td><td>397:15,20,23</td><td>represents</td></td<>	reply 233:8	281:14,16,22	397:15,20,23	represents
6:14 10:15,23 283:3,24,25 420:20,21 226:16 228:8 11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 reporter's 4:13 request 13:9 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:	260:15 263:9	281:23 282:7	408:4 418:25	252:18 301:18
11:10,14 17:18 284:22 324:9 421:3,6 423:18 250:15 254:21 17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 reporter's 4:13 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 reporter's 4:13 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13	report 5:6,11	282:12,15	419:22,25	reproductive
17:22 18:2,4,8 326:9,17 329:7 423:22 424:17 255:24 258:8 18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 reporter's 4:13 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 reporting 119:6 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 308:21 157:22 167:22 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 315:20,24 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	6:14 10:15,23	283:3,24,25	420:20,21	226:16 228:8
18:10,13,18 330:2 341:4,7 reportable 259:6,14 19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 reporter's 4:13 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6	11:10,14 17:18	284:22 324:9	421:3,6 423:18	250:15 254:21
19:23 21:13 343:19 345:7 188:21 342:6 262:15 266:7,8 22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 reporter's 4:13 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 308:21 157:22 167:22 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	17:22 18:2,4,8	326:9,17 329:7	423:22 424:17	255:24 258:8
22:6 25:14 345:23,24 reporter 1:20 266:11 309:2 28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 reporter's 4:13 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22	18:10,13,18	330:2 341:4,7	reportable	259:6,14
28:11,16 30:18 346:5,17,19 1:21 44:13 326:12 402:18 34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 request 13:9 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 308:21 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	19:23 21:13	343:19 345:7	188:21 342:6	262:15 266:7,8
34:3,7,10 37:14 347:25 348:9 104:3 265:10 reputable 41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 request 13:9 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	22:6 25:14	345:23,24	reporter 1:20	266:11 309:2
41:22,24 42:18 349:9,14 440:4,4,22,23 284:11 46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 request 13:9 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	28:11,16 30:18	346:5,17,19	1:21 44:13	326:12 402:18
46:6,7,10,13,15 351:24 352:7 440:23 request 13:9 46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	34:3,7,10 37:14	347:25 348:9	104:3 265:10	reputable
46:20 47:4,7,16 352:14 353:9 reporter's 4:13 research 32:6 47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	41:22,24 42:18	349:9,14	440:4,4,22,23	284:11
47:20,24 48:9 353:16,23 12:9 148:2 156:14 58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	46:6,7,10,13,15	351:24 352:7	440:23	request 13:9
58:15,17 83:11 354:14 355:7 reporting 119:6 157:22 167:22 85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	46:20 47:4,7,16	352:14 353:9	reporter's 4:13	research 32:6
85:4 89:6 94:9 356:7,10,10,13 121:22 124:10 217:3 307:19 96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	47:20,24 48:9	353:16,23	12:9	148:2 156:14
96:10,15,21 357:10,16,18 308:21 311:2,12,13,21 99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	58:15,17 83:11	354:14 355:7	reporting 119:6	157:22 167:22
99:23 112:14 358:2,6,20 reports 28:3 315:20,24 116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	85:4 89:6 94:9	356:7,10,10,13	121:22 124:10	217:3 307:19
116:13,16,22 359:4,7,14,20 48:25 162:8 317:24 353:4 117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	96:10,15,21	357:10,16,18	308:21	
117:3 118:2 359:21,22 181:6 194:5,9 368:21 124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	99:23 112:14	358:2,6,20	_	315:20,24
124:8 125:5,9 360:5 362:7,16 194:24 195:3 researcher 127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers			48:25 162:8	317:24 353:4
127:11 128:11 364:17 366:10 195:24 281:13 291:6 299:8 138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers		· ·	'	368:21
138:3,7,14 366:11,19 281:16 337:22 researchers	1	· ·	194:24 195:3	researcher
	127:11 128:11	364:17 366:10	195:24 281:13	291:6 299:8
144:14 145:3 369:23,23 359:19,25 217:24 396:21	' '	· ·		researchers
	144:14 145:3	369:23,23	359:19,25	217:24 396:21

[researchers - right]

Page 65

	-		C
405:2 414:10	rest 82:21	204:8 215:6	124:5 133:21
reserve 432:20	260:11 429:10	226:3 233:20	376:10
reset 66:13	restate 332:7	263:22 267:6	reviews 154:16
respect 408:22	result 256:17	268:11 269:2	revised 34:3
respectable	261:2 296:16	299:13 302:10	321:12
304:16	296:19,21	302:23 303:18	revisions 162:7
respected	393:7	322:7 323:6,12	revisits 317:7
149:11	results 99:9	323:14 325:13	rhetorical
respond 276:10	212:5,17,25	355:5 357:9	382:13,23
responding	250:13 261:24	362:6 370:9,20	383:10
122:14 184:11	267:15 269:22	370:24 374:25	right 14:7 15:8
response 9:6	269:25 271:25	401:17 416:20	17:23 41:5,5
89:14,17	272:3 275:13	426:2,3,4	62:21 64:16
130:25 144:12	276:17,20	reviewed 5:18	66:21 69:6
181:5 190:19	327:24 342:3	22:3 23:11,14	80:13 81:5 86:2
221:22 222:5	retailers 181:19	60:7,10 71:17	86:12 92:11
388:21 389:22	retesting	101:6,8 146:7	94:8 95:22
389:24 395:11	344:14	150:20 152:7,9	96:21 97:11
395:20 398:25	retracted	157:3 159:24	100:13,18
399:7 400:2,7	251:16	162:13 173:10	101:13 102:8
400:12,17	retrospective	176:19 178:7	103:5 123:25
434:18	270:22	198:25 200:6	125:10 126:13
responsibility	return 177:13	206:20 210:12	127:10 145:16
88:5	437:13	268:23 322:16	175:9 179:20
responsible	returned	322:22,25	180:5 197:16
23:21 88:18	190:10	323:2 325:9	211:25 212:2
89:10,13 90:8	review 16:11	353:15 354:15	213:14,18
91:18 92:19	24:2,5 51:2	362:15,23	215:4 220:5
234:24 235:16	80:16,24 129:9	366:21 370:12	232:13 235:3
responsive	132:3 135:13	371:11,16	235:20 241:8
19:19,22 20:9	168:3 169:4	429:2	253:22 255:3
84:13 90:7	173:12 175:2,4	reviewers	258:2 261:8
252:11 265:9	175:8 177:18	201:10	263:4 265:4
289:5 315:2	179:9 189:15	reviewing	267:24 276:14
356:3 367:4	197:7 199:10	21:15,18	277:7,12
399:18 425:15	199:20 200:13	108:21 113:12	281:14 284:8
	1	l	1

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196928

> Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

[right - safe] Page 66

286:15 304:17	248:19 249:7	408:10,13,18	rule 6:13
306:24 307:14	249:16 255:8	409:3,7 411:4	116:16 207:17
317:2 318:20	255:23 256:2,4	412:21 413:12	223:4,12
319:3 328:9	256:7,14,20,21	413:25 415:14	340:19 343:20
333:18 338:13	256:24 257:9	417:5,6 418:20	ruled 247:15
348:19 351:16	259:13 262:14	419:8 420:15	rules 143:6,12
353:14 374:11	262:24 263:10	421:3,4 425:4	151:17
374:24 375:25	263:24 264:4,5	426:17 431:6	run 316:10
380:13 392:4	264:8,9 267:11	risks 51:16	runs 316:6
398:4,5 399:21	277:6,9,12	305:5 329:22	rush 52:7
410:21 411:13	284:24 285:16	rls 1:7	russell 154:22
414:16 416:3,5	285:19,25	rmh 3:23	résumé 352:16
426:15 435:16	287:12,18,20	road 136:21	352:18
436:11	287:23,25	151:17	S
rigorous 317:9	288:24 292:5	robust 123:3,13	s 2:2 5:3 6:3 7:3
317:20,21	293:6,8 302:12	124:10,12	8:3 9:3 10:3
risk 26:8 32:24	305:10 308:19	roger 209:23	11:3 12:3
40:13,19 54:9	312:8 313:2,5,7	role 47:17	232:17,17,17
55:17 61:17,20	313:9,11 315:9	85:14 244:17	safe 31:19,21
62:9,10,12,15	315:23 318:17	248:22 411:2	32:6 54:22
62:18 63:12,13	325:3,3,5,6,22	412:19 417:13	55:15,16,25
63:17,19,22	326:5,10,13,21	417:14 418:10	56:5,10,13,17
65:3,11 68:18	327:9,25	421:17 436:9	56:19,19 58:7
75:8 78:25	328:11,17,22	roller 68:22,24	59:12 63:14,16
79:12,12 81:2	330:11 331:6	69:2,7	63:18,19 64:15
87:6 89:22,25	335:16,21	rothman 246:3	67:25 68:24
94:19 137:9	336:10,10,14	246:5,17 249:6	69:14 70:11,14
153:8 163:11	336:19,25	250:19	70:24 71:19
168:6,22 169:6	340:4 349:2	rothman's	76:23 77:16
169:13 190:14	365:14 366:15	246:2	78:9,12,14,23
211:20 218:17	367:2,20	routine 211:5	79:5 81:8 88:6
233:23 234:19	369:25 396:24	rude 366:7	94:21 97:24
234:25 236:16	401:18 403:7,9	380:12,16	98:2,23 100:18
238:16,20	403:10,20	rudeness	129:3 133:11
243:19 244:2	404:9 405:4	379:25	136:18,19
247:4,7 248:18	407:15,25		130.10,17
l		1	1

[safe - says] Page 67

137:11,13	50:22 55:24	234:2 236:7,21	421:6,7,7,9,10
163:4,17 164:4	56:10 57:4,10	236:24 237:18	422:20 423:4
164:23 179:14	57:11 58:25	238:18 240:3	431:24 432:4
186:13 188:9	59:5,11 62:8	244:14,15,16	says 29:14
191:4,11 300:8	63:16,17,18	247:17 249:20	41:10 45:5 54:7
safety 5:25 27:7	64:5 65:10	250:22 252:24	55:21 58:5 61:7
27:11 32:9 35:7	67:12 75:3	253:11,12,17	62:17 67:24
60:4 63:6 68:19	77:11,15,15	253:18,21	73:2,18 78:19
68:21 83:7	78:23 79:8,10	254:9,11 255:7	79:19 80:14
89:10 90:9	79:11 83:17,20	256:10,16	88:13 97:19
92:20 163:14	89:21 94:20	258:10,13	105:9 113:19
163:15 307:20	96:25 98:20	260:4 263:15	115:25 118:10
310:24 311:3	100:3,15	264:12 265:4	119:20 126:11
sake 264:13	101:14,23	266:7 269:23	133:10,11,24
sales 1:7 190:11	103:12,13	272:16 286:3	133:24 134:11
salvage 314:20	105:14 106:12	288:12,13,20	135:6 136:18
sample 403:14	106:16 107:3	292:4,15 293:4	157:9,10
samples 386:18	107:14,17	293:12 294:4	158:25 163:8
387:5	108:5,10 109:4	297:15,15,17	167:8 173:21
sanchez 12:6	109:9,19	302:24 303:7	175:25 190:9
425:18 434:3	110:18,24	307:7 309:7	199:19 201:17
savant 430:17	111:17 113:16	310:3,13,17	216:25 226:13
430:20	113:16 117:12	311:8 312:16	245:17,19
save 84:7	119:20 123:7	313:6 314:13	248:2 250:11
273:16 368:24	124:9,12,13	315:8,10,16,19	252:16 275:13
saw 25:22	126:14 132:21	315:21 328:24	299:14 300:21
240:13 242:16	163:9 164:20	336:18 343:16	301:16 302:23
242:17 282:10	165:22 173:2	347:7,18	305:22 308:11
282:17 355:23	179:17 191:9	358:21 365:6	310:20 311:18
356:7,23	207:20 208:25	365:11,12	311:21 318:19
358:21 359:18	209:2 214:12	366:14,17	341:22 349:6
359:24 375:25	226:23 227:2,7	373:4 380:6	361:12,15
376:3,25 377:3	228:12,25	394:13 405:19	366:4 381:16
377:7	230:6,16,17,24	407:10 418:22	381:21 386:23
saying 33:22	230:25 231:8	419:7,9,10,17	387:3,5,6,7
38:13 44:15,16	231:10,13	420:10,13	406:22 407:15

[says - seen] Page 68

408:9,12,17	183:24 184:23	128:23 137:4,7	155:17 258:25
426:24 427:11	187:17	140:17,18,21	282:15 342:14
429:6,18 431:3	screening 10:10	143:9,13	357:6 358:19
431:25 432:3	200:7 202:7	146:12 148:20	358:20 360:4,9
scenario 365:4	321:15 323:17	152:16 153:24	377:5,20
scenarios 286:2	search 149:3	154:9 160:17	426:11 436:5
287:14 288:21	339:21 423:15	167:23 175:19	seem 193:25
308:20 313:14	423:20	176:19 178:18	195:22
schedule	searched 412:4	179:3 180:18	seemed 322:11
319:16	searches 47:8	204:22 205:4	347:9
schildkraut	seattle 284:16	232:24 245:3	seems 197:13
86:16 87:4	second 6:13	248:24 254:17	198:7,19
238:14 244:8	60:13,15 61:12	261:6 264:14	206:22
416:5	91:23,25 92:4	264:15,16,17	seen 19:8 27:12
school 91:6	116:15 177:16	272:17,19	27:18 38:24
284:14	179:2,3 181:12	279:19 281:3	43:6 45:3,23
science 35:6,12	186:9 200:3	282:8,11	46:3 73:20,24
42:19 85:9,21	274:15,16,19	284:12 291:18	76:15,16,17
94:17 239:8	275:20 298:2	292:12 294:14	130:4 131:18
sciences 217:3	317:2 325:12	299:25 302:22	132:24 151:20
318:2	403:12 410:25	303:25 304:8	156:17,19,23
scientific	411:11 412:17	308:15 327:12	170:12 171:3
147:25 315:5	426:25 430:18	329:20 330:5,7	180:11 181:13
351:9,18 352:9	431:10	339:4 352:23	195:10 222:3
353:12 436:2	seconds 369:17	355:7,14,19	222:14 233:12
436:15	section 117:2	356:24 369:21	234:6 240:12
scientist 290:14	196:15,21,24	375:3 376:20	240:17,18,20
299:8	276:2	377:25 379:5	240:23 241:4
scientists	sections 299:2	385:4 402:25	241:10,19
217:24 260:25	see 41:13,14	403:15 411:6	242:7,20
264:20 310:7	42:22 47:8	412:11 418:5	277:24 278:4
396:20	54:19 70:2 71:6	424:13,17	279:20 281:15
scope 36:10	72:16 79:19	429:18	303:24 307:5
39:10 41:16	82:17 84:22	seeing 25:19	328:6 330:20
88:10,20 90:17	109:5 111:18	128:17 130:19	331:15 332:12
90:22 171:10	124:5 127:14	148:11,24	332:18 333:3

[seen - signature]

Page 69

346:12 358:10	sequencing	60:22 61:2,14	308:10,12
359:13,16,25	342:7	61:17 62:8,17	310:20 316:25
377:6 378:4	series 291:20	64:4,5,23 67:23	327:14,23
385:19 397:3	serous 233:25	70:22 71:19	351:12 357:4
415:17 422:21	236:2 238:25	154:15 155:12	360:25 366:25
425:22 427:6	239:2	156:24 157:2	425:13 426:23
selecting 148:9	serve 25:6	163:8 205:12	showed 132:17
selective 295:18	services 6:19	205:16 301:24	162:18 201:4
sell 53:12	150:11 151:22	405:14 406:16	237:16 241:5
313:19	152:19 153:16	406:20 410:17	246:22 269:25
sending 20:6	154:21 157:24	410:20	272:9 315:9
sense 56:4	158:5 159:8,10	shaker 381:18	357:2 363:3
206:22	217:6 250:10	shakes 384:16	367:19 368:2
sent 270:17	session 4:10	shared 172:22	405:12
sentence 43:13	set 116:5	358:23,24	shower 95:4,4
44:5,5,7 54:16	160:19 247:12	she'll 290:6	97:14,14
54:24 66:19	248:10,11,11	sheet 437:8,11	showing 125:6
80:22 104:15	266:14 440:14	437:14	125:12 131:18
126:6 186:9	setting 148:5	shelf 164:19	242:20 311:15
190:8 212:16	393:17	shelves 164:9	shown 57:3
212:22 214:4	settings 101:13	164:22 165:4	108:13 132:8
229:19 230:2	seven 120:23	short 47:3	133:3 238:16
254:19 340:12	183:20 197:19	94:13 399:9	245:7 263:14
346:10 347:18	197:24 202:12	shorten 98:2	328:24 329:24
351:15 371:24	203:16 204:17	show 29:12	337:13 386:17
372:9,10	306:16	38:18 58:16,20	412:5 413:11
386:24 409:19	several 166:22	58:22 62:15	422:22
412:7 413:4	181:18 208:13	81:17 85:11	shows 241:19
426:25 430:4	346:5	86:17 135:17	366:19 431:14
431:3	severe 346:6	148:16 160:3	431:20
sentences 54:19	349:11	199:24 234:7	shut 30:25
411:18	sgo 50:4,9,18	243:8 248:15	side 80:20
separately	50:21,25 51:15	248:17,18	sign 437:10
209:25	52:20,25 53:8	255:7 264:3	signature 4:11
september 9:23	53:12,15,24	266:18 271:25	440:21
320:18	54:2,14 55:21	294:25 303:3	
	1	1	1

Document 33001-13 PageID: 196933

[signed - sorry] Page 70

	T		
signed 438:20	136:4,13	sizes 369:7	sole 291:2,6
significance	151:14 162:4	skadden 2:17	solely 136:14
160:21 161:2	186:25 187:5	skadden.com	250:23 252:21
249:13 250:17	213:16	2:22	252:23
250:24 251:4	singled 309:17	skating 68:22	somatic 337:3,7
253:11,20	singular 134:17	68:24 69:2,8	337:10
254:12 261:13	167:8 248:2,3	slate 2:17	somebody
307:17 366:13	sir 237:8	slight 313:9	209:6,14 244:5
368:3	sister 218:12	slightly 401:23	329:2 355:20
significant 87:5	225:16 270:12	slomovich	somebody's
160:4 162:19	270:12 313:8	175:8,11,21	295:13 381:22
220:19 221:8	317:6	176:11 189:4	someone's
232:7 234:7	sit 280:12	slomovitch	109:23 283:4,9
249:18 252:22	site 141:14,17	189:6	something's
253:23 256:23	142:21 144:15	slomovitz 7:22	158:19
259:2,7 260:16	144:19,21	176:12 189:8,9	soon 376:7
261:21 262:16	145:3 147:5	189:20 190:2,4	sorry 15:22
263:12 265:25	178:10	190:9	16:2 28:8 36:8
266:2 277:3	sites 427:11	small 262:23	54:25 65:14
284:23 285:15	sits 195:19	263:24 264:3	66:3 71:2 73:12
291:22 308:25	sitting 216:6	275:24 276:4,7	76:20 77:6 80:2
310:23 313:7	290:5 381:4	276:13,14,17	102:18 126:23
313:11 355:13	situation	277:5,6,10,12	130:10 139:13
364:14 367:19	113:17 208:21	403:14 434:21	158:7 161:19
significantly	209:5 237:19	smaller 219:11	170:3,5 184:10
369:25	298:5	smith 210:15	200:2 206:2
silly 195:21	situations	211:2	213:13 220:11
similar 248:24	108:15 288:16	smoking 74:18	233:17 237:9
296:13,21	297:22	89:21,24	243:4 254:24
297:2,2 385:13	six 36:24 44:9	societies 69:17	258:6 260:14
simple 44:24	223:19,21	society 52:3	261:23 266:4
92:22,23 399:9	330:8,12	271:8 303:19	274:13 275:22
simply 91:15	432:20	304:15 306:22	289:11,20
92:10	size 361:9	410:22	293:21 299:22
single 133:23	403:14	sold 95:17	300:5 302:3
134:9 135:6,24			303:6 316:3

[sorry - stated]

Page 71

			\mathcal{E}
326:8 331:25	102:11 104:3	speculation	315:22 424:12
344:4 345:25	227:6 311:19	51:23 343:2	429:22
346:24 357:13	315:22 380:11	spend 22:19	started 87:18
364:10 366:3,5	special 313:14	176:2	119:3 167:24
394:15 411:6	specialist	spent 20:20	229:11,18
417:23 426:23	358:15 361:23	25:16 133:21	270:13 308:3
428:13	specialty 48:17	sperm 119:9,11	398:12
sort 44:22	48:21 49:14	125:12,19,23	starting 333:20
69:23 86:20	50:6	125:25 126:3,3	410:24
113:9 197:21	specific 15:18	126:7,14,20,20	starts 121:8
218:22 247:24	50:15 51:16	126:24,24	274:17
312:17 422:13	73:22 98:6	127:4,9,10,11	state 14:18
435:21 436:9	100:6 127:20	127:13,23,25	48:10 51:13
sound 86:2	149:14 162:7	127:25	85:3 89:6
338:13 351:8	199:4 202:4	spoke 16:3	114:22 116:25
351:18 352:9	205:4 212:10	298:20 302:2	125:18 131:10
353:11	222:11 328:4	sponsored	181:16 187:13
sounding	349:2 351:2	217:10	200:10 228:22
358:12	352:23 354:25	spotlight	263:19 274:7
sounds 148:12	358:2 380:7	190:10	276:16 284:21
148:14,17	407:10 409:15	spraying	285:9,11
160:14 377:19	423:20 430:22	336:19	292:14 300:18
source 181:8	specifically	ss 438:5	346:15 347:3
385:12	30:16 50:20	staging 355:24	351:6 388:20
sources 50:22	58:19 63:15	stakeholders	389:11 417:12
102:5 193:14	155:16 175:12	323:21	433:9,13,15,22
space 259:10	220:25 221:3	stand 20:14	437:6 438:4,24
437:7	347:7 411:4	87:3	440:5
spanning	412:21	standpoint	stated 44:17
169:16	specified 96:8	92:19 104:19	156:13 164:25
speak 52:9 62:3	specify 96:2	104:20	205:22 212:4
76:9 104:5	98:11 339:8	stands 251:18	215:2 253:25
200:23 230:11	specifying	starkly 125:15	279:8 286:7
329:23	99:13	start 20:20	298:23,24
speaking 64:4	speculate 52:14	278:21 288:24	302:9 306:9,11
96:15 101:17	52:15 355:24	292:4 311:19	309:23 332:5

[stated - strengthens]

Page 72

343:19 350:17	212:20 230:18	206:9 300:24	stenographic
372:3,17	230:22 255:15	305:4 330:2	440:13
373:17 384:14	257:3 260:9	332:13 347:25	step 258:18,21
397:20	274:12,24	360:20 386:20	258:22 259:3
statement 32:5	275:4 283:5	422:21	ster 402:11
32:12,15,19	286:9,15	stating 127:22	414:12
35:9 38:22	293:11 298:8	127:23 255:2	sterility 402:15
49:10,16,19	300:22 301:7	264:2,22	402:17 410:13
51:7,16,21 52:7	302:21 306:2	285:22	steroidal
53:2,16,25	307:15 308:7	statistical	403:22
54:13 56:18	309:18 310:19	160:18,20	stick 306:13
57:23 60:2,6,11	311:5,5,23	161:2 250:16	371:16
60:19 63:5	312:9 315:11	253:10,19	stimulate 428:3
64:23 65:4,12	315:14 317:16	254:12 261:13	stipulate 94:23
67:24 68:4,5,19	317:19 318:24	263:10,17	96:14 97:2,5
68:21 69:10,13	346:3,14	270:20 366:13	175:25
70:11,13,20,23	350:24 351:3	368:2	stipulation 97:9
71:4,7,9,11,18	352:6 355:2	statistically	99:2
76:23 77:11,14	369:15 373:3	87:5 160:4	stipulations
79:10 80:9,16	407:21 418:11	162:19 220:18	13:14
81:6 82:17	418:13 428:11	221:8 232:6	stir 378:5
86:23 87:3	statements	234:7 249:18	stop 66:11,15
89:20 100:9,17	46:12 51:3 58:7	252:22 253:23	83:23 89:23
101:2,15 102:3	59:19,22,24	256:23 259:7	123:24 172:15
102:7 103:16	60:22 69:20	260:16 261:20	409:20
104:18 112:2	70:8 123:11	262:16 263:12	stopped 384:7
113:24 120:20	124:3 165:17	277:3 291:22	store 163:21
122:19 123:4	177:10 302:16	313:6,10	164:6
126:5 129:18	states 1:2 39:17	statistician	story 83:19
136:19 147:23	93:4 124:21	258:17	strange 158:20
163:7,14,15	134:23 151:21	status 353:3	305:21
178:19 183:8	159:10 181:24	stay 280:6	street 2:8 3:9
186:2,16 188:4	182:11 183:4	steering 3:5	strength 202:3
188:12 190:17	184:16 200:5	stenographer	424:14 425:11
199:4,16,19,22	200:17,19	14:6,14 48:4	strengthens
200:15 201:6	201:11,15	265:14	218:22

[strike - subspecialty]

Page 73

strike 214:19 151:5 152:7 370:8,11,12 277:14 288:5 274:6 157:17 159:14 371:3,6,18,21 288:25 291:5,7 strikes 145:8 160:2,5 169:15 377:24 381:17 291:8 292:24 stripes 353:7 174:16,21 381:20 387:21 293:9 296:3,10 strong 27:24 176:5 193:10 389:5,12 419:7 299:12 305:5 308:23 424:24 204:69,12,13 422:12,13 305:14,16 stronger 69:4 204:17,18 study 57:3 309:6 310:4,14 79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 309:6 310:4,14 79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 310:18 311:11 266:17 210:18 211:17 105:7 115:24 311:15,18 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 229:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 210:13 31:16 233:24,25 132:7 133:4 317:2 328:4 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 <th>-</th> <th>v -</th> <th></th> <th>2</th>	-	v -		2
strikes 145:8 160:2,5 169:15 377:24 381:17 291:8 292:24 stripes 353:7 174:16,21 381:20 387:21 293:9 296:3,10 strong 27:24 176:5 193:10 389:5,12 419:7 297:15 299:11 59:7 109:14 197:24 198:4 419:9,10 299:12 305:5 308:23 424:24 204:6,9,12,13 422:12,13 305:14,16 stronger 69:4 204:17,18 study 57:3 309:6 310:4,14 79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 310:18 311:11 266:17 210:18 211:17 105:7 115:24 311:15,18 strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 238:4 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:78 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:23 242:23 224:13 312:12 421:24 430:20	strike 214:19	151:5 152:7	370:8,11,12	277:14 288:5
stripes 353:7 174:16,21 381:20 387:21 293:9 296:3,10 strong 27:24 176:5 193:10 389:5,12 419:7 297:15 299:11 59:7 109:14 197:24 198:4 419:9,10 299:12 305:5 308:23 424:24 204:6,9,12,13 study 57:3 305:14,16 stronger 69:4 204:17,18 study 57:3 309:6 310:4,14 79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 310:18 311:11 strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 312:4,18,24 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 100:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 244:0,12 240:23 24:12 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 244:10,12 240:24,27,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 30:27 5	274:6	157:17 159:14	371:3,6,18,21	288:25 291:5,7
strong 27:24 176:5 193:10 389:5,12 419:7 297:15 299:11 59:7 109:14 197:24 198:4 419:9,10 299:12 305:5 308:23 424:24 204:6,9,12,13 422:12,13 305:14,16 stronger 69:4 204:17,18 study 57:3 309:6 310:4,14 79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 310:18 311:11 266:17 210:18 211:17 105:7 115:24 311:15,18 strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 2197,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:27,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25<	strikes 145:8	160:2,5 169:15	377:24 381:17	291:8 292:24
59:7 109:14 197:24 198:4 419:9,10 299:12 305:5 308:23 424:24 204:6,9,12,13 422:12,13 305:14,16 stronger 69:4 204:17,18 study 57:3 309:6 310:4,14 79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 310:18 311:11 266:17 210:18 211:17 105:7 115:24 311:15,18 strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:65,11,113,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:27,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 80:17,25 86:10 248	stripes 353:7	174:16,21	381:20 387:21	293:9 296:3,10
308:23 424:24 204:6,9,12,13 422:12,13 305:14,16 stronger 69:4 204:17,18 study 57:3 309:6 310:4,14 79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 310:18 311:11 266:17 210:18 211:17 105:7 115:24 311:15,18 strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:65,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:25 371:8 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 80:17,25 86:10	strong 27:24	176:5 193:10	389:5,12 419:7	297:15 299:11
stronger 69:4 204:17,18 study 57:3 309:6 310:4,14 79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 310:18 311:11 266:17 210:18 211:17 105:7 115:24 311:15,18 strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 81:3 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 48:25 69:7 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 8tudy's 108:6 312:19 <td< td=""><td>59:7 109:14</td><td>197:24 198:4</td><td>419:9,10</td><td>299:12 305:5</td></td<>	59:7 109:14	197:24 198:4	419:9,10	299:12 305:5
79:10 233:10 205:2 208:13 102:15,20 310:18 311:11 266:17 210:18 211:17 105:7 115:24 311:15,18 strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 48:15,28:69:7 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 <th< td=""><td>308:23 424:24</td><td>204:6,9,12,13</td><td>422:12,13</td><td>305:14,16</td></th<>	308:23 424:24	204:6,9,12,13	422:12,13	305:14,16
266:17 210:18 211:17 105:7 115:24 311:15,18 strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 46:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 266:16,17	stronger 69:4	204:17,18	study 57:3	309:6 310:4,14
strongest 213:15 215:5 116:4,7 124:15 312:4,18,24 132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 468:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 32:41 40:13 100:3,17 285:2 29	79:10 233:10	205:2 208:13	102:15,20	310:18 311:11
132:20 424:23 218:3,20,25 132:17 133:4 313:9 314:14 studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:5 100:23 101:25 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 <	266:17	210:18 211:17	105:7 115:24	311:15,18
studied 55:12 219:7,10,15 134:9,10,17 314:20 317:6 105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 24	strongest	213:15 215:5	116:4,7 124:15	312:4,18,24
105:8,12 227:22 233:21 135:6,11,13,19 317:12 328:4 110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 study's 108:6 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subjected 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 255:22 258:12 <	132:20 424:23	218:3,20,25	132:17 133:4	313:9 314:14
110:13 131:16 233:24,25 135:25 136:5,7 363:3 368:25 239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 </td <td>studied 55:12</td> <td>219:7,10,15</td> <td>134:9,10,17</td> <td>314:20 317:6</td>	studied 55:12	219:7,10,15	134:9,10,17	314:20 317:6
239:22 240:4,8 236:21 238:22 136:8 162:18 370:20,21,24 240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 sub 266:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subject 40:18 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 19:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3<	105:8,12	227:22 233:21	135:6,11,13,19	317:12 328:4
240:23 241:12 238:24 239:20 162:22 191:7,8 370:25 371:8 244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subject 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 sub	110:13 131:16	233:24,25	135:25 136:5,7	363:3 368:25
244:10,12 240:24 241:22 191:21 213:16 381:21 416:25 studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subject 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:20 272:13	239:22 240:4,8	236:21 238:22	136:8 162:18	370:20,21,24
studies 8:13 242:2,7,24,25 214:16,18 421:2 430:20 32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:20 272:13<	240:23 241:12	238:24 239:20	162:22 191:7,8	370:25 371:8
32:7 56:25 243:7 245:11 218:6,11,11,12 430:22,24 68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 108:13,14,14 274:10,22 238:10,19 sub 266:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13	244:10,12	240:24 241:22	191:21 213:16	381:21 416:25
68:25 69:7 248:5,7,17,19 218:13,23 study's 108:6 80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 108:13,14,14 274:10,22 238:10,19 sub 266:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialt	studies 8:13	242:2,7,24,25	214:16,18	421:2 430:20
80:17,25 86:10 248:23 252:6 221:18 224:17 312:19 87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 108:13,14,14 274:10,22 238:10,19 sub 266:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	32:7 56:25	243:7 245:11	218:6,11,11,12	430:22,24
87:15 88:2 96:7 254:5 264:16 224:20 225:9 studying 176:2 100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 108:13,14,14 274:10,22 238:10,19 sub 266:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	68:25 69:7	248:5,7,17,19	218:13,23	study's 108:6
100:23 101:25 264:17 265:6 225:16 233:13 176:5 102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 108:13,14,14 274:10,22 238:10,19 sub 266:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	80:17,25 86:10	248:23 252:6	221:18 224:17	312:19
102:11 108:5 266:16,17 233:20 234:2 stuff 140:13 108:13,14,14 274:10,22 238:10,19 sub 266:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	87:15 88:2 96:7	254:5 264:16	224:20 225:9	studying 176:2
108:13,14,14 274:10,22 238:10,19 sub 266:13 109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	100:23 101:25	264:17 265:6	225:16 233:13	176:5
109:16,19 275:2,10,16 242:11,20 subject 299:5 110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	102:11 108:5	266:16,17	233:20 234:2	stuff 140:13
110:3,17 285:2 291:21 246:22 247:8 subjected 40:18 111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	108:13,14,14	274:10,22	238:10,19	sub 266:13
111:19 114:21 291:24 292:21 248:2,3 253:24 submitted 115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	109:16,19	275:2,10,16	242:11,20	subject 299:5
115:18 117:10 296:7,12,17,18 254:3,13 17:18,21 18:18 119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	110:3,17	285:2 291:21	246:22 247:8	•
119:6 124:8,10 298:9 302:11 255:22 258:12 20:2,15 281:15 124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	111:19 114:21	291:24 292:21	248:2,3 253:24	submitted
124:11 125:6 317:10 327:13 259:24 264:3 323:21,23 125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	115:18 117:10	296:7,12,17,18	254:3,13	17:18,21 18:18
125:12 131:18 327:23 328:6,8 264:14 265:7 subscribed 132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	119:6 124:8,10		255:22 258:12	· ·
132:24 134:5 365:24 366:15 270:8,9,12,12 438:20 subspecialty				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
134:15,21 367:18,18,19 270:20 272:13 subspecialty	125:12 131:18	,	264:14 265:7	subscribed
	132:24 134:5	365:24 366:15	270:8,9,12,12	438:20
149:21,21 368:2 369:24 273:9,10,17 402:19	· ·	· · ·		
	149:21,21	368:2 369:24	273:9,10,17	402:19

[substance - systems]

Page 74

substance	suite 3:9,20	131:16 300:15	surface 259:2
55:12 118:12	summary 7:12	sure 19:18 37:2	surgery 26:2
292:17 293:9	84:3 94:9	45:11 49:24	surgical 10:22
383:3	165:17 170:20	50:24 60:5 61:9	328:15 353:22
substances	171:4 180:7	64:3,21 72:6	surprise 189:23
55:14 118:21	187:23 188:19	73:2,12,22	391:3
125:14 171:16	199:20 200:5	75:21 80:18	surprised 169:9
substantially	200:12 201:19	81:23 98:7,11	391:6
23:9	291:15,16	100:3 101:5	surprising
substantive	309:6	106:20 109:15	296:15,22
412:10	super 161:14	117:25 119:13	surrounding
successfully	superficial	121:16 123:10	392:9
84:8	302:20	128:10 135:9	surveyed 406:9
suffer 296:13	supplementary	141:15 144:11	survival 390:25
suffered 349:16	220:9	144:13 162:4	susceptibility
suffers 346:5	support 13:2	178:8,18	249:2 275:15
346:12 353:9	32:9,22 35:12	180:10 188:5	swear 14:9
sufficient 109:3	54:10 55:22	190:25 201:23	sworn 14:17
388:22 389:8	58:13 119:10	202:6 206:19	440:8
395:25	120:21 121:4,7	217:7 222:17	symptoms
suggest 67:15	127:16 173:6	228:3,20	355:19
109:3 110:25	191:10,22,24	236:17 243:6	syndrome
275:14 287:17	212:5,17,25	260:25 263:7	340:8,17,20
299:16 418:16	231:5 255:9	273:11 286:21	syndromes
426:18 434:20	269:22 272:3	289:8 294:22	329:25 331:4
suggested	285:16 291:18	306:3 309:11	344:17,23
365:4	347:11 348:2	314:18 317:13	synonym 105:4
suggesting	supported	317:20 326:17	synthesis
195:23 199:12	301:24 317:24	328:19 330:12	413:13
226:9 272:7	supporting	345:15 357:24	system 118:10
334:17	121:25 123:3	360:13 366:23	118:16 119:15
suggestion	202:3	374:17 387:8	120:24 121:4
279:7	supportive	408:7 409:13	201:22 202:9
suggests 57:2	291:9	412:16 427:4	227:15 229:3
212:18 305:9	supports 54:4	427:19 432:2	systems 249:17
331:7	106:17 121:3		251:2,6,7
		1	

4	369:18 379:25	64:24 67:24	125:20 126:15
t	380:13 428:20	69:5,6,7,8,13	126:23 127:6
t 5:3 6:3 7:3 8:3	435:12	70:11,14,23	120.23 127.0
9:3 10:3 11:3		70.11,14,23	127.13,10,20
12:3 189:8	takeaway		129:15 130:9
232:17 438:2	286:20,25	74:17,24 75:6	
439:2 440:2,2	287:22 306:21	75:17,24 76:13	130:15 131:19
tab 44:19	308:17 309:3	76:23 77:12,16	132:8 133:11
table 38:6	taken 67:17	78:4,9,12 79:4	133:12 136:18
220:9 308:4	154:4 177:7	80:14 81:8,14	136:19 137:9
361:18 387:13	187:5 232:15	82:13,17,19,22	151:23 152:7
387:17 399:20	247:8 249:9	82:23 83:4,16	152:21 163:3
425:16	319:14 380:24	84:9,23 85:14	163:17,21
tables 8:21	396:10 424:18	85:19,24 86:19	164:6 168:5
216:14,17	429:3 432:18	86:22 87:19	170:21,23
220:8 232:22	433:6 434:19	88:3,15 89:8	172:18,22
232:23	438:10 440:13	90:5 94:17,20	173:3 175:24
tail 119:12,15	takes 25:9	94:24 95:9,9,11	175:24 176:7
126:25	78:18	95:15 96:11,11	177:11,24
tailor 34:2	talc 7:15,17,19	96:14 97:2,19	178:15 179:6
tailored 162:10	9:22 16:12	97:24,25 98:23	179:14 181:7,8
taiwan 181:21	18:20 26:5,20	99:8,11,17,18	182:19,23
take 41:11	27:4 31:19,21	99:20,21,24	183:5 185:14
43:18,21 51:9	32:6,10,16,23	100:10,12,17	185:14 190:12
66:14 67:2,3	35:5,13,17	102:21,24	191:3,11,22
70:3 109:20	39:19,21 48:12	103:14 105:24	196:17 201:4
119:8,13	48:22 49:15	107:8,22 108:3	202:13 227:18
127:16 134:12	50:11,15,19,20	108:11,17,23	234:5 241:23
199:10 222:8	51:8,11,16	109:22 110:7	243:18 245:2
223:20 259:3	52:10 53:2,16	110:22 111:21	246:13,25
259:10 261:4	53:25 54:5,17	113:2,20	255:10 266:18
279:4,13,22	54:21 55:23	114:22 115:16	267:3 269:16
290:25 295:18	56:12,17,21	115:19,25	272:6 274:9,18
299:24 315:11	57:8,20 58:6,7	117:4 119:11	274:21 288:25
319:11,20	58:14 59:7 62:9	119:17 120:21	290:15,24
350:13 368:23	62:11,15,18	121:8,19,25	292:5 297:18
	63:6,21 64:10	123:8 125:3,16	300:8 301:11

[talc - ten] Page 76

305:7 308:18	97:21 98:9	406:22 415:23	153:16 154:21
308:24 311:8	99:12 106:22	416:2	157:24 158:6
311:15 312:7	122:21,24	talking 17:15	159:8,11
315:23 318:7	132:21 164:18	33:5,8 42:11,13	205:16
318:16 320:15	164:21 190:19	59:9 71:8 94:24	taught 74:23
320:17 347:11	211:14,21	95:5 97:3,6,21	teach 75:23
349:3 350:19	212:6 213:2	98:7,8,24 99:5	team 224:14
360:14,17,22	238:3 239:18	99:19 100:5,11	technique
360:22 361:6	239:25 240:6	100:12 108:16	364:7
362:25 363:2,7	240:10 242:3,8	109:17,25	tecum 5:16
364:9,13,15,19	242:21 243:3,9	110:2,3 114:16	19:5
364:23 365:19	245:2,18,19,21	114:18 115:15	tell 31:4 45:22
368:5,11,13,17	254:24 287:25	118:10 157:20	46:19 53:5
372:4,18 374:7	293:13 294:6	161:20 177:10	61:11 72:15
382:15,16,22	294:16 295:11	194:21 210:22	80:20 88:11
388:20 389:6	297:8 300:13	210:23,25	115:5 120:9
392:8,25 393:8	300:17 302:5	211:25 227:23	121:12 139:19
393:20 394:10	302:12 322:8	262:7 265:12	167:14 170:3
394:17 395:2	324:16,21	265:18 276:22	172:14,15
396:21 398:3	325:18,21,25	304:24 316:19	248:10 285:5
398:20 403:21	376:23 385:2	351:13 370:19	345:11 348:9
404:8,14	385:13 392:15	380:11 387:11	358:5 411:9
406:10 407:15	395:8,16,18,21	387:17 393:9	421:23 431:22
409:6 413:25	400:16 401:5	394:3,4,6,8	431:23 432:3
416:21 424:21	403:20 405:3	397:12 398:13	432:14
425:3,8 431:14	408:9,12,18,24	404:22 406:7	telling 58:25
431:18,24	434:10	412:23 429:21	68:17 77:21,23
434:11,14	talk 84:16	talks 97:25	77:25 84:25
talc's 414:4	97:24 100:4	tangents	144:25 183:18
talcum 1:5 5:25	121:18 192:19	137:25	231:15 288:24
15:19 27:6,11	209:24 210:2	tarer 208:10,10	292:4 424:17
37:6 38:13 40:9	220:24 233:7	target 419:17	ten 74:2 134:5
57:19 81:2	236:5 269:20	task 6:19	213:15 216:21
83:24,25 94:25	331:5 424:20	150:11,21	316:6 403:19
95:2,11,12,16	talked 189:4	151:3,22	404:9
96:12,18 97:12	298:10 405:25	152:19 153:4	

[tend - think] Page 77

tend 219:11	301:17 331:22	319:13,17	286:22,24
term 107:4,11	332:3,5 334:11	372:13	289:20 295:14
218:14 267:21	334:15 348:6	thanks 73:14	296:14,20
318:18 404:25	349:13 384:11	theirs 275:25	302:9 303:14
426:21 436:5	384:15,21	276:8	303:16 304:13
terminal 74:9	401:10 421:20	theories 74:11	348:16 354:17
terms 324:16	421:23 438:10	103:11,11	381:10 435:9
terry 295:25	438:13 440:12	108:8,9 435:24	things 22:2
403:2	testing 7:14	theory 103:14	28:2 47:9,15
test 249:14,25	38:10,12,14,20	103:23 107:12	51:12 55:16
258:13,19	40:18 170:14	107:13,15	56:11 75:15
260:18,22,24	170:21 171:9	109:10 131:14	82:20 94:14
261:2,12 264:6	171:12 180:3	131:25 133:14	106:25 110:4
341:7 388:12	188:20 263:17	133:17 255:4,5	121:2,18
tested 38:17	330:3 340:23	255:6,10	132:23 164:2
167:23 340:16	341:10,16,21	422:18 423:12	227:8,10
344:10	343:20 344:3	424:21 436:14	229:16 244:10
testified 14:19	383:19,23	therapy 327:4	245:6 248:2
15:3 326:4,7	384:3 386:17	327:8,15 404:2	255:12 268:23
337:19 374:14	388:16	404:3,4	293:10 312:24
374:17 383:25	tests 35:16,23	thing 27:21	313:14 314:7
389:20 401:5	38:4,10,24	37:12,16 47:17	315:10 325:4,5
427:8	260:19 264:19	56:3 60:24	329:2,4 331:5,8
testify 88:9	text 385:15	107:5 112:4	335:16,20
92:15 141:18	432:3	117:22 120:24	336:9 357:7
141:23 172:6	textbook	121:6 124:25	358:14 376:5,6
440:9	246:18	134:17 135:6	399:11,14
testifying 28:14	thank 14:14	136:13,14	404:13 428:18
63:3 141:25	37:3 48:6 79:8	140:20 144:20	think 15:21,21
214:8	92:23 123:21	145:8,8 147:9	19:24 38:21
testimony	128:21 159:25	147:11 163:8	41:10 42:23
14:10 77:3,19	161:8,23,23	178:9 183:7	43:20 51:17,24
159:20,22	162:25 189:9	199:12 201:4	52:17 53:4,21
191:16,19	193:22 195:6	222:11 229:6	55:2,10 56:3,9
225:11 268:17	212:15 219:24	232:20 252:4	56:9 57:18
283:21 293:23	252:12 257:18	253:13 259:19	59:14 63:7

[think - thompson]

Page 78

			\mathcal{E}
66:13 68:8,12	243:25,25	383:6 398:9	82:3,10 83:3
68:18,24 69:16	244:6,8,9,24	409:22,25	84:11 87:10,24
69:17 70:4,6,22	245:9 247:11	419:14 420:9	88:13,16 89:3,5
75:2 76:4 79:6	249:10,12,19	424:18 427:7	90:6 91:2,21
80:8,9 82:16	250:4,22	429:10 436:20	92:5,15,17
83:10 84:5	251:12 252:4	thinks 263:5	93:18 95:10,14
86:20 87:20	252:15 253:7	third 31:18	95:19 97:11
89:2 90:16	253:21 257:13	184:9,15	98:4,18 104:7
95:23 96:23	259:9,13	282:11 323:16	104:11 116:12
97:9,20 105:20	260:20 261:17	427:23 429:5	116:20 117:17
106:24 107:10	263:11,13	thirty 437:15	120:4,11 122:9
109:7,21	264:25 265:3	thompson 2:6	131:9 138:15
110:22 111:16	266:12 270:13	4:8 14:22,25	138:18,25
111:20,21,24	270:15 275:8	17:25 18:7,17	139:6,10 140:2
112:7 113:10	278:12 281:8	19:7 20:11	140:6,24 141:8
113:11 115:14	283:10,14	23:10,16 24:8	143:16 144:2,7
117:9 121:2,6	284:7 286:4	24:14 26:25	146:11 147:12
123:12,13,24	291:11 292:22	27:9 28:4,8,22	147:20 148:17
127:19 129:11	295:14,15,16	28:25 29:8,12	148:19 150:6,9
132:14,25	297:19 299:5	30:6,20 31:3,17	150:19 151:19
133:2 143:22	303:24 309:24	32:2 33:5,9,12	152:14 153:12
145:9 158:9,15	310:6,12 311:4	34:5,9,25 35:3	153:18 154:6
159:16 161:5	311:7,8,10,23	35:21 36:3 37:4	154:10,12,23
163:25 177:5	312:9 315:7,13	37:13,23 39:6	157:21 158:4
183:13 185:10	315:14,17	39:12,16 41:18	158:17,21
188:17 191:6	316:9 328:12	42:2,8,12,20	159:4,5,21
192:6 199:16	330:14 332:4	43:2,15,20,24	161:17,21
199:18 202:21	336:12 337:19	45:18 47:23	162:12 166:12
204:7,11,19,20	342:15,21	48:8 54:25 55:8	166:20 167:9
204:25 207:5	345:7,8 347:7	59:23 60:3,8,20	167:19 169:23
208:5,11,16	349:2 350:2	61:23 62:3,5	170:11,17
222:15 223:4	354:21 355:25	63:4 65:16,21	171:2,11,14,21
226:12 228:4	356:22 358:4	66:4 67:18	173:19 174:2,6
230:13,17	365:17 373:10	71:15 73:8,15	174:11,14
231:9 233:3	375:6,16,25	77:20,22 79:14	176:14,18,23
235:14 243:23	376:3 380:17	79:18,23 80:4,5	177:6,8,21

[thompson - tissue]

Page 79

178:3,12,17	294:2,24 295:4	415:9 417:21	tight 354:23
184:2,8,14,21	299:7 300:6	417:24 420:5	time 20:16 21:2
184:24 185:6	301:2 303:4,15	420:17 421:21	21:8 22:17,18
185:25 186:22	303:17 304:2,6	425:12,21	22:19 23:7
187:3,7,22	306:18,20	429:4 430:7	25:10,15,16,22
188:2 189:25	308:2,5,13	432:13,19,24	25:25 28:5 33:4
190:7 191:17	312:3 314:24	433:4,7 435:16	36:6,11 37:3,25
192:12,18	316:14,16	436:22	60:18 73:23
193:7,15 194:4	319:5,9,15,19	thompson's	134:12 155:17
194:11,22	320:4,9,14,21	93:16	169:3 176:2
195:4,9,18,22	321:4,10,20	thoroughly	177:3 186:21
196:3,13	326:18,20	434:4	204:25 209:21
202:23 203:8	328:5 331:24	thought 42:14	224:2 225:18
203:14,21	332:4 333:6,9	64:13,18	243:19 245:22
204:3 207:3,6	333:15,22	110:20 112:3	270:16 273:11
207:12,20	334:2,5,13,19	121:13,14	294:5 303:13
208:7 209:10	340:25 341:9	179:16 215:23	306:14 316:13
209:18 210:2,7	348:7,13,22	225:14 258:24	320:7 342:18
213:11 216:6	349:20,21	302:3 312:14	355:4,13
216:13,19	350:6,23 354:3	320:13 344:16	366:22 383:13
221:19 222:2	356:12 357:15	358:22,24	386:2 389:14
222:25 223:20	359:3 361:3,4	396:11,12,16	395:14 429:2
224:8,12	362:2,5 366:8	396:17 422:2	432:11,21
225:13 232:13	367:7 368:13	436:8	436:5 440:13
232:19,25	368:16 372:14	thousands	times 22:6
233:5 235:5	375:8,11,16,20	35:15,23 38:3,9	31:11 34:16
237:4,10 253:7	376:2 377:9,15	38:15 198:2,3	36:25 44:9
257:8 262:9	378:10,13,18	threats 89:16	70:17 122:4
265:8,20	378:24 379:6	three 22:21	137:16 163:13
268:13,16	379:10,20	26:3 296:18,20	173:18 204:20
269:10 277:21	380:3,20 381:2	361:5 387:6,10	209:13 294:21
278:7,13,25	382:10,17,24	387:11 428:8	333:11 338:2
279:5 280:3,9	383:14 391:20	430:12	350:18 398:7
280:13,21	401:12,16	throw 296:18	tissue 130:9,11
281:24 283:22	406:8 410:5,6	tie 336:11	226:18 228:10
289:3 293:24	412:3,13 415:4	354:23	360:15 361:13
	~		

[tissue - truth] Page 80

374:15 428:2 403:12 trabert 217:18 118:13 treaded 33:23 treaded 33:23		I	I	
tissues 372:5,20 topic 29:18 402:24 416:17 treaded 33:23 413:8 34:13 55:11,11 track 252:3 treat 305:23 74:7 415:12 95:24 111:4 tracks 252:3 treating 90:19 420:5 427:12 142:9 168:10 tracks 252:3 treatment 420:5 427:12 142:9 168:10 track 74:19 treats 306:23 titled 23:11 171:8 174:22 tract 74:19 treats 306:5 31:19 179:6 175:17,20 117:4 122:23 treetmendous 185:18 treemendous 320:15,22 176:6 189:12 26:8 252:8 259:14 treemendous 320:15,22 176:6 189:12 258:8 259:14 treemendous 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 415:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 41:10 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 37:10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained <t< td=""><td>363:8 364:3</td><td>317:2 345:11</td><td>toxic 239:9</td><td>_</td></t<>	363:8 364:3	317:2 345:11	toxic 239:9	_
413:8 34:13 55:11,11 track 252:3 treat 305:23 title 24:24,25 95:680:12 84:4 255:24 treating 90:19 74:7 415:12 95:24 111:4 tracks 252:3 treating 90:19 420:5 427:12 142:9 168:10 256:3,5,6 396:23 titled 23:11 171:8 174:22 tract 74:19 treats 306:5 31:19 179:6 175:17,20 117:4 122:23 treats 306:5 320:15,22 176:6 189:12 126:8 252:8 185:18 tnf 413:10 204:8 223:22 258:8 259:14 tremendous 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 7:79 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trained 290:18 372:419 378:22 408:4 421:11 423:20 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 triangle 21	374:15 428:2		trabert 217:18	118:13
title 24:24,25 59:6 80:12 84:4 255:24 treating 90:19 74:7 415:12 95:24 111:4 tracks 252:3 treatment 420:5 427:12 142:9 168:10 256:3,5,6 396:23 titled 23:11 171:8 174:22 tract 74:19 treats 306:5 31:19 179:6 175:17,20 117:4 122:23 treendous 185:18 320:15,22 176:6 189:12 126:8 252:8 185:18 tnf 413:10 204:8 223:22 258:8 259:14 tremolite today 14:12 239:21 254:4,5 262:15 266:11 361:17,20 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trail 15:4,12 12:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 49:3 85	tissues 372:5,20	topic 29:18	402:24 416:17	treaded 33:23
74:7 415:12 95:24 111:4 tracks 252:3 treatment 420:5 427:12 142:9 168:10 256:3,5,6 396:23 titled 23:11 171:8 174:22 tract 74:19 treats 306:5 31:19 179:6 175:17,20 117:4 122:23 treatmendous 320:15,22 176:6 189:12 126:8 252:8 185:18 tnf 413:10 204:8 223:22 258:8 259:14 tremolite today 14:12 239:21 254:4,5 262:15 266:11 361:17,20 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trial 15:4,12 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 312:5 378:19 408:23 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 378:22 408:4 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23	413:8	34:13 55:11,11	track 252:3	treat 305:23
420:5 427:12 142:9 168:10 256:3,5,6 396:23 titled 23:11 171:8 174:22 tract 74:19 treats 306:5 31:19 179:6 175:17,20 117:4 122:23 tremendous 320:15,22 176:6 189:12 126:8 252:8 185:18 tnf 413:10 204:8 223:22 258:8 259:14 tremolite today 14:12 239:21 254:4,5 262:15 266:11 361:17,20 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 trained 290:18 325:14 401:9 415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 264:23 22:21 200:25 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23	title 24:24,25	59:6 80:12 84:4	255:24	treating 90:19
titled 23:11 171:8 174:22 tract 74:19 treats 306:5 31:19 179:6 175:17,20 117:4 122:23 185:18 320:15,22 176:6 189:12 126:8 252:8 185:18 tnf 413:10 204:8 223:22 258:8 259:14 tremolite today 14:12 239:21 254:4,5 262:15 266:11 361:17,20 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trial 15:4,12 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 45:23 408:23 48:8 156:12 264:23 22	74:7 415:12	95:24 111:4	tracks 252:3	treatment
31:19 179:6 175:17,20 117:4 122:23 tremendous 320:15,22 176:6 189:12 126:8 252:8 185:18 tnf 413:10 204:8 223:22 258:8 259:14 tremolite today 14:12 239:21 254:4,5 262:15 266:11 361:17,20 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trained 290:18 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 triangle 217:4 45:23 408:23 148:8 156:12 triangle 264:23 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 440:12 true 20:18 51:9	420:5 427:12	142:9 168:10	256:3,5,6	396:23
320:15,22 176:6 189:12 126:8 252:8 185:18 tnf 413:10 204:8 223:22 258:8 259:14 tremolite today 14:12 239:21 254:4,5 262:15 266:11 361:17,20 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 12:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 triangulating 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 trick 281:18 434:19 totality 78:3 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 trieger 434:17	titled 23:11	171:8 174:22	tract 74:19	treats 306:5
tnf 413:10 204:8 223:22 258:8 259:14 tremolite today 14:12 239:21 254:4,5 262:15 266:11 361:17,20 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trail 15:4,12 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 triangle 217:4 trianglating together 21:5 408:23 4:15 437:17,18 trick 281:18 trick 281:18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 135:3 292:3 <t< td=""><td>31:19 179:6</td><td>175:17,20</td><td>117:4 122:23</td><td>tremendous</td></t<>	31:19 179:6	175:17,20	117:4 122:23	tremendous
today 14:12 239:21 254:4,5 262:15 266:11 361:17,20 15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trained 290:18 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 46:25 111:7 triangle 217:4 415:23 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 43:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20	320:15,22	176:6 189:12	126:8 252:8	185:18
15:2 19:16 21:9 254:15 262:25 398:15 434:15 362:19 363:9 21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trial 15:4,12 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 415:23 206:8 302:19 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 48:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 tried 84:6 434:19 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	tnf 413:10	204:8 223:22	258:8 259:14	tremolite
21:25 34:17 263:3 280:4 tracts 247:5 363:12,16,21 43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trail 15:4,12 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 408:23 triangle 217:4 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 tried 84:6 438:9,12 tried 84:6 tried 84:6 43:19 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	today 14:12	239:21 254:4,5	262:15 266:11	361:17,20
43:16 49:5 64:2 296:7 310:10 249:8 250:15 372:4,19 77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trial 15:4,12 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 415:23 206:8 302:19 408:23 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 408:23 triangulating 22:21 200:25 22:21 200:25 2385:8 4:15 437:17,18 264:23 434:19 438:9,12 438:9,12 438:9,12 438:6 434:19 408:12 440:12 408:23 408:23 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	15:2 19:16 21:9	254:15 262:25	398:15 434:15	362:19 363:9
77:9 78:23 311:13 352:23 254:21 259:6 374:14 84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trial 15:4,12 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 408:23 triangulating together 21:5 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	21:25 34:17	263:3 280:4	tracts 247:5	363:12,16,21
84:18 94:12 398:24 412:4 266:7,9 trial 15:4,12 112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 415:23 206:8 302:19 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 408:23 transcript 4:3 trianglating 22:21 200:25 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trieger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	43:16 49:5 64:2	296:7 310:10	249:8 250:15	372:4,19
112:9,10 133:8 421:11 423:20 trained 290:18 172:9 192:23 224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 408:23 triangulating 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	77:9 78:23	311:13 352:23	254:21 259:6	374:14
224:3 294:22 424:3,23 353:3 193:17 267:2 312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 triangulating together 21:5 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	84:18 94:12	398:24 412:4	266:7,9	trial 15:4,12
312:5 378:19 topics 134:4 training 16:21 325:14 401:9 378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 triangulating together 21:5 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	112:9,10 133:8	421:11 423:20	trained 290:18	172:9 192:23
378:22 408:4 148:4 205:22 49:3 85:22 triangle 217:4 415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 triangulating together 21:5 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	224:3 294:22	424:3,23	353:3	193:17 267:2
415:23 206:8 302:19 86:25 111:7 triangulating together 21:5 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	312:5 378:19	topics 134:4	training 16:21	325:14 401:9
together 21:5 408:23 148:8 156:12 264:23 22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	378:22 408:4	148:4 205:22	49:3 85:22	triangle 217:4
22:21 200:25 total 261:21 transcript 4:3 trick 281:18 206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	415:23	206:8 302:19	86:25 111:7	triangulating
206:20 427:20 385:8 4:15 437:17,18 tried 84:6 434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	together 21:5	408:23	148:8 156:12	264:23
434:19 totality 78:3 438:9,12 trigger 434:17 told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	22:21 200:25	total 261:21	transcript 4:3	trick 281:18
told 59:18 80:12 133:20 440:12 true 20:18 51:9 83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	206:20 427:20	385:8	4:15 437:17,18	tried 84:6
83:23 160:22 133:22 134:3 transformation 163:18,20 172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	434:19	totality 78:3	438:9,12	trigger 434:17
172:12 289:18 135:3 292:3 131:21 132:18 169:11 225:17 371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	told 59:18	80:12 133:20	440:12	true 20:18 51:9
371:10 392:23 295:10,16 422:23 428:7 232:8 285:17	83:23 160:22	133:22 134:3	transformation	163:18,20
	172:12 289:18	135:3 292:3	131:21 132:18	169:11 225:17
202.2	371:10 392:23	295:10,16	422:23 428:7	232:8 285:17
393:3 totally 42:24 430:10 436:17 294:25 335:6	393:3	totally 42:24	430:10 436:17	294:25 335:6
took 30:3 171:9 235:15 transport 117:3 343:23 385:23	took 30:3	171:9 235:15	transport 117:3	343:23 385:23
118:24 187:2 388:17 117:19 119:10 438:12,14	118:24 187:2	388:17	117:19 119:10	438:12,14
265:23 320:12 towards 248:21 123:7 126:9 trust 408:22	265:23 320:12	towards 248:21	123:7 126:9	trust 408:22
top 53:20 55:25 364:8 129:22 truth 14:10,11	top 53:20 55:25	364:8	129:22	truth 14:10,11
58:4 101:18 14:12 293:11	58:4 101:18			14:12 293:11

[truth - university]

Page 81

440:9,9,10	177:14 196:14	u	understand
try 64:17 67:20	196:18 323:3	u.s. 6:18 93:20	15:11 57:9
84:14 100:6	324:8 345:21	150:10,21	74:10 75:11
113:25 289:25	383:15 387:13	151:3 152:18	93:17 98:13,17
314:20 363:5	turns 413:14	153:3,15	98:19 134:14
368:24 410:4	twice 391:23	154:20 157:23	143:13 157:6
trying 34:25	two 22:20 30:24	158:5 159:7	157:11 189:2
63:10 98:2	67:5 123:11	181:20 182:2,6	189:17 191:18
101:20 105:18	157:11 159:6	182:8,16	193:18 195:6
106:4 107:6	209:10 249:18	186:10 188:7	196:15 201:9
109:6 111:23	249:19 256:9	218:10	206:13 219:18
112:2,18 128:7	256:12 264:11	ultrasound	229:7 239:11
128:24 140:21	267:7 280:10	211:5,6	266:3 314:7
178:8 191:18	284:4 320:4	umbrella 217:6	362:4 373:3
196:10 203:9	325:11 363:4	unclear 158:16	380:5 385:19
244:14 266:14	369:17 375:15	undefined	387:10 404:21
277:23 315:25	379:16 386:17	313:18	423:22 427:5
319:16 359:17	387:5,8,9,11	under 32:6 73:9	understanding
369:8 409:22	399:5 414:22	74:15 108:15	40:10 133:3
428:12,13	415:10,15	217:5 318:10	182:22 324:23
tubal 403:25	419:21 420:8	318:14 369:21	336:17
tube 431:17	424:19 433:2	438:10	underwent
436:18	tworoger	undergo 391:10	323:11 324:15
tubes 107:23	416:15	undergone	unfortunately
108:23 110:9	type 234:4	323:5	273:8,13 274:2
113:4 114:10	337:9 354:25	underneath	401:20
114:23 115:20	typed 47:13	220:12	united 1:2 93:4
116:2 122:23	types 233:11,23	underpowered	151:21 159:10
127:4 129:13	235:3,6,20,24	219:3,4,9	182:11
133:13 221:5	236:12,21	262:23 266:22	universally
221:15 434:16	237:15 239:6	underscore	118:11 123:5
tumor 355:5,7	239:10 243:2	310:25	universe
428:4	typical 391:9	underscores	236:25
tumors 236:3	typically	305:5 307:18	university
turn 73:9 74:4	330:23		10:21 284:15
80:15 135:8			353:22 354:6

[unknown - vagina]

Page 82

unknown	88:15 89:8 90:5	320:15,18	uses 39:18
285:23	94:17,20 99:6	322:8 325:25	99:23 119:9
unproven	99:17,18	363:2 364:23	156:24 201:22
132:6	100:10,12	382:16 403:21	202:8 323:20
unreasonable	106:22 107:4	403:23,25	382:15,22
229:15 291:17	108:17 129:3	404:2,8,24	385:17,22
unsafe 59:7	152:10 155:16	408:24 414:2	392:14
163:22	159:13,15	415:13 417:2,4	usgs 181:3
unsurprisingly	183:20 201:24	418:18 420:14	using 49:15
314:10	202:4 212:6	420:25 421:4	83:24 96:7
update 201:11	213:2 215:20	422:13 427:20	99:20,21 100:6
270:11	218:15,16	434:10,22	103:24 119:16
updated 24:18	221:22 223:19	used 46:20	148:6 165:19
138:15,17,25	224:2 230:14	84:24 94:25	176:4 192:11
141:15 142:18	231:20 232:5	97:8,14,22 98:9	199:8 244:23
178:14 179:7	233:12 239:18	104:14 107:11	244:24 245:21
192:5,11,13,22	240:2,6,10	152:6 153:20	276:23 302:4
200:6 203:11	241:23 242:4,9	154:14 155:12	305:12 384:6,8
203:17 204:2	242:22 243:3,9	175:15 193:16	385:16,24
207:14 215:15	243:18,25	194:13 211:21	393:18,20
313:22	244:5,21,22	245:17,18,19	395:16 408:25
updating 21:12	245:12 247:5	305:20,25	409:2 415:14
22:7 47:15	254:23,24	308:23 327:3	uterine 224:15
upper 122:22	258:4,7 266:10	337:20 338:3,5	224:21,23
upward 119:16	266:18 267:20	364:7 384:19	225:23 232:5
upwardly	269:16 272:4	386:2 388:4	232:10 240:13
272:2	274:9,21	389:6 390:8,10	240:19 241:18
use 9:7,22 35:5	275:17 284:24	395:9 396:22	242:18 318:8
47:23 48:12,22	285:14,18	418:24,25	318:12
48:23 50:11,19	287:7,11,14	437:19	uterus 431:16
50:20 52:10	288:2 290:15	user 220:21	v
53:2,17,25	290:24 293:8	users 211:14	v 1:11
55:23 56:2,6,8	293:14 294:16	220:17 221:5	vagina 118:18
57:20 58:14	297:8 302:11	257:23,23	118:23 124:23
76:13,23 80:25	311:15 312:7	305:11 313:11	398:16 431:16
85:14,24 86:19	318:7,16	313:12 318:18	370.10 431.10
			1

[vaginal - way] Page 83

vaginal 240:6	voluntary	112:9,12,19	295:2 297:12
240:21 241:5	181:18	113:11,12	297:21 301:5
241:10	vulvar 239:19	115:12,15	303:2,10 308:9
valid 214:15	240:2,21 241:5	116:23 117:21	312:21 313:19
validated	241:10	121:9,10	319:22 324:8
192:22	W	123:24 125:4	338:10 347:5
value 162:21	w 438:2	128:9,10 131:6	350:4 354:17
259:4	wait 91:21	137:20,23	357:5 359:25
variant 344:13	120:7 138:13	143:21 144:11	366:2 369:16
variants 342:6	141:4,5,5	144:22 145:4	369:21 380:5
variety 287:7	149:23,23	148:16 156:6	383:20 393:22
vein 269:5,13	154:2 158:12	158:22 161:2	396:15 399:11
verbatim	173:16,17	180:24 183:16	399:13 410:11
440:12	223:3 262:2	183:19 185:2	411:6,14
verify 357:5	267:25 278:17	188:3 191:19	421:24 423:24
veritext 1:23	281:4 290:2	193:19 195:6	424:2,5,6,11
vermont	319:10 368:9	196:18 198:21	wanted 121:16
385:12 387:7	379:8 380:4	203:24 222:8	271:24 286:13
versed 368:22	417:17	222:10,21	289:19 375:11
version 5:10	waiting 152:25	223:15 226:25	379:20 414:20
18:13 73:23,24	walk 67:6	228:3,21	418:5
192:7 193:21	291:19 292:3	229:17 230:10	wanting 75:17
versions 152:24	292:23	230:11,14	229:4,5,11
versus 251:2	walked 111:17	232:19,22	wants 176:24
252:3 255:13	want 19:19	233:4 235:22	280:14 290:20
266:25 313:12	34:9 38:7 39:25	236:17 237:5,7	washington
vice 25:5	40:5,14 45:16	237:11,18	3:10 10:21
view 252:19	52:13 60:18	239:7,9 247:14	284:15 353:21
302:20	65:16 67:3	255:18 256:25	354:6
viral 74:13	68:16 81:19	257:4 260:25	way 44:18
visited 26:17,23	82:8 83:19	261:5,5 269:19	49:12,21 55:10
44:17,23 138:6	84:16 94:10,14	269:20 274:13	93:2 142:18
140:25 145:7	100:2 105:21	275:25 276:6	162:2 191:7
visually 355:15	106:9,11	278:18 280:11	195:20 201:7
voluntarily 7:8	107:25 108:6	286:19 289:23	206:23 215:23
166:16	107.23 100.0	290:4 294:13	231:13 259:24

[way - wolf's] Page 84

264:18 272:19	45:21 67:24	289:19,21	128:22 138:24
274:25 277:11	70:13 140:3,7	323:13 381:11	151:25 170:5
292:18 297:16	141:10,16	wentzensen	181:14 213:9
297:20 298:3	142:24 145:20	250:7,8 263:21	222:18 224:7
309:11 314:17	145:25 146:6	265:22 267:6	232:24 233:17
326:23 365:8	146:10,14,19	268:11 296:2	237:9 268:15
368:25 380:11	147:10,16,18	416:9 434:23	275:21 278:11
398:16 400:21	147:22 148:13	west 1:17 2:19	279:17,22
401:24	149:14 307:11	whatever's	280:18,18
ways 109:9	websites 28:13	165:23 176:3	293:21 299:22
273:21	70:23	white 54:13	299:25 300:5
we've 18:7 53:9	weeds 266:24	61:3 170:13	319:17 320:7
111:7 128:24	week 22:17,18	171:4 188:19	320:10 326:19
136:20 163:12	25:24 385:7	299:12	372:13 380:2
177:4 180:6	weekly 355:5	whoa 36:5,5,5,6	380:12,18,22
223:10 258:17	weeks 26:3	36:6	386:25 401:6
306:13 319:15	330:9,12 385:7	width 360:24	407:5 417:19
319:24 352:2	weigh 57:4	361:8	418:2,5 427:3
354:9 373:9	314:15	william 11:14	428:17 430:19
392:22 402:24	weighing 78:2	54:12 377:12	437:2
405:25 415:23	197:22 309:12	window 275:15	witnesses 28:19
416:2 424:18	weight 32:22	withdraw	33:19 140:12
weak 267:13,14	35:11 54:9	120:13 150:6	214:7 350:14
276:12,14	56:24 58:12	witness 13:4	wolf 6:15
weakened	361:10	14:13,16 20:3	116:17,25
312:19	weill 25:4	31:23 36:8,17	125:17,23
weaknesses	weirdly 193:24	36:21 43:19,23	127:22 128:8
296:13 297:3	welcome 92:24	43:25 45:11	128:12 281:23
webpage 27:2	161:15	57:24 65:19,25	346:15 347:2
website 5:24	went 47:11	66:3,5,12 77:6	347:22 351:23
26:15,21 27:3,5	112:4 142:5,24	82:2,25 87:21	352:14,21
28:15 30:8,11	147:22 148:25	93:17 101:21	wolf's 116:10
33:6 39:17	160:15 178:10	118:7 119:4	116:13,21
40:22 41:5,9	198:12,13,14	120:18,18	117:15 123:17
42:21,23 43:6	207:17 258:18	121:20 122:17	124:3,15,17
44:18,23 45:5	273:12,25	122:17 128:21	128:7 195:7,11

[wolf's - yeah] Page 85

280:23 282:6	339:18 365:12	working 166:22	229:15 298:16
283:2,24 346:5	368:23 401:19	167:25 170:13	299:18 414:7
352:13,16,18	411:5 412:21	172:14,16	wu 409:10,14
352:20 353:9	412:25 414:2	180:7,15	416:13
376:21	women's 52:18	187:24 217:9	X
woman 50:16	218:12	217:24	x 5:3 6:3 7:3 8:3
83:23 84:5	wondering	world 32:9	9:3 10:3 11:3
245:16 342:14	237:21 381:17	69:18 149:11	12:3
344:20 382:15	woolen 8:15,21	186:12 188:8	
385:17 392:14	208:3,14,17	236:18 237:22	y
393:20 395:16	210:3,4,8,22	315:6	yeah 65:7,25
woman's	211:13 216:16	worried 342:24	75:13 80:4
244:24 334:7	232:23	worth 106:14	81:19 88:24
335:8 336:2	word 41:11	283:11,15	102:4 113:8
363:2	46:15,16,24	write 47:13	118:5 119:7
women 53:9,13	47:14 95:15	66:18 205:23	121:24 123:20
87:2 90:19 97:8	103:24 231:21	206:10,15,21	124:9 145:23
99:20 109:18	251:15 252:23	309:13 346:10	146:4 148:23
198:3 211:21	253:14 265:7	writing 46:20	180:25 186:6,7
212:5,17,25	276:23 409:2	47:4 107:20	189:10 198:20
220:16 221:4	wording 59:3	175:17 190:22	204:9 205:18
244:20 247:4	253:2	206:18	206:5 214:14
249:8,16	words 83:11	written 26:11	219:6 234:13
250:14 251:5	91:20 93:16	54:14 190:18	235:22 241:13
254:20 255:24	131:11,13	246:17 277:15	243:6 244:4,9
256:3,14 258:7	199:8 267:16	296:24 298:13	246:14,16,20
258:14 259:5	283:19 297:21	317:17 396:6	255:14 274:25
262:14 273:10	298:12 300:10	410:19 418:15	285:9 301:14
273:14 288:17	427:20	wrong 70:5	319:9 326:19
288:24 292:4	work 97:10	82:15 83:2	334:12 336:5
292:11 294:17	175:16 216:23	229:22,24	340:13 345:5
308:23 311:16	259:20 260:5	423:3	347:6 349:20
313:16 329:11	290:17 298:19	wrote 46:7,9	352:10 355:10
329:18 331:10	302:15 406:11	112:14 118:2	377:7 382:5
331:16 332:8	worked 16:5	144:14 204:2	397:22 404:11
332:13 335:14	217:21 353:5	206:16 228:17	414:19 417:19

[yeah - zoom] Page 86

418:22 422:9 424:2 427:4 430:2 year 60:4 187:6 years 32:7 49:3 53:10 54:4 56:25 59:5 74:2 78:24 79:3 81:8 99:7 146:19 150:21 151:24 152:3,8,21 162:5,6 163:3 166:23 168:9 238:15 251:10 267:8 275:15 296:20 329:19 337:21 338:8 370:8 371:22 384:8 385:7 393:21 401:7 **yep** 207:22 york 1:17,18 2:20,20 14:4,4 14:19 440:5 **younger** 329:19

Z

z 189:8 zoom 3:3 22:23 22:24

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the

foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete

transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers

as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal

Solutions further represents that the attached

exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete

documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or

attorneys in relation to this deposition and that

the documents were processed in accordance with

our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.